



Article

A Semigroup Is Finite Iff It Is Chain-Finite and Antichain-Finite

Iryna Banakh ^{1,†}, Taras Banakh ^{2,3,†} and Serhii Bardyla ^{4,*,†}

- Pidstryhach Institute for Applied Problems of Mechanics and Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Naukova 3b, 79060 Lviv, Ukraine; ibanakh@yahoo.com
- Faculty of Mehcanics and Mathematics, Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Universytetska 1, 79000 Lviv, Ukraine; t.o.banakh@gmail.com
- ³ Katedra Matematyki, Jan Kochanowski University in Kielce, Universytecka 7, 25-406 Kielce, Poland
- ⁴ Kurt Gödel Research Center, Institute of Mathematics, University of Vienna, 1090 Vienna, Austria
- * Correspondence: sbardyla@yahoo.com
- † These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: A subset A of a semigroup S is called a *chain* (*antichain*) if $ab \in \{a,b\}$ ($ab \notin \{a,b\}$) for any (distinct) elements $a,b \in A$. A semigroup S is called *periodic* if for every element $x \in S$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that x^n is an idempotent. A semigroup S is called (*anti)chain-finite* if S contains no infinite (anti)chains. We prove that each antichain-finite semigroup S is periodic and for every idempotent e of S the set $\sqrt[\infty]{e} = \{x \in S : \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \ (x^n = e)\}$ is finite. This property of antichain-finite semigroups is used to prove that a semigroup is finite if and only if it is chain-finite and antichain-finite. Furthermore, we present an example of an antichain-finite semilattice that is not a union of finitely many chains.

Keywords: semigroup; semilattice; chain; antichain

MSC: 20M10; 06F05; 05E16



Citation: Banakh, I.; Banakh, T.; Bardyla, S. A Semigroup Is Finite Iff It Is Chain-Finite and Antichain-Finite. *Axioms* **2021**, *10*, 9. https://doi.org/10.3390/ axioms10010009

Received: 8 December 2020 Accepted: 13 January 2021 Published: 16 January 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

It is well-known that a partially ordered set X is finite iff all chains and antichains in X are finite. The notions of chain and antichain are well-known in the theory of order (see, e.g., ([1] (O-1.6)) or [2]). In this paper we present a similar characterization of finite semigroups in terms of finite chains and antichains.

Let us recall that a *magma* is a set S endowed with a binary operation $S \times S \to S$, $\langle x,y \rangle \mapsto xy$. If the binary operation is associative, then the magma S is called a *semigroup*. A *semilattice* is a commutative semigroup whose elements are idempotents. Each semilattice S carries a natural partial order S defined by S d

A subset A of a magma S is defined to be

- a *chain* if $xy \in \{x, y\}$ for any elements $x, y \in A$;
- an *antichain* if $xy \notin \{x,y\}$ for any distinct elements $x,y \in A$.

The definition implies that each chain consists of idempotents.

A magma *S* is defined to be (*anti*)*chain-finite* if it contains no infinite (anti)chains.

Let us note that chain-finite semilattices play an important role in the theory of complete topological semigroups. In [3], Stepp showed that for each homomorphism $f: X \to Y$ from a chain-finite semilattice X to a Hausdorff topological semigroup Y, the image f[X] is closed in Y. Banakh and Bardyla [4] extended the result of Stepp to the following characterization:

Theorem 1. For a semilattice X the following conditions are equivalent:

Axioms 2021, 10, 9 2 of 6

- *X* is chain-finite;
- X is closed in each Hausdorff topological semigroup containing X as a discrete subsemigroup;
- For each homomorphism $f: X \to Y$ into a Hausdorff topological semigroup Y, the image f[X] is closed;

For other completeness properties of chain-finite semilattices see [4–6]. Antichain-finite posets and semilattices were investigated by Yokoyama [7].

The principal result of this note is the following theorem characterizing finite semigroups.

