

### Article

# Theoretical Efficiency Study of Output Lubricant Flow Rate Regulating Principle on the Example of a Two-Row Aerostatic Journal Bearing with Longitudinal Microgrooves and a System of External Combined Throttling

Vladimir Kodnyanko \*, Stanislav Shatokhin, Andrey Kurzakov, Yuri Pikalov, Lilia Strok, Iakov Pikalov, Olga Grigorieva and Maxim Brungardt

Polytechnic Institute, Siberian Federal University, 660079 Krasnoyarsk, Russia; SShatochin@sfu-kras.ru (S.S.); AKurzakov@sfu-kras.ru (A.K.); YAPikalov@sfu-kras.ru (Y.P.); LStrok@sfu-kras.ru (L.S.); YPikalov@sfu-kras.ru (I.P.); OGrigorieva@sfu-kras.ru (O.G.); MBrungardt@sfu-kras.ru (M.B.)

\* Correspondence: VKodnyanko@sfu-kras.ru

Citation: Kodnyanko, V.; Shatokhin, S.; Kurzakov, A.; Pikalov, Y; Strok, L.; Pikalov, I; Grigorieva, O.; Brungardt, M. Theoretical Efficiency Study of Output Lubricant Flow Rate Regulating Principle on the Example of a Two-Row Aerostatic Journal Bearing with Longitudinal Microgrooves and a System of External Combined Throttling. *Mathematics* 2021, 9, 1698. https://doi.org/10.3390/math9141698

Academic Editor: Michael Booty

Received: 16 June 2021 Accepted: 17 July 2021 Published: 19 July 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Abstract: Due to their vanishingly low air friction, high wear resistance, and environmental friendliness, aerostatic bearings are used in machines, machine tools, and devices that require high accuracy of micro-movement and positioning. The characteristic disadvantages of aerostatic bearings are low load capacity, high compliance and an increased tendency for instability. In radial bearings, it is possible to use longitudinal microgrooves, which practically exclude circumferential air leakage, and contributes to a significant increase in load-bearing capacity. To reduce compliance to zero and negative values, inlet diaphragm and elastic airflow regulators are used. Active flow compensation is inextricably linked to the problem of ensuring the stability of bearings due to the presence of relatively large volumes of gas in the regulator, which have a destabilizing effect. This problem was solved by using an external combined throttling system. Bearings with input flow regulators have a number of disadvantages-they are very energy-intensive and have an insufficiently stable load capacity. A more promising way to reduce compliance is the use of displacement compensators for the movable element. Such bearings also allow for a decrease in compliance to zero and negative values, which makes it possible to use them not only as supports, but also as active deformation compensators of the technological system of machine tools in order to reduce the time and increase the accuracy of metalworking. The new idea of using active flow compensators is to regulate the flow rate not at the inlet, but at the outlet of the air flow. This design has the energy efficiency that is inherent to a conventional bearing, but the regulation of the lubricant output flow allows the compliance to be reduced to zero and negative values. This article discusses the results of a theoretical study of the static and dynamic characteristics of a two-row radial aerostatic bearing with longitudinal microgrooves and an output flow regulator. Mathematical modeling and theoretical study of stationary modes have been carried out. Formulas for determining static compliance and load capacity are obtained. Iterative finite-difference methods for determining the dynamic characteristics of a structure are proposed. The calculation of dynamic quality criteria was carried out on the basis of the method of rational interpolation of the bearing transfer function, as a system with distributed parameters, developed by the authors. It was found that the volumes of the microgrooves do not have a noticeable effect on the bearing dynamics. It is shown that, in this design, the external combined throttling system is an effective means of maintaining stability and high dynamic quality of the design operating in the modes of low, zero and negative compliance.

**Keywords:** aerostatic radial bearing; compliance; load capacity; longitudinal microgrooves; system of external combined throttling; membrane regulator of the outlet air flow rate



### 1. Introduction

Due to the vanishingly low friction in the air, high wear resistance, and environmental friendliness, aerostatic bearings are used in machines, in particular, in machine tools, which are devices that require high accuracy of micro-movement and positioning [1–8]. In mechanical engineering, aerostatic bearings are used in the spindle assemblies of metalcutting machine tools [9–12].

Typical disadvantages of aerostatic bearings are low load-bearing capacity, high compliance (low stiffness) and increased tendency to instability. The first two drawbacks are explained by the limited injection pressure, while the latter is determined by the high air compressibility even in microvolumes [13,14]. To improve the static characteristics of radial bearings, two-row feeding systems and longitudinal microgrooves made in the inter-row zone are used, which practically exclude circumferential air leakage, and contributes to a significant increase in the load capacity [15–17]. To reduce compliance, aerostatic bearings with active air flow compensation are used, where inlet flow compensators; membrane regulators of the nozzle-damper type with throttles in the form of diaphragms with sharp edges and in the form of flat slots [18–22] or Laub elastic orifices, are used [23–25]. The use of inlet membrane or elastic regulators makes it possible to reduce the static compliance to zero and even negative values (in the latter case, the increments of the load and the bearing gap have the same signs).

The technical problem of implementing the principle of active flow compensation is inextricably linked with the problem of ensuring the stability of bearings due to the presence of relatively large volumes of gas in the regulator, which have a destabilizing effect. This problem found a solution in the form of using an system of external combined throttling (SECT) containing the main throttling resistance, additional damping resistance and a flow-through air resonator chamber located between them, the optimal volume of which contributes to a drastic improvement in dynamic characteristics [26,27]. Diaphragms or elastic regulators act as the main resistance, and for damping resistance, annular diaphragms proved to be the best, the optimal resistance of which should be 5–15% of the total resistance of the SECT [19,28,29]. Such ratios of SECT resistances, in combination with the optimal volume of the throttle chamber, provide the best dynamics quality during the operation of bearings at almost any compliance, including zero and negative.

Bearings with an inlet diaphragm or resilient regulators have at least three disadvantages. The first is that they are too energy intensive due to the need to significantly increase the lubricant consumption using the input regulators to reduce compliance. In addition, such bearings have an insufficiently stable characteristic of load capacity, where low compliance is provided only in a narrow range of loads (this is especially characteristic of open thrust bearings with diaphragm regulators) [24,25]. Finally, the third drawback arises from the first-too large a gain of the regulators has an increased negative effect on the bearing dynamics, where it is difficult to ensure low compliance even in the presence of the optimal dynamics of the SECT [25].

A more effective solution to reduce compliance is the use of compensators for displacement of the movable element (shaft) [18–20]. Such bearings also allow for a decrease in compliance to zero and negative values, which, like designs with membrane and elastic regulators, allows them to be used not only as supports, but also as active deformation compensators of the technological system of machine tools in order to reduce time and increase the accuracy of metalworking. The SECT provides a significant decrease in compliance and guaranteed stability [27].

The new idea of using active flow compensators is to regulate the flow rate not at the inlet, but at the outlet of the air flow. Its essence lies in the fact that in the SECT inlet, ordinary simple diaphragms are installed instead of regulators, and the regulator itself is located in the bearing gap at the air outlet from the gap to actively limit the flow rate in order to reduce compliance. The design should have the energy efficiency of a conventional bearing, but in contrast, control of the lubricant output should help reduce compliance.

This article discusses the results of a theoretical study of the static and dynamic characteristics of a two-row radial aerostatic bearing with a SECT, longitudinal microgrooves and an output flow regulator.

### 2. Description of the Bearing Design and the Principle of Its Operation

Figure 1 shows the design of the bearing. It contains a support sleeve, 1, installed in the housing, 2. Between the surface of the sleeve, 1, and the shaft, 3, a gas bearing gap is formed, which ensures the operation of the bearing.





The sleeve, 1, contains a movable part, 4 (Figure 2), connected to the main part by means of a thin membrane, 5. The membrane has throttling holes, 6, connecting the cavities, 7, with the bearing gas gap.



Figure 2. Diaphragms, movable part and seals.

These cavities are separated by partitions, 8, made of elastic material, as shown in the unfolded drawing (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Unfolded drawing of seals and throttling cavities.

The same elastic material is used to seal the cavities, 7, by means of seals, 9. Each cavity, 7, is connected to an external source of lubricant injection by means of the main throttles, 10. On the bearing surface of the support sleeve, 1, fine microgrooves, 11, are made, starting at a small distance from the holes, 7, and located in parallel on the longitudinal axis, as shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Unfolded drawing of the bearing surface with microgrooves.

When the bearing is operating, gas from an external injection source under pressure  $p_s$  enters through the main throttles, 10, into the cavities, 7, and then through the damping throttles, 6, into the bearing gas gap, after which it flows out into the environment. With a radial displacement of the shaft, 3, the gap h in the loaded region decreases, which leads to an increase in the resistance to gas flow in the bearing gap. As a result, the pressure  $p_k$  and  $p_p$  increase. Under the influence of the increased pressure in the region  $l_3$ , the movable annular part, 4, is displaced towards the shaft, 3, by a distance  $e_p$ , as a result, the gap at the

exit from the bearing layer decreases to the value  $h_t$ . This leads to an additional increase in pressure  $p_k$  in the loaded region. On the opposite side of the bearing in the unloaded area, due to effects opposite to those described, the pressure  $p_k$  decreases. These changes in pressure  $p_k$  lead to a decrease in bearing compliance.

