

Review



The Yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as a Model for Understanding RAS Proteins and their Role in Human Tumorigenesis

Giulia Cazzanelli ¹, Flávia Pereira ^{1,2,3}, Sara Alves ^{1,2,4}, Rita Francisco ¹, Luísa Azevedo ^{1,2,4,5}, Patrícia Dias Carvalho ^{1,2,4}, Ana Almeida ¹, Manuela Côrte-Real ¹, Maria José Oliveira ^{2,3}, Cândida Lucas ¹, Maria João Sousa^{1,*,†} and Ana Preto ^{1,*,†}

- ¹ CBMA—Centre of Molecular and Environmental Biology, Department of Biology, University of Minho, Campus de Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal; giulia.cazzanelli88@gmail.com (G.C.); flaviabrandao.fcbp@gmail.com (F.P.); sara.csa@gmail.com (S.A.); rita.francisco.28@gmail.com (R.F.); pat.dcarvalho@gmail.com (P.D.C.); anafmalmeida@gmail.com (A.A.); mcortereal@bio.uminho.pt (M.C.R.); clucas@bio.uminho.pt (C.L.)
- ² Instituto de Investigação e Inovação em Saúde, Universidade do Porto, Rua Alfredo Allen 208, 4200-135 Porto, Portugal; mariajo@ineb.up.pt
- ³ New Therapies Group, INEB-Institute for Biomedical Engineering, 4200-135 Porto, Portugal
- ⁴ IPATIMUP-Institute of Molecular Pathology and Immunology, University of Porto, Rua Júlio Amaral de Carvalho 45, 4200-135 Porto, Portugal; lazevedo@ipatimup.pt
- ⁵ Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre S/N, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal
- * Correspondence: apreto@bio.uminho.pt (A.P.); mjsousa@bio.uminho.pt (M.J.S.); Tel.: +351-253-601524 (A.P.); +351-253-601545 (M.J.S.); Fax: +351-253-678980 (A.P. & M.J.S.)
- + These senior authors contributed equally to this work.

Received: 25 December 2017; Accepted: 12 February 2018; Published: 19 February 2018

Abstract: The exploitation of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as a biological model for the investigation of complex molecular processes conserved in multicellular organisms, such as humans, has allowed fundamental biological discoveries. When comparing yeast and human proteins, it is clear that both amino acid sequences and protein functions are often very well conserved. One example of the high degree of conservation between human and yeast proteins is highlighted by the members of the RAS family. Indeed, the study of the signaling pathways regulated by RAS in yeast cells led to the discovery of properties that were often found interchangeable with RAS proto-oncogenes in human pathways, and vice versa. In this work, we performed an updated critical literature review on human and yeast RAS pathways, specifically highlighting the similarities and differences between them. Moreover, we emphasized the contribution of studying yeast RAS pathways for the understanding of human RAS and how this model organism can contribute to unveil the roles of RAS oncoproteins in the regulation of mechanisms important in the tumorigenic process, like autophagy.

Keywords: RAS proteins; S. cerevisiae; model; homologues; colorectal cancer; autophagy; KRAS

1. Introduction

The exploitation of eukaryotic organisms as biological models has been fundamental for biological discoveries up to the present day. Among those models, a special position is reserved for the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, which continues to be extremely useful for the investigation of basic cellular processes conserved in complex multicellular organisms such as humans, profiting from the availability of a larger set of resourceful techniques [1–3]. Almost thirty years ago, the power of yeast

as a model organism was already clear, due to "the facility with which the relation between gene structure and protein function can be established" [4].

Importantly, in 1996 *S. cerevisiae* was the first eukaryote to have its complete genome sequenced and published [5] and continuous updates have been made since [6]. A few years later, a set of yeast strains with deletions of most of its annotated <u>open reading frames</u> (ORF) was made available [7,8] and currently, relatively simple methods for introducing gene mutations are well established, allowing the discovery of the biochemical function of the analyzed gene and the outcomes of the gene loss [1]. Supported by these resources, the research on *S. cerevisiae* had important repercussion for unveiling the role of many different proteins in the biology of human cells [1,3]. This was possible because of the high degree of conservation of many of the yeast and human proteins, at the level of both amino acid sequence and function.

One such example of a high degree of conservation is the case of the members of the RAS family of proteins [9–11]. RAS genes are the founding members and prototypes of the RAS superfamily of small guanosine triphosphatases (GTPases). The "classical" mammalian RAS proto-oncogenes (HRAS, KRAS and NRAS) are the most extensively studied among all the RAS superfamily members because of their direct involvement in tumorigenesis. The members of RAS are involved in cell proliferation, gene expression, differentiation, migration/invasion, autophagy and apoptosis [12–17]. The interest in RAS began in the 1960s with the discovery of Harvey and Kirsten rat sarcoma retroviruses, which were identified as viral genes transduced from the rodent genome and responsible for causing tumors in mice. These genes were respectively termed v-HRAS and v-KRAS [18,19]. Nevertheless, it was only in 1982, with the identification of activated mutant forms of these genes in human cancer cell lines, that intensive biochemical, biological and structural studies of RAS began [20]. In addition to the previously described RAS isoforms, a third isoform was identified in 1983 and named neuroblastoma (N-) RAS [21]. In the same period, the two RAS yeast homologues, RAS1 and RAS2, were identified based on DNA sequence similarity with KRAS and HRAS [9]. The yeast Ras proteins were isolated [22] and their nucleotide sequences were determined [10,11]. The importance of the study of yeast RAS proteins in elucidating mammalian RAS regulation and roles was immediately clear [23–27]. Since then, the studies of the RAS pathway in humans has led to the discovery of properties that were often found to be interchangeable with the yeast RAS pathway, and vice versa, due to the high degree of similarity among their protein members and upstream regulators. However, it is important to stress that human and yeast proteins are not identical, and likewise, the pathways they control also differ.

In this work, we performed an updated critical literature review on human and yeast RAS pathways, specifically highlighting the similarities and differences between them. Moreover, we emphasized the contribution of studying yeast RAS pathway for the understanding of human RAS oncoproteins and how this model organism can contribute to the unveiling of their role in the regulation of mechanisms important in the tumorigenic process, like autophagy.

2. *S. cerevisiae* as a Model Organism for Studying Human Proteins and Molecular Mechanisms Underlying Associated Diseases

Current understanding of basic aspects of different cell processes, such as cell cycle, DNA replication, vesicular trafficking, aging and cell death has received a major contribution from studies on *S. cerevisiae* [1], supporting the use of this organism as a powerful experimental system. Different factors contribute to the success of yeast as a model organism. First of all, *S. cerevisiae* is a eukaryote, so it shares the cellular structure and organization of higher eukaryotic cells, such as mammalian cells. Secondly, when comparing yeast and other organisms, it is clear that both amino acid sequences and protein functions are conserved. Thirdly, as mentioned above, a broad range of specific molecular tools and resources are available in yeast. Indeed, besides the sequenced genome [5] and a set of yeast ORF deletion strains [7,8], other collections with genome-wide coverage are available, such as a collection of GFP-fused chimera proteins that helps localize endogenous yeast proteins [28,29]. Moreover, the Saccharomyces Genome Database (http://www.yeastgenome.org/), which gives detailed and updated information about every yeast gene, is available. All these resources have

made it possible to uncover the role of almost 85% of the 5800 protein-coding genes of *S. cerevisiae*, with important repercussions for the biology of other organisms, including humans.

Approximately 17% of yeast genes are members of orthologous gene families associated with human diseases [30] and, conversely, 30% of known genes involved in human diseases have yeast orthologues and can substitute for yeast gene function [31]. The fact that many of the protein functions discovered in yeast can then be translated to higher eukaryotes evidences the relevance of using this model in the study of proteins involved in human disorders. At first glance, it might seem that yeast proteins have little to do with processes involved in human diseases. However, some of these processes can be better analyzed in the simpler environment of yeast cells, like in the case of the aggregations of misfolded proteins implicated in neurodegenerative disorders, such as Parkinson's, Huntington's and Alzheimer's diseases [2,3,32,33]. Besides studying yeast protein functions and then translating them to higher eukaryotes, a different approach can be considered to directly understand the role of human proteins: the creation of humanized yeast by heterologously expressing human proteins [34] (Figure 1). This strategy is particularly helpful in the discovery of human protein functions associated with a disease in a "neutral" environment, devoid of the different layers of complexity that were acquired during evolution. It is also useful for determining the outcome of protein mutations [1]. The expression of human proteins in yeast can also be instrumental in the discovery of chemical or protein inhibitors of their activity in high-throughput screenings. These assays are based on the fact that human proteins expressed heterologously may cause growth defects in yeast, which can be suppressed by chemical compounds or by the expression of a second human protein [3]. Yeasts have also been used to express human genes that do not have an endogenous functional counterpart. This approach has been successfully exploited in the study of neurological disorders such as Huntington's, Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases [32,33,35–38].



Figure 1. The study of human proteins putatively involved in disease using *S. cerevisiae* as model can be summarized in three main methodologies. If the human protein has a yeast counterpart, the yeast protein can be studied in its environment and its function can be compared with the one in human cells, or the human gene can be cloned and expressed in yeast, in order to be studied in a neutral environment. Also human proteins that do not have a yeast orthologue can be cloned in yeast, especially with the purpose of finding their inhibitors or activators. In every case, the discoveries made in yeast need further validation in human cells.

Importantly, yeast has been applied in the study of proteins relevant for tumorigenesis [39,40] and in the discovery and testing of anticancer agents [41–44]. For example, yeast deletion mutant collections have been used to identify genes involved in UV sensitivity, and the correspondent human orthologues putatively associated with cancer development [45], and to screen for drug effects, for instance to test sensitivity and/or resistance to the common anticancer drug bleomycin

[46]. Several cellular processes important in cancer onset and progression, such apoptosis and cell growth, have also been analyzed in yeast. The heterologous expression of caspases in yeast greatly helped to uncover their mechanism of activation [47]. Importantly, high expression level of caspases in yeast caused severe growth defects, and this phenotype facilitated the identification of caspase natural inhibitors, such as IAPs and p35 [47,48], as well as chemical inhibitors and activators [49]. Bcl-2 family members have also been studied in yeast. A Bcl-2 family pro-apoptotic member, Bax, is capable to induce cell death in yeast. Screening for its inhibitors led to the discovery of Bax inhibitor 1, Bar1, HMGB1, bifunctional apoptosis regulator and Calnexin orthologue Cnx1 [50–54], and other inhibitors belonging to the Bcl-2 family, such as Bcl-2 and Bcl-XL [55]. Studies on p53, one of the most important proteins in cancer development, have also been addressed in yeast. p53 is mutated in approximately 50% of human cancers and, when not mutated, often other proteins involved in p53mediated pathways are non-functional [56]. There are no orthologues of p53 in yeast, but this protein can maintain most of its activity as transcription factor in S. cerevisiae [57-59]. Aside from the conserved function, p53 can cause a mild decrease of yeast growth [60,61]. All these factors have been extensively exploited to better analyze the function and regulation of p53. For example, p53 regulation by redox level and thioredoxin reductase were first discovered in yeast and then confirmed in mammals [62,63]. Another study revealed the conservation in yeast of functional transcription-dependent and -independent p53 apoptotic mechanisms [64]. Also the importance of p53 mutations for pathogenesis has been analyzed in yeast, facilitated by the amenability of yeast high-throughput assays. Indeed, all the mutations of p53 representing amino acid substitutions were expressed in yeast and tested for various aspects, including the ability to activate proteins involved in cell cycle and apoptosis [65–67].

2.1. S. cerevisiae as a Model Organism for Studying Human RAS Proteins

Human RAS proteins have a role in tumorigenesis and are highly conserved in yeast [9–11]. Indeed, though they do not activate the same downstream pathways, the upstream regulating events and the resulting effects are often very similar. Therefore, the usage of *S. cerevisiae* as model for dissecting the role of these oncoproteins and the underlying molecular mechanisms was not only possible, but was also extremely useful.

Historically, the study of yeast RAS pathway has brought great insights in the understanding of mammalian RAS pathway. First of all, yeast was very useful for understanding the post-translational process necessary for RAS proteins to translocate to the plasma membrane [68]. In particular, the usage of a *S. cerevisiae* mutant, $dpr1\Delta$, made clear that acylation could not be the first translational modification in the processing of RAS proteins [69]. Moreover, the first RAS effector, adenylate cyclase, and the first guanine-nucleotide exchange factor (GEF), Cdc25, were identified in yeast [70–72]. These discoveries, especially the one of Cdc25, helped to uncover similar proteins in other organisms, including humans, based on homology [73–75]. In addition to GEF proteins, yeast has been useful to deepen the understanding of the other class of RAS regulators, <u>GTPase activating proteins</u> (GAPs), IRA in yeast. Indeed, the functions of GAP-coding *NF1* gene, whose mutations are responsible for neurofibromatosis type 1, were clarified thanks to the similarity with *IRA* genes of *S. cerevisiae* [76]. Importantly, expression of *NF1* in yeast can suppress the phenotypes caused by deletion of *IRA* genes, such as heat shock sensitivity [77], proving that mammalian and yeast GAPs are interchangeable and highlighting the similarity between yeast and mammalian RAS proteins activation.

3. Human and Yeast RAS Proteins: Similarities and Differences

The human RAS family includes three genes: *HRAS, NRAS,* and *KRAS*. These three loci encode four different protein isoforms: HRAS, NRAS, KRAS4A, and KRAS4B. The two KRAS isoforms differ due to the alternative splicing of exon 4 in the KRAS locus. KRAS4A is expressed at low levels, whereas KRAS4B (hereafter referred to as KRAS) is ubiquitously expressed and accounts for 90–99% of all KRAS mRNA [12,78–80]. All isoforms are similar (~85%) in their primary amino acid sequence in the G-domain, which is responsible for GTP/GDP binding, whereas the major differences are

concentrated in the <u>hypervariable region</u> (HVR) at the C terminus, which is particularly important for <u>post</u> translational <u>m</u>odifications (PTMs) and consequent intracellular targeting[81]. Despite their high conservation at the amino acid sequence level, their functions differ significantly and they do not display redundant functionality. The specific roles of RAS proteins may be explained by various factors, such as cellular context, differential interaction with effectors, compartmentalized signaling and PTMs [82]. While HRAS, KRAS4A and NRAS have been shown to be dispensable for normal development in mice, KRAS4B knockout was proven to be embryonically lethal [12].

S. cerevisiae expresses two proteins homologous to human RAS, Ras1 and Ras2. *RAS1* and *RAS2* genes are located in chromosome XV and XIV, respectively [25,83], and encode two highly similar proteins of 36 and 40 kDa [22,84]. Ras1 and Ras2 have the same function, but different expression regulation [85,86]. Initially, they were thought to have different roles in the cell, because Ras1 could not complement growth defects caused by the deletion of *RAS2*, such as reduced growth on nonfermentable carbon sources and low level of intracellular cAMP [25,87,88], and *RAS1* mutants did not present any clear phenotype. However, these effects are now explained by the different regulation of the mRNA production and of protein translation of *RAS1*. Indeed, the level of *RAS1* mRNA and protein synthesis are reduced as cells approach mid-logarithmic phase and when cells are grown on non-fermentable carbon sources [85], while *RAS2* mRNA levels are high during growth phases [86] and on both fermentable and non-fermentable carbon sources [85]. The final confirmation that the only difference between *RAS1* and *RAS2* lies in differential expression was obtained by expressing *RAS1* under the constitutive *ADH1* promoter. In this case, *RAS1* was able to fully suppress the phenotype of $\Delta ras2$ and the hyperactive mutant of *RAS1* showed the same effects as the constitutively expressed *RAS2* [89].

As observed in comparisons within human RAS isoforms and within yeast RAS isoforms, the similarity between human and yeast RAS also resides in the functional G-domain of 180 amino acids, whereas the region of divergence is located at the C-terminal, corresponding to the HVR [9–11]. In addition, the yeast RAS molecular weights are higher than those of human RAS, with the size of the proteins being approximately 40 kDa, in contrast with mammalian RAS, which accounts for 21 kDa [22,90] (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Yeast and human RAS proteins present a highly conserved G domain, which is responsible for GTP/GDP binding. Human RAS proteins are also highly similar among them, HRAS showing 91% of amino acid identity in the G-domain to NRAS and 93% to KRAS. Yeast Ras proteins are bigger, having more than 100 extra amino acids, but still present around 60% amino acid identity in the G-domain to human RAS proteins.

We performed a comparative analysis of yeast and human RAS amino acid sequences and confirmed the high similarity in sequence and structure between human and yeast RAS proteins (Figure 3). Specifically, the N-terminal region is strongly conserved, especially in the first half of the sequence. This reflects the important functional constraints involved in the recognition of guanine nucleotide and phosphate, for which the N-terminal is responsible (Figure 3a). In this segment, 58% of residues are identical between any of the yeast RAS proteins and any of the mammalian RAS sequences. As expected, G12 and G13 residues, which are frequently found mutated in cancer, are among those invariant positions (Figure 3a). The human KRAS structure (PDB ID 3GFT) was used to infer the structure of the yeast RAS (Figure 3b) through homology modeling, as previously documented [91,92]. This comparative analysis evidences the similarity between human KRAS and both yeast RAS proteins (Figure 3c) and suggests that the differences in amino acid sequence would still result in a similar fold in humans and yeast proteins (Figure 3c). The main difference between the three mammalian RAS isoforms (KRAS, HRAS and NRAS) and yeast homologues lies at the Cterminal domain, in the HVR, which is critical to membrane localization and function (Figure 3a). Interestingly, yeast RAS proteins contain an extra C-terminal portion not present in RAS protein from other organisms (Figure 3a). The extended C-terminal accounts for 120 aa in the case of Ras1 and 131 aa for Ras2, making yeast RAS HVR around 140 aa [89]. It has not been established yet if this part can form a secondary structure, however its function has been identified. The extended C-terminal was reported to serve as a negative regulatory domain for RAS, promoting its interaction with GDP and therefore the permanence in the inactive status [93]. Accordingly, it was shown that yeast cells expressing truncated RAS proteins lacking the C-terminal domain (or mammalian RAS proteins, without the extra 120 aa) do not require a functional GEF to be viable, while they do when expressing normal RAS proteins [89].

