

Linear Generalized n -Derivations on C^* -Algebras

Shakir Ali ^{1,*} , Amal S. Alali ²  and Vaishali Varshney ¹ 
¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002, India

² Department of Mathematical Sciences, College of Science, Princess Nourah Bint Abdulrahman University, P.O. Box 84428, Riyadh 11671, Saudi Arabia

* Correspondence: shakir.ali.mm@amu.ac.in or drshakir1971@gmail.com

Abstract: Let $n \geq 2$ be a fixed integer and \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra. A permuting n -linear map $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is known to be symmetric generalized n -derivation if there exists a symmetric n -derivation $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{G}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_i \zeta'_i, \dots, \zeta_n) = \mathcal{G}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_i, \dots, \zeta_n) \zeta'_i + \zeta_i \mathcal{D}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta'_i, \dots, \zeta_n)$ holds $\forall \zeta_i, \zeta'_i \in \mathcal{A}$. In this paper, we investigate the structure of C^* -algebras involving generalized linear n -derivations. Moreover, we describe the forms of traces of linear n -derivations satisfying certain functional identity.

Keywords: linear derivation; generalized n -derivation; Lie ideal; Banach algebra; C^* -algebra

MSC: 47B48; 22D25; 46L57; 16W25

1. Introduction

A Banach algebra is a linear associate algebra which, as a vector space, is a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ satisfying the multiplicative inequality; $\|\zeta \varepsilon\| \leq \|\zeta\| \|\varepsilon\| \forall \zeta$ and ε in \mathcal{A} . “An involution on an algebra \mathcal{A} is a linear map $\zeta \mapsto \zeta^*$ of \mathcal{A} into itself such that the following conditions hold: (i) $(\zeta \varepsilon)^* = \varepsilon^* \zeta^*$, (ii) $(\zeta^*)^* = \zeta$, and (iii) $(\zeta + \lambda \varepsilon)^* = \zeta^* + \bar{\lambda} \varepsilon^* \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the field of complex numbers, where $\bar{\lambda}$ is the conjugate of λ . An algebra equipped with an involution $*$ is called a $*$ -algebra or algebra with involution. A Banach $*$ -algebra is a Banach algebra \mathcal{A} together with an isometric involution $\|\zeta^*\| = \|\zeta\| \forall \zeta \in \mathcal{A}$. A C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is a Banach $*$ -algebra with the additional norm condition $\|\zeta^* \zeta\| = \|\zeta\|^2 \forall \zeta \in \mathcal{A}$.

Throughout this discussion, unless otherwise mentioned, \mathcal{A} will denote C^* -algebra with $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ as its center. However, \mathcal{A} may or may not have unity. The symbols $[\zeta, \varepsilon]$ and $\zeta \circ \varepsilon$ denote the commutator $\zeta \varepsilon - \varepsilon \zeta$ and the anti-commutator $\zeta \varepsilon + \varepsilon \zeta$, respectively, for any $\zeta, \varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$. An algebra \mathcal{A} is said to be prime if $\zeta \mathcal{A} \varepsilon = \{0\}$ implies that either $\zeta = 0$ or $\varepsilon = 0$, and semiprime if $\zeta \mathcal{A} \zeta = \{0\}$ implies that $\zeta = 0$, where $\zeta, \varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$. An additive subgroup U of \mathcal{A} is said to be a Lie ideal of \mathcal{A} if $[u, r] \in U, \forall u \in U, r \in \mathcal{A}$. U is called a square-closed Lie ideal of \mathcal{A} if U is a Lie ideal and $u^2 \in U \forall u \in U$. A linear operator \mathcal{D} on a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is called a derivation if $\mathcal{D}(\zeta \varepsilon) = \mathcal{D}(\zeta) \varepsilon + \zeta \mathcal{D}(\varepsilon)$ holds $\forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$. Consider the inner derivation δ_a implemented by an element a in \mathcal{A} , which is defined as $\delta_a(\zeta) = \zeta a - a \zeta$ for every ζ in \mathcal{A} , as a typical example of a nonzero derivation in a noncommutative algebra.

In order to broaden the scope of derivation, Maksa [1] introduced the concept of symmetric bi-derivations. A bi-linear map $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is said to be a bi-derivation if

$$\mathcal{D}(\zeta \zeta', \varepsilon) = \mathcal{D}(\zeta, \varepsilon) \zeta' + \zeta \mathcal{D}(\zeta', \varepsilon)$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\zeta, \varepsilon \varepsilon') = \mathcal{D}(\zeta, \varepsilon) \varepsilon' + \varepsilon \mathcal{D}(\zeta, \varepsilon')$$

holds for any $\zeta, \zeta', \varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \mathcal{A}$. The foregoing conditions are identical if \mathcal{D} is also a symmetric map, whereby if $\mathcal{D}(\zeta, \varepsilon) = \mathcal{D}(\varepsilon, \zeta)$ for every $\zeta, \varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$. In this case, \mathcal{D} is referred to as a symmetric bi-derivation of \mathcal{A} . Vukman [2] investigated symmetric bi-derivations in prime



