

# Supplementary Materials: Contribution of Single-Fiber Evaluation on Monitoring Outcomes Following Injection of Botulinum Toxin-A: a Narrative Review of the Literature

Hélène Moron, Corine Gagnard-Landra, David Guiraud and Arnaud Dupeyron

Table S1. Jitter normal value reference for each study.

Authors	OO	Frontal	EDC	BB	TA	Other muscles
Sanders et al. 1986 [1]	<30	<30	<34	<30	US	
Lange et al. 1987 [2]	US	US	<34	US	US	
Olney et al. 1988 [3]	US	US	US	<28.9 ± 10.9	US	
Lange et al. 1991 [4]	US	US	Reference elsewhere [122]			
Girlanda et al. 1992 [5]	US	US	No reference, Comparison to baseline value	US	US	
Garner et al. 1993 [6]	US	US	Reference elsewhere [122]	US	Reference elsewhere [122]	
Girlanda et al. 1996 [7]	No reference, Comparison to baseline value	US	US	US	US	
Bogucki et al. 1999 [8]	<50 years: 27 ≥50 years: 29	US	US	US	US	
Bakheit et al. 1997 [9]	US	US	< 57	US	US	
Bhatia et al. 1999 [10]	US	US	US	US	US	
Schweizer et al. 1999 [11]	US	US	US	US	US	Thyroarythenoid US
Tang et al. 2000 [12]	US	US	Not precisely defined: "normal before injection", pre-injection value written: 29.9 and 28.5	US	No precision "the changes were similar"	
Roche et al. 2008 [13]	<30	US	<40	US	US	
Osio et al. 2010 [14]	US	US	Reference elsewhere [60]	US	US	
Schnitzler et al. 2011 [15]	<10% of pairs exceeded 30 μs Or mean jitter <19 μs	US	<10% of pairs exceeded 40 μs Or mean jitter <24 μs	US	US	
Alimohammadi et al. 2014 [16]	<34	US	US	US	US	
Punga et al. 2015 [17]	US	Contralateral frontalis: US	US	US	US	
Ruet et al. 2015 [18]	Mean jitter <20 μs Individual jitter <30 μs	US	Mean jitter <25 μs Individual jitter <40 μs	US	US	
Szuch et al. 2017 [19]	US	US	Mean jitter: Voluntary SFEMG: <43,8 Stimulated SFEMG: <25	US	US	

Lispi et al. 2018 [20]	US	US	US	US	US	Injected EDB and contralateral: US
Leonardi et al. 2019 [21]	US	US	US	US	US	Deltoid: US
Timmermans et al. 2019 [22]	US	US	US	US	US	
Punga et al. 2020 [23]	US	US	US	US	US	Deltoid: US
Eleopra et al. 2020 [24]	US	US	US	US	US	ADM: US

US: unspecified.

**Table S2.** Study characteristics.

Authors	Population Age (years)-Sex by patient Number of patient by pathology (n)	BoNT-A Brand Name ® Number of injections Dose Previous injection	Muscle(s) studied	SFEMG Device used Calculation jitter methodology	Interval between BoNT-A and SFEMG Jitter MCD in µs; By patient and muscle
Sanders et al. 1986 [1]	age and sex US BSP n = 4	Botox® 1 injection except #1 with 2 injections All patients: 12.5 MLU Previous: US	OO EDC Frontal BB	Device: US ; Standard electrode No description	#1 D -5; OO: 30; Frontal: 24; EDC: 30 D 1: OO: 90; Frontal: 21; EDC: US D 2: OO:106; Frontal: 56; EDC: US D 51: OO: 305; Frontal: 56; EDC: 27 D 147: OO: 114; Frontal: 49; EDC: US D 51 after the second one: OO: 123; Frontal; 90; EDC: 50 D 158 after the second one: OO: 93; Frontal: 37; EDC: 32
					#2 D 53: OO: 300; Frontalis: >300; EDC: 44 D 188: OO: 83; Frontalis: 97; EDC: 33
					#3 D 0: OO: 43; EDC: 30 D 3: OO: >300; EDC: 30 D 60: OO: US; EDC: 37
					#4 D 42: EDC: 40; BB: 66 D 100: EDC: 34; BB: 26
Lange et al. 1987 [2]	#1/51/F #2/58/F #3/42/F #4/49/F #5/62/M Dystonic disorders n=3 torticollis n = 1 oromandibular dystonia n = 1	Botox® (MU) 2 injections for #1 and #2 #1: 285; 450 #2: 372; 687 #3: 100 #4: 302.5 #5: 245 First injection	EDC	Standard electrode Peak-to-peak amplitude >200 µV, rise time <300 µs, 20 pairs	#1: Before injection: 28 2 W after the 1 <sup>st</sup> one: 49.2 4 Mt after 2 <sup>nd</sup> one: 41 #2: 6 W after the 1 <sup>st</sup> one: 37 3 W after 2 <sup>nd</sup> one: 36 #3: 2 W after the 1 <sup>st</sup> injection: 27.1 #4: 3 W after the 1 <sup>st</sup> injection: 54.2 #5: 6 W after the 1 <sup>st</sup> injection: 65.2