	Gly ¹² -Gly ¹³
Hs-KRAS4B	MTEYKLVVVGAGGVGKSALTIQLIQNHFVDEYDPTIEDSYRKQVVIDGETCLLDILDTAGQEEYSAMRDQYMRTGEGFL
Hs-KRAS4A	MTEYKLVVVGASGVGKSALTIQLIQNHFVDEYDPTIEDSYRKQVVIDGETCLLDILDTAGQEEYSAMRDQYMRTGEGFL
Hs-NRAS	MTEYKLVVVGAGGVGKSALTIQLIQNHFVDEYDPTIEDSYRKQVVIDGETCLLDILDTAGQEEYSAMRDQYMRTGEGFL
Hs-HRAS	MTEYKLVVVGAGGVGKSALTIQLIQNHFVDEYDPTIEDSYRKQVVIDGETCLLDILDTAGQEEYSAMRDQYMRTGEGFL
Sc-Ras1	MQGNKSTIREYKIVVVGGGGVGKSALTIQFIQSYFVDEYDPTIEDSYRKQVVIDDKVSILDILDTAGQEEYSAMREQYMRTGEGFL
Sc-Ras2	MPLNKSNIREYKIVVVGGGGVGKSALTIQLTQSHFVDEYDPTIEDSYRKQVVIDDEVSILDILDTAGQEEYSAMREQYMRNGEGFL
Hs-KRAS4B	CVFAINNTKSFEDIHHYREQIKRVKDSEDVPMVLVGNKCDLPS-RTVDTKQAQDLARSYGIPFIETSAKTRQCVDDAFYTLVREIR
Hs-KRAS4A	CVFAINNTKSFEDIHHYREQIKRVKDSEDVFMVLVGNKCDLPS-RTVDTKQAQDLARSYGIFFIETSAKTRQRVEDAFYTLVREIR
HS-NRAS	CVFAINNTKSFADINLYREQIKRVKDSDDVFMVLVGNKCDLPT-RTVDTKQAHELAKSYGIEFIETSAKTRQCVEDAFYTLVREIR
Hs-HRAS	CVFAINNTKSFEDIHQYREQIKRVKDSDDVEM VLVGNKCDLAA-RTVESRQAQDLARSYGIEYIETSAKTRQGVEDAFYTLVREIR
Sc-Ras1	L <mark>V</mark> YSVTSRN <mark>S</mark> FDELLS <mark>Y</mark> YQQIQRVKDSDYI P V VVGNKLDLENERQVSYEDGLRLAKQINA P FLETSAKQAINVDEAFYSLIRLVR
Sc-Ras2	LVYSITSKSSLDELMTYYQQILRVKDTDYVEIVVGNKSDLENEKQVSYQDGLNMAKQMNAPFLETSAKQAINVEEAFYTLARLVR
Hs-KRAS4B	KHK-EKMSKDGKKKKKK
Hs-KRAS4A	QYRLKKISKEEKTPGCVKIKK
Hs-NRAS	QYRMKKLNSSDDGTQGCMGLP
Hs-HRAS	QHKLRKLNPPDESGPGCMSCK
Sc-Ras1	DDGGKYNSMNRQLDNTNEIRDSELTSSATADREKKNNGSYVLDNSLTNAGTGSSSKSAVNHNGETTKRTDEK
Sc-Ras2	${\tt DEGGKYNKTLTENDNSKQTSQDTKGSGANSVPRNSGGHRKMSNAANGKNVNSSTTVVNARNASIESKTGLAGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGHRKMSNAANGKNVNSSTTVVNARNASIESKTGLAGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGHRKMSNAANGKNVNSSTTVVNARNASIESKTGLAGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGHRKMSNAANGKNVNSSTTVVNARNASIESKTGLAGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGHRKMSNAANGKNVNSSTTVVNARNASIESKTGLAGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGHRKMSNAANGKNVNSSTTVVNARNASIESKTGLAGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGHRKMSNAANGKNVNSSTTVVNARNASIESKTGLAGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGHRKMSNAANGKNVNSSTTVVNARNASIESKTGLAGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGHRKMSNAANGKNVNSSTTVVNARNASIESKTGLAGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGHRKMSNAANGKNVNSSTTVVNARNASIESKTGLAGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGHRKMSNAANGKNVNSSTTVVNARNASIESKTGLAGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGHRKMSNAANGKNVNSSTTVVNARNASIESKTGLAGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNGATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNGATNGKTQTDRSGGNGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNGATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNGATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTDRSGGNQATNGKTQTTTTTTVNARNASIGNQATNGKTQTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT$
Hs-KRAS4E	<mark>0</mark> vim
Hs-KRAS4A	CIIM
Hs-NRAS	
Hs-HRAS	CVLS
Sc-Ras1	NYVNQNNNNEGNTKYSSNGNGNRSDISRGNQNNALNSRSKQSAEPQKNSSANARKESSGGC <mark>C</mark> IIC
Sc-Ras2	TNIDNSTGQAGQANAQSANTVNNRVNNNSKAGQVSNAKQARKQQAAPGGNTS-EASKSGSGGG <mark>C</mark> IIS

(a)



Figure 3. Comparison of RAS proteins between human (Hs) and yeast (Sc) species. (**a**) Alignment of human KRAS, NRAS and HRAS with yeast Ras1 and Ras2. Identical residues at homologous positions are shown in blue. The Gly12 and Gly13 sites are highlighted in red. The CAAX box is highlighted in green. The lysine repeats of KRAS4A are highlighted in pink. Human sequences were obtained from Ensembl (KRAS4B: ENSP00000308495, KRAS4A: ENSP00000256078, NRAS: ENSP00000358548 and HRAS: ENSP00000309845) and yeast sequences from the *Saccharomyces* Genome Database (RAS1: YOR101W and RAS2: YNL098C). Sequences were aligned in Geneious 5.5.8 [94], using Muscle [95]. (**b**) Models of *S. cerevisiae* Ras1 (blue) and Ras2 (purple) using human KRAS structure (3GFT) as a template (green). (**c**) The three structures are shown superimposed, revealing the fold similarity. GDP and Mg sites are shown in orange.

3.2. RAS Mechanism of Action and Regulators

Since all RAS proteins share a conserved G-domain, which is the functional domain that binds to GTP/GDP, they all present a common mechanism of action. All RAS proteins work substantially as binary molecules switching between an inactive state, in which they are bound to GDP, and an active state, in which they are bound to GTP. Our understanding of the molecular mechanism underlying the activation of RAS proteins has been greatly facilitated by the similarity between human and yeast RAS proteins. Specifically, the relevance of a glutamine in position 61 for the intrinsic hydrolysis activity of human RAS [96,97] was better understood and confirmed by the comparison with yeast. Indeed, the substitution of the glutamine with a leucine in both human and yeast, position 61 and 68, respectively, reduced the GTPase activity of human and yeast RAS proteins [98]. The activation of RAS proteins leads to the subsequent activation of a signaling cascade, which differs depending on the organism and the specific RAS protein [99,100]. Even though the proteins that interact with RAS for the activation of downstream signaling are different in different organisms and for different RAS isoforms in the same organism, most of them share a conserved domain to interact with RAS proteins, the RAS-binding domain (RBD) or RAS association (RA) domain [100]. Many RAS effectors have been identified by screening cDNA libraries for the presence of RA domain [101–103]. The conserved working mechanism of RAS proteins relies on their conserved sequence, in particular on the presence of a set (1 to 5) of G box GDP/GTP-binding motif elements beginning at the N-terminal domain, which together form a G-domain of approximately 20 kDa [104]. Particularly relevant among the G box motifs are switch I and switch II, which regulate the conformational changes between GTP- and GDP-bound RAS proteins [105,106]. The conformations of active and inactive state show pronounced changes corresponding to the regions of switch I and II, and these small variations lead to different affinities of active or inactive RAS proteins toward RAS regulators and effectors [107–109].

RAS protein switching between GTP and GDP binding is regulated by two classes of proteins: GAPs and GEFs. GAPs enhance the intrinsically low GTPase activity of RAS proteins, up to 300-fold acceleration [110], in order to reinstate the GDP-bound form of RAS proteins [111], whereas GEFs

promote the formation of GTP-bound form, by triggering the dissociation of GDP from RAS proteins, allowing more abundant GTP to bind in its place [112] (Figure 4). As mentioned above, the similarity between human and yeast RAS was a great contribution to the discovery of GEF and their relevance for the proper switch between active and inactive RAS. Indeed, the first GEF, Cdc25, was identified in *S. cerevisiae* [70–72]. Based on the homology with Cdc25 and the *Drosophila* GEF, mammalian GEFs were also discovered and identified as RAS switch regulators [73–75,113]. The similarities between RAS regulators, in addition to the ones between RAS proteins themselves, contributed to clarifying some aspects of the RAS mechanism. For example, comparing the sequences of various GAPs, including Ira1 and Ira2 from *S. cerevisiae* and human p120GAP, was very important in understanding which regions of the protein were fundamental for the interaction with RAS [96]. Importantly, to reinforce the similarity between human and yeast RAS regulators, yeast Cdc25 can act as GEF for human RAS [114] and mammalian GAPs can enhance the GTPase activity of yeast RAS proteins [77,115], making GEFs and GAPs interchangeable between human and yeast.



Figure 4. Inactive RAS proteins bound to GDP are localized in the cytoplasm. GEFs catalyze the liberation of GDP from the binding site, allowing GTP, more abundant in the cell, to bind instead. GTP-bound RAS are translocated to the membrane and activated. GAPs enhance the endogenous GTPase activity, hydrolyzing GTP to GDP. RAS is inactive again and goes back to the cytoplasm, where the cycle can begin again, upon proper stimulus.

3.3. Post-Translational Modifications of Human and Yeast RAS

The activity of both human and yeast RAS proteins is not regulated only by their GTP/GDP binding state, but also by PTMs, which determine RAS sub-cellular localization. Indeed, the localization of RAS proteins at the plasma membrane or on endomembranes establishes the class of effectors and regulators available, determining in turn the downstream signaling [99,100]. As happened in the case of the protein regulators of RAS, GAPs and GEFs, yeast had a pivotal role in the study of the PTMs of RAS. In particular, the study in yeast led to the understanding that RAS processing in the CAAX box was necessary for its translocation to the membrane and, therefore, for its proper activity [69,90,116]. Yeast also contributed to the determination of the sequence of PTMs necessary for RAS processing [117,118]. The discoveries made in yeast were soon translated for human RAS, when it was observed that a human RAS protein expressed in yeast underwent the same PTMs in order to function [68].

RAS proteins are synthesized as cytoplasmic proteins [119] and then undergo different PTMs that fully activate and target them to the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane [100]. The C-terminal domain is necessary for this sub-cellular localization, because it contains the CAAX (C = cysteine, A = aliphatic amino acid, X = terminal amino acid) motif indispensable for membrane targeting

[99,100,120]. The cysteine in the CAAX motif is the target of farnesyltransferase, which catalyzes the addition of a farnesyl isoprenoid [99,100,121–123]. It has been proved experimentally that the addition of a geranylgeranyl isoprenoid (geranylgeranylation) to the CAAX motif can substitute the farnesylation [124]. However, this PTM has only been observed when farnesyltransferase was

farnesylation [124]. However, this PTM has only been observed when farnesyltransferase was blocked by specific inhibitors [124–126]. This modification is the first step of RAS processing, followed by other modifications, such as palmitoylation, driven by a second signal contained in the HVR [100]. These two steps are the minimum signal required for transit to and tenure at a membrane, either plasmatic or of internal organelles. This specific differentiation, plasma or endo-membranes, depends exactly on the second step of RAS proteins processing, which is often different for distinct RAS isoforms.

3.4. Differential Localization of Human and Yeast RAS

The localization of RAS proteins in either biological system has always been a matter of debate, with interesting results from both mammalians and yeasts. In both organisms, RAS proteins can exhibit intracellular localizations, but are almost always associated with membranes. Notably, the specific site in which they reside dictates their function and effects, through the interaction with specific partners [99,100].

Localization of RAS proteins in mammals varies throughout their lifetime. Once in the active form, i.e. farnesylated, RAS proteins organize themselves in pools situated in the Golgi, the endocytic compartments and the <u>endoplasmic reticulum (ER)</u> [127–129]. From that step forward, the processing of the different RAS isoforms differs. HRAS is palmitoylated on C181 and C184, NRAS is palmitoylated only on C181 and KRAS4B is not palmitoylated at all [122,130,131]. KRAS4B contains a polybasic region constituted by a stretch of lysines that enables an electrostatic interaction with the negatively charged plasma membrane phospholipids [122,124,130,132,133] and excludes it from a Golgi-dependent trafficking pathway [127]. Once the CAAX processing is complete, RAS isoforms follow different routes. Palmitoylated isoforms visit the Golgi, where they are acylated and thereby trapped in its membranes, from where they traffic, via vesicular transport, to the plasma membrane [134]. KRAS4A is believed to follow this pathway, as this splice variant does not have the polybasic domain of KRAS4B and it is palmitoylated at C180 [131]. In contrast, KRAS4B cannot be trapped in the Golgi and is directly routed from the endoplasmic reticulum to the plasma membrane by a still poorly understood delivery system that could involve cytosolic chaperones [134] (Figure 5).

In addition to different PTMs, RAS isoforms also display different final localizations on the membrane. For example, Prior et al. [135] demonstrated, through electron and confocal microscopy, that HRAS in its inactive state is predominantly localized at cholesterol-rich domains inside or outside caveolin-rich domains called caveolae, whereas upon activation it delocalizes to disordered regions of the plasma membrane. As for KRAS, it was described to be located mostly outside caveolae and lipid rafts and preferably in electron-dense regions [135]. Once localized at a membrane, either plasmatic or of an internal organelle, RAS isoforms are not dispersed randomly, but are grouped in organized subdomains, as firstly suggested by Roy et al. [136]. Clustering of RAS proteins at the plasma membrane seems to be related with galectins, namely galectin 1 and 3 [137] and cholesterol content [129,136,138,139]. For many years, plasma membrane localization was considered the main platform from which RAS proteins activated their effectors [140]. However, increasing evidences show that RAS proteins are still capable of activating their signaling pathways when located on endomembranes [127,128,140,141]. The presence in the endosomal system of adaptor proteins that are required to activate RAS [142,143] is a strong indicator that RAS proteins could localize in internal cell compartments and signal from there [142,144]. As further evidence, it was shown that ubiquitination of HRAS and NRAS alters their sub-cellular localization to endosomes [145]. KRAS translocates from the plasma membrane to the early and late endosomes through a clathrindependent pathway and independently of CaM and protein kinase C phosphorylation [146]. From late endosomes (LEs), KRAS is eventually targeted to lysosomes, as indicated by confocal microscope images showing that GFP-KRAS co-localized, to some extent, with both LAMP1 and LAMP2 lysosomal markers. Fluorescent probes revealed that KRAS was active on LEs, and recruited RAF to

initiate a MAP kinase signaling cascade [146]. A study from Chiu et al. [140] showed that HRAS and NRAS engaged their RBD in Golgi and ER after stimulation with common mitogens, such as epidermal growth <u>factor</u> (EGF) and insulin, and from there they were able to activate different signaling pathways with different efficiency. KRAS was found to relocate from the plasma membrane to ER and Golgi after being phosphorylated by protein kinase C on serine 181 [147] and to move along the endocytic compartment maintaining the ability to activate MAPK (<u>mitogen-activated protein kinase</u>) upon EGF stimulation [146]. Association with mitochondria has also been reported [148] (Figure 6).



Figure 5. All RAS isoforms undergo a first farnesylation, followed by single palmitoylation in the case of KRAS4A and NRAS, double palmitoylation in the case of HRAS, and no palmitoylation in the case of KRAS4B. This splicing variant is retained in the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane by electrostatic interaction between the positively charged lysines and the negatively charged phospholipids. The three palmitoylated isoforms first pass through the Golgi and are then transported to the membrane via vesicular trafficking. Yeast RAS proteins behave very similar to the palmitoylated human isoforms, also being palmitoylated.

In conclusion, RAS post-translational modifications address different RAS isoforms to different localizations, specifically different intracellular organelles, and determine the relative proportion of each isoform in each location. Typically, the relative contribution on endomembranes is NRAS > HRAS and KRAS4A > KRAS4B [149,150], with KRAS4B being more often associated with plasma membranes, whereas NRAS is more frequently found on endomembranes [149].