## 3. Mathematical Modeling of the Bearing Static State at Small Deviations of the Moving Elements from the Central Equilibrium Position

The simplest theoretical substantiation of the fundamental possibility of reducing the bearing compliance by limiting the flow rate of the lubricant output flow can be carried out by performing a study of small radial deviations of the bearing's moving elements, which are caused by a small effect on the shaft, 3, from the external load *f*. When simulating small deviations in a stationary mode, we will assume that the parallelism of the axes of the housing, 2, shaft, 3, and bushing, 1, is preserved. We also assume that the number of annular diaphragms in each row is large enough, which makes it possible to calculate the characteristics of the bearing by the method of continuous pressurization lines, which allows replacement discrete pressurization holes in each row with an equivalent continuous feeder with equal pressure at its outlet, while maintaining the nature of the flow of lubricant from the diaphragms [28]. Studies show that such a model is justified if the number of feeders in each row  $n_k \ge 12$  [19]. In these cases, the error in calculating the performance of bearings with discrete feeders does not exceed 1%.

The study of the static characteristics of the bearing was carried out in a dimensionless form. The following are taken as scales of values: shaft radius *r*<sub>0</sub>-for lengths, radii, and longitudinal coordinate *z*; thickness *h*<sub>0</sub> of the lubricating gap with the coaxial arrangement of the shaft and the sleeve-for the current thickness *h* of the bearing gap and eccentricity *e* in the inter-row zone of length *l*<sub>1</sub>, for the current thickness *h*<sub>t</sub> of the bearing gap, and eccentricity *e*<sub>t</sub> in the outer zone of length *l*<sub>2</sub>, as well as for the displacement *e*<sub>p</sub> caused by membrane deformation; ambient pressure *p*<sub>a</sub>-for pressures;  $2\pi r_0^2 p_a$ -for forces; and  $\pi h_{\bullet}^3 p^2$ 

 $\frac{\pi h_0^3 p_a^2}{12\mu r_0 RT}$ -for mass air flow rates, where  $\mu$  is the coefficient of dynamic air viscosity, *R* is

the gas constant, *T* is the absolute air temperature and *n*<sub>d</sub> is the number of diaphragms in one row.

It was shown in [29] that if the inter-row zone contains at least 12 longitudinal microgrooves and the relative length  $\lambda_1 = L_1/L \le 0.2$ , then in both zones circular air overflows can be neglected. In this case, when the axes of the shaft, 3, body, 2, and sleeve, 1, are parallel, then the pressure function  $P(Z,\varphi)$  in the bearing gap satisfies the stationary Reynolds equation [30]

$$\frac{\partial^2 P^2}{\partial Z^2} = 0,\tag{1}$$

where Z and  $\varphi$  are longitudinal and circumferential coordinates.

We will introduce local coordinate systems in both zones. In the inter-row area of the right half of the bearing  $0 \le Z \le L_2$  and in the outer area  $0 \le Z \le L_1$ , where  $L = L_1 + L_2$  is half of the bearing length.

For the inter-row and outer zones, we have obvious boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial P^2}{\partial Z}(0,\phi) = 0, P^2(L_2,\phi) = P_k^2(\phi), \\ P^2(0,\phi) = P_k^2(\phi), P^2(L_1,\phi) = 1, \end{cases}$$
(2)

where  $P_k^2(\varphi)$  is the function of the pressure square on the injection line at the air outlet from the damping annular diaphragms.

Obviously, the solution to the boundary value problem **Error! Reference source not found.**, **Error! Reference source not found.** is the function

$$P^{2} = \begin{cases} \left(P_{k}^{2} - 1\right) \frac{L_{1} - Z}{L_{1}} + 1, & 0 \le Z \le L_{1}, \\ P_{k}^{2}, & 0 \le Z \le L_{2}. \end{cases}$$
(3)

The response to small loads *F* will be small changes of eccentricities  $\Delta \varepsilon$ ,  $\Delta \varepsilon_t$  and pressures at the output of annular and simple diagrams

$$P_k(\varphi) = P_{k0} + \Delta P_k \cos \varphi, \tag{4}$$

$$P_p(\varphi) = P_{p0} + \Delta P_p \cos \varphi, \tag{5}$$

where  $P_{k0}$ ,  $P_{p0}$  are the values  $P_k(\varphi)$ ,  $P_p(\varphi)$  of the functions at the exit from the annular and simple diaphragms with the coaxial arrangement of the shaft and sleeve,  $\Delta P_k$ ,  $\Delta P_p$ are their small deviations.

By analogy with this, let us take

$$P(Z,\varphi) = P_0(Z) + \Delta P(Z) \cos \varphi.$$
(6)

Let us expand **Error! Reference source not found.** taking into account **Error! Reference source not found.** into a Taylor series in terms of a small pressure deviation  $\Delta P$ . Comparing the first two terms of the expansion with the terms **Error! Reference source not found.**, we obtain

$$P_0(Z) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\left(P_{k_0}^2 - 1\right)\frac{L_1 - Z}{L_1} + 1}, & 0 \le Z \le L_1, \\ P_{k_0}, & 0 \le Z \le L_2, \end{cases}$$
(7)

$$\Delta P(Z) = \begin{cases} \Delta P_k \frac{P_{k0}(L_1 - Z)}{P_0(Z) L_1}, & Z \le L_1, \\ \Delta P_k, & Z \le L_2. \end{cases}$$
(8)

The dimensional load capacity of the bearing is calculated by the formula [23]

$$w = 2 \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{L} \left( p - p_a \right) \cos \varphi dz d\varphi.$$
<sup>(9)</sup>

After reducing to dimensionless form, we obtain

$$W = \int_{0}^{L} \Delta P dZ.$$
 (10)

Substituting **Error! Reference source not found.** into **Error! Reference source not found.**, we obtain formulas for determining small deviations of the load capacity in the zones of the air gap and the bearing

$$\Delta W_t = A_{wt} \Delta P_k, \tag{11}$$

$$\Delta W_c = A_{wc} \Delta P_k, \tag{12}$$

$$\Delta W = \Delta W_c + \Delta W_t, \tag{13}$$

where 
$$A_{wt} = \frac{2L_1P_{k0}(P_{k0}+2)}{3(P_{k0}+1)^2}, A_{wc} = L_2.$$

Similarly, we obtain the formula for the deviation of the force generated by the pressure *Pp*, acting on the outer surface of the sleeve, 3,

$$\Delta W_p = A_{wp} \Delta P_p, \tag{14}$$

where  $A_{wp} = R_1 L_3$ .

At the outlet of the pressurization line and the inlet into the bearing gap, the dimensionless gas flow rate  $Q_h$  is distributed in two directions:  $Q_{hc}$  into the inter-row zone and  $Q_{ht}$  into the outer zone [27]

$$Q_h = Q_{ht} + Q_{hc}, \tag{15}$$

where

$$Q_{ht} = -H_t^3 \left(\frac{\partial P^2}{\partial Z}\right)_{Z=0},\tag{16}$$

$$Q_{hc} = H^3 \left(\frac{\partial P^2}{\partial Z}\right)_{Z=L_2}.$$
(17)

Here, functions of the lubricating gap thickness in the outer and inter-row zones are

$$H_t(\varphi) = 1 - \varepsilon_t \cos \varphi, \tag{18}$$

$$H(\varphi) = 1 - \varepsilon \cos \varphi, \tag{19}$$

where  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon$ <sup>t</sup> are eccentricities.