Citation: Ali, S.; Alali, A.S.; Varshney, V. Linear Generalized n -Derivations on C^* -Algebras. *Mathematics* **2024**, *12*, 1558. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math12101558>

Academic Editors: Juan Ramón García Rozas, Luis Oyonarte Alcalá, Driss Bennis and Irina Cristea

Received: 8 April 2024

Revised: 13 May 2024

Accepted: 14 May 2024

Published: 16 May 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

and semiprime rings. Argao and Yenigül ([3], Chapter 3) and Muthana [4] obtained the similar type of results on Lie ideals of ring R .

In this paper, we briefly discuss the various extensions of the notion of derivations on C^* -algebras. The most general and important one among them is the notion of symmetric linear generalized n -derivations on C^* -algebras. Suppose n is a fixed positive integer and $\mathcal{A}^n = \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}$. A map $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is said to be symmetric (permuting) if the relation $\mathfrak{D}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n) = \mathfrak{D}(\zeta_{\pi(1)}, \zeta_{\pi(2)}, \dots, \zeta_{\pi(n)})$ holds $\forall \zeta_i \in \mathcal{A}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and for every permutation $\{\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(n)\}$. The concept of derivation and symmetric bi-derivation was generalized by Park [5] as follows: a n -linear map $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is said to be a symmetric (permuting) linear n -derivation if \mathfrak{D} is permuting and $\mathfrak{D}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_i \zeta'_i, \dots, \zeta_n) = \mathfrak{D}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n) \zeta'_i + \zeta_i \mathfrak{D}(\zeta'_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n)$ hold $\forall \zeta_i, \zeta'_i \in \mathcal{A}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. A map $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ defined by $\mathfrak{d}(\zeta) = \mathfrak{D}(\zeta, \zeta, \dots, \zeta)$ is called the trace of \mathfrak{D} . If $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is permuting and n -linear, then the trace \mathfrak{d} of \mathfrak{D} satisfies the relation

$$\mathfrak{d}(\zeta + \varepsilon) = \mathfrak{d}(\zeta) + \mathfrak{d}(\varepsilon) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l h_l(\zeta; \varepsilon)$$

$\forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$, where ${}^nC_l = \binom{n}{l}$ and

$$h_l(\zeta; \varepsilon) = \mathfrak{D}(\underbrace{\zeta, \dots, \zeta}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon}_{l\text{-times}}).$$

Ashraf et al. [6] introduced the notion of symmetric generalized n -derivations in a ring, building upon the concept of generalized derivation. Let $n \geq 1$ be a fixed positive integer. A symmetric n -linear map $\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is known to be symmetric linear generalized n -derivation if there exists a symmetric linear n -derivation $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathfrak{G}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_i \zeta'_i, \dots, \zeta_n) = \mathfrak{G}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_i, \dots, \zeta_n) \zeta'_i + \zeta_i \mathfrak{D}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta'_i, \dots, \zeta_n)$ holds $\forall \zeta_i, \zeta'_i \in \mathcal{A}$.

Example 1. Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid a \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

where \mathbb{C} is a complex field. Next, define an involution $*$ to be the identity map. It is clear that \mathcal{A} is a

C^* -algebra under norm defined by $\|A\| = |a|$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Denote $A_i = \begin{bmatrix} a_i & a_i \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{A}$, $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$,

$1 \leq i \leq n$, and let us define $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $\mathfrak{D}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

with trace $g = \mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ define by $g\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a^n \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then it is easy to see that \mathfrak{G} is a symmetric linear generalized n -derivation on \mathcal{A} .

There has been notable scholarly focus on the structure of linear derivations and linear bi-derivations within the context of C^* -algebras. Various authors have provided diverse expositions of derivations on C^* -algebras, showcasing a spectrum of perspectives and methodologies. For instance, Kadison's work in 1966 [7] demonstrated that every linear derivation acting on a C^* -algebra annihilates its center. In 1989, Mathieu [8] built upon Posner's first theorem [9] regarding C^* -algebras, extending its implications. Basically, he proved that "if the product of two linear derivations d and d' on a C^* -algebra is a linear derivation then $dd' = 0$ ". Very recently, Ekrami and Mirzavaziri [10] showed that "if \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra admitting two linear derivations d and d' on \mathcal{A} , then there exists a linear derivation D on \mathcal{A} such that $dd' + d'd = D^2$ if and only if d and d' are linearly dependent".