Figure 6. Human RAS proteins are localized in different cellular compartments, almost always associated with membranes. KRAS and HRAS are found in the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane, associated with galectin-3 [151,152] and galectin-1 [153], respectively. Inactive HRAS is very often located in cholesterol-rich structures called caveolae. RAS proteins can also signal from the membranes of internal organelles, such as ER, Golgi, mitochondria and the endosomal system.

Yeast RAS proteins also localize in different cell compartments in order to activate different effectors and thereby to control different processes [154]. Indeed, fluorescent tagging of yeast RAS proteins and their partners, including the GAP Ira proteins and the GEF Cdc25, showed that most of these molecules are actually localized on endomembranes [154]. In particular, Ras2 activates adenylyl cyclase on the plasma membrane and is engaged to the ER by <u>Ras inhibitor 1 (Eri1) [155]</u>. It has also been reported to localize at mitochondrial membranes, together with Ira proteins [154]. More recent studies go further, showing that the localization of active RAS is dependent on PKA activity [156,157].

In summary, the compartmentalized RAS activities have now been validated in many cell types across species. Therefore, the exclusively plasma membrane localization of RAS proteins is evidently an oversimplification [158].

3.5. Human and Yeast RAS Downstream Signaling Pathway

As aforementioned, many studies have highlighted the great similarities between human and yeast RAS proteins at the level of sequence, structure, mechanism of action, PTMs and differential localization. On the other hand, the most evident differences between the intracellular activities of the RAS proteins in the two organisms relate to RAS effectors and their downstream signaling cascades.

Human RAS proteins work as transducers of pro-survival signals from the extracellular space to the intracellular compartment, through different tyrosine kinases receptors (TKRs), among which the most studied is the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR). The dimerization of the receptors upon ligand binding triggers a conformational change that activates the catalytic tyrosine kinase domain, enabling the autophosphorylation of the intracellular carboxyl-terminal domain and therefore its activation [159–161]. The phosphorylated intracellular domain of TKR recruits GEFs, which activate RAS, enabling it to signal downstream [162]. Activated RAS proteins can bind and activate at least 20 different effectors, among which the best known and characterized are RAF (rapidly-accelerated fibrosarcoma) kinases, phosphatidylinositol <u>3-kinase</u> (PI3K) and <u>RAL g</u>uanine

nucleotide <u>d</u>issociation <u>s</u>timulator (RALGDS). Other effectors of RAS proteins are RIN1, <u>T</u> lymphoma invasion and metastasis-inducing <u>1</u> (Tiam 1), Af6, Nore1, PLC ε and PKC ζ [131,163–165] (Figure 7).

Activated RAF kinases phosphorylate and activate MEK (MAPK/ERK kinase), leading to the activation of ERK (extracellular signal-regulated kinase, also called MAPK). ERK regulates several transcriptional factors that influence cell cycle progression, proliferation and survival (e.g. autophagy) [21]. In the other pathway, activated PI3K catalyzes the production of PIP₃ (<u>phosphatidylinositol-3,4-triphosphate</u>) by phosphorylating PIP₂ (phosphatidylinositol-4,5diphosphate), a process reversed by the phosphatase PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homolog deleted in chromosome ten). PIP₃ activates phosphatidylinositol dependent kinase 1 (PDK1), which recruits AKT to the plasma membrane and activates it [166]. The main downstream effector of activated AKT is mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin), which is an atypical serine/threonine kinase that can form two distinct complexes, depending on which proteins interact with it. The <u>mTOR</u> complex <u>1</u> (mTORC1) mainly promotes the transcription of genes involved in cell growth, cell cycle progression and energy metabolism. The <u>mTOR complex 2</u> (mTORC2) mainly phosphorylates AKT, originating an auto-sustaining positive feedback loop, which results in cell survival and proliferation. Moreover, AKT activation enhances telomerase activity, inhibits apoptosis blocking the release of cytochrome c from the mitochondria and inactivating pro-apoptotic factors such as Bad and procaspase 9, and regulates modulators of angiogenesis through the activation of nitric oxide synthase [167–170]. RAS, when interacting with RALGDS, stimulates RAL (RAS-like) GTPases, inducing the activation of phospholipase D1 (PLD) and CDC42/RAC-GAP-RAL binding protein 1 (RALBP1). These, among other pro-survival functions, promote the progression of the cell cycle, inhibiting transcription factors implicated in cell cycle arrest, such as the FORKHEAD transcription factors [131,163]. Another important RAS effector is Tiam 1, which is a Rho family GTPase that regulates the actin cytoskeleton and activates p21 activated protein kinases (PAKs) and c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) [134].



Figure 7. Activated human RAS proteins can activate multiple effectors, having different impact on cell fate. Among the most studied, activated RAF triggers pro-growth signaling through the MAP kinases cascade; PI3K leads to AKT activation and RALGDS stimulated cell cycle progression.

Similarly to human RAS, yeast RAS proteins transduce signals in response to the nutritional conditions of the environment from outside to inside the cell, especially glucose availability [93,171,172] (Figure 8). Contrarily to human RAS and TKRs, the transducer of the growth signal from the outside to the inside of the yeast cell is still not known.

Yeast RAS proteins exert this function mainly in controlling the 3',5'-cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) metabolism. cAMP acts as second messenger in the regulation of several fundamental cellular processes, such as protein phosphorylation, accumulation of storage carbohydrates, mitochondrial functions [173–181], sporulation [182], sensitivity to heat shock [183] and cell cycle progression [25,184–186]. RAS proteins, once activated, interact with adenylate cyclase at the plasma membrane [187], which synthesizes cAMP from guanine nucleotides [27,70,93,172,188– 192]. The main target of cAMP is protein kinase <u>A</u> (PKA), which is composed by a catalytic subunit, encoded by the genes TPK1, TPK2 and TPK3 [193,194], and by a regulatory subunit encoded by BCY1. PKA exists in the cell as an inactive heterotetrametric holoenzyme composed by two catalytic and two regulatory subunits [193,194]. cAMP binds to the regulatory unit Bcy1, which relieves its inhibitory effect on the catalytic subunit, allowing the phosphorylation of several downstream targets [171,172,188]. cAMP synthesis is one of several PKA targets, suggesting a strong negative feedback regulation [195,196] and adenylate cyclase itself has been proposed as a PKA target [188]. PKA has a wide variety of substrates, whose activation leads to a dramatic change in the transcriptional program, which helps the cells to adapt to new nutrient conditions, in particular favoring cell growth and proliferation. PKA regulates the metabolism of storage carbohydrates, ribosomal biogenesis, stress response [197,198], polarity of actin cytoskeleton [199], spore morphogenesis [200], cyclins synthesis and subsequent cell cycle progression [25,70,201,202], cell size and growth [171].



Figure 8. Ras1 and Ras2 are activated upon nutrient availability signaling, glucose in particular. The GEF proteins Cdc25 or Sdc25 catalyze the liberation of GDP and the binding of GTP. Active RAS proteins activate in turn adenylate cyclase, which produces cAMP. This second messenger binds to the inhibitory unit of PKA, releasing the catalytic unit, which phosphorylates multiple downstream targets, leading to the activation of a variety of cellular processes or to the inhibition of transcription factors that control stress response. The pathway can be inactivated by the hydrolysis of cAMP by the phosphodiesterases Pde1 and Pde2, and by the GTPase activity of the GAPs Ira1 and Ira2.

3.6. RAS Effects on Growth, Apoptosis and Autophagy

3.6.1. RAS Effects on Cell Cycle in Human and Yeast

In both human and yeast, RAS is activated in response of the presence of growth and prosurvival signals, specifically growth factors in the case of human RAS [159,161] and glucose in the case of yeast RAS [93,171,172]. Though RAS stimulates growth, meant as an increase in cell number, these effects are not always achieved in the same way in human and yeast due to the different level of organism complexity. RAS stimulates cell cycle progression associated with increase in cell size and protein synthesis [171,197,203–205] (Figure 9). However, in the case of human, cell population growth is also promoted by inhibiting apoptosis and stimulating accessory processes such as angiogenesis [163,164,206].



Figure 9. The activation of RAS proteins leads to G1 to S phase progression through the cell cycle in both human and yeast. In both cases, RAS stimulates the formation of functional complexes between cyclins and CDK.

The RAS pathway in mammals controls the cell cycle through a variety of different proteins, resulting ultimately in the inactivation of the <u>retinoblastoma</u> (RB) family of pocket proteins [203,207]. RB proteins are found in a hypo-phosphorylated state in resting cells, which confers them the ability to sequester members of the E2F transcription-factor family. These transcription factors, once free from RB inhibition, modulate the transcription of genes involved in the progression of cell cycle from G1 to S phase, especially involved in the regulation of DNA synthesis [205]. The phosphorylation of RB by cyclin-CDK (cyclin-dependent kinase) complexes frees the E2F transcription factors from inhibition. Cyclins and CDKs regulate the progression of the cell cycle by associating in active complexes [204,205]. The connection between RAS-driven pathways and the cell cycle was confirmed by experiments that utilized RAS neutralizing antibodies [208–210]. Cells subjected to this type of treatment stopped growth in G1 and presented hypo-phosphorylated RB. On the other hand, expression of oncogenic mutated RAS enabled cells to enter the cell cycle independently from growth factors, progressing through the cell cycle uncontrollably [211,212]. This occurs because the activating

mutation of KRAS leads to its constitutive activation, by turning it more resistant to GAPs activity, and consequently, constitutively activating its downstream signaling pathway [79,213].

The RAS/cAMP/PKA pathway in yeast regulates not only the cell cycle, but also ribosome production, increase in cell size and mass and growth rate [171,197]. These processes are strictly interdependent. In fact, if on one hand the specific growth rate is determined by the rate of mass accumulation, which in turn depends on nutrient availability, on the other hand cell cycle progression and cell size both depend on specific growth rate and mass accumulation [214–218]. RAS/cAMP/PKA pathway can influence the cell cycle, modulating the expression of cyclins (Clns) [219], whose complexation with cyclin-dependent kinases (Cdks) is necessary to enter in S phase. In particular, RAS suppresses the expression of Cln1 and Cln2, but not of Cln3 [219,220]. Cln3 in this way counteracts the inhibition of the other Clns, mediating their growth-dependent expression [219]. In addition, PKA has been found to directly phosphorylate Whi3, a negative regulator of G1 cyclins, inhibiting its functions and thus promoting the passage into S phase [221].

3.6.2. RAS Effects on Cell Death in Human and Yeast

In addition to regulate cell proliferation, promoting cell cycle progression, RAS pathways control cell survival by modulating apoptosis [222–224]. Contrarily to what happens for cell cycle and proliferation, in the case of apoptosis the outcomes of RAS activation are opposite in human and yeast. Indeed, the human RAS pathway is one of the main anti-apoptotic pathways and when upregulated it can immortalize the cells. On the opposite side, yeast RAS upregulation can lead to programmed cell death through the overexpression of genes that negatively control stress response.

RAS-mediated survival signals promote apoptosis evasion, especially through PI3K pathway. In particular, AKT can phosphorylate Bad, a pro-apoptotic member of the Bcl-2 family, and this phosphorylation causes Bad to bind to 14-3-3 in an inactive complex, instead of sequestering the antiapoptotic proteins Bcl-2 and Bcl-XL [225]. In addition to AKT, PI3K can activate another important survival factor, NF-κB, through the activation of Rac [226–228]. NF-κB is a potent transcription factor that induces the transcription of several anti-apoptotic genes, such as <u>i</u>nhibitors of <u>apoptosis proteins</u> (IAPs) [229]. Rac, and consequently NF-κB, can also be activated by RAS directly through Tiam 1, in a PI3K independent manner [230]. A third RAS-mediated pathway to activate NF-KB is the phosphorylation of <u>I-kB</u> kinase (IKK) by AKT [231]. The RAF/MEK/ERK signaling cascade also contributes to the regulation of apoptosis, sometimes converging its signals to the same targets as the PI3K branch, like in the cases of the pro-apoptotic protein Bad [232–235] and the transcription factor CREB, which induces the expression of pro-survival proteins [233,236]. In addition, RAS has been shown to help escaping apoptosis by downregulating Par-4, a pro-apoptotic transcription repressor, through MEK activity [237], and by inducing p53 degradation, thereby annulling p53-mediated apoptosis induction [238]. Moreover, RAS signal activity through the RAF/MEK/ERK cascade modulates the expression level of several proteins belonging to the Bcl-2 family [239,240] (Figure 10).

The RAS/cAMP/PKA pathway is a major intracellular player in regulated cell death (RCD) process also in yeast [241–243]. It has been observed that increased activation of RAS signaling in yeast cells induces the appearance of typical apoptotic markers, such as phosphatidylserine externalization, increased reactive oxygen species (ROS) accumulation and DNA degradation, among others [244,245]. Three stimuli that lead to RAS/cAMP/PKA hyper-activation and subsequent cell death are osmotin, changes in actin dynamic and ammonium [245–248]. Osmotin, a protein produced by plants in defense to pathogenic fungi, when in contact with *S. cerevisiae* binds to Pho36, a G-protein-like homologous of the mammalian adiponectin receptor, causing the inappropriate inactivation of RAS signaling, ultimately leading to RCD of the yeast [246]. A different stimulus for RAS-mediated apoptosis in yeast is mediated by actin cytoskeleton. Mutations or addition of drugs can change actin dynamics, causing the formation of F-actin aggregates, which in turn trigger the constitutive activation of Ras2 and apoptosis [245]. In aging yeast cultures, ammonium was found to induce cell dead leading to the shortening of the chronological lifespan, an effect that was mediated through the activation of the RAS/cAMP/PKA pathway [247,248]. The role of mitochondria is fundamental in yeast RCD, this organelle being the main responsible of ROS accumulation when

dysfunctional [241]. ROS accumulation is a central event in yeast RCD [249–253] and in both osmotinand actin-induced RCD, it seems to be the main responsible for cell death, since the addition of antioxidants could suppress the apoptotic phenotype [246,254]. RAS/cAMP/PKA pathway regulates also cell death in acidic environment [255]. Intracellular acidification caused by acetic acid, a known inducer of apoptosis in yeast, leads to RAS/cAMP/PKA activation, which causes consequent cell death [188,250,256]. Supporting the pivotal role of RAS in yeast apoptosis, deletion of *RAS* genes suppresses the apoptotic phenotype of the cells and leads to necrosis instead, while, in an acidic environment, hyper-activation of RAS pathway by constitutively active allele *RAS2val19* or by deletion of *PDE2* increases apoptotic cell death [255].



Figure 10. Human RAS proteins protect the cells from apoptosis mainly through the activation of PI3K and the consequent activation of AKT. Among AKT multiple targets there are Rac, IKK, CREB and Bad. Rac can be activated also by Tiam 1, activating in turn NF- κ B, an important pro-survival factor. AKT phosphorylates also Bad, promoting the inhibition of the pro-apoptotic factor 14-3-3 and the consequent inhibition of apoptosis, and CREB, promoting the transcription of pro-survival genes. CREB is phosphorylated also by Rsk, activated by RAF/MEK/ERK signaling cascade. In addition, MEK promotes the downregulation of the pro-apoptotic protein Par4, contributing to apoptosis inhibition.

3.6.3. RAS Effects on Autophagy in Humans and Yeast

Similarly to what happens for cell cycle and apoptosis, both human and yeast RAS are involved in other cellular processes relevant for cell survival and cancer progression. Indeed, both organisms use RAS proteins, among others, to regulate autophagy. In humans, RAS proteins can promote or inhibit autophagy, depending on the cell context and on the RAS isoform, whereas in yeast RAS activation leads to autophagy repression. The autophagic mechanisms are highly conserved in budding yeast and higher eukaryotes. Autophagy is a catabolic process that targets cellular components, such as damaged cell structures/organelles, long-lived proteins and pathogens for lysosomal degradation [257,258]. Autophagy involves the engulfment of intracellular constituents into double membrane vesicles, termed autophagosomes, which then fuse with lysosomes in mammals or with the vacuole in yeast, where the autophagic contents are degraded [259]. The formation of the autophagosome is controlled by <u>autophagy-related</u> (Atg) proteins, first identified in yeast. More than 30 yeast ATG genes have been discovered, and orthologues of many of these genes have been identified and characterized in higher eukaryotes, including humans, suggesting that autophagy is a highly conserved pathway through evolution [260]. At basal levels, autophagy is constitutively active, recycling the cell contents to maintain cellular homeostasis and integrity. Additionally, autophagy can be activated in response to starvation and other conditions of metabolic stress, to provide an alternative source of energy that limits cell death [261]. Autophagy is also implicated in cellular development and differentiation [262], in innate and adaptive immunity [263], as well as in cancer, where its role is highly ambiguous: it may serve as a mechanism of adaptation to stress and consequent avoidance of cell death, or as a route to cell death, by the destruction of the cell itself [264–269].