Olney et al. 1988 [3]	#1/48/F #2/53/F #3/64/F #4/46/F #5/62/M #6/31/M Torticollis n=6	One injection 280 UI First injection	BB	Dantec 1500; 13K87 Standard electrode Peak-to-peak amplitude >200 μV, rise time <300 μs, constant shape over time. 50 to 100 consecutive discharges of each 20 pairs of voluntarily activated single muscle fibers; commercially available jitter meter	#1: W 0: 26; W 2: 25; W 4: 29 #2: W 0: 28; W 2: 32; W 4: 39 #3: W 0: 30; W 4: 27 #4: W 0: 27; W 8: 41 #5: W 0: 30; W 10: 46 #6: W 0: 33; W 12: 46
Lange et al. 1991 [4]	Torticollis n = 42	Botox® n = 23 Pb n = 19 One injection Doses BoNT-A (U) 140, 150, 165 (detailed elsewhere [99]) First injection	EDC n = 36 BB n = 4 TA n = 2	Standard electrode Computer programs TECA Mystro and Nicolet Viking Peak-to-peak amplitude >200 μV, rise time <300 μs, constant shape over time. At least 50 consecutive discharges of each 20 pairs of voluntarily activated	Before injection (n = 42) EDC 21-30 (for both groups) BB 21.7 TA 28.8 Pb 25.7 2 W (n = 42) EDC BoNT-A 43.6 Pb 26.5 <i>unchanged from preinjection p &lt; 0.05</i> BB: US TA: US 12 W (n = 27) EDC 35.5 Pb 24.5 BB: US TA: US
Girlanda et al. 1992 [5]	Sex US #1 #2 #3 BSP #4 HSF #5 spasmodic torticollis with 2 <sup>nd</sup> dosage doubled	Botox® #1 #2 #3 #4 20 U #5 65 U 2 sessions of injection First injection	EDC	Standard electrode 20 pairs Electromyograph BASIS EPM (OTE BIOMEDICA)	D 45 after the 1 <sup>st</sup> injection: 32.6 D 45 after 2 <sup>nd</sup> injection: 40 D 1, 4, 7, 14, 30 after 1 <sup>st</sup> injection and D 1, 4, 7, 14, 30, 60 and 90 after 2 <sup>nd</sup> injection: US for each patient, data represented on figure
Garner et al. 1993 [6]	#1/46/F/Perioral dystonia #2/40/F/Torticollis #3/31/M/Torticollis #4/66/M/Torticollis #5/39/M/HSF #6/58/F/MGS #7/45/M/Torticollis #8/57/F/Torticollis	First injection <i>One injection (ng):</i> #1: 5 #2: 22.5 #3: 15 #4: 20 #7: 15 #8: 20 #5: 2 #6: 6	EDC all patients Alternatively, right and left sided muscles <i>Except #5 only right + TA for #1 #7</i>	Standard electrode MEDELEC MS 20 Peak-to-peak amplitude >500 μV, rise time less than 250 μs 20 fiber pairs	Details given for <i>maximum MCD</i> #1: EDC: D 13: 142; TA: D13: 129 #2: D 7: 121 #3 D: US: <i>normal</i> #4: D 6: 54.5 #5: D US: <i>normal</i> #6: D 11: 50.2 #7: EDC: D 7: 66.9; TA: D5: 48 #8: D 3: 156
Girlanda et al. 1996 [7]	Age US; 3F/3M BSP n = 6	Botox® 20 units One injection No detail about injection past history	Bilateral OO	<i>Mystro Medelec MS25</i> Standard electrode 20 pairs Electrophysiological investigator blinded to treatment	Data detailed in figure Baseline: BoNT-A: normal (<30 μs); Pb: around 25 μs, [20μs-30μs] W 1: BoNT-A: mean 75 μs, [50 μs-100 μs ], p < 0.02; Pb: mean 35 μs [25μs-42μs]; <i>non-significantly</i> W 2: BoNT-A: mean 150 μs, [50μs-