Theorem 2. A semigroup S is finite if and only if it is chain-finite and antichain-finite.

A crucial step in the proof of this theorem is the following proposition describing the (periodic) structure of antichain-finite semigroups.

A semigroup *S* is called *periodic* if for every $x \in S$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that x^n is an idempotent of *S*. In this case

$$S = \bigcup_{e \in E(S)} \sqrt[\infty]{e},$$

where $E(S) = \{x \in S : xx = x\}$ is the set of idempotents of S and

$$\sqrt[\infty]{e} = \{ x \in S : \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \ (x^n = e) \}$$

for $e \in E(S)$.

Proposition 1. *Each antichain-finite semigroup S is periodic and for every* $e \in E(S)$ *the set* $\sqrt[\infty]{e}$ *is finite.*

Theorem 2 and Proposition 1 will be proved in the next section.

Remark 1. Theorem 2 does not generalize to magmas. To see this, consider the set of positive integers \mathbb{N} endowed with the following binary operation: nm = n if n < m and nm = 1 if $n \ge m$. This magma is infinite but each nonempty chain in the magma is of the form $\{1, n\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and each nonempty antichain in this magma is a singleton.

Next we present a simple example of an antichain-finite semilattice which is not a union of finitely many chains.

Example 1. Consider the set

$$S = \{ \langle 2n - 1, 0 \rangle : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \cup \{ \langle 2n, m \rangle : n, m \in \mathbb{N}, m \le 2n \}$$

endowed with the semilattice binary operation

$$\langle x, i \rangle \cdot \langle y, j \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle x, i \rangle & \text{if } x = y \text{ and } i = j; \\ \langle x - 1, 0 \rangle & \text{if } x = y \text{ and } i \neq j; \\ \langle x, i \rangle & \text{if } x < y; \\ \langle y, j \rangle & \text{if } y < x. \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to check that the semilattice S has the following properties:

- 1. *S* is antichain-finite;
- 2. *S has arbitrarily long finite antichains;*
- 3. *S* is not a union of finitely many chains;
- 4. The subsemilattice $L = \{\langle 2n 1, 0 \rangle : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ of S is a chain;

Axioms 2021, 10, 9 3 of 6

5. S admits a homomorphism $r: S \to L$ such that $r^{-1}(\langle x, 0 \rangle) = \{\langle y, i \rangle \in S : y \in \{x, x+1\}\}$ is finite for every element $\langle x, 0 \rangle \in L$.

Example 1 motivates the following question.

Question 1. Let S be an antichain-finite semilattice. Is there a finite-to-one homomorphism $r: S \to Y$ to a semilattice Y which is a finite union of chains?

A function $f: X \to Y$ is called *finite-to-one* if for every $y \in Y$ the preimage $f^{-1}(y)$ is finite.

2. Proofs of the Main Results

In this section, we prove some lemmas implying Theorem 2 and Proposition 1. More precisely, Proposition 1 follows from Lemmas 1 and 4; Theorem 2 follows from Lemma 5. The following lemma exploit ideas of Theorem 1.9 from [8].

Lemma 1. Every antichain-finite semigroup S is periodic.

Proof. Given any element $x \in S$ we should find a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that x^n is an idempotent. First we show that $x^n = x^m$ for some $n \neq m$. Assuming that $x^n \neq x^m$ for any distinct numbers n, m, we conclude that the set $A = \{x^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is infinite and for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $x^n x^m = x^{n+m} \notin \{x^n, x^m\}$, which means that A is an infinite antichain in S. However, such an antichain cannot exist as S is antichain-finite. This contradiction shows that $x^n = x^m$ for some numbers n < m and then for the number k = m - n we have $x^{n+k} = x^m = x^n$. By induction we can prove that $x^{n+pk} = x^n$ for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose any numbers $r, p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that r + n = pk and observe that

$$x^{r+n}x^{r+n} = x^{r+n}x^{pk} = x^rx^{n+pk} = x^rx^n = x^{r+n}$$

which means that x^{r+n} is an idempotent and hence S is periodic. \square

An element $1 \in S$ is called an *identity* of S if x1 = x = 1x for all $x \in S$. For a semigroup S let $S^1 = S \cup \{1\}$ where 1 is an element such that x1 = x = 1x for every $x \in S^1$. If S contains an identity, then we will assume that 1 is the identity of S and hence $S^1 = S$.

