



Communication

Identification of Body Size Determination Related Candidate Genes in Domestic Pig Using Genome-Wide Selection Signal Analysis

Bing Pan¹, Haoyuan Long¹, Ying Yuan¹, Haoyuan Zhang¹, Yangyang Peng¹, Dongke Zhou¹, Chengli Liu¹, Baiju Xiang², Yongfu Huang¹, Yongju Zhao¹, Zhongquan Zhao¹ and Guangxin E^{1,*}

- ¹ College of Animal Science and Technology, Southwest University, Chongqing 400716, China; zyjjjzpdyx@163.com (B.P.); asrimoom@gmail.com (H.L.); 15703001951@163.com (Y.Y.); swuzhanghy@163.com (H.Z.); seraphicluv@icloud.com (Y.P.); zhoudongke@sjtu.edu.cn (D.Z.); lcl222333@outlook.com (C.L.); h67738337@swu.edu.cn (Y.H.); zyongju@163.com (Y.Z.); zhongquanzhao@126.com (Z.Z.)
- ² Chongqing Academy of Animal Sciences, Chongqing 402460, China; ja_9700@126.com
- * Correspondence: eguangxin@126.com; Tel.: +86-023-6825-0205

Simple Summary: In this study, the pairwise fixation index (FsT) and π ratio (case/control) genetic parameters were used to identify the genes that influence the body size of pigs and analyze the genetic basis of pig body size formation. The results of candidate gene (CG) annotation showed that a series of CGs (*MSTN, LTBP4, PDPK1, PKMYT1, ASS1,* and *STAT6*) was enriched into the gene ontology terms. Moreover, molecular pathways, such as the PI3K-Akt, HIF-1, and AMPK signaling pathways, were verified to be related to body development. These findings will help us further understand the genetic basis of animal body-size determination.

Abstract: This study aimed to identify the genes related to the body size of pigs by conducting genome-wide selection analysis (GWSA). We performed a GWSA scan on 50 pigs belonging to four small-bodied pig populations (Diannan small-eared pig, Bama Xiang pig, Wuzhishan pig, and Jeju black pig from South Korea) and 124 large-bodied pigs. We used the genetic parameters of the pairwise fixation index (Fsr) and π ratio (case/control) to screen candidate genome regions and genes related to body size. The results revealed 47,339,509 high-quality SNPs obtained from 174 individuals, while 280 interacting candidate regions were obtained from the top 1% signal windows of both parameters, along with 187 genes (e.g., *ADCK4, AMDHD2, ASPN, ASS1,* and *ATP6V0C*). The results of the candidate gene (CG) annotation showed that a series of CGs (e.g., *MSTN, LTBP4, PDPK1, PKMYT1, ASS1*, and *STAT6*) was enriched into the gene ontology terms. Moreover, molecular pathways, such as the PI3K-Akt, HIF-1, and AMPK signaling pathways, were verified to be related to body size of pigs, further elucidating the heredity basis of body shape determination in pigs and providing a theoretical reference for molecular breeding.

Keywords: domestic pigs; body size; genome wide; skeletal muscles

1. Introduction

As one of the earliest domesticated animals, pigs are affected by different long-term artificial selection processes and the natural environment of their habitats. Consequently, pig breeds exhibit numerous evident differences in phenotype appearance and growth performance, particularly in terms of body size [1–3]. Some breeds, such as long white, Large White, and Duroc pigs, are larger, with adults weighing more than 200 kg. Other

Citation: Pan, B.; Long, H.; Yuan, Y.; Zhang, H.; Peng, Y.; Zhou, D.; Liu, C.; Xiang, B.; Huang, Y.; Zhao, Y.; et al. Identification of Body Size Determination Related Candidate Genes in Domestic Pig Using Genome-Wide Selection Signal Analysis. *Animals* **2022**, *12*, 1839. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12141839

Academic Editors: Emiliano Lasagna and Domenico Aiello

Received: 16 June 2022 Accepted: 18 July 2022 Published: 19 July 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). breeds, such as Bama Xiang and Wuzhishan pigs, are smaller, with adults generally weighing below 50 kg [4].

Breeds with smaller weight and size are frequently referred to as miniature pigs. Miniature pigs are extensively used in many fields apart from animal husbandry. For example, they have become ideal laboratory animals because of their small size, low feed consumption, genetic stability, easy microbial control, convenient operation and management, and docile temperament [5,6]. In particular, given that the physiological and anatomical characteristics of pigs are highly similar to those of humans, these animals can be used as important medical models [7–9]. They can also be bred as pets because of their advantages in terms of appearance [10]. Research on miniature pig breeds has become increasingly comprehensive, and the use of these pigs has become more common. For example, miniature pigs are selected as medical models for respiratory toxicology [11,12], reproductive toxicity [13], atherosclerosis [14,15], diabetes [16,17], and neurodegenerative diseases [18,19]. At present, a large number of genes related to body size development have been verified; they include *HMGA2*, *BMP2*, *FGFR3*, and insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1R.