Taking into **Error!** Reference found. and account source not Reference not Error! found. and performing linearization source Error! Reference source not found., we obtain

$$Q_{ht0} = -\left(\frac{dP_0^2}{dZ}\right)_{Z=0},\tag{20}$$

$$\Delta Q_{ht} = \left[ -2 \frac{d\left(P_0 \Delta P\right)}{dZ} + 3 \frac{dP_0^2}{dZ} \Delta \varepsilon_t \right]_{Z=0}.$$
 (21)

Having performed differentiation on **Error! Reference source not found.** and **Error! Reference source not found.**, we write down the formula for the flow rate in the end part with the central position of the shaft

$$Q_{ht0} = A_h \left( P_{k0}^2 - 1 \right) \tag{22}$$

and the formula for the small deviation of the flow rate in this area

$$\Delta Q_{ht} = A_{qpt} \Delta P_k - A_{qet} \Delta \varepsilon_t, \qquad (23)$$

where  $A_h = \frac{1}{L_1}, A_{qpt} = \frac{2P_{k0}}{L_1}, A_{qet} = 3Q_{ht0}.$ 

Similarly, for the inter-row area, we get

$$Q_{hc0} = 0,$$
 (24)

$$\Delta Q_{hc} = 0. \tag{25}$$

Substituting Error! Reference source not found.–Error! Reference source not found. into Error! Reference source not found., we find the final formulas for the separated components of the air flow rate in the bearing gap

$$Q_{h0} = Q_{ht0}, \tag{26}$$

$$\Delta Q_h = \Delta Q_{ht}.$$
 (27)

To determine the mass flow rate of air through the diaphragms, we used the formula obtained by integrating the boundary value problem for the nonlinear Bernoulli equation [27]

٢

$$q = \sqrt{\frac{2}{RT}} \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} s \cdot \operatorname{Brn}(p_1, p_2),$$
(28)

where

$$Brn(p_{1}, p_{2}) = p_{1} \begin{cases} C_{\gamma}, & \frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}} \leq C_{c}, \\ \sqrt{\left(\frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma}} - \left(\frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}}}, & \frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}} > C_{c}, \end{cases}$$
(29)

 $p_1, p_2$  are the pressures at the inlet and outlet of the diaphragms,  $p_1 \ge p_2, s$  is the effective surface area through which air outflows from the diaphragms ( $s = \pi dh$ -for annular diaphragms,  $s = \pi d^2/4$ -for simple diaphragms),  $C_{\gamma} = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1} \left(\frac{2}{\gamma + 1}\right)^{\frac{2}{\gamma - 1}}} \approx 0.259$ , and

 $C_{c} = \frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}} = \left(\frac{2}{\gamma+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}} \approx 0.528$  is the critical pressure ratio at the adiabatic exponent for air  $\gamma = 1.4$  [27].

After reduction to dimensionless form, we obtain the formula for the flow rate through the annular diaphragms

$$Q_k = A_k H_t \operatorname{Brn}(P_p, P_k), \tag{30}$$

and the formula for the flow through simple diaphragms

$$Q_p = A_p \operatorname{Brn}(P_s, P_p), \tag{31}$$

where

$$A_k = \frac{6\mu\gamma_1 n_d d\sqrt{RT}}{h_0^2 p_a}, \quad A_p = \frac{3\mu\gamma_1 d^2 n_d \sqrt{RT}}{2h_0^3 p_a}, \quad \gamma_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma}{\gamma - 1}} \approx 2.646$$

The dimensionless system of equations describing the static equilibrium of the bearing includes two equations for the balance of forces, an equation for the balance of eccentricities, and two equations for the balance of air flow rates in the SECT

( ....

$$\begin{cases}
W = F, \\
\varepsilon_p = K_e \left( W_t - W_p \right), \\
\varepsilon = \varepsilon_t + \varepsilon_p, \\
Q_p = Q_k, \\
Q_k = Q_h,
\end{cases}$$
(32)

where  $\varepsilon_p$  and  $K_e$  are radial deformation and the elasticity coefficient (radial compliance) of the membrane.

It is convenient to calculate the constant static pressure  $P_{k0}$  at the outlet of the damping feeders and the corresponding pressure  $P_{P0}$  in the inter-throttling chambers using the normalized coefficients [18,21,28]

$$\chi = \frac{P_{k0}^2 - 1}{P_s^2 - 1} \in [0, 1], \quad \varsigma = \frac{P_{p0}^2 - P_{k0}^2}{P_s^2 - P_{k0}^2} \in [0, 1].$$
(33)

By setting the injection pressure  $P_s$ ,  $\chi$ , and  $\varsigma$ , one can find the dimensionless pressures of the unloaded bearing

$$P_{k0} = \sqrt{1 + \chi \left(P_s^2 - 1\right)}, \quad P_{p0} = \sqrt{P_{k0}^2 + \varsigma \left(P_s^2 - P_{k0}^2\right)}.$$
(34)

With the help of **Error! Reference source not found.**, it is now possible to calculate the flow rate in the bearing gap. Using **Error! Reference source not found.**– **Error! Reference source not found.** at  $\varepsilon = 0$ , we find the criteria for the similarity of damping annular diaphragms and throttling simple diaphragms

$$A_{k} = \frac{Q_{h0}}{\text{Brn}(P_{p0}, P_{k0})}, \quad A_{p} = \frac{Q_{h0}}{\text{Brn}(P_{s}, P_{p0})}.$$
(35)

After linearizing **Error! Reference source not found.** and **Error! Reference source not found.**, we find the deviations of the flow rates through the diaphragms

$$\Delta Q_k = A_{qkk} \Delta P_k + A_{qkp} \Delta P_p - A_{qke} \Delta \varepsilon_p, \qquad (36)$$

$$\Delta Q_p = A_{qpp} \Delta P_p, \tag{37}$$

where

$$A_{qkk} = \frac{\partial \operatorname{Brn}(P_{p0}, P_{k0})}{\partial P_{k0}}, \quad A_{qkp} = \frac{\partial \operatorname{Brn}(P_{p0}, P_{k0})}{\partial P_{p0}}$$
$$A_{qke} = \operatorname{Brn}(P_{p0}, P_{k0}), A_{qpp} = \frac{\partial \operatorname{Brn}(P_s, P_{p0})}{\partial P_{p0}}.$$

From **Error! Reference source not found.**, it follows that the equations of forces and flow rates for deviations can be written in the form

$$\begin{cases} \Delta W = \Delta F, \\ \Delta \varepsilon_p - K_e \left( \Delta W_t - \Delta W_p \right) = 0, \\ \Delta Q_p - \Delta Q_k = 0, \\ \Delta Q_k - \Delta Q_h = 0. \end{cases}$$
(38)

Substituting Error! Reference source not found.–Error! Reference source not found., , Error! Reference source not found., Error! Reference source not found., and Error! Reference source not found. into Error! Reference source not found., we obtain a system of linear equations, which, dividing by  $\Delta F$ , can be written in the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{w} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -K_{e}A_{wt} & K_{e}A_{wp} \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -A_{qet} & 0 & A_{qpt} & -A_{qpp} \\ A_{qke} & 0 & 0 & A_{qkk} & A_{qkk} - A_{qpt} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K \\ K_{t} \\ K_{p} \\ K_{pt} \\ K_{pp} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
(39)

where  $K = \frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{\Delta F}, K_t = \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_t}{\Delta F}, K_p = \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_p}{\Delta F}, K_{pk} = \frac{\Delta P_k}{\Delta F}, K_{pp} = \frac{\Delta P_p}{\Delta F}$  are the gains of the transfer functions equal to the ratio of the output deviations  $\Delta \varepsilon, \Delta \varepsilon_t, \Delta \varepsilon_p, \Delta P_k, \Delta P_p$  and the small input power disturbance  $\Delta F$ .

The *K* value is the static bearing compliance, which can be found by solving system **Error! Reference source not found**.. Simplifying **Error! Reference source not found**., one can find an analytical formula for *K* 

$$K = \frac{A_1 - K_e \left(A_{wp} A_2 - A_{wt} A_3\right)}{A_w A_3},\tag{40}$$

where

$$\begin{split} A_1 &= A_{qpt}A_{qps} + A_{qkk}A_{qpp}, \ A_2 &= A_{qpt}A_{qke} - A_{qkk}A_{qet} \\ A_3 &= A_{qpp}A_{qke} + A_{qps}A_{qet}, \ A_{qps} &= A_{qkp} - A_{qpp}. \end{split}$$

From **Error! Reference source not found.**, it follows that the bearing has zero compliance K = 0 at

$$K_{e0} = \frac{A_1}{A_{wp}A_2 - A_{wl}A_3}.$$
(41)

It is easy to see that the  $K(K_e)$  dependence is a linear function. When  $0 \le K_e < K_{e0}$  the bearing has a positive compliance K > 0, when  $K_e > K_{e0}$  there is a negative compliance K < 0.

Figure 5 shows the dependences of the compliance K on the adjustment factor  $\chi$  for different values of the membrane elasticity coefficient K<sub>e</sub> for L = 1.5,  $\lambda_1 = L_1/L = 0.2$ ,  $\lambda_3 = L_3/L$ = 0.15,  $P_s$  = 5 and the recommended value of the weighting factor for the SECT adjustment  $\varsigma = 0.15$  [18,21]. For an absolutely rigid membrane ( $K_e = 0$ ), the  $K(K_e)$  dependence has a unimodal character, with a minimum compliance at  $\chi \approx 0.45$ . With an increase in the coefficient of elasticity  $K_{e}$ , the dependences become monotonic. In this case, the smaller  $\chi$ , the less compliance. It can be seen that the compliance decreases to zero and can reach negative values (K < 0). This phenomenon is explained by the fact that the smaller  $\chi$  at a small fixed  $\varsigma$ , the greater the pressure drop acting on the working surfaces of the annular part, 4, of the sleeve, 3, and, consequently, the higher the activity of the membrane regulator. With an increase in  $\chi$ , the pressure drop decreases and, along with it, the activity of the regulator decreases, which entails an increase in compliance. Thus, in the presence of membrane elasticity ( $K_e > 0$ ), a decrease in the adjustment coefficient  $\chi$  promotes a decrease in compliance. However, if  $\chi$  is too small, a supersonic air flow through simple diaphragms may occur, which reduces the environmental friendliness of the bearing and contributes to its unstable operation. Therefore, the best values can be considered as  $\chi$  = 0.4–0.5, at which the characteristic  $K(K_c)$  is stable and any compliance can be provided, including negative compliance.