For a set $A \subseteq S$ and element $x \in S$ we put

$$xA = \{xa : a \in A\}$$
 and $Ax = \{ax : a \in A\}$.

For any element x of a semigroup S, the set

$$H_x = \{ y \in S : yS^1 = xS^1 \land S^1y = S^1x \}$$

is called the \mathcal{H} -class of x. By Lemma I.7.9 [9], for every idempotent e its \mathcal{H} -class H_e coincides with the maximal subgroup of S that contains the idempotent e.

Lemma 2. If a semigroup S is antichain-finite, then for every idempotent e of S its \mathcal{H} -class H_e is finite.

Proof. Observe that the set $H_e \setminus \{e\}$ is an antichain (this follows from the fact that the left and right shifts in the group H_e are injective). Since S is antichain-finite, the antichain $H_e \setminus \{e\}$ is finite and so is the set H_e . \square

Lemma 3. If a semigroup S is antichain-finite, then for every idempotent e in S we have

$$(H_e \cdot \sqrt[\infty]{e}) \cup (\sqrt[\infty]{e} \cdot H_e) \subseteq H.$$

Axioms 2021, 10, 9 4 of 6

Proof. Given any elements $x \in \sqrt[\infty]{e}$ and $y \in H_e$, we have to show that $xy \in H_e$ and $yx \in H_e$. Since $x \in \sqrt[\infty]{e}$, there exists a number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^n = e$. Then $x^{n+1}S^1 = exS^1 \subseteq eS^1$ and $eS^1 = x^{2n}S^1 \subseteq x^{n+1}S^1$, and hence $eS^1 = x^{n+1}S$. By analogy we can prove that $S^1e = S^1x^{n+1}$. Therefore, $x^{n+1} \in H_e$.

Then $xy = x(ey) = (xe)y = (xx^n)y = x^{n+1}y \in H_e$ and $yx = (ye)x = y(ex) = yx^{n+1} \in H_e$. \square

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by $[\mathbb{N}]^k$ we denote the set of all k-element subsets of \mathbb{N} . The proofs of the next two lemmas essentially use the classical Ramsey Theorem, so let us recall its formulation, see ([10] (p. 16)) for more details.

Theorem 3 (Ramsey). For any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and map $\chi : [\mathbb{N}]^k \to n = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ there exists an infinite subset $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $\chi[[I]^k] = \{c\}$ for some number $c \in n$.

Lemma 4. *If a semigroup S is antichain-finite, then for every idempotent* $e \in E(S)$ *the set* $\sqrt[\infty]{e}$ *is finite.*

Proof. By Lemma 2, the \mathcal{H} -class H_e is finite. Assuming that $\sqrt[\infty]{e}$ is infinite, we can choose a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of pairwise distinct points of the infinite set $\sqrt[\infty]{e} \setminus H_e$.

Let $P = \{\langle n, m \rangle \in \omega \times \omega : n < m\}$ and $\chi : P \to 5 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ be the function defined by

$$\chi(n,m) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x_n x_m = x_n; \\ 1 & \text{if } x_m x_n = x_n; \\ 2 & \text{if } x_n x_m = x_m; \\ 3 & \text{if } x_m x_n = x_m; \\ 4 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the Ramsey Theorem 3, there exists an infinite subset $\Omega \subseteq \omega$ such that $\chi[P \cap (\Omega \times \Omega)] = \{c\}$ for some $c \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}$.