In [11], Ali and Khan proved that if \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra admitting a symmetric bilinear generalized $*$ -biderivation $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with an associated symmetric bilinear $*$ -biderivation $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, then \mathcal{H} maps $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ into $Z(\mathcal{A})$. In [12], Rehman and Ansari provided a characterization of the trace of symmetric bi-derivations, and they proved more general results by examining different conditions on a subset of the ring R , specifically the Lie ideal of R . Basically, they proved that “let R be a prime ring with $\text{char} R \neq 2$ and U be a square closed Lie ideal of R . Suppose that $B : R \times R \rightarrow R$ is a symmetric bi-derivation and f , the trace of B . If $[f(x), x] = 0 \forall x \in U$, then either $U \subseteq Z(R)$ or $f = 0$ ” (see also [13–19] for recent results).

The motivation behind this research stems from the seminal works of Ali and Khan [11], as well as Rehman and Ansari [12], who explored the intricate connections between bilinear biderivations and algebraic structures within C^* -algebras and prime rings, respectively. In this study, we extend the above mentioned inquiry to the realm of linear generalized n -derivations in C^* -algebras. Focusing specifically on Lie ideals within these algebras, we aim to uncover broader outcomes and novel insights into the intricate relationships between linear generalized n -derivations and algebraic structure of C^* -algebras. By scrutinizing the behavior of linear generalized n -derivations within Lie ideals, our research seeks to elucidate their role in the algebraic landscape, contributing to a deeper understanding of the underlying principles governing linear generalized n -derivations in C^* -algebras. Precisely, we prove that if \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra, U is a square closed Lie ideal of \mathcal{A} admitting a nonzero symmetric linear generalized n -derivation $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ associated with symmetric linear n -derivation $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition $(g(\zeta\varepsilon) - g(\varepsilon\zeta)) \pm [\zeta, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$, then $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$.

2. The Results

To initiate the substantiation of our primary theorems, we first articulate a result that we frequently invoke in the demonstration of our principal outcomes.

Lemma 1 ([20], Corollary 2.1). “Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, U a Lie ideal of R such that $U \not\subseteq Z(R)$ and $a, b \in U$.

1. If $aUa = \{0\}$, then $a = 0$.
2. If $aU = \{0\}$ ($Ua = \{0\}$), then $a = 0$.
3. If U is a square closed Lie ideal and $aUb = \{0\}$, then $ab = 0$ and $ba = 0$.

Lemma 2 ([21], Lemma 1). Let R be a semiprime, 2 torsion-free ring and let U be a Lie ideal of R . Suppose that $[U, U] \subseteq Z(R)$, then $U \subseteq Z(R)$.

Lemma 3 ([22]). Let n be a fixed positive integer and R a $n!$ -torsion free ring. Suppose that $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in R$ satisfy $\lambda\varepsilon_1 + \lambda^2\varepsilon_2 + \dots + \lambda^n\varepsilon_n = 0$ for $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $\varepsilon_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Daif and Bell [23] proved that if a semiprime ring admits a derivation d such that either $\zeta\varepsilon - d(\zeta\varepsilon) = \varepsilon\zeta - d(\varepsilon\zeta)$ or $\zeta\varepsilon + d(\zeta\varepsilon) = \varepsilon\zeta + d(\varepsilon\zeta)$ holds $\forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in R$, then R is commutative. In this section, apart from proving other results, we expand the previous result by demonstrating the following theorem for the traces of generalized linear n -derivation on well behaved subsets of \mathcal{A} .

Theorem 1. For any fixed integer $n \geq 2$, let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra, U be a square closed Lie ideal of \mathcal{A} . If \mathcal{A} admits a nonzero symmetric linear generalized n -derivation $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ associated with symmetric linear n -derivation $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition of $(g(\zeta\varepsilon) - g(\varepsilon\zeta)) \pm [\zeta, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$, then $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. It is given that

$$(g(\zeta\varepsilon) - g(\varepsilon\zeta)) \pm [\zeta, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U.$$

Replacing ε by $\zeta + m\varepsilon$, where $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ in the given condition, we obtain

$$g(\zeta(\zeta + m\varepsilon)) - g((\zeta + m\varepsilon)\zeta) \pm [\zeta, \zeta + m\varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$$

which on solving, we have

$$g(\zeta m\varepsilon) - g(m\varepsilon\zeta) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l \mathcal{G}(\underbrace{\zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^2}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{\zeta m\varepsilon, \dots, \zeta m\varepsilon}_{l\text{-times}}) - \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l \mathcal{G}(\underbrace{\zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^2}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{m\varepsilon\zeta, \dots, m\varepsilon\zeta}_{l\text{-times}}) \pm [\zeta, m\varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U. \quad (1)$$

By using hypothesis, we obtain

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l \mathcal{G}(\underbrace{\zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^2}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{\zeta m\varepsilon, \dots, \zeta m\varepsilon}_{l\text{-times}}) - \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l \mathcal{G}(\underbrace{\zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^2}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{m\varepsilon\zeta, \dots, m\varepsilon\zeta}_{l\text{-times}}) \pm [\zeta, m\varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A})$$