**Figure 5.** Dependences of static compliance *K* on the adjustment factor  $\chi$  for different values of the coefficient of elasticity *K*<sub>e</sub>.

The influence of the weighting factor  $\varsigma$  of the SECT resistances is shown in Figure 6. With a rigid suspension and  $\varsigma = 0$ , we obtain a single-choke bearing with simple diaphragms, and at  $\varsigma = 1$ , a single-choke bearing with annular diaphragms. Such bearings have positive compliance, and in the latter case, as can be seen from the graph, the compliance is 1.5 times higher.

With increasing  $K_e$ , the slope of the compliance dependence  $K(\varsigma)$  increases. This is explained by the fact that the eccentricity  $\varepsilon$  receives an additional component  $\varepsilon_p$  to the eccentricity  $\varepsilon_t$ , which is formed under the action of the force aerostatic reaction  $W_p$  during deformation of the elastic suspension, thereby contributing to a decrease in compliance in the region of small  $\varsigma$  and its increase in the region of large  $\varsigma$ . In this case, the larger  $K_e$ , the steeper the  $K(\varsigma)$  curve.

It is seen that for all curves, there is a common point of intersection, which corresponds to  $\varsigma = \varsigma_c$  on curves in which the compliance *K* does not depend on *K*<sub>e</sub>. For those shown in thr Figure 6 data,  $\varsigma_c \approx 0.41$ . From formula **Error! Reference source not found.**, it follows that this regime takes place at  $W_t = W_p$ , when the aerostatic reactions on the surfaces of the ring, 4, are equal to each other and, therefore, the deformation of the elastic suspension is absent for any *K*<sub>e</sub>. It follows from **Error! Reference source not found.** that  $\varsigma_c$  is determined by the solution of the equation

$$A_{wp}A_2 = A_{wt}A_3$$

It follows from the graphs that a decrease in compliance is possible only under the condition  $0 \le \varsigma < \varsigma_c$ . Moreover, the smaller  $\varsigma$ , the less the bearing compliance. At the same time, as the study of the dynamics of other types of aerostatic bearings using SECT shows, a decrease in compliance is almost always accompanied by a deterioration in their dynamics up to loss of stability [26,27]. Therefore, to ensure acceptable dynamics, it is recommended to calculate and design low compliance bearings for  $\varsigma \in [0.1, 0.2]$ .



**Figure 6.** Dependences of static compliance *K* on the adjustment factor  $\varsigma$  for different values of the coefficient of elasticity *K*<sub>e</sub>,  $\chi$  = 0.45.

#### 4. Stationary Model of Bearing Operation with Arbitrary Eccentricities

When calculating the load capacity of a bearing with an elastic membrane, it is convenient to vary the eccentricity  $\varepsilon_i \in [0,1]$  with a certain small step. To determine the functions  $P_k(\phi)$ ,  $P_p(\phi)$ , it will be necessary to solve the system of nonlinear equations determined by the last two equations **Error! Reference source not found.** of the balance of lubricant flow rate through the resistance of the SECT

$$\begin{cases} A_h H_t^2 \left( P_k^2 - 1 \right) = A_k \operatorname{Bm} \left( P_p, P_k \right), \\ A_h H_t^3 \left( P_k^2 - 1 \right) = A_p \operatorname{Bm} \left( P_s, P_p \right). \end{cases}$$
(42)

We split the segment  $\varphi \in [0, \pi]$  into an even number *m* of parts and, for a given  $\varepsilon_t$ , we will solve the system of equations **Error! Reference source not found.** for  $\varphi_j = \frac{\pi j}{m}$ , j = 0, 1, ..., m. Let us simplify the problem by reducing the system of equations to a single equation with respect to the unknown pressure  $P_p(\varphi_i)$ . To do this, we express from the last equation  $P_k = \sqrt{1 + \frac{A_p \operatorname{Brn}(P_s, P_p)}{A_h H_t^3}}$  and substitute it into the first Equation **Error! Reference source not found.** In this case, only one unknown pressure  $P_p(\varphi_i)$  will

remain. The problem was solved by bracketing using the bisection method on a segment. In the process of solving, the corresponding pressure  $P_k(\phi_i)$  is simultaneously calculated.

After determining the pressures  $P_{k,j} = P_k(\phi_j)$ ,  $P_{p,j} = P_p(\phi_j)$ , (j = 0, 1, ..., m), the reactions  $W_c$ ,  $W_t$  and  $W_p$  were found by numerical integration using the Simpson formula [30]

$$W_{t} = \frac{4L_{1}}{9m} \sum_{j=0}^{m} g_{j} \frac{P_{k,j}^{3} - 1}{P_{k,j}^{2} - 1}, W_{c} = \frac{2L_{2}}{3m} \sum_{j=0}^{m} g_{j} P_{k,j}, W_{p} = \frac{2R_{1}L_{3}}{3m} \sum_{j=0}^{m} g_{j} P_{p,j}$$

where  $g_j = s_j c_j$ ,  $c_j = \cos\left(\frac{\pi j}{m}\right)$ ,  $s_j$  are the coefficients of the Simpson formula [30].

Further, the load-bearing capacity  $W = W_t + W_{c_t}$  the deformation  $\varepsilon_p$  of the membrane according to the second formula **Error! Reference source not found.** and the eccentricity  $\varepsilon$  according to the third formula **Error! Reference source not found.** were determined. Calculations have shown that an accuracy sufficient for practice is achieved by dividing the integration interval into m = 32 parts. When solving system **Error! Reference source not found.** with an accuracy of  $10^{-12}$ . Such accuracy was required to find the load capacity for extremely large values of the eccentricity  $\varepsilon_p$ .

In Figure 7, solid lines show the dependences of the eccentricity  $\varepsilon$  on the external load F = W at various values of the elasticity coefficient  $K_e = I_e K_{e0}$ , where  $K_{e0}$  is calculated by formula **Error! Reference source not found.** Dashed lines represent linear dependences of the form  $\varepsilon = K \cdot W$ , where K is the static compliance of the bearing, calculated by the Formula **Error! Reference source not found.** The parameters remain fixed with the values that were used when plotting the graph in Figure 5. Lines  $I_e = 0$  correspond to a bearing with a rigid membrane, that is, a conventional two-row bearing with longitudinal microgrooves [29]. With  $I_e = 1$ , we obtain a bearing with zero compliance ( $K_e = K_{e0}, K = 0$ ) in the vicinity of the central equilibrium arrangement of the moving elements. Lines  $I_e > 1$  correspond to a bearing with negative compliance in this vicinity. The maximum load capacity of the bearing with the specified parameters corresponds to  $W_{max} \approx 2.8$ .



**Figure 7.** Dependences of the eccentricity  $\varepsilon$  on the external load F at different values of the elasticity coefficient *K*<sub>e</sub>.

It can be seen that the solid and dashed dependences practically do not differ at  $0 \le F \le 0.5$  and differ slightly from each other at  $0.5 \le F \le 1$ . This means that the load characteristics of the bearing with longitudinal microgrooves and a membrane regulator remain practically linear in the range up to 35% of permissible bearing loads. This circumstance is important for bearings of negative compliance, which are designed to be used both as supporting elements of metal-cutting machines and as automatic compensators for small deformations of their technological system, which, due to their smallness, obey Hooke's law and, therefore, are linearly related to the cutting force. The addition of two linear functions opposite in sign will make it possible to almost completely eliminate the negative influence of the deformation of the machine tool technological system on the processing quality.

Figure 8 shows the load curves  $\varepsilon(F)$  for different values *L* of the bearing length at  $K_e = 2K_{e0}$ .



**Figure 8.** Dependences of the eccentricity  $\varepsilon$  on the external load *F* at different values of the length *L* and the coefficient of elasticity  $K_e = 2K_{e0}$ .

A bearing with such parameters in the area of low and moderate loads has a negative compliance (K < 0). Analysis of the data showed that the negative compliance mode remains in the range up to 66% of the maximum allowable bearing load. These dependences

characterize the main advantage of aerostatic radial bearings with longitudinal microgrooves, which, in contrast to conventional bearings with smooth running surfaces, have the ability to provide significantly higher load-bearing capacity due to the almost complete elimination of circumferential leakage of compressed air in the inter-row zone [29]. For L > 1, the presence of this improvement provides an increase in the load capacity of the bearing by a factor of 1.5 or more.