					250µs], p < 0.01; Pb: mean 41 µs [32µs-48µs], p < 0.01 Mt 1: BoNT-A: mean 125 µs, [50 µs-175µs], p < 0.02; Pb: between 36 and 38 µs, p < 0.02 Data detailed in figures
Bogucki et al. 1999 [8]	#1/45/F/MGS #2/67/F/BSP #3/64/F/HSF #4/71/M/BSP #5/41/F/BSP #6/84/F/BSP #7/47/F/HSF #8/59/F/HSF #9/65/F/HSF #10/58/F/MGS #11/72/F/BSP #12/51/F/BSP #13/73/F/MGS #14/69/F/BSP #15/42/M/BSP #16/63/M/MGS BSP n = 8; MGS n = 4; HSF n = 4	Dysport® 120 UI/ muscle One injection Bilateral for BSP One side for HSF First injection	OO	Mk2 Dantec 13K87, Denmark Standard electrode Slight voluntary contraction of OO 20 pairs	Before injection: 22.7 ± 2.5; n = 16 Early remission (D 7-14; mean 9.9 ± 2.2): 99.9 ± 50.1; n = 15/16 Late remission (D 23-57; mean 35.6 ± 11.6): 96.3 ± 35.1; n = 15/16 When dystonic movements recurred (D 57-265; mean 116.7 ± 52.5): 59.8 ± 18.8; n = 13/16 decrease in jitter was noted compared to pretreatment (p < 0.0005), 1 <sup>st</sup> (p < 0.015) and 2 <sup>nd</sup> (p < 0.002) posttreatment analysis
Bakheit et al. 1997 [9]	#1/67/F/MS #2/34/F/MSA with torticollis	Dysport® #1 250 U for left leg #2 750 U 250 in right SCM and left splenius capitis - 500 in left trapezius	EDC	Standard electrode	Interval US #1 ranged from 92.6 to 408 #2 US
Bhatia et al. 1999 [10]	#1/45/F/Cervical dystonia #2/57/F/Symptomatic hemidystonia #3/32/F/Symptomatic hemidystonia	Dysport® One injection #1: 650 UI: SCM 300, Left splenius capitus 300, Right splenius capitus 200 History of 650 UI Dysport® at 12-14 intervals weeks between November 1989 and 1995 #2: Total dose 900 UI Dysport® Previous: March 1989 – October 1991: 30 months of injections, total dose at each visit ranged from 350 -800 UI at intervals of 10-12W #3: History: Since January 1988, at 8 and 10 W intervals with an average dose of 600 UI at each visit October 1990 in addition to usual injections further dose of 200UI	#1 1 <sup>st</sup> SFEMG right EDC 2 <sup>nd</sup> SFEMG right EDC #2 Right EDC and BB #3 US	Standard electrode #1 10 pairs	#1 W 2: 93.6 Mt 4: No precise data: excessive jitter Mt 9: Normal 20.1 #2 Mt 2: BB: normal; EDC: no data precision: 3/11 pairs showed abnormal jitter #3 Mt2: No data: normal