In humans, the regulation of the autophagy process converges at the level of mTOR [270], which is one of the downstream effectors of RAS proteins (Figure 7). The best known pathway that regulates mTORC1 is PI3K/AKT and, when activated, it promotes protein synthesis, cell division and metabolism, while autophagy is suppressed. On the other side, RAF/MEK/ERK signaling cascade activated by amino acid starvation can trigger autophagy in human <u>color</u>ectal <u>carcinoma</u> (CRC) [271]. The dual role of RAS activation on autophagy can be observed also for mutated oncogenic RAS proteins and their effects on survival and proliferation. For example, HRAS^{G12V} can either inhibit autophagy by activating PI3K pathway in NIH3T3 [272], or stimulate autophagy through its effects on RAF/MEK/ERK cascade, NOXA or Beclin 1 expression in <u>h</u>uman <u>o</u>varian <u>s</u>urface <u>e</u>pithelial (HOSE) cells, or through RALB (RAS-like protein B) signaling, or the up-regulation of Bnip3 in <u>m</u>ouse <u>e</u>mbryonic <u>f</u>ibroblasts (MEF) cells [273–275]. In mouse kidney iBMK and human MCF10A mammary epithelial cells, it was reported that overexpression of oncogenic HRAS^{G12V} or KRAS^{G12V} up-regulates autophagy and promotes cell proliferation [276–278]. On the other side, there are also reports showing that RAS-driven autophagy is part of a pro-death mechanism. Specifically, infection of ovarian HOSE cells with HRAS^{G12V} induced autophagy and promoted cell death [273].

In yeast, the involvement of RAS proteins in autophagy happens mostly through the activity of PKA. Once activated, it phosphorylates Rim15 and Msn2/4, preventing the translocation of these proteins to the nucleus to initiate transcription of the autophagy genes, thereby inhibiting autophagy [279,280]. PKA also inhibits autophagy by direct inhibition of Atg13, which is part of the Atg1 complex (ULK1/2 in mammals), essential for autophagy initiation [281]. TOR pathways are also involved in autophagy regulation in yeast and are highly interconnected with the RAS/cAMP/PKA pathway. TOR and RAS often control overlapping effectors, including the ones involved in autophagy, leading to similar response in the cell, such as inhibition of stress response, aging and cell cycle progression. Importantly, both pathways are activated by the presence of nutrients, glucose in the case of RAS and nitrogen in the case of TOR [171,282,283]. S. cerevisiae has two Tor kinases, Tor1 and Tor2, orthologues of human TOR, but only Tor1 gives a significant contribution in the regulation of autophagy [284]. When Tor1 is activated by the presence of nitrogen it represses autophagy by direct inhibition of the Atg1 complex and sequestration of the transcription factors Rim15 and Msn2/4 in the cytoplasm [279–281]. Upon starvation induction or treatment with rapamycin, TOR is inhibited and autophagy is induced [285]. In addition to directly inhibit autophagy, TOR also exerts its functions through its main downstream effector Sch9, which is directly phosphorylated and activated by the TORC1 complex [286]. The inactivation of Sch9p and PKA is sufficient to trigger autophagy, suggesting that these kinases are cooperatively involved in negative regulation of this process [287].

4. Involvement of RAS Proteins in Cancer: Yeast as a Model Organism

The role of human RAS proteins in carcinogenesis is well established. Approximately 30% of all human cancers harbors an activating point mutation in *RAS*, with pancreas (60–90%), colon (30–50%) and lung (20–30%) cancers displaying the highest frequency [79,163,288]. KRAS mutations are common in pancreatic, colorectal, endometrial, biliary tract, lung and cervical cancers. NRAS mutations are more prevalent in myeloid leukemia and HRAS mutations predominate in bladder cancer [79,288,289]. RAS point mutations are found at the highest frequency at codons 12, 13 and 61.

The uncontrolled cell growth typical of tumors with mutated *RAS* is due to the hyper-activation of RAS proteins. Indeed, mutated RAS are more resistant to GAPs activity. Without being efficiently affected by GAPs, their endogenous GTP hydrolysis is too low and, in this way, RAS proteins are locked in a permanent active state, which increases RAS activity and its downstream signaling [79]. Hyper-activation of RAS signaling can be caused as well by mutations in genes encoding proteins that interact with RAS [290,291]. Another factor that causes hyper-activation of RAS signaling is the overexpression of EGFR [292]. Mutations or amplification of downstream RAS effectors have also been implicated in human cancer development [293–295].

Besides the aforementioned contribution of S. cerevisiae to clarifying several aspects of mammalian RAS upstream regulation, further evidence has sustained the rationale behind the use of this model organism to study RAS functioning. Mammalian RAS proteins can indeed act as a direct complement for yeast-deficient RAS and vice versa. In the middle of the 1980s, several studies showed that activated human HRAS could suppress the lethality of simultaneous deletion of RAS1 and RAS2 in yeast, because it shows the same ability to activate yeast adenylate cyclase as yeast Ras1 or Ras2[23,24,26,68,296]. The functional complementation of RAS2 deletion in yeast by human HRAS was later proved also for different Δ *ras*2-induced phenotypes, such as temperature-sensitive growth and temperature-dependent depolarization of the actin cytoskeleton [199]. Conversely, it was shown that a yeast-mammalian hybrid gene expressed in mouse cells had the ability to induce morphological changes extremely similar to those induced by mammalian oncogenic HRAS [23]. In addition, a mutant variant of yeast RAS protein that resembles oncogenic HRAS was also identified, Ras^{val19}, which was capable to differentially activate adenylate cyclase [26,296]. KRAS, in opposition to HRAS, is not able to complement the double deletion of RAS1 and RAS2, resulting in a non-viable yeast [23,24]. Results from our group [297] showed that the expression of human KRAS in yeast expressing its own RAS1 and RAS2 genes (wt) caused a decrease in the strain resistance to high nonpermissive temperature, osmotic stress and oxidative stress (Figure 11). Moreover, both wt and *Aras1* or $\Delta ras2$ yeast strains expressing human KRAS were less able to grow on non-fermentable carbon sources like ethanol and glycerol (Figure 11). Exogenous human RAS protein, in addition to the yeast endogenous Ras1 and Ras2, increased sensitivity to stress in wild type, but this phenotype was less pronounced or absent in RAS deletion strains. So KRAS seems to be able to activate yeast RAS downstream cascades, even if it cannot complement the growth defect caused by the loss of both RAS genes [297]. This strongly suggests that human KRAS, once inside the yeast cell, has roles that are probably very close to the ones of the endogenous RAS proteins.



Figure 11. Serial drop test of BY4741 strain expressing human KRAS. *S. cerevisiae* BY4741 wt, $\Delta ras1$ and $\Delta ras2$ were subjected to different stress conditions (stress stimulus described on the side of each box). The direction of the serial dilutions, from 10^{-1} to 10^{-5} , proceeds from top to bottom. The image is representative of one of three independent experiments.

4.1. S. cerevisiae as a Model for Studying KRAS-Induced Autophagy in Colorectal Cancer

Recently, our group addressed the role of KRAS proteins in autophagy modulation using yeast as a model [17]. As mentioned above, autophagy is a highly conserved metabolic process that cells use to recycle their components and maintain cellular homeostasis [298]. Importantly, autophagy is also conserved in yeast [260]. In cancer, autophagy is involved on a double front; on one side, autophagy can weaken the cells by degrading their components, but on the other side, the recycling of peptides makes the cells less sensitive to nutrient depletion and therefore to death. This prosurvival role has been evidenced by studies showing that autophagy is implicated in the resistance of tumors to chemotherapy [299]. The RAS pathway is one of the major regulators of autophagy [272,273,275–278]. Work using a yeast strain lacking RAS2 and transformed with either KRAS^{wt} or its most common mutated alleles (KRASG13D, KRASG12D, KRASG12V) in colorectal carcinoma made it possible to uncover that the activating KRAS mutations, unlike wild-type KRAS, increase the level of the yeast autophagy reporter Atg8 [17]. Further studies with CRC cell lines confirmed that KRAS mutated alleles increased autophagy, and showed that this increase was associated with increased survival under starvation conditions. KRAS-induced autophagy was mediated through upregulation of the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathway and downregulation of the PI3K/AKT pathway, known to activate the autophagy inhibitor mTOR. The humanized yeast in this case helped to determine the role of mutated and wild type KRAS in the autophagic process. This study reinforces that human

RAS proteins are functional in yeast and that, as in mammalian cells, they can activate the autophagic machinery, further supporting yeast as an excellent model to study human RAS and its involvement in tumorigenesis through the modulation of autophagy.

4.2. S. cerevisiae as a Model for Studying KRAS/gal-3 Interaction in Colorectal Cancer

In addition to showing that human RAS can interact with endogenous yeast proteins, as discussed above for autophagy, we recently disclosed that, reciprocally, yeast RAS activity can be influenced by heterologously expressed human proteins, namely galectin-3 [297]. KRAS has been found to interact specifically with gal-3 in the cytoplasm [137,151,300]. It has been hypothesized that gal-3 may render KRAS less sensitive to GAPs and therefore maintain KRAS in a constitutive active state, increasing the pro-growth signaling transmitted by KRAS. Indeed, it has been noticed that the interaction between gal-3 and KRAS enhances PI3K activity and Raf-1 activation [151]. Gal-3 enhances KRAS activity acting as a scaffold protein, maintaining it in a proper orientation, relevant for its activity regulation [301], and in organized nanoclusters on the cell membrane, facilitating its function [137,152,302]. In addition, it seems that the expression of gal-3 increases not only the activation, but also the expression of KRAS [303]. Since KRAS promotes cell proliferation and inhibition of apoptosis and gal-3 appears to enhance KRAS activity through different mechanisms, it is conceivable that this interaction enhances cancer progression. It has been shown that gal-3 interaction with KRAS potentiates thyroid cancer progression, increasing KRAS signaling and thus proliferation [303]. In addition, gal-3 has been found overexpressed in pancreatic cancer, where it interacts with KRAS-GTP, influencing its active status and its membrane localization. Alterations in gal-3 level and consequent variation in RAS downstream signaling cascades strongly modulate the cancer phenotype. Downregulation of gal-3 decreases growth, invasiveness, anchorage independent growth and tumor growth in an in vivo orthotopic model, whereas gal-3 upregulation stimulates growth proliferation [304]. A colon cancer cell line was found to express gal-3 at high levels, and this expression correlates with the migration ability of cancer cells [305]. Further highlighting the relationship between gal-3 and the RAS pathway in colon cancer, a correlation between high levels of gal-3, RAF and ERK was found tissue samples [305]. Finally, gal-3 seems to be the adaptor for the interaction between KRAS and $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$ integrin, which causes tumor aggressiveness [306,307]. This further proves the fundamental role of gal-3 in mediating KRAS function and its ability to ablate KRAS-mediated pro-survival signals, when downregulated. To summarize, it has been discovered that an increased expression or availability of cytoplasmic gal-3 could confer to the cells that same tumorigenic properties-namely uncontrolled growth and downregulated apoptosis-as the mutation of an oncogene, in this case KRAS. This fact emphasizes the need to better understand the interaction between these two proteins. For all these reasons, we expressed human gal-3 in yeast and measured some basic phenotypes, such as specific growth rate. Our aim was to build a humanized yeast that could be used as a model to study the interaction between KRAS and gal-3. We observed that the presence of endogenous yeast RAS proteins greatly affected the outcomes of gal-3 expression in yeast. In particular, an increase in the growth rate was observed only in the wild type yeast and not in the two RAS deletion mutants when gal-3 was expressed [297]. In human cells, gal-3 interacts with KRAS, stabilizing its active GTP-bound form [151,300,303,304] and its positioning on the inner side of the plasma membrane, the proper location for RAS signaling [137,151,302]. This observation suggests that yeast RAS proteins might interact as well with gal-3. This RAS/gal-3 interaction could therefore have similar effects in yeast and in human cells, namely the stabilization and activation of RAS proteins by gal-3, enhancing RAS signaling, and in this way increasing the growth rate (Figure 12)[297]. In support of this hypothesis is the fact that several other mammalian RAS partners could successfully interact with yeast RAS proteins, like the above-mentioned mammalian GTP activating protein NF1 [77,308] and proteins involved in the autophagic process [17].



Figure 12. *S. cerevisiae* wt, $\Delta ras1$ and $\Delta ras2$ transformed with p416*LGALS3* were grown on glucose for 28 h from O.D.⁶⁰⁰ 0.05 up to stationary phase. The untransformed yeasts and the yeasts transformed with the empty plasmid (p416 Ø) were used as controls. Specific growth rates were estimated from log phase. Graphs show the average specific growth rate ± SD of three independent experiments. Statistical significant differences are shown: * (*p*-value ≤ 0.05) and ** (*p*-value ≤ 0.01).

5. Final Remarks

This review highlights the main similarities and differences between human and yeast RAS proteins and pathways. It becomes clear that RAS proteins from the two organisms share similar features, like the sequence, structure, mechanism of activation and the cellular outcomes they cause. This can still occur, though the intracellular partners and the pathways that RAS proteins activate in human and yeast differ. These differences are yet not enough to prevent RAS regulators and effectors to be functionally interchangeable in the two organisms. The main contributions of *S. cerevisiae* in clarifying different aspects of mammalian RAS regulation, such as the PTMs necessary for membrane anchorage [71,72], GAPs [79,80] and GEFs [75,76] promoting GTP/GDP binding, as well as the role of RAS in regulation of cell cycle, growth, cell death and autophagy, are also stressed. Yeast has been specifically used to analyze the role of human KRAS in autophagy, a cancer-related process, and was established as a model to study KRAS-induced autophagy.

Here, we wanted to evidence that yeast is a useful model in the study of human RAS proteins because of the functional conservation of RAS proteins roles. Though increasing advanced resources are becoming more available to study higher eukaryotic organisms, the "simple" yeast eukaryotic model together with novel and expedite tools, still seems promising to genetically analyze the molecular mechanisms at the core of human RAS signal transduction pathways and their involvement in tumorigenesis.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the strategic program UID/BIA/04050/2013 (POCI-01-0145-FEDER-007569) funded by national funds through the FCT I.P. and by the ERDF through the COMPETE2020—Programa Operacional Competitividade e Internacionalização (POCI). It was also supported by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) under the scope of the strategic funding of the UID/BIO/04469/2013 unit and COMPETE 2020 (POCI-01-0145-FEDER-006684) and FCT fellowships: Sara Alves (FCT SFRH/BD/64695/2009). This work was also supported by the Marie Curie Initial Training Network: GLYCOPHARM, PITN-GA-2012-317297 (GC PhD Grant).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Appendix A

In the text, genes and proteins have been written accordingly to the standard nomenclature of the specific organism. In the case of *S. cerevisiae*, wild-type genes were presented as all italicized capital letters and specific yeast proteins as non-italicized, with only the first letter in upper case. Human genes and proteins were written all in capital letters, with the difference that gene names

were italicized, whereas protein names were not [309,310]. When RAS proteins were addressed in general, without specifying the exact protein, either in human or yeast, they were written in upper case, non-italicized.

References

- Botstein, D.; Fink, G.R. Yeast: An experimental organism for 21st Century biology. *Genetics* 2011, 189, 695– 704.
- 2. Fields, S.; Johnston, M. Cell biology. Whither model organism research? Science 2005, 307, 1885–1886.
- 3. Mager, W.H.; Winderickx, J. Yeast as a model for medical and medicinal research. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **2005**, *26*, 265–273.
- 4. Botstein, D.; Fink, G.R. Yeast: An experimental organism for modern biology. *Science* 1988, 240, 1439–1443.
- Goffeau, A.; Barrell, B.G.; Bussey, H.; Davis, R.W.; Dujon, B.; Feldmann, H.; Galibert, F.; Hoheisel, J.D.; Jacq, C.; Johnston, M. Life with 6000 genes. *Science* 1996, 274, 546–567.
- Engel, S.R.; Dietrich, F.S.; Fisk, D.G.; Binkley, G.; Balakrishnan, R.; Costanzo, M.C.; Dwight, S.S.; Hitz, B.C.; Karra, K.; Nash, R.S.; et al. The reference genome sequence of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: Then and now. *G3* 2014, 4, 389–398.
- Giaever, G.; Chu, A.M.; Ni, L.; Connelly, C.; Riles, L.; Veronneau, S.; Dow, S.; Lucau-Danila, A.; Anderson, K.; Andre, B.; et al. Functional profiling of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genome. *Nature* 2002, 418, 387–391.
- 8. Winzeler, E.A.; Shoemaker, D.D.; Astromoff, A.; Liang, H.; Anderson, K.; Andre, B.; Bangham, R.; Benito, R.; Boeke, J.D.; Bussey, H.; et al. Functional characterization of the *S. cerevisiae* genome by gene deletion and parallel analysis. *Science* **1999**, *285*, 901–906.
- 9. DeFeo-Jones, D.; Scolnick, E.M.; Koller, R.; Dhar, R. Ras-related gene sequences identified and isolated from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nature* **1983**, *306*, 707–709.
- 10. Dhar, R.; Nieto, A.; Koller, R.; DeFeo-Jones, D.; Scolnick, E.M. Nucleotide sequence of two rasH relatedgenes isolated from the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1984**, *12*, 3611–3618.
- 11. Powers, S.; Kataoka, T.; Fasano, O.; Goldfarb, M.; Strathern, J.; Broach, J.; Wigler, M. Genes in *S. cerevisiae* encoding proteins with domains homologous to the mammalian ras proteins. *Cell* **1984**, *36*, 607–612.
- 12. Ihle, N. Differential Activity of the KRAS Oncogene by Method of Activation: Implications for Signaling and Therapeutic Intervention. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of The University of Texas, Houston, TX, USA, 2012.
- 13. Wittinghofer, A. Ras Superfamily Small G Proteins: Biology and Mechanisms 1; Springer: Wien, Austria, 2014.
- 14. Arrington, A.K.; Heinrich, E.L.; Lee, W.; Duldulao, M.; Patel, S.; Sanchez, J.; Garcia-Aguilar, J.; Kim, J. Prognostic and Predictive Roles of KRAS Mutation in Colorectal Cancer. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2012**, *13*, 12153–12168.
- 15. Liu, X.; Jakubowski, M.; Hunt, J.L. KRAS Gene Mutation in Colorectal Cancer Is Correlated with Increased Proliferation and Spontaneous Apoptosis. *Am. Soc. Clin. Pathol.* **2011**, *135*, 245–252.
- 16. Smith, G.; Bounds, R.; Wolf, H.; Steele, R.J.; Carey, F.A.; Wolf, C.R. Activating K-Ras mutations outwith 'hotspot' codons in sporadic colorectal tumours—Implications for personalised cancer medicine. *Br. J. Cancer* **2010**, *102*, 693–703.
- 17. Alves, S.; Castro, L.; Fernandes, M.S.; Francisco, R.; Castro, P.; Priault, M.; Chaves, S.R.; Moyer, M.P.; Oliveira, C.; Seruca, R.; et al. Colorectal cancer-related mutant KRAS alleles function as positive regulators of autophagy. *Oncotarget* **2015**, *6*, 30787–30802.
- 18. Harvey, J.J. An Unidentified Virus Which Causes the Rapid Production of Tumours in Mice. *Nature* **1964**, 204, 1104–1105.
- 19. Kirsten, W.H.; Mayer, L.A.; Welander, C.W. Infective and noninfective viral murine leukemias. *Natl. Cancer Inst. Monogr.* **1966**, *22*, 369–377.
- 20. Hall, A.; Marshall, C.J.; Spurr, N.K.; Weiss, R.A. Identification of transforming gene in two human sarcoma cell lines as a new member of the ras gene family located on chromosome 1. *Nature* **1983**, *303*, 396–400.
- 21. Malumbres, M.; Barbacid, M. RAS oncogenes: The first 30 years. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2003, 3, 459-465.
- 22. Papageorge, A.G.; Defeo-Jones, D.; Robinson, P.; Temeles, G.; Scolnick, E.M. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* synthesizes proteins related to the p21 gene product of ras genes found in mammals. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **1984**, *4*, 23–29.
- 23. DeFeo-Jones, D.; Tatchell, K.; Robinson, L.C.; Sigal, I.S.; Vass, W.C.; Lowy, D.R.; Scolnick, E.M. Mammalian and yeast ras gene products: Biological function in their heterologous systems. *Science* **1985**, *228*, 179–184.