The expansion of the load range is also facilitated by a decrease in the adjustment factor  $\chi$  of the SECT. However, in comparison with the effect of the presence of microgrooves, this effect is insignificant. The final decision on the optimality of the parameters that affect the static compliance and load capacity can be given only by comparing these characteristics with the dynamic quality criteria, which allow us to establish the modes of the fastest response and guaranteed stability margin in order to minimize the oscillation of the transient characteristics in the operating range of loads.

## 5. Non-Stationary Model of the Bearing at Small Deviations from the Central Equilibrium Position of the Moving Elements

It is known that loading is a factor that has a positive effect on the dynamic characteristics of aerostatic bearings [31]. Therefore, to assess the quality of the dynamics of a structure, it is sufficient to study its dynamic properties at small oscillations in the vicinity of the central position of the moving elements.

The pressure function  $P(Z,\varphi,\tau)$  in the bearing gap in the absence of circumferential lubricant flows satisfies the dimensionless unsteady Reynolds equation [32]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \left( H_i^3 P \frac{\partial P}{\partial Z} \right) = \sigma \frac{\partial \left( P H_i \right)}{\partial \tau}, \tag{43}$$

where  $\tau$  is dimensionless time;  $\sigma = \frac{12\mu r_0^2}{h_0^2 p_a t_0}$  is the so-called compression number [31],  $t_0$  is

the current time scale and *H*<sup>*i*</sup> is any of the gaps

$$H_{t}(\varphi,\tau) = 1 - \Delta\varepsilon_{t}(\tau)\cos\varphi, \qquad (44)$$

$$H(\varphi, \tau) = 1 - \Delta \varepsilon(\tau) \cos \varphi \tag{45}$$

at low loads  $\Delta F(\tau)$ .

On pressurization lines

$$P_k(\varphi, \tau) = P_{k0} + \Delta P_k(\tau) \cos \varphi, \qquad (46)$$

$$P_{p}(\varphi,\tau) = P_{p0} + \Delta P_{p}(\tau) \cos \varphi.$$
(47)

By analogy with this, we represent the pressure distributed in the lubricant gap in the form

$$P(Z,\varphi,\tau) = P_0(Z) + \Delta P(Z,\tau) \cos \varphi.$$
(48)

Substituting **Error! Reference source not found.**–**Error! Reference source not found.** into **Error! Reference source not found.**, performing linearization and the subsequent Laplace transform [33], we obtained the problem for the required transform  $\overline{\Delta P}$  in the interrow and outer zones

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^2 \left( P_0 \overline{\Delta P} \right)}{dZ^2} - \sigma s \left( \overline{\Delta P} - P_0 \overline{\Delta \varepsilon}_i \right) = 0, \\ \frac{d \overline{\Delta P}}{dZ} (0, s) = 0, \ \overline{\Delta P} (L_2, s) = \overline{\Delta P}_k, \ \overline{\Delta P} (L, s) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(49)

Here, s is the variable of the Laplace transform,  $\overline{\Delta P}_k(s), \overline{\Delta P}(s), \overline{\Delta \varepsilon_i}(s)$  are the Laplace transformants of the deviations of the pressures P, Pk and of the eccentricities from their equilibrium state and position.

For the outer zone, problem **Error! Reference source not found.** has no analytical solution; therefore, we solve it by the numerical finite-difference sweep method [34]. For this, we represent its solution in the form of a linear combination

$$\overline{\Delta P} = U_p(Z, s)\overline{\Delta P}_k + U_\varepsilon(Z, s)\overline{\Delta\varepsilon}_t.$$
(50)

Substituting **Error! Reference source not found.** into **Error! Reference source not found.** and performing the separation of transformants, we obtain two boundary value problems for the functions *U*, which can be represented in the following general form

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^2}{dZ^2} (P_0 U) - \sigma_S U + \alpha P_0 = 0, \\ U(0) = (1 - \alpha), U(L_1) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(51)

where  $\alpha = 0$  corresponds to  $U_p$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  corresponds to  $U_e$ .

To find a solution to problem **Error! Reference source not found.**, we divide the interval of integration  $[0, L_1]$  into an even number n of equal parts and replace the differential equations with their algebraic analogs

$$\frac{P_0^{i+1}U_{i+1} - 2P_0^{i}U_i + P_0^{i-1}U_{i-1}}{v^2} - \sigma s U_i + \alpha P_0^i = 0,$$
(52)

where  $v = L_1/n$  is the grid step, i = 1, 2, ..., n-1 is the number of its node and  $P_0^i = P_0(Z_i), Z_i = iv$ .

The solution to problem **Error! Reference source not found.** was found by the sweep method in the form

$$U_{i-1} = X_i U_i + Y_i, (53)$$

where  $X_j$ ,  $Y_j$  are sweep coefficients.

Substituting Error! Reference source not found. into Error! Reference source not found., we found recurrent formulas for the sweep coefficients

$$X_{i+1} = \frac{P_0^{i+1}}{2P_0^i - P_0^{i-1}X_i + \sigma v^2 s}, Y_{i+1} = \frac{P_0^{i-1}Y_i + \sigma v^2 P_0^i s}{2P_0^i - P_0^{i-1}X_i + \sigma v^2 s}.$$
(54)

Comparing **Error! Reference source not found.** with **Error! Reference source not found.** for i = 1, we found the initial sweep coefficients for the direct sweep

$$X_1 = 0, Y_1 = 1 - \alpha.$$

Performing a sweep for  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha = 1$  using the Simpson numerical quadrature formula, we determined the coefficients

$$A_{wtp} = \frac{v}{3} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathbf{c}_{i} U_{p,i}, A_{wte} = \frac{v}{3} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mathbf{c}_{i} U_{e,i},$$

where *ci* are the coefficients of the Simpson quadrature formula [30]. Load-bearing capacity transformants in the outer zone are

$$\overline{\Delta W}_{t} = A_{wtp} \overline{\Delta P}_{k} + A_{wte} \overline{\Delta \varepsilon}_{t}.$$
(55)

16 of 24

The transformant of the flow rate in the bearing gap on the pressurization line in the outer zone at Z = 0 is determined by the expression

$$\overline{\Delta Q}_{ht} = -2\frac{d\left(P_0\overline{\Delta P}\right)}{dZ} + 3\frac{dP_0^2}{dZ}\overline{\Delta\varepsilon}_t.$$
(56)

Substituting the numerical formula of the first derivative of the second order accuracy [34] at the point Z = 0, we found

$$\overline{\Delta Q}_{ht} = C_{qtp} \overline{\Delta P}_k + C_{qte} \overline{\Delta \varepsilon}_t, \qquad (57)$$

where  $C_{qtp} = \frac{P_0^2 U_{p,2} - 4P_0^1 U_{p,1} + 3P_0^0 U_{p,0}}{v}, C_{qte} = -3Q_{h0}.$ 

For the inter-row zone, problem **Error! Reference source not found.** admits an analytical solution

$$\overline{\Delta P} = \frac{\operatorname{ch}(\lambda Z)}{\operatorname{ch}(\lambda L_2)} \overline{\Delta P}_k + P_{k0} \left[ 1 - \frac{\operatorname{ch}(\lambda Z)}{\operatorname{ch}(\lambda L_2)} \right] \overline{\Delta \varepsilon},$$
(58)

where  $\lambda^2 = \frac{\sigma s}{P_{k0}}$ .

After integrating Error! Reference source not found., we found

$$\overline{\Delta W}_c = C_{wcp} \overline{\Delta P}_k + C_{wce} \overline{\Delta \varepsilon}, \qquad (59)$$

where  $C_{wcp} = \frac{\operatorname{th}(\lambda L_2)}{\lambda}, C_{wce} = P_{k0}(L_2 - C_{wcp}).$ 

The transformant of the flow rate in the bearing gap on the pressurization line in the inter-row zone at  $Z = L_2$  is equal to

$$\overline{\Delta Q}_{hc} = 2P_{k0}\frac{d\Delta P}{dZ} = C_{qcp}\overline{\Delta P}_{k} + C_{qce}\overline{\Delta\varepsilon},$$
(60)

where  $C_{qcp} = 2\lambda P_{k0} \operatorname{th}(\lambda L_2), C_{qce} = -P_{k0}C_{qcp}$ .

Expressions for the transformants of the flow rate through annular diaphragms and through simple diaphragms are, respectively

$$\overline{\Delta Q}_{k} = A_{qkk} \overline{\Delta P}_{k} + A_{qkp} \overline{\Delta P}_{p} - A_{qke} \overline{\Delta \varepsilon}_{l}, \qquad (61)$$

$$\overline{\Delta Q}_{p} = A_{qpp} \overline{\Delta P}_{p}.$$
(62)

The compressibility of the lubricant in the inter-throttle chambers of the dimensionless volume  $V_p$  and the microgrooves of the dimensionless volume  $V_k$  was taken into account using the flow rate transformants

$$\overline{\Delta Q}_{\nu p} = A_{\nu p} \overline{\Delta P}_{p}, \qquad (63)$$

$$\overline{\Delta Q}_{\nu k} = A_{\nu k} \,\overline{\Delta P}_k \,, \tag{64}$$

where  $A_{vp} = 2\sigma L V_p$ ,  $A_{vk} = 2\sigma L V_k$ .