		given into left gastrocnemius			
Schweizer et al. 1999 [11]	73/Sex US SFEMG studied n = 10 BoNT-A injection for spasmodic dysphonia n = 1	periodically injected since 1990 dose US	laryngeal muscles	CNE	7 Mt after the last injection: 40.7
Tang et al. 2000 [12]	Patients injected Botox® n=192; CBTX-A® n=593 only 40 SFEMG 5-82/28F/12M HSF n=17; BSP n = 8 MGS Syndrome n = 2 Cervical dystonia n = 13 Botox® n = 18 CBTX-A® n = 22	Botox® 99.4 UI (30-300) CBTX-A 107.3 UI (30-300 UI)	EDC TA	Counterpoint MKII Dantec Standard electrode	Before: normal for both Botox® and CBTX-A® W 2-3: Botox®: EDC 29.9 to 36.2 (+21%, p<0.01); CBTX-A®: EDC 28.5 to 36.4 (+27%, p<0.01 W 5-8 and Mt 4-5: Data shown in figure only
Roche et al. 2008 [13]	Patients from a cohort of 187 patients Dysport® n = 33 Botox® n = 233) 25-59 #1/25/M/MS #2/32/F/Paraplegia #3/42/F/Hemiplegic #4/59/F/Hemiplegic	Dysport® #1 1000 UI #21500 UI #3 1000 UI #4 1000 UI One injection for all Previous: #1 Botox®400 UI/ Dysport®1000 UI #2 Botox®500 UI #3 Botox®300 UI #4 Botox®400 UI / Dysport® 1000 UI	#1 EDC #2 EDC #3 OO #4EDC	Nicolet Viking II electromyography Standard electrode Stimulated technique frequency of 10 Hz Peak-to-peak amplitude > 200 µV, rise time < 300 µs, constant shape over time. 100 consecutive discharges of each 20 pairs of stimulated single muscle fibers	#1: D34: 31.1; Mt 3: 25.2 #2: D27: 54.22; Mt 3: 23.8 #3: D30: 31.31 #4: D31: 33.09
Osio et al. 2010 [14]	BoNT-1 group n = 14 Pb group n = 10 BoNT-A group M/F = 0.2 Pb group M/F = 0.3 Obesity n = 24	Botox® 200 UI One injection First injection	Right EDC	Voluntary contraction No data for all devices except the needle: <i>single fiber needle Oxford Instruments</i> 20 pairs registered Calculation methodology not detailed refer to a bibliographic reference [25]	BoNT-A n = 14/14 Pb n = 9/10 (1 refused SF) Pretreatment: BoNT-A 29.12; SD 4.38; Pb 29.44; SD 3.64 D 8: BoNT-A 29.59; SD 3.55 Pb 27.67; SD 3.84 Jitter change (post-pre) 95% confidence interval BoNT-A -1.85; 2.79; p = 0.674 Pb -5.73; 2.17; p = 0.330 EDC 17/18: mean jitter 18.9 µs OO: mean 22.2 µs D 26 ± 8 after injection Details of each patient (D) Details of each patient #1: D 29: 24.90; #2: D30: 15.90; #3: D14: 21.05; #4: D24: 24.17; #5: D50: 25.90; #6: D 25: 19.50; #7: D 15: 21.20; #8: D 21: 23.40; #9: D 24: 15.50; #10: D 30: 19.09; #11: D 17: 22.15; #12: D 34: 37.50; #13: D 34: 20; #14: D 24: 17.17; #15: D 22:
Schnitzler et al. 2011 [15]	42.1 ± 14.4; sex US #1/60; #2/29;#3/29; #4/50; #5/63;#6/28 #7/39; #8/56;#9/38; #10/50; #11/22;#12/43; #13/34; #14/64;#15/47;#16/26; #17/23;#18/65; #19/38; #20/57;#21/24 8F/13M Medullary lesion with neurogenic overactive bladder n = 21	Botox® 300UI One injection First injection n = 2/21 N = 19/21 Mean number of injections prior to study inclusion: 2.6 ± 1.7 (0-6 injections) Average interval between BoNT-A injections Mt 6 ± 1 (Mt 4-12)	EDC n = 18/21 OO n = 3/21: #5; #13; #17	Viking EMG II Stimulated SFEMG Peak-to-peak amplitude >200 µV, rise time <300 µs, constant shape over time, 100 consecutive discharges of 20 pairs	