$\forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$. Making use of Lemma 3, we see that

$$\mathcal{G}(\zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^2, \zeta\varepsilon) - \mathcal{G}(\zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^2, \varepsilon\zeta) \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U. \quad (2)$$

For $1 \leq m \leq n$, (1) can also be written as

$$m^n g(\zeta\varepsilon) - m^n g(\varepsilon\zeta) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l \mathcal{G}(\underbrace{\zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^2}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{\zeta m\varepsilon, \dots, \zeta m\varepsilon}_{l\text{-times}}) - \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l \mathcal{G}(\underbrace{\zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^2}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{m\varepsilon\zeta, \dots, m\varepsilon\zeta}_{l\text{-times}}) \pm [\zeta, m\varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U.$$

Again making use of Lemma 3, we have

$$n\{\mathcal{G}(\zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^2, \zeta\varepsilon) - \mathcal{G}(\zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^2, \varepsilon\zeta)\} \pm [\zeta, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U. \quad (3)$$

From (2) and (3), we obtain $[\zeta, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$. As every C^* -algebra is a semiprime ring, using Lemma 2, we obtain $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$. \square

Theorem 2. For any fixed integer $n \geq 2$, let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and U be a square closed Lie ideal of \mathcal{A} . If \mathcal{A} admits a nonzero symmetric linear generalized n -derivation $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ associated with symmetric linear n -derivation $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition of $(g(\zeta) \pm g(\varepsilon)) \pm \zeta \circ \varepsilon \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$, then $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $U \not\subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$. We have given that

$$(g(\zeta) - g(\varepsilon)) \pm \zeta \circ \varepsilon \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U.$$

Replacing ε by $\zeta + m\varepsilon$, where $z \in U$ and $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ in the given condition, we obtain

$$g(\zeta) \pm g(\zeta + m\varepsilon) \pm (\zeta \circ \zeta + m\varepsilon) \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U$$

which on solving, we have

$$g(\zeta) \pm g(\zeta)g(m\varepsilon) \pm \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l \mathcal{G}(\underbrace{\zeta, \dots, \zeta}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{m\varepsilon, \dots, m\varepsilon}_{l\text{-times}}) \pm \zeta \circ \zeta \pm \zeta \circ m\varepsilon \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U. \quad (4)$$

Using the given condition, we obtain

$$g(\zeta) \pm \zeta^2 \pm \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l \mathcal{G}(\underbrace{\zeta, \dots, \zeta}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{m\varepsilon, \dots, m\varepsilon}_{l\text{-times}}) \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U.$$

Multiply the above equation by m which implies that

$$mA_1(\zeta, \varepsilon) + m^2 A_2(\zeta, \varepsilon) + \dots + m^{n-1} A_{n-1}(\zeta, \varepsilon) \in Z(\mathcal{A})$$

$\forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U$ where $A_l(\zeta, \varepsilon)$ represents the term in which z appears l -times.

Making use of Lemma 3, we see that

$$\mathcal{G}(\zeta, \dots, \zeta, \varepsilon) \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U.$$

Replace ε by ζ , we obtain

$$g(\zeta) \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U.$$

From hypothesis, we have $\zeta \circ \varepsilon \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$. Again replace ζ by $\varepsilon\zeta$, we have $\varepsilon(\zeta \circ \varepsilon) \in Z(\mathcal{A})$ which imply $[\varepsilon(\zeta \circ \varepsilon), z] \in Z(\mathcal{A})$. On solving, we obtain $[\varepsilon, z](\zeta \circ \varepsilon) = 0 \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U$. Again replace ζ by ζz , we have $[\varepsilon, z]\zeta[z, \varepsilon] = 0 \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U$. By Lemma 1, we have $[z, \varepsilon] = 0 \quad \forall \varepsilon, z \in U$. Again using Lemma 2, we obtain $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$, which is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 3. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and U be a square closed Lie ideal of \mathcal{A} . If \mathcal{A} admits a nonzero symmetric linear generalized n -derivation $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ associated with symmetric linear n -derivation $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $g(\zeta^2) \pm \zeta^2 = 0 \quad \forall \zeta \in U$, then $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $U \not\subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$. We have given that $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be symmetric linear generalized n -derivations associated with $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} such that $g(\zeta^2) \pm \zeta^2 = 0 \quad \forall \zeta \in U$. Therefore, \mathcal{A} is semiprime as \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra. Now replacing ζ by $\zeta + m\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon \in U$ for $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ in the given condition, we obtain

$$g(\zeta + m\varepsilon)^2 \pm (\zeta + m\varepsilon)^2 = 0 \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U.$$