Finally, the force of inertia of the shaft mass was taken into account using the formula

$$\overline{\Delta F}_i = Mas \cdot s^2 \overline{\Delta \varepsilon},\tag{65}$$

where *Mas* is the dimensionless shaft mass.

The system of equations describing the balance of forces, displacements, and mass flow rates has the form

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\Delta W}_{t} + \overline{\Delta W}_{c} + \overline{\Delta F}_{i} = \overline{\Delta F}, \\ \overline{\Delta \varepsilon}_{t} + K_{e} \left( \overline{\Delta W}_{p} - \overline{\Delta W}_{t} \right) = 0, \\ \overline{\Delta \varepsilon} - \overline{\Delta \varepsilon}_{t} - \overline{\Delta \varepsilon}_{p} = 0, \\ \overline{\Delta Q}_{p} - \overline{\Delta Q}_{vp} - \overline{\Delta Q}_{k} = 0, \\ \overline{\Delta Q}_{k} - \overline{\Delta Q}_{vk} - \overline{\Delta Q}_{ht} - \overline{\Delta Q}_{hc} = 0. \end{cases}$$
(66)

Substituting Error! Reference source not found.–Error! Reference source not found. in Error! Reference source not found., we obtained a system of linear equations with a Laplace image of the disturbing input external force  $\overline{\Delta F}(s)$  and images of the output functions  $\overline{\Delta \varepsilon}(s), \overline{\Delta \varepsilon}_{\iota}(s), \overline{\Delta \varepsilon}_{p}(s), \overline{\Delta P}_{k}(s), \overline{\Delta P}_{p}(s)$ . Dividing equations Error! Reference source not found. by  $\overline{\Delta F}$ , we obtained the corresponding system of equations for dynamic transfer functions

$$K(s) = \frac{\overline{\Delta \varepsilon}}{\overline{\Delta F}}, K_{et}(s) = \frac{\overline{\Delta \varepsilon}_{t}}{\overline{\Delta F}}, K_{ep}(s) = \frac{\overline{\Delta \varepsilon}_{p}}{\overline{\Delta F}}, K_{pk}(s) = \frac{\overline{\Delta P}_{k}}{\overline{\Delta F}}, K_{pp}(s) = \frac{\overline{\Delta P}_{p}}{\overline{\Delta F}}.$$

The first of them is the transfer function of interest to us, K(s), of the dynamic compliance of the bearing, and K(0) is its static compliance.

System Error! Reference source not found. has the following matrix form

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{13} & B_{14} & 0 \\ 0 & B_{22} & B_{23} & B_{24} & B_{25} \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ B_{41} & B_{42} & 0 & B_{44} & B_{45} \\ 0 & B_{52} & 0 & B_{54} & B_{55} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K \\ K_{et} \\ K_{pp} \\ K_{pk} \\ K_{pp} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
(67)

where

$$B_{11} = A_{wce} + Mas \cdot s^{2}, B_{12} = A_{wte}, B_{14} = A_{wtp} + A_{wcp},$$

$$B_{22} = -K_{e}A_{wte}, B_{24} = -K_{e}A_{wtp}, B_{25} = K_{e}A_{wpp},$$

$$B_{41} = -A_{qce}, B_{42} = -(A_{qke} + A_{qte}),$$

$$B_{44} = A_{qkk} - A_{qtp} - A_{qcp} - A_{vk}, B_{45} = A_{qkp},$$

$$B_{52} = A_{ake}, B_{54} = -A_{akk}, B_{55} = A_{app} - A_{akp} - A_{vp}.$$
(67)

### 6. Bearing Dynamic Characteristics

The mathematical model of the dynamics of small oscillations, represented by the system of Equation **Error! Reference source not found.**, is a system with distributed parameters since it was obtained by numerically solving a boundary value problem for a differential equation. The transfer function (TF) of the compliance of such a system is generally a transcendental function. We will approximately represent it by an equivalent rational function in the form of the ratio of the polynomials of the Laplace variable *s*. The rational interpolation method is described in detail in [27,35]. In accordance with it, the TF was represented in the form

$$K(s) = \frac{\overline{\Delta H}}{\overline{\Delta F}} = \frac{b_0 + b_1 s + b_2 s^2 + \dots + b_m s^m}{1 + a_1 s + a_2 s^2 + \dots + a_n s^n},$$
(68)

where *n* > 0, *m* > 0, *n* > *m*.

The denominator of the ratio Error! Reference source not found. is a characteristic polynomial (CP), the use of which in the study of the quality of the bearing dynamics

allows us to determine the stability margin and assess the speed of the system by the roots of the CP [33].

The difference in the orders of the polynomials *d* was determined for  $a_n \neq 0$  and  $b_m \neq 0$  by finding the infinite limit

$$s^d K(s) \to \frac{b_m}{a_n} \neq 0.$$
 (69)

It was found that d = 2.

To assess the quality of the dynamics of linear systems, root criteria are often used [33]:

- the degree of stability η = Max Re{s<sub>i</sub>}, where s<sub>i</sub> are the zeros of the characteristic polynomial of the dynamical system, which is the denominator polynomial of the TF Error! Reference source not found.
- damping of oscillations for a period  $\xi = 100[1 \text{Exp}(-|2\pi\beta/\eta|])$ , where  $\beta$  is the imaginary part of the root of the characteristic equation with the largest real part.

The degree of stability  $\eta$  characterizes the speed of the system, that is, the speed of damping of its free oscillations.

The criterion  $\xi$  of oscillations damping over a period can be applied to the assessment of the system's stability margin. The smaller  $\xi$ , the more oscillation the transient response will have, and the system will have a smaller stability margin. It is believed that a dynamic system is well damped if  $\xi \ge 90\%$  [33].

When determining the criteria for dynamic quality, an iterative algorithm was used, the essence of which is to find the smallest degree of CP n, at which, after finding the criteria, the conditions for the convergence of the iterative process are satisfied

$$\left|\eta_{i} - \eta_{i-1}\right| < \varepsilon_{\eta}, \qquad \left|\xi_{i} - \xi_{i-1}\right| < \varepsilon_{\xi}, \tag{70}$$

where *i* is the iteration number and  $\varepsilon_{\eta}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\xi}$  is the accuracy of determining the criteria. Calculations have shown that the accuracy  $\varepsilon_{\eta} = 10^{-4}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\xi} = 0.1$  is achieved at the degree of CP  $n = 4 \div 10$ , depending on the set of values of the input parameters.

Figures 9–12 show the dependences for the criteria  $\eta$ ,  $\xi$  of the bearing dynamic quality for the following fixed values of the parameters: L = 1.5,  $\lambda_1 = L_1/L = 0.2$ ,  $\lambda_3 = L_3/L = 0.15$ ,  $R_1 = 1.1$ ,  $\chi = 0.45$ ,  $\varsigma = 0.15$ ,  $P_s = 5$ , Mas = 1 and the dimensionless volume of microgrooves  $V_k = 0.03$ . The latter value is based on the results of studying the static characteristics of a conventional aerostatic radial bearing with longitudinal microgrooves in the inter-row zone [29]. This value gives an estimate of the volume of microgrooves at which there are practically no circumferential leakages of compressed air. On this basis, when studying both static and dynamic characteristics, in spatial coordinates, only the axial flow of the lubricant can be taken into account. It is on this position that mathematical models of the statics and dynamics of the bearing under study are based.

Figure 9 shows the dependence of the degree of stability  $\eta$  on the compression number  $\sigma$ , for various values of the dimensionless volume of the inter-throttling cavities  $V_{p}$ , which only affect the dynamic quality of the bearing. The presented graphs confirm the main property of aerostatic bearings with SECT, which consists in the fact that in the space of these parameters the criterion of speed  $\eta$  has a single extremum, which is the boundary between the oscillatory and aperiodic transient characteristics of the output functions arising from an external force action.



**Figure 9.** Dependences of the degree of stability  $\eta$  on the compression number  $\sigma$  for different values of the dimensionless volume of the inter-throttle chambers and the coefficient of elasticity  $K_e = 2K_{e0}$ .

The graphs in Figure 9 are plotted for the membrane elasticity coefficient  $K_e = 2K_{e0}$ , at which the bearing has negative static compliance (K < 0), which is the same in absolute value as the compliance of a conventional bearing with a rigid membrane. It is seen that for small  $\sigma$  the bearing is always unstable. Since the value of  $\sigma$  is inversely proportional to the square of the thickness of the bearing gap  $h_{0^2}$ , this means that for a stable bearing there is a limitation on the size of the gap, which is determined by the stability boundary ( $\eta = 0$ ). It can be seen from the graphs that this boundary also depends on the value of the volume  $V_p$ . For small volumes, the stability boundary is shifted to the right, that is, for small volumes  $V_p$ , stability is ensured with smaller gaps. With an increase in  $V_p$ , the boundary shifts to the left, therefore, when designing a stable bearing, if necessary, the gap can be increased.