- 24. Kataoka, T.; Powers, S.; Cameron, S.; Fasano, O.; Goldfarb, M.; Broach, J.; Wigler, M. Functional homology of mammalian and yeast RAS genes. *Cell* **1985**, *40*, 19–26.
- 25. Kataoka, T.; Powers, S.; McGill, C.; Fasano, O.; Strathern, J.; Broach, J.; Wigler, M. Genetic analysis of yeast *RAS1* and *RAS2* genes. *Cell* **1984**, *37*, 437–445.
- 26. Toda, T.; Broek, D.; Field, J.; Michaeli, T.; Cameron, S.; Nikawa, J.; Sass, P.; Birchmeier, C.; Powers, S.; Wigler, M. Exploring the function of RAS oncogenes by studying the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Princess Takamatsu Symp.* **1986**, *17*, 253–260.
- Wigler, M.; Field, J.; Powers, S.; Broek, D.; Toda, T.; Cameron, S.; Nikawa, J.; Michaeli, T.; Colicelli, J.; Ferguson, K. Studies of RAS function in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* 1988, 53, 649–655.
- 28. Ghaemmaghami, S.; Huh, W.K.; Bower, K.; Howson, R.W.; Belle, A.; Dephoure, N.; O'Shea, E.K.; Weissman, J.S. Global analysis of protein expression in yeast. *Nature* **2003**, *425*, 737–741.
- 29. Huh, W.K.; Falvo, J.V.; Gerke, L.C.; Carroll, A.S.; Howson, R.W.; Weissman, J.S.; O'Shea, E.K. Global analysis of protein localization in budding yeast. *Nature* **2003**, *425*, 686–691.
- Heinicke, S.; Livstone, M.S.; Lu, C.; Oughtred, R.; Kang, F.; Angiuoli, S.V.; White, O.; Botstein, D.; Dolinski, K. The Princeton Protein Orthology Database (P-POD): A comparative genomics analysis tool for biologists. *PLoS ONE* 2007, 2, e766.
- 31. Foury, F. Human genetic diseases: A cross-talk between man and yeast. Gene 1997, 195, 1–10.
- 32. Zabrocki, P.; Pellens, K.; Vanhelmont, T.; Vandebroek, T.; Griffioen, G.; Wera, S.; Van Leuven, F.; Winderickx, J. Characterization of a-synuclein aggregation and synergistic toxicity with protein tau in yeast. *FEBS J.* **2005**, *272*, 1386–1400.
- 33. Tenreiro, S.; Franssens, V.; Winderickx, J.; Outeiro, T.F. Yeast models of Parkinson's disease-associated molecular pathologies. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **2017**, *44*, 74–83.
- 34. Laurent, J.M.; Young, J.H.; Kachroo, A.H.; Marcotte, E.M. Efforts to make and apply humanized yeast. *Brief. Funct. Genom.* **2016**, *15*, 155–163.
- 35. Outeiro, T.F.; Lindquist, S. Yeast cells provide insight into a-synuclein biology and pathobiology. *Science* **2003**, *302*, 1772–1775.
- 36. Outeiro, T.F.; Muchowski, P.J. Molecular genetics approaches in yeast to study amyloid diseases. J. Mol. Neurosci. 2004, 23, 49–60.
- 37. Willingham, S.; Outeiro, T.F.; DeVit, M.J.; Lindquist, S.L.; Muchowski, P.J. Yeast genes that enhance the toxicity of a mutant huntingtin fragment or a-synuclein. *Science* **2003**, *302*, 1769–1772.
- Fruhmann, G.; Seynnaeve, D.; Zheng, J.; Ven, K.; Molenberghs, S.; Wilms, T.; Liu, B.; Winderickx, J.; Franssens, V. Yeast buddies helping to unravel the complexity of neurodegenerative disorders. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* 2017, 161, 288–305.
- 39. Pereira, C.; Coutinho, I.; Soares, J.; Bessa, C.; Leao, M.; Saraiva, L. New insights into cancer-related proteins provided by the yeast model. *FEBS J.* **2012**, *279*, 697–712.
- 40. Nitiss, J.L.; Heitman, J. Yeast as a Tool in Cancer Research; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2007; p. 433.
- 41. Matuo, R.; Sousa, F.G.; Soares, D.G.; Bonatto, D.; Saffi, J.; Escargueil, A.E.; Larsen, A.K.; Henriques, J.A. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as a model system to study the response to anticancer agents. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* **2012**, *70*, 491–502.
- 42. Simon, J.A.; Bedalov, A. Yeast as a model system for anticancer drug discovery. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2004**, *4*, 481–492.
- 43. Menacho-Marquez, M.; Murguia, J.R. Yeast on drugs: *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as a tool for anticancer drug research. *Clin. Transl. Oncol.* **2007**, *9*, 221–228.
- 44. Guaragnella, N.; Palermo, V.; Galli, A.; Moro, L.; Mazzoni, C.; Giannattasio, S. The expanding role of yeast in cancer research and diagnosis: Insights into the function of the oncosuppressors p53 and BRCA1/2. *FEMS Yeast Res.* **2014**, *14*, 2–16.
- 45. Birrell, G.W.; Giaever, G.; Chu, A.M.; Davis, R.W.; Brown, J.M. A genome-wide screen in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for genes affecting UV radiation sensitivity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2001**, *98*, 12608–12613.
- Aouida, M.; Page, N.; Leduc, A.; Peter, M.; Ramotar, D. A genome-wide screen in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* reveals altered transport as a mechanism of resistance to the anticancer drug bleomycin. *Cancer Res.* 2004, 64, 1102–1109.
- 47. Kang, J.J.; Schaber, M.D.; Srinivasula, S.M.; Alnemri, E.S.; Litwack, G.; Hall, D.J.; Bjornsti, M.A. Cascades of mammalian caspase activation in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. **1999**, 274, 3189–3198.

- 48. Wright, M.E.; Han, D.K.; Hockenbery, D.M. Caspase-3 and inhibitor of apoptosis protein(s) interactions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and mammalian cells. *FEBS Lett.* **2000**, *481*, 13–18.
- 49. Hayashi, H.; Cuddy, M.; Shu, V.C.; Yip, K.W.; Madiraju, C.; Diaz, P.; Matsuyama, T.; Kaibara, M.; Taniyama, K.; Vasile, S.; et al. Versatile assays for high throughput screening for activators or inhibitors of intracellular proteases and their cellular regulators. *PLoS ONE* **2009**, *4*, e7655.
- 50. Xu, Q.; Reed, J.C. Bax inhibitor-1, a mammalian apoptosis suppressor identified by functional screening in yeast. *Mol. Cell* **1998**, *1*, 337–346.
- 51. Zhang, H.; Cowan-Jacob, S.W.; Simonen, M.; Greenhalf, W.; Heim, J.; Meyhack, B. Structural basis of BFL-1 for its interaction with BAX and its anti-apoptotic action in mammalian and yeast cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2000**, 275, 11092–11099.
- 52. Brezniceanu, M.L.; Volp, K.; Bosser, S.; Solbach, C.; Lichter, P.; Joos, S.; Zornig, M. HMGB1 inhibits cell death in yeast and mammalian cells and is abundantly expressed in human breast carcinoma. *FASEB J.* **2003**, *17*, 1295–1297.
- 53. Torgler, C.N.; de Tiani, M.; Raven, T.; Aubry, J.P.; Brown, R.; Meldrum, E. Expression of bak in *S. pombe* results in a lethality mediated through interaction with the calnexin homologue Cnx1. *Cell Death Differ*. **1997**, *4*, 263–271.
- Zhang, H.; Xu, Q.; Krajewski, S.; Krajewska, M.; Xie, Z.; Fuess, S.; Kitada, S.; Pawlowski, K.; Godzik, A.; Reed, J.C. BAR: An apoptosis regulator at the intersection of caspases and Bcl-2 family proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2000, *97*, 2597–2602.
- 55. Sato, T.; Hanada, M.; Bodrug, S.; Irie, S.; Iwama, N.; Boise, L.H.; Thompson, C.B.; Golemis, E.; Fong, L.; Wang, H.G.; et al. Interactions among members of the Bcl-2 protein family analyzed with a yeast two-hybrid system. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1994**, *91*, 9238–9242.
- 56. Cheok, C.F.; Verma, C.S.; Baselga, J.; Lane, D.P. Translating p53 into the clinic. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **2011**, *8*, 25–37.
- 57. Yousef, A.F.; Xu, G.W.; Mendez, M.; Brandl, C.J.; Mymryk, J.S. Coactivator requirements for p53-dependent transcription in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Int. J. Cancer* **2008**, *122*, 942–946.
- 58. Fields, S.; Jang, S.K. Presence of a potent transcription activating sequence in the p53 protein. *Science* **1990**, 249, 1046–1049.
- 59. Scharer, E.; Iggo, R. Mammalian p53 can function as a transcription factor in yeast. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1992**, 20, 1539–1545.
- 60. Nigro, J.M.; Sikorski, R.; Reed, S.I.; Vogelstein, B. Human p53 and CDC2Hs genes combine to inhibit the proliferation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **1992**, *12*, 1357–1365.
- 61. Mokdad-Gargouri, R.; Belhadj, K.; Gargouri, A. Translational control of human p53 expression in yeast mediated by 5'-UTR-ORF structural interaction. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2001**, *29*, 1222–1227.
- 62. Pearson, G.D.; Merrill, G.F. Deletion of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* TRR1 gene encoding thioredoxin reductase inhibits p53-dependent reporter gene expression. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, *273*, 5431–5434.
- 63. Hu, J.; Ma, X.; Lindner, D.J.; Karra, S.; Hofmann, E.R.; Reddy, S.P.; Kalvakolanu, D.V. Modulation of p53 dependent gene expression and cell death through thioredoxin-thioredoxin reductase by the Interferon-Retinoid combination. *Oncogene* **2001**, *20*, 4235–4248.
- 64. Coutinho, I.; Pereira, C.; Pereira, G.; Goncalves, J.; Corte-Real, M.; Saraiva, L. Distinct regulation of p53mediated apoptosis by protein kinase Ca, d, e and z: Evidence in yeast for transcription-dependent and independent p53 apoptotic mechanisms. *Exp. Cell Res.* **2011**, *317*, 1147–1158.
- 65. Robert, V.; Michel, P.; Flaman, J.M.; Chiron, A.; Martin, C.; Charbonnier, F.; Paillot, B.; Frebourg, T. High frequency in esophageal cancers of p53 alterations inactivating the regulation of genes involved in cell cycle and apoptosis. *Carcinogenesis* **2000**, *21*, 563–565.
- 66. Di Como, C.J.; Prives, C. Human tumor-derived p53 proteins exhibit binding site selectivity and temperature sensitivity for transactivation in a yeast-based assay. *Oncogene* **1998**, *16*, 2527–2539.
- 67. Kato, S.; Han, S.Y.; Liu, W.; Otsuka, K.; Shibata, H.; Kanamaru, R.; Ishioka, C. Understanding the functionstructure and function-mutation relationships of p53 tumor suppressor protein by high-resolution missense mutation analysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2003**, *100*, 8424–8429.
- 68. Clark, S.G.; McGrath, J.P.; Levinson, A.D. Expression of normal and activated human Ha-ras cDNAs in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol.* **1985**, *5*, 2746–2752.
- 69. Fujiyama, A.; Matsumoto, K.; Tamanoi, F. A novel yeast mutant defective in the processing of ras proteins: Assessment of the effect of the mutation on processing steps. *EMBO J.* **1987**, *6*, 223–228.

- 71. Robinson, L.C.; Gibbs, J.B.; Marshall, M.S.; Sigal, I.S.; Tatchell, K. CDC25: A component of the RASadenylate cyclase pathway in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Science* **1987**, *235*, 1218–1221.
- 72. Broek, D.; Toda, T.; Michaeli, T.; Levin, L.; Birchmeier, C.; Zoller, M.; Powers, S.; Wigler, M. The *S. cerevisiae CDC25* gene product regulates the RAS/adenylate cyclase pathway. *Cell* **1987**, *48*, 789–799.
- 73. Wei, W.; Mosteller, R.D.; Sanyal, P.; Gonzales, E.; McKinney, D.; Dasgupta, C.; Li, P.; Liu, B.X.; Broek, D. Identification of a mammalian gene structurally and functionally related to the *CDC25* gene of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1992**, *89*, 7100–7104.
- 74. Bowtell, D.; Fu, P.; Simon, M.; Senior, P. Identification of murine homologues of the *Drosophila* son of sevenless gene: Potential activators of ras. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1992**, *89*, 6511–6515.
- 75. Shou, C.; Farnsworth, C.L.; Neel, B.G.; Feig, L.A. Molecular cloning of cDNAs encoding a guaninenucleotide-releasing factor for Ras p21. *Nature* **1992**, *358*, 351–354.
- 76. Xu, G.F.; Lin, B.; Tanaka, K.; Dunn, D.; Wood, D.; Gesteland, R.; White, R.; Weiss, R.; Tamanoi, F. The catalytic domain of the neurofibromatosis type 1 gene product stimulates *ras* GTPase and complements *ira* mutants of *S. cerevisiae*. *Cell* **1990**, *63*, 835–841.
- 77. Gibbs, J.B.; Schaber, M.D.; Allard, W.J.; Sigal, I.S.; Scolnick, E.M. Purification of ras GTPase activating protein from bovine brain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1988**, *85*, 5026–5030.
- 78. Wang, Y.; You, M.; Wang, Y. Alternative splicing of the K-ras gene in mouse tissues and cell lines. *Exp. Lung Res.* **2001**, *27*, 255–267.
- 79. Schubbert, S.; Shannon, K.; Bollag, G. Hyperactive Ras in developmental disorders and cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2007**, *7*, 295–308.
- 80. Zeitouni, D.; Pylayeva-Gupta, Y.; Der, C.J.; Bryant, K.L. KRAS Mutant Pancreatic Cancer: No Lone Path to an Effective Treatment. *Cancers* **2016**, *8*, 45.
- 81. Matallanas, D.; Arozarena, I.; Berciano, M.T.; Aaronson, D.S.; Pellicer, A.; Lafarga, M.; Crespo, P. Differences on the inhibitory specificities of H-Ras, K-Ras, and N-Ras (N17) dominant negative mutants are related to their membrane microlocalization. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2003**, *278*, 4572–4581.
- 82. Arozarena, I.; Calvo, F.; Crespo, P. Ras, an actor on many stages: Posttranslational modifications, localization, and site-specified events. *Genes Cancer* **2011**, *2*, 182–194.
- 83. Tatchell, K.; Chaleff, D.T.; DeFeo-Jones, D.; Scolnick, E.M. Requirement of either of a pair of ras-related genes of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for spore viability. *Nature* **1984**, *309*, 523–527.
- 84. Feuerstein, J.; Goody, R.S.; Wittinghofer, A. Preparation and characterization of nucleotide-free and metal ion-free p21 "apoprotein". J. Biol. Chem. **1987**, 262, 8455–8458.
- 85. Breviario, D.; Hinnebusch, A.; Cannon, J.; Tatchell, K.; Dhar, R. Carbon source regulation of *RAS1* expression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and the phenotypes of ras2-cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1986**, *83*, 4152–4156.
- 86. Breviario, D.; Hinnebusch, A.G.; Dhar, R. Multiple regulatory mechanisms control the expression of the *RAS1* and *RAS2* genes of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *EMBO J.* **1988**, *7*, 1805–1813.
- 87. Fraenkel, D.G. On ras gene function in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1985, 82, 4740-4744.
- 88. Tatchell, K.; Robinson, L.C.; Breitenbach, M. RAS2 of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is required for gluconeogenic growth and proper response to nutrient limitation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1985**, *82*, 3785–3789.
- Marshall, M.S.; Gibbs, J.B.; Scolnick, E.M.; Sigal, I.S. Regulatory function of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* RAS C-terminus. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 1987, 7, 2309–2315.
- 90. Fujiyama, A.; Tamanoi, F. Processing and fatty acid acylation of RAS1 and RAS2 proteins in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1986**, *83*, 1266–1270.
- 91. Azevedo, L.; Carneiro, J.; van Asch, B.; Moleirinho, A.; Pereira, F.; Amorim, A. Epistatic interactions modulate the evolution of mammalian mitochondrial respiratory complex components. *BMC Genom.* **2009**, *10*, 266.
- 92. Carneiro, J.; Duarte-Pereira, S.; Azevedo, L.; Castro, L.F.; Aguiar, P.; Moreira, I.S.; Amorim, A.; Silva, R.M. The evolutionary portrait of metazoan NAD salvage. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e64674.
- 93. Gibbs, J.B.; Marshall, M.S. The ras oncogene--an important regulatory element in lower eucaryotic organisms. *Microbiol. Rev.* **1989**, *53*, 171–185.