In particular, studies have confirmed that the HMGA2-mediated JNK signaling pathway can affect the differentiation of osteoblasts. Evidence of a close link between the expression of the *HMGA2* gene and pig body size has also been found; that is, the *HMGA2* gene is only activated during infant development and it controls the total number of cells in an animal; in particular, its expression level is proportional to animal body size [20,21]. Simultaneously, previous studies have proven that the *BMP2* and *FGFR3* genes play key roles in the cartilage and bone formation of pigs. The *BMP2* gene promotes pig bone development; conversely, the *FGFR3* gene inhibits bone development in pigs [22]. Furthermore, evidence has revealed that mice with *IGF1R* deficiency will suffer from dwarfism to a certain extent, and *IGF1R* defects can alter chondrocyte proliferation, leading to the excessive hypertrophy of growth plates in the bone extension zone and apoptosis [21].

Although studies that used candidate gene (CG) markers to explore the genetic basis of body shape in miniature pigs are extensive [23–25], these markers are not yet fully understood at present. Accordingly, the current study aimed to compare the genetic divergence between miniature and large pigs worldwide to recognize the genetic mechanism behind miniature pigs with published SNP data from swine genome sequencing data. To achieve this objective, we screened highly selective regions in miniature pigs to identify CGs that may affect their body size compared with large pigs. The results could provide a theoretical reference for the experimental model breeding of miniature pigs.

2. Materials and Methods

A public dataset that contained 174 pig genome [24,26–32] raw SNPs mapped by the pig reference genome [Sscrofa10.2 (GCA_000003025.4); Table S1; ftp://ftp.en-sembl.org/pub/release-89/fasta/sus_scrofa/dna/ (accessed on 3 July 2021)] was obtained from the Genome Variation Map (https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/gvm/ (accessed on 13 February 2022)). It included data from 50 small-bodied (case group) and 124 large-bodied (control group) pigs, as detailed in Table S1. Moreover, the obtained genetic risk score data were subjected to quality control detection by using fastp (v0.20.1). SNP sites with an average minimum allele frequency of ≤ 0.05 were removed, and a high-quality dataset with 47,339,509 SNPs was obtained.

In the current study, the genome-wide selection analysis strategy was performed with the pairwise fixation index (Fst) [33] and π ratio (case/control) [34] by using 40 kb-long windows and 10 kb step size with VCFtools (http://vcftools.sourceforge.net/ (accessed on 25 February 2022)) [35].

The interacted windows of both parameters with the top 1% windows were obtained, and overlapped genes were annotated with the variant effect predictor. In addition, CGs were subjected to gene ontology (GO) and the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) by using KOBAS 3.0 (http://kobas.cbi.pku.edu.cn/; accessed on 16 February 2022).

The significant enrichment thresholds were defined as $p \le 0.05$. The formula for calculating the *p* value of KEGG and GO enrichment was as follows:

$$P = 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\binom{M}{i}\binom{N-M}{n-i}}{\binom{N}{n}}$$
(1)

where *N* is the number of all genes with GO annotations, n is the number of genes in *N*, *M* is the number of all genes that are annotated to the specific GO terms, and *m* is the number of genes in *M*.

3. Results

From the 174 pig genome-wide SNP data, 235,623 autosomal windows were obtained. The thresholds of the top 1% selective signal windows were defined as 0.48 (Fsr) and 0.31 (π ratio) (Figure 1). A total of 187 CGs were identified from 280 interacted windows (Table S2).



Figure 1. Wide-genome selective signal analysis of 174 pigs by SNP dataset. (a) The distribution of $\theta\pi$ ratio ($-\text{Log10}[\theta\pi$ ratio($\theta\pi$ case/ $\theta\pi$ control)]) on the autosomal chromosome calculated by 40k sliding window size with 20k step. (b) The distribution of FsT on the autosomal chromosomes calculated by 40 k sliding window size with 20 k step.

The GO analysis results revealed that 40 CGs were enriched to 369 GO terms. Among the 369 enriched GO terms, 210 were biological processes. In particular, cell adhesion was the most significant (including a variety of signaling pathways that regulate cell physiological processes), 76 were cellular components, and 76 were molecular functions. In particular, 189 enriched terms were significant (p < 0.05) (Table S3, Figure 2A).



Figure 2. Annotation and functional enrichment of candidate genes of pig body size determination. Note: (**A**) Circle graphic of gene ontology (GO) enrichment. (**B**) Network graphic pattern of top 25 significant enriched molecular signaling pathways (KEGG).

In accordance with the functional classification of a GO term, a series of CGs was enriched into GO terms related to muscle development regulation, such as cell growth regulation (*LTBP4*) and skeletal muscle atrophy (*MSTN*). In addition, it enriches galvanic processes related to cell appreciation, such as growth hormone secretion (*LTBP4*), mitotic cell cycle (*TUBB3* and *PKMYT1*), growth hormone receptor signaling pathway via JAK-STAT (*STAT6*), growth hormone response (*ASS1*), mitotic nuclear division regulation (*PKMYT1*), insulin receptor binding (*PDPK1*), and cell growth regulation (*LTBP4*). In particular, several GO terms related to bone growth and development were identified, such as the negative regulation of ossification (*KREMEN2*) and regulation of bone mineralization (*OMD*).