Noteworthy is the  $\eta$  ( $\sigma$ ) curve corresponding to  $V_p$  = 200, which has two extrema. As the analysis of dependencies corresponding to large values of the volume  $V_p$  has shown, the dominant effect on the bearing speed is exerted not by one, which is typical for small and moderate  $V_p$ , but by two roots of the characteristic equation. Their interaction gives the noted effect.

It can also be seen that the curves  $\eta$  ( $\sigma$ ) have an extreme character, reaching their maximum at the point  $\sigma = \sigma_{opt}$ , which delivers the bearing the maximum response speed as a dynamic system, providing the fastest damping of transient processes caused by external force on the shaft, 2. On the graph in Figure 9, this corresponds to  $\sigma_{opt} \approx 1.5$ . If we compare the curves in Figures 9 and 10, the last of which shows the dependence of the damping criterion on  $\sigma$  for the period  $\xi$ , it can be concluded that at  $\sigma < \sigma_{opt}$ , the transient characteristics are oscillatory ( $\xi < 100\%$ ), which indicates an insufficient bearing stability margin. However, at  $\sigma > \sigma_{opt}$ , and sufficiently large volumes  $V_p$ , the transient characteristics acquire aperiodic character ( $\xi = 100\%$ ). This indicates a high margin of stability. Thus, the parameters  $\sigma$  and  $V_p$  are important factors for ensuring not only stability, but also the quality of the transient characteristics of the bearing of low compliance, including negative ones. Noteworthy is the fact that the dependences  $\eta(V_p)$  are also extreme. This follows from the fact that, with increasing  $V_p$ , the peaks of the  $\eta$  ( $\sigma$ ) dependences first increase and then decrease. This means that there is an optimal volume  $V_p = V_{p,opt}$ . The analysis of the graphs showed that with an increase in  $V_{p_r}$  the oscillation of the transient characteristics decreases ( $\xi$  increases). At  $V_p = V_{p, \text{opt}}$ , the transient characteristics become aperiodic ( $\xi$  = 100%). At  $V_p > V_{p, opt}$ , the aperiodic character of the characteristics does not change, however, the bearing speed begins to decrease, which is expressed in a decrease in the value of the criterion  $\eta$ . At the same time, the calculation of bearing parameters based on the maximum performance indicators  $\eta$ , which takes place at  $\sigma = \sigma_{opt}$ ,  $V_p = V_{p,opt}$ , is not the best. It is preferable to give up some speed, however, to provide a guaranteed stability margin ( $\xi = 100\%$ ), choosing  $\sigma > \sigma_{opt}$ ,  $V_p > V_{p,opt}$  near the extremum  $\eta$ . For the graphs in Figures 9 and 10, such values can be  $\sigma = 2-2.5$ ,  $V_p = 200$ .



**Figure 10.** Dependences of the criterion of damping of oscillations for the period  $\xi$  on the compression number  $\sigma$  for various values of the dimensionless volume of the inter-throttling chambers and the coefficient of elasticity  $K_e = 2K_{e0}$ .

Figures 11 and 12 show the dependences of the criteria  $\eta$ ,  $\xi$  on the coefficient of elasticity  $K_e$  for different values of  $\sigma$ . For small  $\sigma = 0.6$ , the bearing is stable only for small  $K_e$ , which corresponds to a conventional bearing. At higher  $\sigma$ , the  $\eta(K_e)$  dependences acquire an extreme character, reaching a maximum. Comparison of these curves with the dependences of static compliance  $K(K_e)$  (Figure 2) shows that the maximum response rate falls on the zero-compliance mode. A further increase in  $K_e$  leads to a decrease in the bearing speed, but it remains stable ( $\eta > 0$ ).



**Figure 11.** Dependences of the degree of stability  $\eta$  on the coefficient of elasticity  $K_e$  at various values of the compression number  $\sigma$ .

As can be seen from the graph in Figure 12, a bearing with zero and negative compliance has a large stability margin ( $\xi = 100\%$ ). Only if the membrane compliance is too high, the stability margin decreases slightly ( $\xi = 97\%$ ). Nevertheless, it remains sufficient to consider the bearing as a well-damped dynamic system.



**Figure 12.** Dependences of the criterion of oscillations damping for the period  $\xi$  on the coefficient of elasticity  $K_e$  for different values of the compression number  $\sigma$ .

When studying the dynamics of a bearing with a lubricant output flow's limitation, the effect of the volume of microgrooves on the dynamic characteristics of the structure was studied. It was found that within the limits of the volumes of the microgrooves, which ensure the neutralization of the negative influence of circumferential flows ( $V_k \le 0.03$ ), they do not have a noticeable effect on the bearing dynamics.

### 7. Conclusions

The paper considers a two-row symmetric aerostatic radial bearing with an external combined throttling throttle system, longitudinal microgrooves in the inter-row area and an air lubricant outlet flow rate regulator. The aim of the work is to substantiate the assumption that the use of output limiters can significantly reduce the bearing compliance down to zero and negative values. Since the use of flow controllers usually entails a deterioration in the dynamics of aerostatic bearings up to the loss of stability, a well-proven system of external combined throttling is used in the design, where simple diaphragms are used as the main resistance, and annular diaphragms are used as additional damping resistances. An important factor in ensuring stability and improving the quality of dynamics are the volumes of flow-through inter-throttle chambers.

To carry out the research, mathematical modeling, calculation and theoretical study of stationary operating modes of the bearing were carried out. The study of compliance for small eccentricities of moving elements in the vicinity of the central equilibrium position of the shaft, as well as the load capacity for arbitrary eccentricities was carried out. Formulas for determining static compliance and load-bearing capacity are obtained. Iterative finite-difference methods for determining the dynamic characteristics of a structure are proposed. The calculation of dynamic quality criteria was carried out on the basis of the method of rational interpolation of the bearing transfer function, as a system with distributed parameters, developed by the authors.

It was found that the volumes of the microgrooves do not have a noticeable effect on the bearing dynamics. It is shown that, in this design, the external throttling system is an effective means of maintaining the stability of the structure operating in the modes of low, zero and negative compliance.

Thus, the considered two-row aerostatic radial bearing with a system of external combined throttling, longitudinal microgrooves in the inter-row area and a regulator of

0

the flow rate of the lubricant output flow can find practical application as a supporting structure of metal-cutting machines in the presence of negative compliance. At the same time it can be applied as an automatic compensator of elastic deformation of technological systems in order to reduce time and improve the accuracy of metalworking.

When designing a bearing, in order to obtain the minimum compliance and ensure optimal dynamic criteria, it is recommended to select the values of the adjustment factors  $0.4 < \chi < 0.5$  and  $0.1 < \varsigma < 0.2$ . Such a choice allows to provide any low as well as negative compliance. To eliminate the negative effect of circumferential air leakage, at least 12 microgrooves must be made in the inter-row zone. In this case, the total volume of microgrooves should be two orders of magnitude less than the volume of the bearing air layer. The depth and width of the microgrooves should be 3-5 times greater than the air gap  $h_0$  with the central location of the movable elements. The bearing has the maximum degree of stability at the optimal values of the compression number  $\sigma$  and the dimensionless volume of the inter-throttle chambers  $V_{p}$ , which follows from the presented graphs. The choice of close to the optimal value of  $\sigma$  allows calculating the size of the central gap  $h_0$ , at which the bearing will have the optimal values of the criterion of speed and stability  $\eta$  and the stability margin  $\xi$ . From the value of the dimensionless volume  $V_{p}$ , at which the best dynamics of the structure is ensured, it is possible to calculate the optimal dimensional volume of the inter-throttle chambers.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, V.K.; formal analysis, A.K.; investigation, S.S., I.P. and Y.P.; data curation, O.G., M.B. and L.S.; writing—original draft preparation, V.K.; writing—review and editing, A.K.; project administration, A.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### Nomenclature

<i>H, h, h</i> ₀	dimensionless thickness, thickness of the gap, and its thickness for $\varepsilon$ =
Κ	dimensionless compliance of bearing
Ke	elasticity coefficient of membrane
l, L	half of the length and dimensionless length of bearing
$l_{1, L_{1}}$	half of the length and dimensionless length of end zones
l2, L2	length and dimensionless length of the inter-row zones
P (Ζ,φ)	dimensionless dynamic pressure in the bearing gap
$P_0(Z)$	dimensionless static pressure in the bearing gap for $\varepsilon = 0$
pa	dimensionless ambient pressure
$P_k$	dimensionless air pressure on annular diaphragms
$P_p$	dimensionless air pressure in inter-throttle chambers
$P_s$	dimensionless supply pressure
$Q_h$	dimensionless flow rate through the gap
$Q_k$	dimensionless flow rate through annular damping diaphragms
$Q_p$	dimensionless flow rate through simple diaphragms
<b>r</b> 0	the shaft radius
W	dimensionless bearing capacity
Ζ	dimensionless longitudinal coordinate
ε, εt, εp	dimensionless eccentricities