- 94. Kearse, M.; Moir, R.; Wilson, A.; Stones-Havas, S.; Cheung, M.; Sturrock, S.; Buxton, S.; Cooper, A.; Markowitz, S.; Duran, C.; et al. Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 2012, 28, 1647–1649.
- 95. Edgar, R.C. MUSCLE: A multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and space complexity. *BMC Bioinform.* **2004**, *5*, 113.
- Scheffzek, K.; Ahmadian, M.R.; Kabsch, W.; Wiesmuller, L.; Lautwein, A.; Schmitz, F.; Wittinghofer, A. The Ras-RasGAP complex: Structural basis for GTPase activation and its loss in oncogenic Ras mutants. *Science* 1997, 277, 333–338.
- 97. Majumdar, S.; Acharya, A.; Prakash, B. Structural plasticity mediates distinct GAP-dependent GTP hydrolysis mechanisms in Rab33 and Rab5. *FEBS J.* **2017**, *284*, 4358–4375.
- Temeles, G.L.; Gibbs, J.B.; D'Alonzo, J.S.; Sigal, I.S.; Scolnick, E.M. Yeast and mammalian ras proteins have conserved biochemical properties. *Nature* 1985, 313, 700–703.
- 99. Wennerberg, K.; Rossman, K.L.; Der, C.J. The Ras superfamily at a glance. J. Cell Sci. 2005, 118, 843-846.
- 100. Cox, A.D.; Der, C.J. Ras history: The saga continues. Small GTPases 2010, 1, 2–27.
- 101. Spaargaren, M.; Bischoff, J.R. Identification of the guanine nucleotide dissociation stimulator for Ral as a putative effector molecule of R-ras, H-ras, K-ras, and Rap. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1994**, *91*, 12609–12613.
- 102. Kikuchi, A.; Demo, S.D.; Ye, Z.H.; Chen, Y.W.; Williams, L.T. ralGDS family members interact with the effector loop of *ras* p21. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **1994**, *14*, 7483–7491.
- 103. Hofer, F.; Fields, S.; Schneider, C.; Martin, G.S. Activated Ras interacts with the Ral guanine nucleotide dissociation stimulator. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1994**, *91*, 11089–11093.
- Bourne, H.R.; Sanders, D.A.; McCormick, F. The GTPase superfamily: Conserved structure and molecular mechanism. *Nature* 1991, 349, 117–127.
- 105. Milburn, M.V.; Tong, L.; de Vos, A.M.; Brunger, A.; Yamaizumi, Z.; Nishimura, S.; Kim, S.H. Molecular switch for signal transduction: Structural differences between active and inactive forms of protooncogenic ras proteins. *Science* **1990**, 247, 939–945.
- 106. Schlichting, I.; Almo, S.C.; Rapp, G.; Wilson, K.; Petratos, K.; Lentfer, A.; Wittinghofer, A.; Kabsch, W.; Pai, E.F.; Petsko, G.A.; et al. Time-resolved X-ray crystallographic study of the conformational change in Ha-Ras p21 protein on GTP hydrolysis. *Nature* **1990**, *345*, 309–315.
- 107. Scheffzek, K.; Lautwein, A.; Kabsch, W.; Ahmadian, M.R.; Wittinghofer, A. Crystal structure of the GTPaseactivating domain of human p120GAP and implications for the interaction with Ras. *Nature* 1996, 384, 591– 596.
- 108. Bishop, A.L.; Hall, A. Rho GTPases and their effector proteins. Biochem. J. 2000, 348, 241–255.
- 109. Repasky, G.A.; Chenette, E.J.; Der, C.J. Renewing the conspiracy theory debate: Does Raf function alone to mediate Ras oncogenesis? *Trends Cell Biol.* **2004**, *14*, 639–647.
- 110. Trahey, M.; McCormick, F. A cytoplasmic protein stimulates normal N-ras p21 GTPase, but does not affect oncogenic mutants. *Science* **1987**, *238*, 542–545.
- 111. Bernards, A.; Settleman, J. GAP control: Regulating the regulators of small GTPases. *Trends Cell Biol.* **2004**, 14, 377–385.
- 112. Schmidt, A.; Hall, A. Guanine nucleotide exchange factors for Rho GTPases: Turning on the switch. *Genes Dev.* **2002**, *16*, 1587–1609.
- 113. Martegani, E.; Vanoni, M.; Zippel, R.; Coccetti, P.; Brambilla, R.; Ferrari, C.; Sturani, E.; Alberghina, L. Cloning by functional complementation of a mouse cDNA encoding a homologue of CDC25, a Saccharomyces cerevisiae RAS activator. *EMBO J.* **1992**, *11*, 2151–2157.
- 114. Segal, M.; Marbach, I.; Engelberg, D.; Simchen, G.; Levitzki, A. Interaction between the Saccharomyces cerevisiae CDC25 gene product and mammalian ras. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1992**, 267, 22747–22751.
- 115. Ballester, R.; Marchuk, D.; Boguski, M.; Saulino, A.; Letcher, R.; Wigler, M.; Collins, F. The NF1 locus encodes a protein functionally related to mammalian GAP and yeast IRA proteins. *Cell* **1990**, *63*, 851–859.
- Goodman, L.E.; Judd, S.R.; Farnsworth, C.C.; Powers, S.; Gelb, M.H.; Glomset, J.A.; Tamanoi, F. Mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae defective in the farnesylation of Ras proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1990, 87, 9665–9669.
- 117. Fujiyama, A.; Tamanoi, F. RAS2 protein of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* undergoes removal of methionine at N terminus and removal of three amino acids at C terminus. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1990**, 265, 3362–3368.
- 118. Fujiyama, A.; Tsunasawa, S.; Tamanoi, F.; Sakiyama, F. S-farnesylation and methyl esterification of C-terminal domain of yeast RAS2 protein prior to fatty acid acylation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1991**, *266*, 17926–17931.

- 119. Shih, T.Y.; Weeks, M.O.; Young, H.A.; Scholnick, E.M. Identification of a sarcoma virus-coded phosphoprotein in nonproducer cells transformed by Kirsten or Harvey murine sarcoma virus. *Virology* **1979**, *96*, 64–79.
- 120. Cox, A.D.; Der, C.J. Ras family signaling: Therapeutic targeting. Cancer Biol. Ther. 2002, 1, 599-606.
- 121. Schafer, W.R.; Kim, R.; Sterne, R.; Thorner, J.; Kim, S.H.; Rine, J. Genetic and pharmacological suppression of oncogenic mutations in ras genes of yeast and humans. *Science* **1989**, *245*, 379–385.
- 122. Hancock, J.F.; Magee, A.I.; Childs, J.E.; Marshall, C.J. All ras proteins are polyisoprenylated but only some are palmitoylated. *Cell* **1989**, *57*, 1167–1177.
- 123. Casey, P.J.; Solski, P.A.; Der, C.J.; Buss, J.E. p21ras is modified by a farnesyl isoprenoid. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1989**, *86*, 8323–8327.
- 124. Hancock, J.F.; Cadwallader, K.; Paterson, H.; Marshall, C.J. A CAAX or a CAAL motif and a second signal are sufficient for plasma membrane targeting of ras proteins. *EMBO J.* **1991**, *10*, 4033–4039.
- 125. Rowell, C.A.; Kowalczyk, J.J.; Lewis, M.D.; Garcia, A.M. Direct demonstration of geranylgeranylation and farnesylation of Ki-Ras in vivo. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1997**, *272*, 14093–14097.
- 126. Whyte, D.B.; Kirschmeier, P.; Hockenberry, T.N.; Nunez-Oliva, I.; James, L.; Catino, J.J.; Bishop, W.R.; Pai, J.K. K- and N-Ras are geranylgeranylated in cells treated with farnesyl protein transferase inhibitors. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1997, 272, 14459–14464.
- 127. Apolloni, A.; Prior, I.A.; Lindsay, M.; Parton, R.G.; Hancock, J.F. H-ras but not K-ras traffics to the plasma membrane through the exocytic pathway. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **2000**, *20*, 2475–2487.
- 128. Choy, E.; Chiu, V.K.; Silletti, J.; Feoktistov, M.; Morimoto, T.; Michaelson, D.; Ivanov, I.E.; Philips, M.R. Endomembrane trafficking of ras: The CAAX motif targets proteins to the ER and Golgi. *Cell* **1999**, *98*, 69–80.
- Roy, M.O.; Leventis, R.; Silvius, J.R. Mutational and biochemical analysis of plasma membrane targeting mediated by the farnesylated, polybasic carboxy terminus of K-ras4B. *Biochemistry* 2000, 39, 8298–8307.
- 130. Hancock, J.F.; Paterson, H.; Marshall, C.J. A polybasic domain or palmitoylation is required in addition to the CAAX motif to localize p21ras to the plasma membrane. *Cell* **1990**, *63*, 133–139.
- 131. Rajalingam, K.; Schreck, R.; Rapp, U.R.; Albert, S. Ras oncogenes and their downstream targets. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2007**, *1773*, 1177–1195.
- 132. Hancock, J.F.; Cadwallader, K.; Marshall, C.J. Methylation and proteolysis are essential for efficient membrane binding of prenylated p21K-ras(B). *EMBO J.* **1991**, *10*, 641–646.
- 133. Agudo-Ibanez, L.; Crespo, P.; Casar, B. Analysis of Ras/ERK Compartmentalization by Subcellular Fractionation. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2017, 1487, 151–162.
- 134. Ahearn, I.M.; Tsai, F.D.; Court, H.; Zhou, M.; Jennings, B.C.; Ahmed, M.; Fehrenbacher, N.; Linder, M.E.; Philips, M.R. FKBP12 binds to acylated H-ras and promotes depalmitoylation. *Mol. Cell* **2011**, *41*, 173–185.
- 135. Prior, I.A.; Harding, A.; Yan, J.; Sluimer, J.; Parton, R.G.; Hancock, J.F. GTP-dependent segregation of Hras from lipid rafts is required for biological activity. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2001**, *3*, 368–375.
- Roy, S.; Luetterforst, R.; Harding, A.; Apolloni, A.; Etheridge, M.; Stang, E.; Rolls, B.; Hancock, J.F.; Parton, R.G. Dominant-negative caveolin inhibits H-Ras function by disrupting cholesterol-rich plasma membrane domains. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **1999**, *1*, 98–105.
- 137. Ashery, U.; Yizhar, O.; Rotblat, B.; Elad-Sfadia, G.; Barkan, B.; Haklai, R.; Kloog, Y. Spatiotemporal organization of Ras signaling: Rasosomes and the galectin switch. *Cell. Mol. Neurobiol.* **2006**, *26*, 471–495.
- 138. Carozzi, A.J.; Roy, S.; Morrow, I.C.; Pol, A.; Wyse, B.; Clyde-Smith, J.; Prior, I.A.; Nixon, S.J.; Hancock, J.F.; Parton, R.G. Inhibition of lipid raft-dependent signaling by a dystrophy-associated mutant of caveolin-3. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2002, 277, 17944–17949.
- 139. Prior, I.A.; Muncke, C.; Parton, R.G.; Hancock, J.F. Direct visualization of Ras proteins in spatially distinct cell surface microdomains. *J. Cell Biol.* **2003**, *160*, 165–170.
- 140. Chiu, V.K.; Bivona, T.; Hach, A.; Sajous, J.B.; Silletti, J.; Wiener, H.; Johnson, R.L., 2nd; Cox, A.D.; Philips, M.R. Ras signalling on the endoplasmic reticulum and the Golgi. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2002**, *4*, 343–350.
- Hancock, J.F. Ras proteins: Different signals from different locations. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2003, 4, 373–384.
- 142. Jiang, X.; Sorkin, A. Coordinated traffic of Grb2 and Ras during epidermal growth factor receptor endocytosis visualized in living cells. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **2002**, *13*, 1522–1535.
- 143. Sorkin, A.; McClure, M.; Huang, F.; Carter, R. Interaction of EGF receptor and grb2 in living cells visualized by fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) microscopy. *Curr. Biol.* **2000**, *10*, 1395–1398.

- 144. Mochizuki, N.; Yamashita, S.; Kurokawa, K.; Ohba, Y.; Nagai, T.; Miyawaki, A.; Matsuda, M. Spatiotemporal images of growth-factor-induced activation of Ras and Rap1. *Nature* **2001**, *411*, 1065–1068.
- 145. Sasaki, A.T.; Carracedo, A.; Locasale, J.W.; Anastasiou, D.; Takeuchi, K.; Kahoud, E.R.; Haviv, S.; Asara, J.M.; Pandolfi, P.P.; Cantley, L.C. Ubiquitination of K-Ras enhances activation and facilitates binding to select downstream effectors. *Sci. Signal.* **2011**, *4*, ra13.
- 146. Lu, A.; Tebar, F.; Alvarez-Moya, B.; Lopez-Alcala, C.; Calvo, M.; Enrich, C.; Agell, N.; Nakamura, T.; Matsuda, M.; Bachs, O. A clathrin-dependent pathway leads to KRas signaling on late endosomes en route to lysosomes. *J. Cell Biol.* **2009**, *184*, 863–879.
- 147. Bivona, T.G.; Quatela, S.E.; Bodemann, B.O.; Ahearn, I.M.; Soskis, M.J.; Mor, A.; Miura, J.; Wiener, H.H.; Wright, L.; Saba, S.G.; et al. PKC regulates a farnesyl-electrostatic switch on K-Ras that promotes its association with Bcl-XL on mitochondria and induces apoptosis. *Mol. Cell* **2006**, *21*, 481–493.
- 148. Rebollo, A.; Perez-Sala, D.; Martinez, A.C. Bcl-2 differentially targets K-, N-, and H-Ras to mitochondria in IL-2 supplemented or deprived cells: Implications in prevention of apoptosis. *Oncogene* **1999**, *18*, 4930–4939.
- 149. Prior, I.A.; Hancock, J.F. Ras trafficking, localization and compartmentalized signalling. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2012**, 23, 145–153.
- Wittinghofer, A.; Franken, S.M.; Scheidig, A.J.; Rensland, H.; Lautwein, A.; Pai, E.F.; Goody, R.S. Threedimensional structure and properties of wild-type and mutant H-ras-encoded p21. *Ciba Found. Symp.* 1993, 176, 6–21, discussion 21–27.
- 151. Elad-Sfadia, G.; Haklai, R.; Balan, E.; Kloog, Y. Galectin-3 augments K-Ras activation and triggers a Ras signal that attenuates ERK but not phosphoinositide 3-kinase activity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2004**, *279*, 34922–34930.
- 152. Shalom-Feuerstein, R.; Plowman, S.J.; Rotblat, B.; Ariotti, N.; Tian, T.; Hancock, J.F.; Kloog, Y. K-ras nanoclustering is subverted by overexpression of the scaffold protein galectin-3. *Cancer Res.* **2008**, *68*, 6608–6616.
- Paz, A.; Haklai, R.; Elad-Sfadia, G.; Ballan, E.; Kloog, Y. Galectin-1 binds oncogenic H-Ras to mediate Ras membrane anchorage and cell transformation. *Oncogene* 2001, 20, 7486–7493.
- Belotti, F.; Tisi, R.; Paiardi, C.; Rigamonti, M.; Groppi, S.; Martegani, E. Localization of Ras signaling complex in budding yeast. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2012, 1823, 1208–1216.
- 155. Sobering, A.K.; Romeo, M.J.; Vay, H.A.; Levin, D.E. A novel Ras inhibitor, Eri1, engages yeast Ras at the endoplasmic reticulum. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **2003**, *23*, 4983–4990.
- 156. Broggi, S.; Martegani, E.; Colombo, S. Nuclear Ras2-GTP Controls Invasive Growth in *Saccharomyces* cerevisiae. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e79274.
- 157. Tisi, R.; Belotti, F.; Martegani, E. Yeast as a model for Ras signalling. Methods Mol. Biol. 2014, 1120, 359–390.
- 158. Cheng, C.-M.; Chang, E.C. Cell Cycle. In *Busy traveling Ras*, Bioscience, L., Ed. Landes Bioscience: Baylor College of Medicine; Houston, TX, USA, 2011; Vol. 10, pp 1180–1181..
- 159. Saif, M.W. Colorectal cancer in review: The role of the EGFR pathway. *Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs* **2010**, *19*, 357–369.
- Martinelli, E.; De Palma, R.; Orditura, M.; De Vita, F.; Ciardiello, F. Anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibodies in cancer therapy. *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* 2009, 158, 1–9.
- 161. Normanno, N.; Tejpar, S.; Morgillo, F.; De Luca, A.; Van Cutsem, E.; Ciardiello, F. Implications for KRAS status and EGFR-targeted therapies in metastatic CRC. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **2009**, *6*, 519–527.
- 162. Schulze, W.X.; Deng, L.; Mann, M. Phosphotyrosine interactome of the ErbB-receptor kinase family. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **2005**, *1*, doi:10.1038/msb4100012.
- 163. Downward, J. Targeting RAS signalling pathways in cancer therapy. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2003, 3, 11–22.
- 164. Shields, J.M.; Pruitt, K.; McFall, A.; Shaub, A.; Der, C.J. Understanding Ras: 'it ain't over 'til it's over'. *Trends Cell Biol.* **2000**, *10*, 147–154.
- 165. Herrmann, C. Ras-effector interactions: After one decade. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2003, 13, 122–129.
- 166. Efferth, T. Signal transduction pathways of the epidermal growth factor receptor in colorectal cancer and their inhibition by small molecules. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2012**, *19*, 5735–5744.
- 167. Scheid, M.P.; Woodgett, J.R. Phosphatidylinositol 3' kinase signaling in mammary tumorigenesis. J. *Mammary Gland Biol. Neoplasia* **2001**, *6*, 83–99.
- Scheid, M.P.; Woodgett, J.R. PKB/AKT: Functional insights from genetic models. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2001, 2, 760–768.
- 169. Yan, J.; Roy, S.; Apolloni, A.; Lane, A.; Hancock, J.F. Ras isoforms vary in their ability to activate Raf-1 and phosphoinositide 3-kinase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, *273*, 24052–24056.