The results of KEGG enrichment showed that 20 genes were enriched to 88 KEGG signaling pathways (Table S4, Figure 2B). Among these enriched pathways, 26 belonged to the organizational system category, 7 belong to metabolism, 27 belong to human diseases, 3 belong to genetic information processing, 13 belong to environmental information processing, and 10 belong to cellular processes. A total of 12 enriched pathways were significant (p < 0.05), such as the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, collecting duct acid secretion, and arginine biosynthesis.

Notably, 7 of the 20 CGs (e.g., *PDPK1*, *STAT6*, and *ASS1*) were not only enriched into metabolic-related signaling pathways, including the thyroid hormone signaling pathway, and the alanine, aspartate, and glutamate metabolism, but also enriched into known cell value-added growth regulation-related signaling pathways (e.g., cell cycle, apoptosis, JAK-STAT signaling pathways) and growth/development-related signaling pathways (e.g., phosphatidylinositol signaling system and HIF-1 signaling pathways).

4. Discussion

The growth of an animal's body is accompanied by the proliferation and differentiation of various cells and the regulation of various types of growth hormones. In the current study, the growth and development of muscles, fats, and bones are important influencing factors. In this work, a series of high-selection signal regions and coding genes (e.g., *MSTN*, *LTBP4*, and *PDPK1*) related to body size was identified.

Evidence supports that cell number is the primary factor that affects body size in mammals. [36]. Cell proliferation and apoptosis are inextricably linked to the number of

cells [37]. Studies have shown that systemic factors (e.g., growth hormone) and local signaling molecules (e.g., *IGF*) control the proliferation and apoptosis of various cells in the body, ultimately controlling body size [23,38].

For many types of cells, hypoxia induces decreased cell proliferation, because increasing cell numbers only exacerbates hypoxic stress, particularly in embryonic stem cells (ESCs) [39–41]. A previous study found decreased bromodeoxyuridine incorporation into DNA (a measure of DNA replication) when ESCs were exposed to hypoxia in mice. Conversely, ES and fibroblasts from mice with HIF-1 α functional loss with exposure to hypoxia did not result in reduced DNA replication [40,42]. HIF-1 α overexpression also reportedly induced cell cycle arrest [43].

The size of muscle tissues is directly related to body size [44]. Studies have shown that when the rate of protein anabolism exceeds that of protein catabolism, the result can be skeletal muscle growth [45]. Two well-known molecular signaling pathways are responsible for protein synthesis, namely, the IGF1-Akt-mTOR and inhibitin-Smad2/3 pathways, which positively and negatively regulate muscle growth, respectively [46]. The CG *MSTN* identified in this study was enriched in the aforementioned pathways. Numerous studies have indicated that the functional loosening of *MSTN* is primarily the genetic basis for the double muscle glute phenotype of robust muscle development in domestic animals [47]. *MSTN* has also been demonstrated to impair satellite cell activation, proliferation, and macrophage and myoblast migration to damage sites to inhibit skeletal muscle regeneration [48].

Moreover, the *LTBP4* gene identified in this study was confirmed to be associated with the clinical manifestations of muscular dystrophy in mice and humans [49]. For example, increasing the expression of the *LTBP4* gene significantly increased body weight and skeletal muscle mass in malnourished mice [50]. In particular, the increased expression level of *LTBP4* reduced *MSTN* expression [51]. LTBP4 has also been suggested to interact with GDF11 protein, which is highly associated with muscle growth inhibition, to codirect muscle development and regulation [52,53].

In addition, CG *PDPK1* was enriched into the AMPK signaling pathway (5'-adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase); this phenomenon has been extensively demonstrated to regulate cell anabolism and catabolism by modulating many downstream targets participating in skeletal muscle development and growth [54]. Pharmacological evidence shows that AMPK inhibits muscle growth, and AMPK activation inhibits protein translation increase after resistance exercise [55]. In theory, protein catabolism exceeding anabolism leads to skeletal muscle atrophy [51,56]. The activation of AMPK can reportedly exert the inhibitory effects of protein synthesis on multiple cell types [57,58], particularly muscle cells and cardiomyocytes [52,59].

Moreover, AMPK exhibits protein synthesis inhibition through the rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) pathway [60,61], and mTOR has also been verified as a critical regulating factor for skeletal muscle quality [62]. In particular, mTORC1 drives cell growth by stimulating downstream protein synthesis through phosphorylation, such as ribosomal protein S6 kinase (p70S6K1) and eukaryotic initiation factor 4E-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1) [63]. Studies have shown that mTOR can also be involved in regulating mitochondrial function. For example, mTOR can coordinate energy consumption and mitochondrial energy production during messenger RNA translation by stimulating the synthesis of mammalian nucleus-encoded mitochondrion-associated proteins (e.g., TFAM and mitochondrial ribosomal proteins) [64,65].

AMPK also performs catabolic regulation depending on the AMPK stimulation of *FoxO*. Several pieces of evidence have supported the theory that an injection of AMPK activator in mice increased the expression of *FoxO1* and *FoxO3* [66,67]. In skeletal muscles, two E3 enzymes (atrogin-1 and MuRF-1) have been confirmed to guide the polyubiquitination of proteins; these enzymes are also associated with muscle atrophy [68]. The expression of muscle atrophy-related genes, i.e., atrogin-1 and MuRF-1, is known to be regulated by transcription factors, particularly *FoxO* members [69]. Coincidentally, *FoxO6* has

been shown to be involved in the dietary obesity and type 2 diabetes of animals via insulin resistance [70]. Therefore, the CG *PDPK1*, which was identified in the current study to be enriched in the mTOR, AMPK, FoxO, and other related pathways, may be an important genetic basis for determining the body size of pigs.