Further solving, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &g(\zeta^2) + g(m(\zeta\varepsilon + \varepsilon\zeta)) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l \mathcal{G}(\underbrace{\zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^2}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{m(\zeta\varepsilon + \varepsilon\zeta), \dots, m(\zeta\varepsilon + \varepsilon\zeta)}_{l\text{-times}}) + \\ &g((m\varepsilon)^2) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l \mathcal{G}(\underbrace{\zeta^2 + m(\zeta\varepsilon + \varepsilon\zeta), \dots, \zeta^2 + m(\zeta\varepsilon + \varepsilon\zeta)}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{(m\varepsilon)^2, \dots, (m\varepsilon)^2}_{l\text{-times}}) \\ &\quad \pm \zeta^2 \pm (m\varepsilon)^2 \pm m(\zeta\varepsilon + \varepsilon\zeta) = 0 \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U. \end{aligned}$$

In accordance of the given condition and Lemma 3, we obtain

$$n\mathcal{G}(\zeta^2, \dots, \zeta^2, \zeta\varepsilon + \varepsilon\zeta) \pm (\zeta\varepsilon + \varepsilon\zeta) = 0 \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U.$$

Replacing ε by ζ , we find that

$$2ng(\zeta^2) \pm 2\zeta^2 = 0,$$

or

$$\zeta^2 = 0.$$

This implies that $\varsigma\varepsilon + \varepsilon\varsigma = 0 \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon \in U$. Replacing ε by εz , where $z \in U$, we obtain $[\varsigma, \varepsilon]z = 0$. Again replacing z by $z[\varsigma, \varepsilon]$, we obtain $[\varsigma, \varepsilon]z[\varsigma, \varepsilon] = 0 \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon, z \in U$. Using the Lemma 1, we obtain $[\varsigma, \varepsilon] = 0 \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon \in U$. By Lemma 2, we obtain $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 1. For any fixed integer $n \geq 2$, let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and U be a square closed Lie ideal of \mathcal{A} . If \mathcal{A} admits a nonzero symmetric linear generalized n -derivation $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ associated with symmetric linear n -derivation $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $g(\varsigma \circ \varepsilon) \pm \varsigma \circ \varepsilon = 0 \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon \in U$, then $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 4. For any fixed integer $n \geq 2$, let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and U be a square closed Lie ideal of \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{A} admit a nonzero symmetric linear generalized n -derivation $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ associated with symmetric linear n -derivation $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying one of the following conditions:

- (i) $[g(\varsigma), g(\varepsilon)] - [\varsigma, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon \in U$
- (ii) $[g(\varsigma), g(\varepsilon)] - [\varepsilon, \varsigma] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon \in U$.

Then, $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. (i) Given that

$$[g(\varsigma), g(\varepsilon)] - [\varsigma, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon \in U. \quad (5)$$

Consider a positive integer m ; $1 \leq m \leq n-1$. Replacing ε by $\varepsilon + mz$, where $z \in U$ in (5), we obtain

$$[g(\varsigma), g(\varepsilon + mz)] - [\varsigma, \varepsilon + mz] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon, z \in U.$$

On further solving, we obtain

$$[g(\varsigma), g(\varepsilon)] + [g(\varsigma), g(mz)] + [g(\varsigma), \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l \mathcal{G}(\underbrace{\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{mz, \dots, mz}_{l\text{-times}})] - [\varsigma, \varepsilon] - [\varsigma, mz] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon, z \in U.$$

On taking account of hypothesis, we see that

$$mA_1(\varsigma, \varepsilon, z) + m^2 A_2(\varsigma, \varepsilon, z) + \dots + m^{n-1} A_{n-1}(\varsigma, \varepsilon, z) \in Z(\mathcal{A})$$

where $A_l(\varsigma, \varepsilon, z)$ represents the term in which z appears l -times.

Using Lemma 3, we have

$$[g(\varsigma), \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon, z)] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon, z \in U.$$

In particular, for $z = \varepsilon$, we obtain

$$[g(\varsigma), g(\varepsilon)] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon \in U.$$

Now using the given condition, we find that

$$[\varsigma, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon \in U.$$

From Lemma 2, $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$.

(ii) Follows from the first implication with a slight modification. \square

Corollary 2. For any fixed integer $n \geq 2$, let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and U be a square closed Lie ideal of \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{A} admit a nonzero symmetric linear generalized n -derivation $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ associated with symmetric linear n -derivation $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying one of the following conditions:

- (i) $g(\zeta)g(\varepsilon) \pm \zeta\varepsilon \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$
- (ii) $g(\zeta)g(\varepsilon) \pm \varepsilon\zeta \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$.

Then, $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$.

Corollary 3. For any fixed integer $n \geq 2$, let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and U be a square closed Lie ideal of \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{A} admit a nonzero symmetric linear generalized n -derivation $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ associated with symmetric linear n -derivation $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying one of the following conditions:

- (i) $[g(\zeta), g(\varepsilon)] = [\zeta, \varepsilon] \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$
- (ii) $[g(\zeta), g(\varepsilon)] = [\varepsilon, \zeta] \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$.