- 170. Wang, X.W.; Zhang, Y.J. Targeting mTOR network in colorectal cancer therapy. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2014**, 20, 4178–4188.
- 171. Busti, S.; Coccetti, P.; Alberghina, L.; Vanoni, M. Glucose signaling-mediated coordination of cell growth and cell cycle in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Sensors* **2010**, *10*, 6195–6240.
- 172. Tamanoi, F. Ras signaling in yeast. Genes Cancer 2011, 2, 210–215.
- 173. Francois, J.; Hers, H.G. The control of glycogen metabolism in yeast. 2. A kinetic study of the two forms of glycogen synthase and of glycogen phosphorylase and an investigation of their interconversion in a cell-free extract. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1988**, *174*, 561–567.
- 174. Francois, J.; Van Schaftingen, E.; Hers, H.G. The mechanism by which glucose increases fructose 2,6bisphosphate concentration in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. A cyclic-AMP-dependent activation of phosphofructokinase 2. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1984**, *145*, 187–193.
- 175. Lopez-Boado, Y.S.; Herrero, P.; Gascon, S.; Moreno, F. Catabolite inactivation of isocitrate lyase from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Arch. Microbiol.* **1987**, *147*, 231–234.
- 176. Müller, G.; Bandlow, W. cAMP-dependent protein kinase activity in yeast mitochondria. *Z. Naturforsch. C* **1987**, *42*, 1291–1302.
- 177. Ortiz, C.H.; Maia, J.C.; Tenan, M.N.; Braz-Padrao, G.R.; Mattoon, J.R.; Panek, A.D. Regulation of yeast trehalase by a monocyclic, cyclic AMP-dependent phosphorylation-dephosphorylation cascade system. *J. Bacteriol.* **1983**, *153*, 644–651.
- 178. Pohlig, G.; Holzer, H. Phosphorylation and inactivation of yeast fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase by cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase from yeast. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1985**, *260*, 13818–13823.
- 179. Rittenhouse, J.; Moberly, L.; Marcus, F. Phosphorylation in vivo of yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase at the cyclic AMP-dependent site. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1987**, *262*, 10114–10119.
- 180. Rödel, G.; Muller, G.; Bandlow, W. Cyclic AMP receptor protein from yeast mitochondria: Submitochondrial localization and preliminary characterization. *J. Bacteriol.* **1985**, *161*, 7–12.
- 181. Uno, I.; Matsumoto, K.; Adachi, K.; Ishikawa, T. Genetic and biochemical evidence that trehalase is a substrate of cAMP-dependent protein kinase in yeast. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1983**, *258*, 10867–10872.
- 182. Cameron, S.; Levin, L.; Zoller, M.; Wigler, M. cAMP-independent control of sporulation, glycogen metabolism, and heat shock resistance in *S. cerevisiae*. *Cell* **1988**, *53*, 555–566.
- Uno, I.; Matsumoto, K.; Hirata, A.; Ishikawa, T. Outer plaque assembly and spore encapsulation are defective during sporulation of adenylate cyclase-deficient mutants of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Cell Biol. 1985, 100, 1854–1862.
- 184. Matsumoto, K.; Uno, I.; Oshima, Y.; Ishikawa, T. Isolation and characterization of yeast mutants deficient in adenylate cyclase and cAMP-dependent protein kinase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1982**, *79*, 2355–2359.
- 185. Matsumoto, K.; Uno, I.; Ishikawa, T. Control of cell division in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mutants defective in adenylate cyclase and cAMP-dependent protein kinase. *Exp. Cell Res.* **1983**, *146*, 151–161.
- 186. Matsumoto, K.; Uno, I.; Ishikawa, T. Initiation of meiosis in yeast mutants defective in adenylate cyclase and cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase. *Cell* **1983**, *32*, 417–423.
- 187. Kido, M.; Shima, F.; Satoh, T.; Asato, T.; Kariya, K.; Kataoka, T. Critical function of the Ras-associating domain as a primary Ras-binding site for regulation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* adenylyl cyclase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2002**, 277, 3117–3123.
- 188. Thevelein, J.M.; de Winde, J.H. Novel sensing mechanisms and targets for the cAMP-protein kinase A pathway in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **1999**, *33*, 904–918.
- Broach, J.R.; Deschenes, R.J. The function of ras genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Adv. Cancer Res.* 1990, 54, 79–139.
- 190. Thevelein, J.M. The RAS-adenylate cyclase pathway and cell cycle control in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **1992**, *62*, 109–130.
- 191. Thevelein, J.M. Signal transduction in yeast. Yeast 1994, 10, 1753–1790.
- Tatchell, T. RAS genes in the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. In Signal Transduction: Prokaryotic and Simple Eukaryotic Systems; Kurjan, J., Taylor, B.L., Eds.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, 1993; pp. 147– 188.
- 193. Toda, T.; Cameron, S.; Sass, P.; Zoller, M.; Scott, J.D.; McMullen, B.; Hurwitz, M.; Krebs, E.G.; Wigler, M. Cloning and characterization of BCY1, a *locus* encoding a regulatory subunit of the cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **1987**, *7*, 1371–1377.

- 194. Toda, T.; Cameron, S.; Sass, P.; Zoller, M.; Wigler, M. Three different genes in *S. cerevisiae* encode the catalytic subunits of the cAMP-dependent protein kinase. *Cell* **1987**, *50*, 277–287.
- 195. Nikawa, J.; Cameron, S.; Toda, T.; Ferguson, K.M.; Wigler, M. Rigorous feedback control of cAMP levels in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genes Dev.* **1987**, *1*, 931–937.
- 196. Mbonyi, K.; van Aelst, L.; Arguelles, J.C.; Jans, A.W.; Thevelein, J.M. Glucose-induced hyperaccumulation of cyclic AMP and defective glucose repression in yeast strains with reduced activity of cyclic AMPdependent protein kinase. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **1990**, *10*, 4518–4523.
- Zaman, S.; Lippman, S.I.; Zhao, X.; Broach, J.R. How Saccharomyces responds to nutrients. Annu. Rev. Genet. 2008, 42, 27–81.
- 198. Gancedo, J.M. The early steps of glucose signalling in yeast. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 2008, 32, 673–704.
- 199. Ho, J.; Bretscher, A. Ras regulates the polarity of the yeast actin cytoskeleton through the stress response pathway. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **2001**, *12*, 1541–1555.
- 200. McDonald, C.M.; Wagner, M.; Dunham, M.J.; Shin, M.E.; Ahmed, N.T.; Winter, E. The Ras/cAMP pathway and the CDK-like kinase Ime2 regulate the MAPK Smk1 and spore morphogenesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* **2009**, *181*, 511–523.
- 201. Hubler, L.; Bradshaw-Rouse, J.; Heideman, W. Connections between the Ras-cyclic AMP pathway and G1 cyclin expression in the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **1993**, *13*, 6274–6282.
- 202. Sun, J.; Kale, S.P.; Childress, A.M.; Pinswasdi, C.; Jazwinski, S.M. Divergent roles of RAS1 and RAS2 in yeast longevity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1994**, *269*, 18638–18645.
- 203. Peeper, D.S.; Upton, T.M.; Ladha, M.H.; Neuman, E.; Zalvide, J.; Bernards, R.; DeCaprio, J.A.; Ewen, M.E. Ras signalling linked to the cell-cycle machinery by the retinoblastoma protein. *Nature* 1997, 386, 177–181.
- 204. Pruitt, K.; Der, C.J. Ras and Rho regulation of the cell cycle and oncogenesis. Cancer Lett. 2001, 171, 1–10.
- Coleman, M.L.; Marshall, C.J.; Olson, M.F. RAS and RHO GTPases in G1-phase cell-cycle regulation. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2004, *5*, 355–366.
- 206. Downward, J. Cell cycle: Routine role for Ras. Curr. Biol. 1997, 7, R258-R260.
- 207. Mittnacht, S.; Paterson, H.; Olson, M.F.; Marshall, C.J. Ras signalling is required for inactivation of the tumour suppressor pRb cell-cycle control protein. *Curr. Biol.* **1997**, *7*, 219–221.
- Yang, J.J.; Kang, J.S.; Krauss, R.S. Transformation-restoring factor: A low molecular weight secreted factor required for anchorage-independent growth of oncogene-resistant mutant cell lines. *Oncogene* 1995, 10, 1291–1299.
- 209. Kang, J.S.; Krauss, R.S. Ras induces anchorage-independent growth by subverting multiple adhesion-regulated cell cycle events. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **1996**, *16*, 3370–3380.
- 210. Pruitt, K.; Pestell, R.G.; Der, C.J. Ras inactivation of the retinoblastoma pathway by distinct mechanisms in NIH 3T3 fibroblast and RIE-1 epithelial cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2000**, *275*, 40916–40924.
- 211. Mulcahy, L.S.; Smith, M.R.; Stacey, D.W. Requirement for ras proto-oncogene function during serumstimulated growth of NIH 3T3 cells. *Nature* **1985**, *313*, 241–243.
- 212. Feramisco, J.R.; Gross, M.; Kamata, T.; Rosenberg, M.; Sweet, R.W. Microinjection of the oncogene form of the human H-ras (T-24) protein results in rapid proliferation of quiescent cells. *Cell* **1984**, *38*, 109–117.
- 213. Hanahan, D.; Weinberg, R.A. Hallmarks of cancer: The next generation. *Cell* 2011, 144, 646–674.
- 214. Hartwell, L.H.; Unger, M.W. Unequal division in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and its implications for the control of cell division. *J. Cell Biol.* **1977**, *75*, 422–435.
- 215. Vanoni, M.; Vai, M.; Popolo, L.; Alberghina, L. Structural heterogeneity in populations of the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Bacteriol.* **1983**, *156*, 1282–1291.
- 216. Johnston, G.C.; Ehrhardt, C.W.; Lorincz, A.; Carter, B.L. Regulation of cell size in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Bacteriol. **1979**, 137, 1–5.
- 217. Lord, P.G.; Wheals, A.E. Rate of cell cycle initiation of yeast cells when cell size is not a rate-determining factor. *J. Cell Sci.* **1983**, *59*, 183–201.
- 218. Mitchison, J.M. The Biology of the Cell Cycle; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1971.
- 219. Baroni, M.D.; Monti, P.; Alberghina, L. Repression of growth-regulated G1 cyclin expression by cyclic AMP in budding yeast. *Nature* **1994**, *371*, 339–342.
- 220. Tokiwa, G.; Tyers, M.; Volpe, T.; Futcher, B. Inhibition of G1 cyclin activity by the Ras/cAMP pathway in yeast. *Nature* **1994**, *371*, 342–345.

- 221. Mizunuma, M.; Tsubakiyama, R.; Ogawa, T.; Shitamukai, A.; Kobayashi, Y.; Inai, T.; Kume, K.; Hirata, D. Ras/cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) regulates multiple aspects of cellular events by phosphorylating the Whi3 cell cycle regulator in budding yeast. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2013**, *288*, 10558–10566.
- 222. Downward, J. Ras signalling and apoptosis. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 1998, 8, 49–54.
- 223. Chang, F.; Steelman, L.S.; Shelton, J.G.; Lee, J.T.; Navolanic, P.M.; Blalock, W.L.; Franklin, R.; McCubrey, J.A. Regulation of cell cycle progression and apoptosis by the Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK pathway. *Int. J. Oncol.* 2003, 22, 469–480.
- 224. Cox, A.D.; Der, C.J. The dark side of Ras: Regulation of apoptosis. Oncogene 2003, 22, 8999–9006.
- 225. Datta, S.R.; Brunet, A.; Greenberg, M.E. Cellular survival: A play in three Akts. *Genes Dev.* **1999**, *13*, 2905–2927.
- 226. Sulciner, D.J.; Irani, K.; Yu, Z.X.; Ferrans, V.J.; Goldschmidt-Clermont, P.; Finkel, T. rac1 regulates a cytokine-stimulated, redox-dependent pathway necessary for NF-kB activation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **1996**, *16*, 7115–7121.
- 227. Irani, K.; Xia, Y.; Zweier, J.L.; Sollott, S.J.; Der, C.J.; Fearon, E.R.; Sundaresan, M.; Finkel, T.; Goldschmidt-Clermont, P.J. Mitogenic signaling mediated by oxidants in Ras-transformed fibroblasts. *Science* **1997**, 275, 1649–1652.
- 228. Joneson, T.; Bar-Sagi, D. Suppression of Ras-induced apoptosis by the Rac GTPase. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **1999**, *19*, 5892–5901.
- 229. Mayo, M.W.; Baldwin, A.S. The transcription factor NF-kB: Control of oncogenesis and cancer therapy resistance. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2000, 1470, M55–M62.
- 230. Lambert, J.M.; Lambert, Q.T.; Reuther, G.W.; Malliri, A.; Siderovski, D.P.; Sondek, J.; Collard, J.G.; Der, C.J. Tiam1 mediates Ras activation of Rac by a PI(3)K-independent mechanism. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2002**, *4*, 621–625.
- 231. Romashkova, J.A.; Makarov, S.S. NF-kB is a target of AKT in anti-apoptotic PDGF signalling. *Nature* **1999**, 401, 86–90.
- 232. Blume-Jensen, P.; Janknecht, R.; Hunter, T. The kit receptor promotes cell survival via activation of PI 3kinase and subsequent Akt-mediated phosphorylation of Bad on Ser136. *Curr. Biol.* **1998**, *8*, 779–782.
- 233. Bonni, A.; Brunet, A.; West, A.E.; Datta, S.R.; Takasu, M.A.; Greenberg, M.E. Cell survival promoted by the Ras-MAPK signaling pathway by transcription-dependent and -independent mechanisms. *Science* 1999, 286, 1358–1362.
- 234. Fang, X.; Yu, S.; Eder, A.; Mao, M.; Bast, R.C., Jr.; Boyd, D.; Mills, G.B. Regulation of BAD phosphorylation at serine 112 by the Ras-mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway. *Oncogene* **1999**, *18*, 6635–6640.
- 235. Tan, Y.; Ruan, H.; Demeter, M.R.; Comb, M.J. p90(RSK) blocks bad-mediated cell death via a protein kinase C-dependent pathway. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1999**, *274*, 34859–34867.
- 236. Du, K.; Montminy, M. CREB is a regulatory target for the protein kinase Akt/PKB. J. Biol. Chem. **1998**, 273, 32377–32379.
- 237. Nalca, A.; Qiu, S.G.; El-Guendy, N.; Krishnan, S.; Rangnekar, V.M. Oncogenic Ras sensitizes cells to apoptosis by Par-4. J. Biol. Chem. 1999, 274, 29976–29983.
- 238. Ries, S.; Biederer, C.; Woods, D.; Shifman, O.; Shirasawa, S.; Sasazuki, T.; McMahon, M.; Oren, M.; McCormick, F. Opposing effects of Ras on p53: Transcriptional activation of mdm2 and induction of p19ARF. *Cell* **2000**, *103*, 321–330.
- 239. Tsuchida, T.; Kijima, H.; Hori, S.; Oshika, Y.; Tokunaga, T.; Kawai, K.; Yamazaki, H.; Ueyama, Y.; Scanlon, K.J.; Tamaoki, N.; et al. Adenovirus-mediated anti-K-ras ribozyme induces apoptosis and growth suppression of human pancreatic carcinoma. *Cancer Gene Ther.* **2000**, *7*, 373–383.
- 240. Navarro, P.; Valverde, A.M.; Benito, M.; Lorenzo, M. Activated Ha-ras induces apoptosis by association with phosphorylated Bcl-2 in a mitogen-activated protein kinase-independent manner. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1999**, 274, 18857–18863.
- 241. Gourlay, C.W.; Du, W.; Ayscough, K.R. Apoptosis in yeast-mechanisms and benefits to a unicellular organism. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2006, *62*, 1515–1521.
- 242. Frohlich, K.U.; Fussi, H.; Ruckenstuhl, C. Yeast apoptosis—From genes to pathways. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* **2007**, *17*, 112–121.
- 243. Carmona-Gutierrez, D.; Eisenberg, T.; Buttner, S.; Meisinger, C.; Kroemer, G.; Madeo, F. Apoptosis in yeast: Triggers, pathways, subroutines. *Cell Death Differ*. **2010**, *17*, 763–773.
- 244. Phillips, A.J.; Crowe, J.D.; Ramsdale, M. Ras pathway signaling accelerates programmed cell death in the pathogenic fungus *Candida albicans*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2006**, *103*, 726–731.