The region of the *STAT6* gene, which is involved in growth hormone (GH) secretion, was identified to be a highly selective signal in the current study. GH is a known pleiotropic hormone that coordinates extensive physiological processes, including growing effects on bones, muscles, and fats [71]. In particular, GH promotes anabolic effects in most tissues [72].

Nitric oxide (NO) has been verified to play a key role in regulating systemic metabolism and insulin sensitivity [73]. NO regulates aerobic respiration processes in the mitochondrion through mitochondrial activity and O₂ levels [11,12]. The *ASS1* identified in the present study is the enzyme responsible for the metabolism of citrulline in mammals; meanwhile, the arginine succinate produced by *ASS1* is a direct precursor of arginine, which is the raw material for the most common route to the intracellular synthesis of NO [74].

The PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway enriched by a large number of CGs in the current study has also been confirmed to be involved extensively in the growth and metabolism of cells and their maintenance [75]. Furthermore, bone mineral density and bone volume fraction in animals are known to be related to body size [76]. Recent studies have shown that the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway also collaborates with glucocorticoids to control osteoblast growth and differentiation by inhibiting osteoblast replication and function and promoting osteoblast apoptosis [77].

Finally, the CG *ITPKC* was identified in the current study to be enriched in the phosphatidyl inositol (PI) signaling pathway. Previous findings have demonstrated that *ITPKC* controls the biological functions of organelles by regulating vesicle transport and regulates lipid distribution and metabolism via lipid transfer proteins [78]. In particular, numerous clinical studies have shown that PI metabolism disorders are major causes of obesity and diabetes [79].

5. Conclusions

In the current study, a series of hormone secretion regulation, resting oxygen consumption of cell pathways, and related CGs was identified via whole genome resequencing technology to help understand the genetic basis of pig body-size determination.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ani12141839/s1, Table S1: Information of all public 174 pig individuals' genome dateaet; Table S2: Wide-genome selective single ananlysis and 187 candidate genes from interacted top 1% windows of FST and π rate; Table S3: Gene Ontology (CO) analysis of 187 candidate genes; Table S4: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis of 187 candidate genes.