- *φ* dimensionless circumferential coordinate
- μ coefficient of dynamic viscosity of the air
- $\chi$  normalized adjustment coefficient of the external throttling system
- *ς* normalized adjustment coefficient of annular damping diaphragms

### References

- 1. Al-Bender, F. Air Bearings: Theory, Design and Applications; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2021; 592 p.
- Zhang, J.; Han, D.; Song, M.; Xie, Z.; Rao, Z.; Zou, D. Theoretical and experimental investigation on the effect of supply pressure on the nonlinear behaviors of the aerostatic bearing-rotor system. *Mech. Syst. Signal Process.* 2021, 158, 107775, doi:10.1016/j.ymssp.2021.107775.
- 3. Wang, X.; Zhou, L.; Huang, M.; Yue, X.; Xu, Q. Numerical investigation of journal misalignment on the static and dynamic characteristics of aerostatic journal bearings. *Measure* **2018**, *128*, 314–324, doi:10.1016/j.measurement.2018.06.063.
- 4. Jeng, Y.-R.; Chang, S. Comparison between the effects of single-pad and double-pad aerostatic bearings with pocketed orifices on bearing stiffness. *Tribol. Int.* **2013**, *66*, 12–18, doi:10.1016/j.triboint.2013.04.003.
- Morosi, S.; Santos, I. Active lubrication applied to radial gas journal bearings. Part 1: Modeling. *Tribol. Int.* 2011, 44, 1949–1958, doi:10.1016/j.triboint.2011.08.007.
- Chang, S.; Chan, C.; Jeng, Y.-R. Numerical analysis of discharge coefficients in aerostatic bearings with orifice-type restrictors. *Tribol. Int.* 2015, 90, 157–163, doi:10.1016/j.triboint.2015.04.030.
- 7. Li, Y.; Zhou, K.; Zhang, Z. A flow-difference feedback iteration method and its application to high-speed aerostatic journal bearings. *Tribol. Int.* **2015**, *84*, 132–141, doi:10.1016/j.triboint.2014.12.002.
- 8. Du, J.; Zhang, G.; Liu, T.; To, S. Improvement on load performance of externally pressurized gas journal bearings by opening pressure-equalizing grooves. *Tribol. Int.* **2014**, *73*, 156–166, doi:10.1016/j.triboint.2014.01.012.
- Fang, C.; Huo, D.; Huang, X. A comprehensive analysis of factors affecting the accuracy of the precision hydrostatic spindle with mid-thrust bearing layout. *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.* 2021, 114, 949–967, doi:10.1007/s00170-021-06839-4.
- 10. Chen, G.; Chen, Y. Multi-Field Coupling Dynamics Modeling of Aerostatic Spindle. *Micromachines* **2021**, *12*, 251, doi:10.3390/mi12030251.
- Chen, G.; Chen, Y.; Lu, Q.; Wu, Q.; Wang, M. Multi-Physics Fields Based Nonlinear Dynamic Behavior Analysis of Air Bearing Motorized Spindle. *Micromachines* 2020, 11, 723, doi:10.3390/mi11080723.
- 12. Chen, P.; Zhuang, H.; Chang, Y.; Ding, J.; Zhong, Q.; Yang, H. Modal analysis of an aerostatic spindle system for ultra-precision machine tools at different spin speeds. *Adv. Mech. Eng.* **2018**, *10*, doi:10.1177/1687814018798528.
- 13. Majumdar, B.C. On the General Solution of Externally Pressurized Gas Journal Bearings. J. Lubr. Technol. 1972, 94, 291–296. doi:10.1115/1.3451715.
- 14. Mori, A.; Mori, H. An Application of Pneumatic Phase Shifting to Stabilization of Externally Pressurized Journal Gas Bearings. *J. Lubr. Technol.* **1973**, *95*, 33–41. doi:10.1115/1.3451732.
- 15. Kodnyanko, V.A. Two-row radial gas-static bearing with slot feeders and longitudinal microgrooves. *Russ. Eng. Res.* 2007, 27, 912–915, doi:10.3103/S1068798X07120179.
- 16. Kodnyanko, V. Using an internal stage to improve the dynamics of a circular gas-static thrust bearing with a micro-groove. *Russ. J. Frict. Wear Lubr.* **2007**. *33*, 46–49.
- 17. Vishtak, I.V.; Petrov, O.V.; I Savulyak, V.; I Sukhorukov, S. Influence of the profile of longitudinal grooves of various depths on increasing static characteristics of radial gas bearings. *IOP Conf. Series: Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2021**, *1060*, 012011, doi:10.1088/1757-899x/1060/1/012011.
- Shatokhin, S.; Kodnyanko, V. Load and Flow Rate Characteristics of an Axial Pressurized Gas Bearing with an Active Compensation of Gas flow; Springer Nature: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2017; pp. 110–115.
- 19. Kodnyanko, V.; Shatokhin, S.; Kurzakov, A.; Pikalov, Y. Theoretical analysis of compliance and dynamics quality of a lightly loaded aerostatic journal bearing with elastic orifices. *Precis. Eng.* **2021**, *68*, 72–81, doi:10.1016/j.precisioneng.2020.11.012.
- Kodnyanko, V.; Shatokhin, S.; Kurzakov, A.; Pikalov, Y.; Brungardt, M.; Strok, L.; Pikalov, I. Theoretical Investigation on Performance Characteristics of Aerostatic Journal Bearings with Active Displacement Compensator. *Appl. Sci.* 2021, *11*, 2623, doi:10.3390/app11062623.
- 21. Lentini, L. On the design of a diaphragm valve for aerostatic bearings. In *E3S Web Conferences*; EDP Sciences: Torino, Italy, 2020, doi:10.1051/e3sconf/202019707006.
- 22. Colombo, F.; Lentini, L.; Raparelli, T.; Trivella, A.; Viktorov, V. Design and Analysis of an Aerostatic Pad Controlled by a Diaphragm Valve. *Lubricants* **2021**, *9*, 47, doi:10.3390/lubricants9050047.
- 23. Laub, J.H. Elastic Orifices for Gas Bearings. J. Basic Eng. **1960**, 82, 980–982. doi:10.1115/1.3662821.
- 24. Elastic Orifices for Gas Bearings. Stanford Research Institute; NASA: Washington, DC, USA, 1965; 11 p.
- 25. Newgard, P.M.; Kiang, R.L. Elastic Orifices for Pressurized Gas Bearings. *ASLE Trans.* **1966**, *9*, 311–317. doi:10.1080/05698196608972147.
- 26. Shatokhin, S.; Kodnyanko, V. On the possibilities of external double throttling in the design of gas-static supports. In *All-Union Coordination Meeting "Research and Application of Gas-Lubricated Sliding Bearings"*; Vinnytsia Polytechnic Institute Publishing House: Vinnytsia, Ukraine, 1983.

- 27. Kodnyanko, V.A.; Shatokhin, S.N. Theoretical study on dynamics quality of aerostatic thrust bearing with external combined throttling. *FME Trans.* **2020**, *48*, 342–350, doi:10.5937/fme2002342K.
- 28. Kodnyanko, V., Y. Pikalov, and S. Shatokhin, Investigation of the characteristics of a gas-static bearing with active flow rate compensation. *Bull. Mech. Eng.* **1979**, *4*, 9–12.
- 29. Balasan'Yan, V.S. Flat rectangular aerostatic bearing with a microgroove. Fluid Dyn. 1975, 8, 644–647, doi:10.1007/BF01013105
- 30. Dwight, H. Tables of Integrals and Other Mathematical Data; The Macmillan Company: New York, NY, USA, 1961.
- 31. Pinegin, S.; Tabachnikov, Y.; Sipenkov, I. *Static and Dynamic Characteristics of Gas-Static Supports*; Nauka: Moscow, Russia, 1982; 265 p.
- 32. Constantinescu, V.N., Gas Lubrication; American Soc. of Mechanical Eng.: New York, NY, USA, 1969; 621 p.
- 33. Besekersky, V. and E. Popov, Theory of Automatic Control Systems; Profession: Saint Petersburg, Russia, 2003; 752 p.
- 34. Demidovich, B.; Maron, I.; Shuvalova, E. Numerical Methods of Analysis. Approximation of Functions, Differential and Integral Equations, 5 ed.; Lan: Moscow, Russia, 2010; 400 p.
- 35. Kodnyanko, V., Fast rational interpolation of transfer functions of linear dynamic systems with distributed parameters. *Radio Electron. Comput. Sci. Control* **2020**, 48–54, doi:10.15588/1607-3274-2020-4-5.