- 245. Gourlay, C.W.; Ayscough, K.R. Actin-induced hyperactivation of the Ras signaling pathway leads to apoptosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **2006**, *26*, 6487–6501.
- 246. Narasimhan, M.L.; Damsz, B.; Coca, M.A.; Ibeas, J.I.; Yun, D.J.; Pardo, J.M.; Hasegawa, P.M.; Bressan, R.A. A plant defense response effector induces microbial apoptosis. *Mol. Cell* **2001**, *8*, 921–930.
- 247. Santos, J.; Sousa, M.J.; Leao, C. Ammonium is toxic for aging yeast cells, inducing death and shortening of the chronological lifespan. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e37090.
- 248. Santos, J.; Leao, C.; Sousa, M.J. Ammonium-dependent shortening of CLS in yeast cells starved for essential amino acids is determined by the specific amino acid deprived, through different signaling pathways. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* **2013**, 2013, 161986.
- 249. Madeo, F.; Frohlich, E.; Ligr, M.; Grey, M.; Sigrist, S.J.; Wolf, D.H.; Frohlich, K.U. Oxygen stress: A regulator of apoptosis in yeast. *J. Cell Biol.* **1999**, *145*, 757–767.
- 250. Ludovico, P.; Sousa, M.J.; Silva, M.T.; Leao, C.; Corte-Real, M. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* commits to a programmed cell death process in response to acetic acid. *Microbiology* **2001**, *147*, 2409–2415.
- 251. Silva, R.D.; Sotoca, R.; Johansson, B.; Ludovico, P.; Sansonetty, F.; Silva, M.T.; Peinado, J.M.; Corte-Real, M. Hyperosmotic stress induces metacaspase- and mitochondria-dependent apoptosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2005, *58*, 824–834.
- 252. Mitsui, K.; Nakagawa, D.; Nakamura, M.; Okamoto, T.; Tsurugi, K. Valproic acid induces apoptosis dependent of Yca1p at concentrations that mildly affect the proliferation of yeast. *FEBS Lett.* **2005**, *579*, 723–727.
- 253. Weinberger, M.; Ramachandran, L.; Feng, L.; Sharma, K.; Sun, X.; Marchetti, M.; Huberman, J.A.; Burhans, W.C. Apoptosis in budding yeast caused by defects in initiation of DNA replication. *J. Cell Sci.* 2005, 118, 3543–3553.
- 254. Gourlay, C.W.; Ayscough, K.R. Identification of an upstream regulatory pathway controlling actinmediated apoptosis in yeast. *J. Cell Sci.* 2005, *118*, 2119–2132.
- Lastauskiene, E.; Zinkeviciene, A.; Citavicius, D. Ras/PKA signal transduction pathway participates in the regulation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cell apoptosis in an acidic environment. *Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem.* 2014, 61, 3–10.
- 256. Mollapour, M.; Phelan, J.P.; Millson, S.H.; Piper, P.W.; Cooke, F.T. Weak acid and alkali stress regulate phosphatidylinositol bisphosphate synthesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biochem. J.* **2006**, *395*, 73–80.
- 257. Klionsky, D.J. Autophagy. Curr. Biol. 2005, 15, R282-R283.
- 258. Massey, A.; Kiffin, R.; Cuervo, A.M. Pathophysiology of chaperone-mediated autophagy. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* **2004**, *36*, 2420–2434.
- 259. Yang, Z.; Klionsky, D.J. An overview of the molecular mechanism of autophagy. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* **2009**, 335, 1–32.
- 260. He, C.; Klionsky, D.J. Regulation mechanisms and signaling pathways of autophagy. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **2009**, *43*, 67–93.
- Ravikumar, B.; Sarkar, S.; Davies, J.E.; Futter, M.; Garcia-Arencibia, M.; Green-Thompson, Z.W.; Jimenez-Sanchez, M.; Korolchuk, V.I.; Lichtenberg, M.; Luo, S.; et al. Regulation of mammalian autophagy in physiology and pathophysiology. *Physiol. Rev.* 2010, *90*, 1383–1435.
- 262. Levine, B.; Klionsky, D.J. Development by self-digestion: Molecular mechanisms and biological functions of autophagy. *Dev. Cell* **2004**, *6*, 463–477.
- 263. Levine, B.; Deretic, V. Unveiling the roles of autophagy in innate and adaptive immunity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2007, *7*, 767–777.
- 264. Boya, P.; Gonzalez-Polo, R.A.; Casares, N.; Perfettini, J.L.; Dessen, P.; Larochette, N.; Metivier, D.; Meley, D.; Souquere, S.; Yoshimori, T.; et al. Inhibition of macroautophagy triggers apoptosis. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2005, 25, 1025–1040.
- Galluzzi, L.; Vicencio, J.M.; Kepp, O.; Tasdemir, E.; Maiuri, M.C.; Kroemer, G. To die or not to die: That is the autophagic question. *Curr. Mol. Med.* 2008, *8*, 78–91.
- 266. Kroemer, G.; Jaattela, M. Lysosomes and autophagy in cell death control. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2005, 5, 886–897.
- 267. Maiuri, M.C.; Zalckvar, E.; Kimchi, A.; Kroemer, G. Self-eating and self-killing: Crosstalk between autophagy and apoptosis. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2007, *8*, 741–752.
- 268. Tsujimoto, Y.; Shimizu, S. Another way to die: Autophagic programmed cell death. *Cell Death Differ*. 2005, *12* (Suppl. 2), 1528–1534.

- 269. Mathew, R.; Karantza-Wadsworth, V.; White, E. Role of autophagy in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2007, *7*, 961–967.
- 270. Meijer, A.J.; Codogno, P. Autophagy: Regulation by energy sensing. Curr. Biol. 2011, 21, R227–R229.
- Pattingre, S.; Bauvy, C.; Codogno, P. Amino acids interfere with the ERK1/2-dependent control of macroautophagy by controlling the activation of Raf-1 in human colon cancer HT-29 cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2003, 278, 16667–16674.
- 272. Furuta, S.; Hidaka, E.; Ogata, A.; Yokota, S.; Kamata, T. Ras is involved in the negative control of autophagy through the class I PI3-kinase. *Oncogene* **2004**, *23*, 3898–3904.
- 273. Elgendy, M.; Sheridan, C.; Brumatti, G.; Martin, S.J. Oncogenic Ras-induced expression of Noxa and Beclin-1 promotes autophagic cell death and limits clonogenic survival. *Mol. Cell* **2011**, *42*, 23–35.
- 274. Wu, S.Y.; Lan, S.H.; Cheng, D.E.; Chen, W.K.; Shen, C.H.; Lee, Y.R.; Zuchini, R.; Liu, H.S. Ras-related tumorigenesis is suppressed by BNIP3-mediated autophagy through inhibition of cell proliferation. *Neoplasia* **2011**, *13*, 1171–1182.
- 275. Kim, J.H.; Kim, H.Y.; Lee, Y.K.; Yoon, Y.S.; Xu, W.G.; Yoon, J.K.; Choi, S.E.; Ko, Y.G.; Kim, M.J.; Lee, S.J.; et al. Involvement of mitophagy in oncogenic K-Ras-induced transformation: Overcoming a cellular energy deficit from glucose deficiency. *Autophagy* 2011, *7*, 1187–1198.
- 276. Guo, J.Y.; Chen, H.Y.; Mathew, R.; Fan, J.; Strohecker, A.M.; Karsli-Uzunbas, G.; Kamphorst, J.J.; Chen, G.; Lemons, J.M.; Karantza, V.; et al. Activated Ras requires autophagy to maintain oxidative metabolism and tumorigenesis. *Genes Dev.* **2011**, *25*, 460–470.
- 277. Kim, M.J.; Woo, S.J.; Yoon, C.H.; Lee, J.S.; An, S.; Choi, Y.H.; Hwang, S.G.; Yoon, G.; Lee, S.J. Involvement of autophagy in oncogenic K-Ras-induced malignant cell transformation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2011**, *286*, 12924–12932.
- 278. Lock, R.; Roy, S.; Kenific, C.M.; Su, J.S.; Salas, E.; Ronen, S.M.; Debnath, J. Autophagy facilitates glycolysis during Ras-mediated oncogenic transformation. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **2011**, *22*, 165–178.
- 279. Cebollero, E.; Reggiori, F. Regulation of autophagy in yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2009**, *1793*, 1413–1421.
- 280. Chen, Y.; Klionsky, D.J. The regulation of autophagy—Unanswered questions. J. Cell Sci. 2011, 124, 161– 170.
- 281. Stephan, J.S.; Yeh, Y.Y.; Ramachandran, V.; Deminoff, S.J.; Herman, P.K. The Tor and PKA signaling pathways independently target the Atg1/Atg13 protein kinase complex to control autophagy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2009**, *106*, 17049–17054.
- 282. Conrad, M.; Schothorst, J.; Kankipati, H.N.; Van Zeebroeck, G.; Rubio-Texeira, M.; Thevelein, J.M. Nutrient sensing and signaling in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **2014**, *38*, 254–299.
- 283. Longo, V.D.; Fabrizio, P. Chronological aging in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Subcell. Biochem. 2012, 57, 101–121.
- 284. Jacinto, E.; Lorberg, A. TOR regulation of AGC kinases in yeast and mammals. Biochem. J. 2008, 410, 19–37.
- 285. Noda, T.; Ohsumi, Y. Tor, a phosphatidylinositol kinase homologue, controls autophagy in yeast. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, *273*, 3963–3966.
- 286. Urban, J.; Soulard, A.; Huber, A.; Lippman, S.; Mukhopadhyay, D.; Deloche, O.; Wanke, V.; Anrather, D.; Ammerer, G.; Riezman, H.; et al. Sch9 is a major target of TORC1 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Mol. Cell* **2007**, *26*, 663–674.
- 287. Yorimitsu, T.; Zaman, S.; Broach, J.R.; Klionsky, D.J. Protein kinase A and Sch9 cooperatively regulate induction of autophagy in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **2007**, *18*, 4180–4189.
- 288. Forbes, S.A.; Bindal, N.; Bamford, S.; Cole, C.; Kok, C.Y.; Beare, D.; Jia, M.; Shepherd, R.; Leung, K.; Menzies, A.; et al. COSMIC: Mining complete cancer genomes in the Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2011, 39, D945–D950.
- 289. Karnoub, A.E.; Weinberg, R.A. Ras oncogenes: Split personalities. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2008, 9, 517-531.
- 290. Pendergast, A.M.; Quilliam, L.A.; Cripe, L.D.; Bassing, C.H.; Dai, Z.; Li, N.; Batzer, A.; Rabun, K.M.; Der, C.J.; Schlessinger, J.; et al. BCR-ABL-induced oncogenesis is mediated by direct interaction with the SH2 domain of the GRB-2 adaptor protein. *Cell* **1993**, *75*, 175–185.
- 291. Donovan, S.; Shannon, K.M.; Bollag, G. GTPase activating proteins: Critical regulators of intracellular signaling. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2002, 1602, 23–45.
- 292. Yarden, Y. The EGFR family and its ligands in human cancer. Signalling mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities. *Eur. J. Cancer* 2001, 37 (Suppl. 4), S3–S8.

- 293. Barault, L.; Veyrie, N.; Jooste, V.; Lecorre, D.; Chapusot, C.; Ferraz, J.M.; Lievre, A.; Cortet, M.; Bouvier, A.M.; Rat, P.; et al. Mutations in the RAS-MAPK, PI(3)K (phosphatidylinositol-3-OH kinase) signaling network correlate with poor survival in a population-based series of colon cancers. *Int. J. Cancer* **2008**, *122*, 2255–2259.
- 294. Oliveira, C.; Velho, S.; Moutinho, C.; Ferreira, A.; Preto, A.; Domingo, E.; Capelinha, A.F.; Duval, A.; Hamelin, R.; Machado, J.C.; et al. KRAS and BRAF oncogenic mutations in MSS colorectal carcinoma progression. *Oncogene* **2007**, *26*, 158–163.
- 295. Samuels, Y.; Velculescu, V.E. Oncogenic mutations of PIK3CA in human cancers. *Cell Cycle* **2004**, *3*, 1221–1224.
- 296. Broek, D.; Samiy, N.; Fasano, O.; Fujiyama, A.; Tamanoi, F.; Northup, J.; Wigler, M. Differential activation of yeast adenylate cyclase by wild-type and mutant RAS proteins. *Cell* **1985**, *41*, 763–769.
- 297. Cazzanelli, G. Study the Roles of Human Galectin-3 Using the Yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and Colorectal Cancer Cells as Eukaryotic Models. Ph.D. Thesis, the University of Minho, Braga, Portugal, 2017.
- 298. Yang, Z.; Klionsky, D.J. Mammalian autophagy: Core molecular machinery and signaling regulation. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **2010**, *22*, 124–131.
- 299. Chen, N.; Karantza, V. Autophagy as a therapeutic target in cancer. Cancer Biol. Ther. 2011, 11, 157–168.
- 300. Shalom-Feuerstein, R.; Cooks, T.; Raz, A.; Kloog, Y. Galectin-3 regulates a molecular switch from N-Ras to K-Ras usage in human breast carcinoma cells. *Cancer Res.* **2005**, *65*, 7292–7300.
- 301. Abankwa, D.; Gorfe, A.A.; Inder, K.; Hancock, J.F. Ras membrane orientation and nanodomain localization generate isoform diversity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2010**, *107*, 1130–1135.
- 302. Bhagatji, P.; Leventis, R.; Rich, R.; Lin, C.J.; Silvius, J.R. Multiple cellular proteins modulate the dynamics of K-ras association with the plasma membrane. *Biophys. J.* **2010**, *99*, 3327–3335.
- 303. Levy, R.; Grafi-Cohen, M.; Kraiem, Z.; Kloog, Y. Galectin-3 promotes chronic activation of K-Ras and differentiation block in malignant thyroid carcinomas. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **2010**, *9*, 2208–2219.
- 304. Song, S.; Ji, B.; Ramachandran, V.; Wang, H.; Hafley, M.; Logsdon, C.; Bresalier, R.S. Overexpressed galectin-3 in pancreatic cancer induces cell proliferation and invasion by binding Ras and activating Ras signaling. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e42699.
- 305. Wu, K.L.; Huang, E.Y.; Jhu, E.W.; Huang, Y.H.; Su, W.H.; Chuang, P.C.; Yang, K.D. Overexpression of galectin-3 enhances migration of colon cancer cells related to activation of the K-Ras-Raf-Erk1/2 pathway. *J. Gastroenterol.* 2013, 48, 350–359.
- 306. Markowska, A.I.; Liu, F.T.; Panjwani, N. Galectin-3 is an important mediator of VEGF- and bFGF-mediated angiogenic response. *J. Exp. Med.* **2010**, *207*, 1981–1993.
- 307. Seguin, L.; Kato, S.; Franovic, A.; Camargo, M.F.; Lesperance, J.; Elliott, K.C.; Yebra, M.; Mielgo, A.; Lowy, A.M.; Husain, H.; et al. An integrin b(3)-KRAS-RalB complex drives tumour stemness and resistance to EGFR inhibition. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2014, *16*, 457–468.
- 308. Han, J.W.; McCormick, F.; Macara, I.G. Regulation of Ras-GAP and the neurofibromatosis-1 gene product by eicosanoids. *Science* **1991**, 252, 576-579.
- 309. Chen, M.; Rymond, B. Appendix A1: Yeast Nomenclature Systematic Open Reading Frame (ORF) and Other Genetic Designations. In *Alternative pre-mRNA Splicing*, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, Germany, 2012; 603-607.
- 310. Sherman, F. Getting started with yeast. Methods Enzymol 2002, 350, 3-41.



© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).