If c=0, then $x_nx_m=x_n$ for any numbers n< m in Ω . Fix any two numbers n< m in Ω . By induction we can prove that $x_nx_m^p=x_n$ for every $p\in \mathbb{N}$. Since $x_m\in \sqrt[\infty]{e}$, there exists $p\in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_m^p=e$. Then $x_n=x_nx_m^p=x_ne\in H_e$ by Lemma 3. However, this contradicts the choice of x_n .

By analogy we can derive a contradiction in cases $c \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

If c = 4, then the set $A = \{x_n\}_{n \in \Omega}$ is an infinite antichain in S, which is not possible as the semigroup S is antichain-finite.

Therefore, in all five cases we obtain a contradiction, which implies that the set $\sqrt[\infty]{e}$ is finite. \square

Our final lemma implies the non-trivial "if" part of Theorem 2.

Lemma 5. A semigroup S is finite if it is chain-finite and antichain-finite.

Proof. Assume that S is both chain-finite and antichain-finite. By Lemma 1, the semigroup S is periodic and hence $S = \bigcup_{e \in E(S)} \sqrt[\infty]{e}$. By Lemma 4, for every idempotent $e \in E(S)$ the set $\sqrt[\infty]{e}$ is finite. Now it suffices to prove that the set E(S) is finite.

To derive a contradiction, assume that E(S) is infinite and choose a sequence of pairwise distinct idempotents $(e_n)_{n \in \omega}$ in S. Let $P = \{\langle n, m \rangle \in \omega \times \omega : n < m\}$ and $\chi : P \to \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ be the function defined by the formula

Axioms **2021**, 10, 9 5 of 6

$$\chi(n,m) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } e_n e_m \in \{e_n, e_m\} \text{ and } e_m e_n \in \{e_n, e_m\}; \\ 1 & \text{if } e_n e_m = e_n \text{ and } e_m e_n \notin \{e_n, e_m\}; \\ 2 & \text{if } e_n e_m = e_m \text{ and } e_m e_n \notin \{e_n, e_m\}; \\ 3 & \text{if } e_n e_m \notin \{e_n, e_m\} \text{ and } e_m e_n = e_n; \\ 4 & \text{if } e_n e_m \notin \{e_n, e_m\} \text{ and } e_m e_n = e_m; \\ 5 & \text{if } e_n e_m \notin \{e_n, e_m\} \text{ and } e_m e_n \notin \{e_n, e_m\}. \end{cases}$$

The Ramsey Theorem 3 yields an infinite subset $\Omega \subseteq \omega$ such that $\chi[P \cap (\Omega \times \Omega)] = \{c\}$ for some $c \in \{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$.

Depending on the value of c, we shall consider six cases.

If c=0 (resp. c=5), then $\{e_n\}_{n\in\omega}$ is an infinite (anti)chain in S, which is forbidden by our assumption.

Next, assume that c=1. Then $e_ne_m=e_n$ and $e_me_n\notin\{e_n,e_m\}$ for any numbers n< m in Ω . For any number $k\in\Omega$, consider the set $Z_k=\{e_ne_k:k< n\in\Omega\}$. Observe that for any $e_ne_k,e_me_k\in Z_k$ we have

$$(e_n e_k)(e_m e_k) = e_n(e_k e_m)e_k = e_n e_k e_k = e_n e_k,$$

which means that Z_k is a chain. Since S is chain-finite, the chain Z_k is finite.

By induction we can construct a sequence of points $(z_k)_{k\in\omega}\in\prod_{k\in\omega}Z_k$ and a decreasing sequence of infinite sets $(\Omega_k)_{k\in\omega}$ such that $\Omega_0\subseteq\Omega$ and for every $k\in\omega$ and $n\in\Omega_k$ we have $e_ne_k=z_k$ and n>k. Choose an increasing sequence of numbers $(k_i)_{i\in\omega}$ such that $k_0\in\Omega_0$ and $k_i\in\Omega_{k_{i-1}}$ for every $i\in\mathbb{N}$. We claim that the set $Z=\{z_{k_i}:i\in\omega\}$ is a chain. Take any numbers $i,j\in\omega$ and choose any number $n\in\Omega_{k_i}\cap\Omega_{k_i}$.