Author Contributions: conceptualization, B.P. and G.E.; data curation, B.P., H.L. and Y.Y.; formal analysis, B.P.; funding acquisition, G.E.; methodology, B.P., H.Z., Y.P. and Y.Y.; project administration, C.L., B.X. and Y.H.; resources, H.L.; Software, B.P.; supervision, Z.Z., Y.Z.; visualization, Y.P. and D.Z.; writing—original draft, B.P.; writing—review and editing, G.E. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Chongqing Natural Science Foundation (cstc2021jcyj-msxmX0013) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China grant number No. 31172195.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Ethical review and approval were waived for this study because the data used in this study are all from published data.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available in this article (and Supplementary Materials).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Sutherland, A.; Myburgh, J.; Steyn, M.; Becker, P.J. The effect of body size on the rate of decomposition in a temperate region of South Africa. *Forensic. Sci. Int.* **2013**, 231, 258–262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2013.05.035.
- Hannon, B.M.; Murphy MR. Toward a theory of energetically optimal body size in growing animals. J. Anim. Sci. 2016, 94, 2532– 2541. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2016-0283.
- 3. Zhang, J.; Zhuang, Y.; Ji, H.; Teng, G. Pig Weight and Body Size Estimation Using a Multiple Output Regression Convolutional Neural Network: A Fast and Fully Automatic Method. *Sensors* **2021**, *21*, 3218. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21093218.
- 4. National Commission on Livestock and Poultry Genetic Resources. *Animal Genetic Re-sources in China: Pigs,* 1st ed.; China Agricuture Press: Beijing, China, 2008.
- 5. Tynes, V.V. Miniature Pet Pig Behavioral Medicine. Vet. Clin. N. Am. Exot. Anim. Pract. 2021, 24, 63–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cvex.2020.09.004.
- 6. Musial, F.; Kowalski, A.; Treiber, A.; Enck, P. Temporal characteristics of feeding behavior in the Munich miniature pig. *Physiol. Behav.* **2006**, *87*, 206–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2005.08.012.
- Swindle, M.M.; Makin, A.; Herron, A.J.; Clubb, F.J.; Frazier, K.S. Swine as models in biomedical research and toxicology testing. *Vet. Pathol.* 2012, 49, 344–356. https://doi.org/10.1177/0300985811402846.
- Ryan, M.C.; Kochunov, P.; Sherman, P.M.; Rowland, L.M.; Wijtenburg, S.A.; Acheson, A.; Hong, L.E.; Sladky, J.; McGuire, S. Miniature pig magnetic resonance spectroscopy model of normal adolescent brain development. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 2018, 308, 173–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2018.08.008.
- 9. Stricker-Krongrad, A.; Shoemake, C.R.; Bouchard, G.F. The Miniature Swine as a Model in Experimental and Translational Medicine. *Toxicol. Pathol.* **2016**, *44*, 612–623. https://doi.org/10.1177/0192623316641784.
- 10. Van Metre, D.C.; Angelos, S.M. Miniature pigs. Vet. Clin. N. Am. Exot. Anim. Pract. 1999, 2, 519–537. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1094-9194(17)30108-1.
- 11. Willens, S.; Cox, D.M.; Braue, E.H.; Myers, T.M.; Wegner, M.D. Novel technique for retroperitoneal implantation of telemetry transmitters for physiologic monitoring in Gottingen minipigs (Sus scrofa domesticus). *Comp. Med.* **2014**, *64*, 464–470.
- Saxena, A.; Hastings, N.B.; Sun, W.; Dabisch, P.A.; Hulet, S.W.; Jakubowski, E.M.; Mioduszewski, R.J.; Doctor, B.P. Prophylaxis with human serum butyrylcholinesterase protects Gottingen minipigs exposed to a lethal high-dose of sarin vapor. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* 2015, 238, 161–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2015.07.001.
- 13. Hey, A.; Hill, M.; Calonder, C.; DeLise, A.M.; Grossi, A.B.; Pique, C.; Marsden, E. "Simulect" as a model compound for assessing placental transfer of monoclonal antibodies in minipigs. *Reprod. Toxicol.* **2020**, *91*, 142–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2019.10.007.
- 14. Wang, D.; Xu, X.; Zhao, M.; Wang, X. Accelerated miniature swine models of advanced atherosclerosis: A review based on morphology. *Cardiovasc. Pathol.* **2020**, *49*, 107241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carpath.2020.107241.
- Zhao, Y.; Xiang, L.; Liu, Y.; Niu, M.; Yuan, J.; Chen, H. Atherosclerosis Induced by a High-Cholesterol and High-Fat Diet in the Inbred Strain of the Wuzhishan Miniature Pig. *Anim. Biotechnol.* 2018, 29, 110–118. https://doi.org/10.1080/10495398.2017.1322974.
- 16. Niu, M.; Liu, Y.; Xiang, L.; Zhao, Y.; Yuan, J.; Jia, Y.; Dai, X.; Chen, H. Long-term case study of a Wuzhishan miniature pig with diabetes. *Anim. Models Exp. Med.* **2020**, *3*, 22–31. https://doi.org/10.1002/ame2.12098.
- Zhang, L.; Huang, Y.; Wang, M.; Guo, Y.; Liang, J.; Yang, X.; Qi, W.; Wu, Y.; Si, J.; Zhu, S.; et al. Development and Genome Sequencing of a Laboratory-Inbred Miniature Pig Facilitates Study of Human Diabetic Disease. *iScience* 2019, 19, 162–176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2019.07.025.
- Dolezalova, D.; Hruska-Plochan, M.; Bjarkam, C.R.; Sorensen, J.C.; Cunningham, M.; Weingarten, D.; Ciacci, J.D.; Juhas, S.; Juhasova, J.; Motlik, J.; et al. Pig models of neurodegenerative disorders: Utilization in cell replacement-based preclinical safety and efficacy studies. J. Comp. Neurol. 2014, 522, 2784–2801. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.23575.
- 19. Hoffe, B.; Holahan, M.R. The Use of Pigs as a Translational Model for Studying Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Front. Physiol.* **2019**, *10*, 838. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.00838.
- Xiaokai, L.; Quankui, H.; Lingki, F.; Yafeng, G.; Jing, L.; Ganqiu, L. Sequence and expression differences of BMP2 and, FGFR3 genes in Guangxi Bama mini pig and Landrace pig. *Guangxi Agric. Sci.* 2021, *52*, 1709–1718. https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.2095-1191.2021.06.032.
- Wanbo, L.; Yaling, Z.; Huashui, A.; Tianfu, G. Identifying signatures of Selection Related to Small Body Size in Pigs. *Chin. J. Anim. Vet. Sci.* 2016, 47, 1977–1985. https://doi.org/10.11843/j.issn.0366-6964.2016.10.005.
- 22. Ornitz, D.M.; Legeai-Mallet, L. Achondroplasia: Development, pathogenesis, and therapy. Dev. Dyn. 2017, 246, 291–309.
- 23. Ijiri, M.; Lai, Y.C.; Kawaguchi, H.; Fujimoto, Y.; Miura, N.; Matsuo, T.; Tanimoto, A. NR6A1 Allelic Frequencies as an Index for both Miniaturizing and Increasing Pig Body Size. *In Vivo* **2021**, *35*, 163–167. https://doi.org/10.21873/invivo.12244.
- 24. Kim, H.; Song, K.D.; Kim, H.J.; Park, W.; Kim, J.; Lee, T.; Shin, D.H.; Kwak, W.; Kwon, Y.J.; Sung, S.; et al. Exploring the genetic signature of body size in Yucatan miniature pig. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e121732. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121732.
- Reimer, C.; Rubin, C.J.; Sharifi, A.R.; Ha, N.T.; Weigend, S.; Waldmann, K.H.; Distl, O.; Pant, S.D.; Fredholm, M.; Schlather, M.; et al. Analysis of porcine body size variation using re-sequencing data of miniature and large pigs. *BMC Genom.* 2018, 19, 687. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-5009-y.