Then, $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 5. For any fixed integer $n \geq 2$, let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and U be a square closed Lie ideal of \mathcal{A} . If \mathcal{A} admits a nonzero symmetric linear generalized n -derivation $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ associated with symmetric linear n -derivation $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition $g(\zeta \circ \varepsilon) \pm [\zeta, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$, then $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. Replacing ε by $\varepsilon + mz$ for $1 \leq m \leq n-1, z \in U$ in the given condition, we obtain

$$g(\zeta \circ (\varepsilon + mz)) \pm [\zeta, \varepsilon + mz] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U.$$

On further solving and using the specified condition, we obtain

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l \mathcal{G}(\underbrace{\zeta \circ \varepsilon, \dots, \zeta \circ \varepsilon}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{\zeta \circ mz, \dots, \zeta \circ mz}_{l\text{-times}}) \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U$$

which implies that

$$mA_1(\zeta, \varepsilon, z) + m^2A_2(\zeta, \varepsilon, z) + \dots + m^{n-1}A_{n-1}(\zeta, \varepsilon, z) \in Z(\mathcal{A})$$

$\forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U$ where $A_l(\zeta, \varepsilon, z)$ represents the term in which z appears l -times. Using Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\mathcal{G}(\zeta \circ \varepsilon, \dots, \zeta \circ \varepsilon, \zeta \circ z) \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U. \quad (6)$$

For $z = \varepsilon$, we obtain $g(\zeta \circ \varepsilon) \in Z(\mathcal{A})$ then our hypothesis reduces to $[\zeta, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A})$. Using the Lemma 2, we obtain $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$. \square

Corollary 4. For any fixed integer $n \geq 2$, let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and U be a square closed Lie ideal of \mathcal{A} . If \mathcal{A} admits a nonzero symmetric linear generalized n -derivation $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ associated with symmetric linear n -derivation $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition $\mathfrak{d}(\zeta \circ \varepsilon) \pm [\zeta, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$, then $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 6. For any fixed integer $n \geq 2$, let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and U be a square closed Lie ideal of \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{A} admit a nonzero symmetric linear generalized n -derivation $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ associated with symmetric linear n -derivation $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying one of the following conditions:

- (i) $g([\zeta, \varepsilon]) \pm g(\zeta) \pm [\zeta, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$
- (ii) $g([\zeta, \varepsilon]) \pm g(\varepsilon) \pm [\zeta, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$.

Then, $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. (i) Given that

$$g([\zeta, \varepsilon]) \pm g(\zeta) \pm [\zeta, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U.$$

Replacing ς by $\varsigma + mz$, where $z \in U$ and $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ in the given condition, we obtain

$$g([\varsigma + mz, \varepsilon]) \pm g(\varsigma + mz) \pm [\varsigma + mz, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon \in U$$

which on solving and using hypothesis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l \mathcal{G}(\underbrace{[\varsigma, \varepsilon], \dots, [\varsigma, \varepsilon]}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{[mz, \varepsilon], \dots, [mz, \varepsilon]}_{l\text{-times}}) \\ \pm \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l \mathcal{G}(\underbrace{\varsigma, \dots, \varsigma}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{mz, \dots, mz}_{l\text{-times}}) \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon, z \in U \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$mA_1(\varsigma, \varepsilon, z) + m^2A_2(\varsigma, \varepsilon, z) + \dots + m^{n-1}A_{n-1}(\varsigma, \varepsilon, z) \in Z(\mathcal{A})$$

$\forall \varsigma, \varepsilon, z \in U$ where $A_l(\varsigma, \varepsilon, z)$ represents the term in which z appears l -times.

Making use of Lemma 3 and torsion restriction, we see that

$$\mathcal{G}([\varsigma, \varepsilon], \dots, [\varsigma, \varepsilon], [z, \varepsilon]) \pm \mathcal{G}(\varsigma, \dots, \varsigma, z) \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon, z \in U.$$

Replace z by ς to obtain

$$g([\varsigma, \varepsilon]) \pm g(\varsigma) \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon, z \in U.$$

Hence, by using the given condition, we find that $[\varsigma, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A})$. On taking account of Lemma 2, we obtain $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$.

(ii) Given that

$$g([\varsigma, \varepsilon]) \pm g(\varsigma) \pm [\varsigma, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon \in U.$$

Replacing ε by $\varepsilon + mz$, where $z \in U$ and $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ in the given condition, we obtain

$$g([\varsigma, \varepsilon + mz]) \pm g(\varepsilon + mz) \pm [\varsigma, \varepsilon + mz] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon \in U$$

which on solving and using hypothesis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l \mathcal{G}(\underbrace{[\varsigma, \varepsilon], \dots, [\varsigma, \varepsilon]}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{[\varsigma, mz], \dots, [\varsigma, mz]}_{l\text{-times}}) \\ \pm \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l \mathcal{G}(\underbrace{\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{mz, \dots, mz}_{l\text{-times}}) \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon, z \in U \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$mA_1(\varsigma, \varepsilon, z) + m^2A_2(\varsigma, \varepsilon, z) + \dots + m^{n-1}A_{n-1}(\varsigma, \varepsilon, z) \in Z(\mathcal{A})$$

$\forall \varsigma, \varepsilon, z \in U$ where $A_l(\varsigma, \varepsilon, z)$ represents the term in which z appears l -times.