If i < j, then

$$z_{k_i}z_{k_j}=(e_ne_{k_i})(e_ne_{k_j})=e_n(e_{k_i}e_n)e_{k_j}=e_ne_{k_i}e_{k_j}=e_ne_{k_i}=z_{k_i}.$$

If i > j, then $k_i \in \Omega_{k_{i-1}} \subseteq \Omega_{k_i}$ and hence

$$z_{k_i}z_{k_i} = (e_ne_{k_i})(e_ne_{k_i}) = e_n(e_{k_i}e_n)e_{k_i} = e_n(e_{k_i}e_{k_i}) = e_nz_{k_i} = e_n(e_ne_{k_i}) = e_ne_{k_i} = z_{k_i}.$$

In both cases we obtain that $z_{k_i}z_{k_j}\in\{z_{k_i},z_{k_j}\}$, which means that the set $Z=\{z_{k_i}:i\in\omega\}$ is a chain. Since S is chain-finite, the set Z is finite. Consequently, there exists $z\in Z$ such that the set $\Lambda=\{i\in\omega:z_{k_i}=z\}$ is infinite. Choose any numbers i< j in the set Λ and then choose any number $n\in\Omega_{k_j}\subseteq\Omega_{k_i}$. Observe that $k_j\in\Omega_{k_{j-1}}\subseteq\Omega_{k_i}$ and hence $e_{k_i}e_{k_i}=z_{k_i}=z$. Then

$$e_{k_j} = e_{k_j} e_{k_j} = (e_{k_j} e_n) e_{k_j} = e_{k_j} (e_n e_{k_j}) = e_{k_j} z_{k_j} = e_{k_j} z_{k_i} = e_{k_j} z_{k_i} = e_{k_j} (e_{k_j} e_{k_i}) = e_{k_j} e_{k_i} \notin \{e_{k_j}, e_{k_j}\}$$
 as $c = 1$.

By analogy we can prove that the assumption $c \in \{2,3,4\}$ also leads to a contradiction. \square

Author Contributions: The authors contributed equally to this work. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The third author was supported by the Austrian Science Fund FWF (Grant M 2967).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: This research did not report any data.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Axioms **2021**, 10, 9 6 of 6

References

 Gierz, G.; Hofmann, K.H.; Keimel, K.; Lawson, J.; Mislove, M.; Scott, D. Continuous Lattices and Domains; Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 93; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2003.

- 2. Lawson, J.; Mislove, M.; Priestley, H. Infinite antichains and duality theories. Houston J. Math. 1988, 14, 423-441.
- 3. Stepp, J.W. Algebraic maximal semilattices. *Pacific J. Math.* 1975, 58, 243–248.
- 4. Banakh, T.; Bardyla, S. Characterizing Chain-Finite and Chain-Compact Topological Semilattices. Semigroup Forum 2019, 98, 234–250.
- 5. Banakh, T.; Bardyla, S. Complete Topologized Posets and Semilattices. Topol. Proc. 2021, 57, 177–196.
- 6. Gutik, O.; Pagon, D.; Repovš, D. On chains in H-closed topological pospaces. *Order* **2010**, 27, 69–81.
- 7. Yokoyama, T. On the relation between completeness and *H*-closedness of pospaces without infinite antichains. *Algebra Discr. Math.* **2013**, *15*, 287–294.
- 8. Clifford, A.; Preston, G. The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups; American Mathematical Soc.: Providence, RI, USA, 1961; Volume I.
- 9. Petrich, M.; Reilly, N. *Completely Regular Semigroups*; Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, 23. A Wiley-Interscience Publication; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 1999.
- 10. Graham, R.; Rothschild, B.; Spencer, J. Ramsey Theory; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 1990.