- Rubin, C.J.; Megens, H.J.; Martinez, B.A.; Maqbool, K.; Sayyab, S.; Schwochow, D.; Wang, C.; Carlborg, O.; Jern, P.; Jorgensen, C.B.; et al. Strong signatures of selection in the domestic pig genome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2012, 109, 19529–19536. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1217149109.
- Li, M.; Tian, S.; Jin, L.; Zhou, G.; Li, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, T.; Yeung, C.K.; Chen, L.; Ma, J.; et al. Genomic analyses identify distinct patterns of selection in domesticated pigs and Tibetan wild boars. *Nat. Genet.* 2013, 45, 1431–1438. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2811.
- 28. Kim, J.; Cho, S.; Caetano-Anolles, K.; Kim, H.; Ryu, Y.C. Genome-wide detection and characterization of positive selection in Korean Native Black Pig from Jeju Island. *BMC Genet.* **2015**, *16*, 3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12863-014-0160-1.
- Moon, S.; Kim, T.H.; Lee, K.T.; Kwak, W.; Lee, T.; Lee, S.W.; Kim, M.J.; Cho, K.; Kim, N.; Chung, W.H.; et al. A genome-wide scan for signatures of directional selection in domesticated pigs. *BMC Genom.* 2015, *16*, 130. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-1330-x.
- Jeong, H.; Song, K.D.; Seo, M.; Caetano-Anolles, K.; Kim, J.; Kwak, W.; Oh, J.D.; Kim, E.; Jeong, D.K.; Cho, S.; et al. Exploring evidence of positive selection reveals genetic basis of meat quality traits in Berkshire pigs through whole genome sequencing. *BMC Genet.* 2015, *16*, 104. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12863-015-0265-1.
- Fu, Y.; Li, C.; Tang, Q.; Tian, S.; Jin, L.; Chen, J.; Li, M.; Li, C. Genomic analysis reveals selection in Chinese native black pig. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, *6*, 36354. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36354.
- 32. Hudson, R.R.; Mand, S.; Maddison, W.P. Estimation of levels of gene flow from DNA sequence data. Genetics 1992, 132, 2.
- 33. Nei, M.; Li, W.H. Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA **1979**, 76, 10.
- Zhou, Z.Y.; Li, A.; Otecko, N.O.; Liu, Y.H.; Irwin, D.M.; Wang, L.; Adeola, A.C.; Zhang, J.; Xie, H.B.; Zhang, Y.P. PigVar: A database of pig variations and positive selection signatures. *Database* 2017, 2017, bax048. https://doi.org/10.1093/database/bax048.
- Danecek, P.; Auton, A.; Abecasis, G.; Albers, C.A.; Banks, E.; DePristo, M.A.; Handsaker, R.E.; Lunter, G.; Marth, G.T.; Sherry, S.T.; et al. The variant call format and, VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* 2011, 27, 2156–2158. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330.
- 36. Wang, G.; Li, M.; Zhou, J.; An, X.; Bai, F.; Gao, Y.; Yu, J.; Li, H.; Lei, C.; Dang, R. A novel A > G polymorphism in the intron 2 of TBX3 gene is significantly associated with body size in donkeys. *Gene* 2021, 785, 145602. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2021.145602.
- 37. Gui, L.S.; Zhang, Y.R.; Liu, G.Y.; Zan, L.S. Expression of the SIRT2 gene and its relationship with body size traits in Qinchuan cattle (*Bos taurus*). *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2015**, *16*, 2458–2471. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms16022458.
- Metzger, J.; Schrimpf, R.; Philipp, U.; Distl, O. Expression levels of, L.CORL are associated with body size in horses. *PLoS ONE* 2013, *8*, e56497. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056497.
- Wang, K.; Hu, H.; Tian, Y.; Li, J.; Scheben, A.; Zhang, C.; Li, Y.; Wu, J.; Yang, L.; Fan, X.; et al. The Chicken Pan-Genome Reveals Gene Content Variation and a Promoter Region Deletion in IGF2BP1 Affecting Body Size. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2021, 38, 5066–5081. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab231.
- 40. Niswender, K.D. Basal insulin: Physiology, pharmacology, and clinical implications. *Postgrad. Med.* **2011**, *123*, 17–26. https://doi.org/10.3810/pgm.2011.07.2300.
- 41. Stratton, M. Genome resequencing and genetic variation. Nat. Biotechnol. 2008, 26, 65–66. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt0108-65.
- 42. Sharma, R.; Kopchick, J.J.; Puri, V.; Sharma, V.M. Effect of growth hormone on insulin signaling. *Mol. Cell Endocrinol.* 2020, *518*, 111038. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2020.111038.
- 43. Gardner, L.B.; Li, Q.; Park, M.S.; Flanagan, W.M.; Semenza, G.L.; Dang, C.V. Hypoxia inhibits G1/S transition through regulation of p27 expression. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2001**, *276*, 7919–7926. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M010189200.
- 44. Carmeliet, P.; Dor, Y.; Herbert, J.M.; Fukumura, D.; Brusselmans, K.; Dewerchin, M.; Neeman, M.; Bono, F.; Abramovitch, R.; Maxwell, P.; et al. Role of, H.IF-1alpha in hypoxia-mediated apoptosis, cell proliferation and tumour angiogenesis. *Nature* 1998, 394, 485–490. https://doi.org/10.1038/28867.
- 45. Biswas, S.; Roy, S.; Banerjee, J.; Hussain, S.R.; Khanna, S.; Meenakshisundaram, G.; Kuppusamy, P.; Friedman, A.; Sen, C.K. Hypoxia inducible microRNA 210 attenuates keratinocyte proliferation and impairs closure in a murine model of ischemic wounds. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2010, 107, 6976–6981. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1001653107.
- Kyun, S.; Yoo, C.; Park, H.Y.; Kim, J.; Lim, K. The Effects of Exogenous Lactate Administration on the, I.GF1/Akt/mTOR Pathway in Rat Skeletal Muscle. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2020, *17*, 7805. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17217805.
- 47. Aiello, D.; Patel, K.; Lasagna, E. The myostatin gene: An overview of mechanisms of action and its relevance to livestock animals. *Anim. Genet.* **2018**, *49*, 505–519. https://doi.org/10.1111/age.12696.
- McCroskery, S.; Thomas, M.; Maxwell, L.; Sharma, M.; Kambadur, R. Myostatin negatively regulates satellite cell activation and self-renewal. J. Cell Biol. 2003, 162, 1135–1147. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200207056.
- Hubbi, M.E.; Kshitiz; Gilkes, D.M.; Rey, S.; Wong, C.C.; Luo, W.; Kim, D.H.; Dang, C.V.; Levchenko, A.; Semenza, G.L. A nontranscriptional role for HIF-1alpha as a direct inhibitor of DNA replication. *Sci. Signal.* 2013, *6*, a10. https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2003417.
- Karalaki, M.; Fili, S.; Philippou, A.; Koutsilieris, M. Muscle regeneration: Cellular and molecular events. *In Vivo* 2009, 23, 779– 796.