						23.47; #16: D 36: 20.90; #17: D24: 20.8; #18: D 28: 18.45; #19: D 24: 15.60; #20: D 25: 15.20; #21: D 22: 15.30
						Baseline: mean 28 (23-32) whole group W 2: for 12 intervention subjects, increased to 35 (30-39), $p < 0.001$ Pb: 29 -Individual (only detailed for W 0-2) Baseline Pb: Median 28.7; Mean $28.8 \pm 1.7$ 5 UI: Median 25.8; Mean $25.8 \pm 3.1$ 10 UI: Median 28.1 Mean $28.1 \pm 0.8$ 20 UI: Median 29.1; Mean $29.1 \pm 2.7$ W 2 Pb: Median 29.3; Mean $29.3 \pm 2.4$ 5 UI: Median 33.9; Mean $33.9 \pm 4.2$ 10 UI: Median 34.4 Mean $35.1 \pm 2.7$ 20 UI: Median 37.1; Mean $36.4 \pm 2.5$ -Mean change between W 0-2 Pb group $1.9 \pm 11.6\%$ $p = 0.76$ 5 UI group $32.8 \pm 23.8\%$ $p = 0.070$ 10 UI group $24.9 \pm 10.6\%$ $p = 0.018$ 20 UI group $26.1 \pm 16.8\%$ $p = 0.053$
Alimohammadi et al. 2014 [16]	Age US; 16F/0M Healthy n = 16	Vistabel Each group n = 4 5 UI 10 UI 20 UI Pb group One injection First injection	OO	Keypoint Medtronic Slight voluntary contraction, 20 pairs		
Punga et al. 2015 [17]	33-52/5F; Sex US Visible glabellar frown lines at rest n = 5	Vistabel Onabotulinumtoxin A Total dose : 2.5 UI n= 1; 5 UI n = 3; 10 UI n = 1; One injection First injection	contralateral frontalis muscle	Keypoint Medtronic 20 pairs		28 range 25-32 34 range 27-39 $p = 0.05$
Ruet et al. 2015 [18]	Age & Sex US SFEMG n = 35 patients presented side effects after BoNT-A injection, categorized into: Fatigue group n = 15; age $48 \pm 14$ Pseudo-botulism group n = 20; age $41 \pm 11$ Control group n = 17; age $43 \pm 13$ Botulism group n = 3; age $53 \pm 24$	Botox® / Dysport® Fatigue group 10/4 * Pseudo-botulism group 15/4 * Control group 17/0	EDC/OO Fatigue group 8/7 Pseudo-botulism group 11/9 Control group 15/2	Neuropack M1 20 pairs		Fatigue group: D $36 \pm 21$ EDC $20.5 \pm 2.8$ (15.0 – 33.1) OO $20.8 \pm 3.17$ (16.6 – 31.6) Pseudo-botulism group: D $42 \pm 24$ EDC $42.2 \pm 24.4$ (21.5 – 110.9) OO $21.7 \pm 8.7$ (13.8 – 31.3) Control group: D $24 \pm 8$

					EDC 20.9 ± 7.2 (15.2 – 37.5) OO 22.9 ± 2.9 (20 – 25.9) EDC: Jitter significantly higher in the pseudo-botulism group than in the control (p < 0.001) and fatigue group (p = 0.0005) No difference between fatigue and control group OO: no significant difference between groups
Szuch et al. 2017 [19]	Age & Sex US N = 1 right foot dystonia with iatrogenic botulism (head drop, bulbar and systemic weakness in Parkinson’s disease)	Botox® 4 M from previous one Total 600 UI 5 <sup>th</sup> injection: EHL 50; FDL 150 Gastrocnemius 150 Peroneus longus 150 Soleus 100 Previous injections: 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> “preparation and dose unknown” 3 <sup>rd</sup> 400 UI time US 4 <sup>th</sup> 600 UI 5M later	Left EDC	Nicolet Viking IV Voluntary SFEMG Upper limit 43.8 + Stimulated SFEMG Upper limit 25 Methodology not detailed	Voluntary SFEMG: D 21 8 pairs with mean jitter 66.24 (norm set at 43.8 µs) Stimulated SFEMG: D 21 16 pairs with mean 52.74 (norm set below 25 µs)
Lispi et al. 2018 [20]	Age US; 2F/8M mean 53 Healthy n = 10	Incobotulinumtoxin A Merz® 15 UI each patient One injection First injection	EDB bilaterally	Medelec Synergy using a concentric needle <i>described elsewhere</i> [26]	Baseline: 28 ± 7.5 W1: 33 ± 6.7 W2: 45 ± 9.2 W3: 55 ± 8.1 W4: 111 ± 10.1 W 6: 120 ± 9.5 W 8: 142 ± 6.3 W 10: 110 ± 11.3 W 12: 66 ± 8.5
Leonardi et al. 2019 [21]	#1/48/F/MS #2/32/M/CP	#1 Botox®: 400 UI 2 Mt later: 600 UI 5 Mt later: 400 UI #2 : Dysport®: 1500 UI	#1 left deltoid #2 EDC	US	#1: Mt 5: 111.4 #2: D 10: 75.6
Timmermans et al. 2019 [22]	43/M	Azzalure® 84 UI Previous <i>Similar injection 2 years earlier</i>	Left OO	US	W 6: 112
Punga et al. 2020 [23]	#1/55/F/wrinkles #2/46/M/migraine	#1 Dose: no data Previous injections <i>Also received regular BONT-A injections at a beauty clinic</i> #2 14 treatments of 155 UI of Dysport® <i>divided between a total of 31 points (≈3UI/injection point)</i>	#1 Left OO Left frontalis Left Deltoid #2 Left OO	CNE Voluntary technique No device cited	#1: 1 Mt and a few days OO 125 µs, 60% <i>increased jitter</i> , Frontalis: No precise data: <i>increased jitter 70%</i> Mt 2: OO: 62 µs; Frontalis: <i>jitter was increased</i> Deltoid 25 µs, normal #2: W 2: OO: 37 µs; <i>increased jitter 38%</i> Mt 4: <i>Jitter analysis in the left OO [...] also normal</i>