Making use of Lemma 3 and torsion restriction, we see that

$$\mathcal{G}([\varsigma, \varepsilon], \dots, [\varsigma, \varepsilon], [\varsigma, z]) \pm \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon, z) \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \varsigma, \varepsilon, z \in U.$$

Replace z by ε to obtain

$$g([\zeta, \varepsilon]) \pm g(\varepsilon) \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U.$$

Hence, by using the given condition, we find that $[\zeta, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A})$. On taking account of Lemma 2, we obtain $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$.

(iii) Follows from the first implication with a slight modification. \square

Corollary 5. For any fixed integer $n \geq 2$, let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and U be a square closed Lie ideal of \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{A} admit a nonzero symmetric linear n -derivation $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying one of the following conditions:

- (i) $\mathfrak{d}([\zeta, \varepsilon]) \pm \mathfrak{d}(\zeta) \pm [\zeta, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$
- (ii) $\mathfrak{d}([\zeta, \varepsilon]) \pm \mathfrak{d}(\varepsilon) \pm [\zeta, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$

Then, $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 7. For any fixed integer $n \geq 2$, let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and U be a square closed Lie ideal of \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{A} admit a nonzero symmetric linear generalized n -derivation $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ associated with symmetric linear n -derivation $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying one of the following conditions:

- (i) $g(\zeta) \circ g(\varepsilon) \pm \zeta \circ \varepsilon \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$
- (ii) $g(\zeta) \circ g(\varepsilon) \pm [\zeta, \varepsilon] \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$

Then, $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. (i) Suppose on the contrary that $U \not\subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$. It is given that

$$g(\zeta) \circ g(\varepsilon) \pm \zeta \circ \varepsilon \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U.$$

Replacing ε by $\varepsilon + mz$, where $z \in U$ and $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ in the given condition, we obtain

$$g(\zeta) \circ g(\varepsilon + mz) \pm \zeta \circ (\varepsilon + mz) \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U$$

which on solving, we have

$$g(\zeta) \circ g(\varepsilon) + g(\zeta) \circ g(mz) + g(\zeta) \circ \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l \mathcal{G}(\underbrace{\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{mz, \dots, mz}_{l\text{-times}}) \\ \pm \zeta \circ \varepsilon \pm \zeta \circ mz \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U.$$

By using hypothesis, we obtain

$$g(\zeta) \circ \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {}^nC_l \mathfrak{D}(\underbrace{\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon}_{(n-l)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{mz, \dots, mz}_{l\text{-times}}) \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U$$

which implies that

$$mA_1(\zeta, \varepsilon, z) + m^2A_2(\zeta, \varepsilon, z) + \dots + m^{n-1}A_{n-1}(\zeta, \varepsilon, z) \in Z(\mathcal{A})$$

$\forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U$ where $A_l(\zeta, \varepsilon, z)$ represents the term in which z appears l -times.

Making use of Lemma 3, we see that

$$g(\zeta) \circ \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon, z) \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U.$$

In particular, $z = \varepsilon$, we obtain

$$g(\zeta) \circ g(\varepsilon) \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U.$$

Hence, by using the given condition, we find that $\zeta \circ \varepsilon \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$. Replacing ζ by $\varepsilon\zeta$, we obtain $\varepsilon(\zeta \circ \varepsilon) \in Z(\mathcal{A}) \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$. We can also write it as

$$[\varepsilon(\zeta \circ \varepsilon), z] \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U$$

which on solving, we obtain $[\varepsilon, z]\zeta \circ \varepsilon = 0 \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U$. Again replace ζ by ζz and using the same equation, we obtain $[\varepsilon, z]\zeta[z, \varepsilon] = 0 \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon, z \in U$. Using Lemma 1, we have $[z, \varepsilon] = 0 \quad \forall z, \varepsilon \in U$. By Lemma 2, we have $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$ which is a contradiction.

(ii) Proceeding in the same way as in (i), we conclude. \square

Corollary 6. For any fixed integer $n \geq 2$, let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and U be a square closed Lie ideal of \mathcal{A} . Let \mathcal{A} admit a nonzero symmetric linear n -derivation $\mathfrak{D} : \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with trace $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying one of the following conditions:

- (i) $\mathfrak{d}(\zeta) \circ \mathfrak{d}(\varepsilon) \pm \zeta \circ \varepsilon = 0 \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$
- (ii) $\mathfrak{d}(\zeta) \circ \mathfrak{d}(\varepsilon) \pm [\zeta, \varepsilon] = 0 \quad \forall \zeta, \varepsilon \in U$.