- Heydemann, A.; Ceco, E.; Lim, J.E.; Hadhazy, M.; Ryder, P.; Moran, J.L.; Beier, D.R.; Palmer, A.A.; McNally, E.M. Latent, T.GFbeta-binding protein 4 modifies muscular dystrophy in mice. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2009, 119, 3703–3712. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI39845.
- 52. McGlory, C.; van Vliet, S.; Stokes, T.; Mittendorfer, B.; Phillips, S.M. The impact of exercise and nutrition on the regulation of skeletal muscle mass. *J. Physiol.* **2019**, *597*, 1251–1258. https://doi.org/10.1113/JP275443.
- Stinckens, A.; Luyten, T.; Bijttebier, J.; Van den Maagdenberg, K.; Dieltiens, D.; Janssens, S.; De Smet, S.; Georges, M.; Buys, N. Characterization of the complete porcine MSTN gene and expression levels in pig breeds differing in muscularity. *Anim. Genet.* 2008, 39, 586–596. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2008.01774.x.
- Matsakas, A.; Otto, A.; Elashry, M.I.; Brown, S.C.; Patel, K. Altered primary and secondary myogenesis in the myostatin-null mouse. *Rejuvenation Res.* 2010, 13, 717–727. https://doi.org/10.1089/rej.2010.1065.
- Sternstein, I.; Reissmann, M.; Maj, D.; Bieniek, J.; Brockmann, G.A. A new single nucleotide polymorphism in the rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) myostatin (MSTN) gene is associated with carcass composition traits. *Anim. Genet.* 2014, 45, 596–599. https://doi.org/10.1111/age.12165.
- Lamar, K.M.; Bogdanovich, S.; Gardner, B.B.; Gao, Q.Q.; Miller, T.; Earley, J.U.; Hadhazy, M.; Vo, A.H.; Wren, L.; Molkentin, J.D.; et al. Overexpression of Latent TGFbeta Binding Protein 4 in Muscle Ameliorates Muscular Dystrophy through Myostatin and, T.GFbeta. *PLoS Genet.* 2016, 12, e1006019. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006019.
- 57. Thomson, D.M. The Role of AMPK in the Regulation of Skeletal Muscle Size, Hypertrophy, and Regeneration. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2018**, *19*, 3125. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19103125.
- Williamson, D.L.; Bolster, D.R.; Kimball, S.R.; Jefferson, L.S. Time course changes in signaling pathways and protein synthesis in C₂C₁₂ myotubes following AMPK activation by AICAR. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2006, 291, E80–E89. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00566.2005.
- Bolster, D.R.; Crozier, S.J.; Kimball, S.R.; Jefferson, L.S. AMP-activated protein kinase suppresses protein synthesis in rat skeletal muscle through down-regulated mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling. J. Biol. Chem. 2002, 277, 23977–23980. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C200171200.
- 60. Sandrine, H.; Gareth, J.B.; Ulrike, K.; Jigna, V.P.; Didier, V.; Luc, B.; Alain, L.; Louis, H.; Christopher, G.P.; Mark, H.R. Activation of AMP-Activated Protein Kinase Leads to the Phosphorylation of Elongation Factor 2 and an Inhibition of Protein Synthesis. *Curr. Biol.* **2002**, *12*, 1419–1423. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0960-9822(02)01077-1.
- Reiter, A.K.; Bolster, D.R.; Crozier, S.J.; Kimball, S.R.; Jefferson, L.S. Repression of protein synthesis and mTOR signaling in rat liver mediated by the AMPK activator aminoimidazole carboxamide ribonucleoside. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2005, 288, 980–988. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00333.2004.
- 62. McLeod, L.E.; Proud, C.G. ATP depletion increases phosphorylation of elongation factor eEF2 in adult cardiomyocytes independently of inhibition of mTOR signalling. *Febs. Lett.* **2002**, *531*, 448–452. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0014-5793(02)03582-2.
- 63. Chan, A.Y.; Soltys, C.L.; Young, M.E.; Proud, C.G.; Dyck, J.R. Activation of AMP-activated protein kinase inhibits protein synthesis associated with hypertrophy in the cardiac myocyte. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2004**, *279*, 32771–32779. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M403528200.
- Xiang, X.; Saha, A.K.; Wen, R.; Ruderman, N.B.; Luo, Z. AMP-activated protein kinase activators can inhibit the growth of prostate cancer cells by multiple mechanisms. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2004, 321, 161–167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2004.06.133.
- 65. Fay, J.R.; Steele, V.; Crowell, J.A. Energy homeostasis and cancer prevention: The AMP-activated protein kinase. *Cancer Prev. Res.* **2009**, *2*, 301–309. https://doi.org/10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-08-0166.
- Figueiredo, V.C.; Markworth, J.F.; Cameron-Smith, D. Considerations on mTOR regulation at serine 2448: Implications for muscle metabolism studies. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 2017, 74, 2537–2545. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-017-2481-5.
- Bodine, S.C.; Latres, E.; Baumhueter, S.; Lai, V.K.; Nunez, L.; Clarke, B.A.; Poueymirou, W.T.; Panaro, F.J.; Na, E.; Dharmarajan, K.; et al. Identification of ubiquitin ligases required for skeletal muscle atrophy. *Science* 2001, 294, 1704–1708. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1065874.
- Morita, M.; Gravel, S.P.; Hulea, L.; Larsson, O.; Pollak, M.; St-Pierre, J.; Topisirovic, I. mTOR coordinates protein synthesis, mitochondrial activity and proliferation. *Cell Cycle* 2015, *14*, 473–480. https://doi.org/10.4161/15384101.2014.991572.
- Suzuki, N.; Motohashi, N.; Uezumi, A.; Fukada, S.; Yoshimura, T.; Itoyama, Y.; Aoki, M.; Miyagoe-Suzuki, Y.; Takeda, S. NO production results in suspension-induced muscle atrophy through dislocation of neuronal NOS. J. Clin. Invest. 2007, 117, 2468– 2476. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI30654.
- 70. Jiang, Y.; Su, S.; Zhang, Y.; Qian, J.; Liu, P. Control of mTOR signaling by ubiquitin. *Oncogene* **2019**, *38*, 3989–4001. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-019-0713-x.
- Aramburo, C.; Alba-Betancourt, C.; Luna, M.; Harvey, S. Expression and function of growth hormone in the nervous system: A brief review. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 2014, 203, 35–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2014.04.035.
- 72. Chen, L.; Chen, L.; Wan, L.; Huo, Y.; Huang, J.; Li, J.; Lu, J.; Xin, B.; Yang, Q.; Guo, C. Matrine improves skeletal muscle atrophy by inhibiting E3 ubiquitin ligases and activating the Akt/mTOR/FoxO3alpha signaling pathway in C₂C₁₂ myotubes and mice. *Oncol. Rep.* **2019**, *42*, 479–494. https://doi.org/10.3892/or.2019.7205.
- Jorgensen, S.B.; Wojtaszewski, J.F.; Viollet, B.; Andreelli, F.; Birk, J.B.; Hellsten, Y.; Schjerling, P.; Vaulont, S.; Neufer, P.D.; Richter, E.A.; et al. Effects of alpha-AMPK knockout on exercise-induced gene activation in mouse skeletal muscle. *Faseb. J.* 2005, 19, 1146–1148. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.04-3144fje.

- 74. Nystrom, G.J.; Lang, C.H. Sepsis and AMPK Activation by AICAR Differentially Regulate FoxO-1, -3 and -4 mRNA in Striated Muscle. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Med.* **2008**, *1*, 50–63.
- Porter, R.K.; Brand, M.D. Causes of differences in respiration rate of hepatocytes from mammals of different body mass. *Am. J. Physiol.* 1995, 269, R1213–R1224. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.1995.269.5.R1213.
- Cooper, C.E.; Giulivi, C. Nitric oxide regulation of mitochondrial oxygen consumption, I.I: Molecular mechanism and tissue physiology. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 2007, 292, C1993–C2003. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00310.2006.
- 77. Kelly, D.P.; Scarpulla, R.C. Transcriptional regulatory circuits controlling mitochondrial biogenesis and function. *Genes Dev.* **2004**, *18*, 357–368. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1177604.
- 78. Skotland, T.; Hessvik, N.P.; Sandvig, K.; Llorente, A. Exosomal lipid composition and the role of ether lipids and phosphoinositides in exosome biology. *J. Lipid Res.* **2019**, *60*, 9–18. https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.R084343.
- 79. Porta, C.; Paglino, C.; Mosca, A. Targeting PI3K/Akt/mTOR Signaling in Cancer. Front. Oncol. 2014, 4, 64. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2014.00064.