Then, $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{A})$.

3. Conclusions

In this study, we have explored the structural properties of C^* -algebras through the lens of generalized linear n -derivations. In fact, our investigation delves into the structure of C^* -algebras, focusing particularly on the intricate interplay between symmetric generalized n -derivations \mathcal{A} and Lie ideals of \mathcal{A} . By elucidating the functional identity governing the behavior of linear generalized n -derivations, we provided insights into their forms of traces, thus shedding light on their intrinsic properties and behaviors.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.A., A.S.A. and V.V.; Methodology, V.V.; Validation, S.A.; Formal analysis, A.S.A. and V.V.; Investigation, S.A.; Resources, A.S.A.; Writing—original draft, V.V.; Writing—review & editing, V.V.; Visualization, S.A.; Supervision, S.A.; Funding acquisition, A.S.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research is funded by Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia under Researchers Supporting Project Number (PNURSP2024R231).

Data Availability Statement: All data required for this paper are included within this paper.

Acknowledgments: The authors are very thankful to the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions which have improved the manuscript immensely. Moreover, the authors extend their appreciation to Princess Nourah Bint Abdulrahman University (PNU), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia for funding this research under Researchers Supporting Project Number (PNURSP2024R231).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Maksa, G. A remark on symmetric bi-additive functions having nonnegative diagonalization. *Glas. Mat.* **1980**, *15*, 279–282.
2. Vukman, J. Two results concerning symmetric bi-derivations on prime and semiprime rings. *Aequationes Math.* **1990**, *40*, 181–189. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Argao, N.; Yenigül, M.S. Lie Ideals and Symmetric Bi-Derivations of Prime Rings. In *Symmetries in Science VI*; Gruber, B., Ed.; Springer: Boston, MA, USA, 1993; pp. 41–45.
4. Muthana, N.M. Left centralizer traces, generalized bi-derivations left bimultipliers and generalized Jordan biderivations. *Aligarh Bull. Math.* **2007**, *26*, 33–45.
5. Park, K.H. On prime and semi-prime rings with symmetric n -derivations. *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.* **2009**, *22*, 451–458.
6. Ashraf, M.; Khan, A.; Jamal, M.R. Traces of permuting generalized n -derivations of rings. *Miskolc Math. Notes* **2018**, *19*, 731–740. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Kadison, R.V. Derivations of Operator Algebras. *Ann. Math.* **1966**, *83*, 280–293. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

8. Mathieu, M. Properties of the product of two derivations of a C^* -algebra. *Can. Math. Bull.* **1989**, *32*, 490–497. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Posner, E.C. Derivations in prime rings. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1957**, *8*, 1093–1100. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Ekrami, S.K.; Mirzavaziri, M. The equation $dd' + d'd = D^2$ for derivations on C^* -algebras. *Turkish J. Math.* **2018**, *42*, 21–27. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Ali, S.; Khan, M.S. On $*$ -bimultipliers, generalized $*$ -biderivations and related mappings. *Kyungpook Math. J.* **2011**, *51*, 301–309. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Rehman, N.; Ansari, A.Z. On Lie ideals with symmetric bi-additive maps in rings. *Palest. J. Math.* **2013**, *2*, 14–21.
13. Gordji, M.E. Nearly involutions on Banach algebras. A fixed point approach. *Fixed Point Theory* **2013**, *14*, 117–123.
14. Kim, H.M.; Chang, I.S. Asymptotic behavior of generalized $*$ -derivations on C^* -algebras with applications. *J. Math. Phys.* **2015**, *56*, 041708. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Liang, X. Generalized Jordan n -derivations of unital algebras with idempotents. *J. Math.* **2021**, *2021*, 9997646. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Raza, M.A.; Rehman, N. A note on semiderivations in prime rings and C^* -algebras. *Vladikavkaz. Mat. Zh.* **2021**, *23*, 70–77.
17. Rostami, M.; Alinejad, A.; Khodaei, H. On n -Jordan derivations in the sense of Herstein. *Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A-Mat.* **2023**, *117*, 1–11. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Yuan, H.; Liu, Z. Generalized Lie n -derivations on arbitrary triangular algebras. *Open Math.* **2023**, *21*, 20230135. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Ali, S. On generalized $*$ -derivations in $*$ -rings. *Palest. J. Math.* **2012**, *1*, 32–37.
20. Hongan, M.; Rehman, N.; Al Omary, R.M. Lie ideals and Jordan triple derivations of rings. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **2011**, *125*, 147–156. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Herstein, I.N. On the Lie structure of an associative ring. *J. Algebra* **1970**, *14*, 561–571. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Chung, L.O.; Luh, J. Semiprime rings with nilpotent derivations. *Can. Math. Bull.* **1981**, *24*, 415–421. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Daif, M.N.; Bell, H.E. Remarks on derivations on semiprime rings. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **1992**, *15*, 205–206. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.