				Before
		First injection for all		BoNT-A 25.5 (13)
		BoNT-A, B, C, F and		BoNT-B 25.5 (11)
		placebo		BoNT- C 24.5 (12)
		5 ADMs 15 U of BoNT-		BoNT-F 25 (12)
		A (Botox®)		Placebo 26 (13)
		5 ADMs 1500 U of		W 2
		BoNT-B		BoNT-A 89 (35)
		ADM 15 U of BoNT-C		BoNT-B 78 (38)
		5 ADMs 15 U of BoNT-F		BoNT- C 80 (30)
		5 ADM 0.3 mL of saline		BoNT-F 108 (25)
		solution (placebo)		Placebo 28 (14)
N = 12		BoNT/A vs BoNT/B n =		W 4
(24 ADM)		2		BoNT-A 72.5 (25)
7M / 5F		BoNT/F vs BoNT/C n =		BoNT-B 69 (18)
randomly assigned		2		BoNT- C 69 (26)
according to a		BoNT/B vs BoNT/F n =		BoNT-F 90 (30)
randomized plan		1		Placebo 30 (11)
single blind (patient)		BoNT/ B vs BoNT/C n =		W 6
		1		BoNT-A 67 (19)
		BoNT/A vs BoNT/F n =		BoNT-B 71 (22)
		1		BoNT- C 65 (27)
		BoNT/A vs BoNT/C n =		BoNT-F 84 (29)
		1		Placebo 24 (15)
		each BoNT serotype (A,		W 8
		B, C or F) vs placebo n =		BoNT-A 52 (22)
		4		BoNT-B 63 (28)
				BoNT- C 55 (18)
				BoNT-F 51 (20)
				Placebo 27 (13)

In italics: cited from original text#: Patient; \*: missing data; ADM: abductor digiti minini; BB: biceps brachialis; BSP: blepharospasm; CBTX-A®: Chinese type A botulinum toxin; CP: cerebral palsy; D: day; EDB: extensor digitorum brevis; EDC: Extensor digitorum communis; EHL: extensor hallucis longus; F: female; FDL: flexor digitorum longus; FDP: flexor digitorum profundus; FDS: flexor digitorum superficialis; FHL: flexor hallucis longus; FRC: flexor carpi radialis; HSF: hemifacial spasm; HSP: Hereditary spastic paraparesis; M: male; MCD: Mean consecutive difference; MGS: Meige Syndrome; MLU: Mouse lethal unit; MS: multiple sclerosis; MSA: multiple system atrophy; Mt: Month; MU: Mouse unit: 2.5 ng of Botox® = 1 U = mouse LD50 (99); OO: orbicularis muscle; Pb: placebo; SCM: sternocleidomastoid; SD: standard deviation; TA: tibialis anterior; TS: triceps solear; UI: international unit; US: unspecified; W: week.

## References

- Sanders, D.B.; Massey EW; Buckley EG. Botulinum toxin for blepharospasm: Single-fiber EMG studies. *Neurol* **1986**, *36*, 545–547.
- Lange, D. J., Brin, M. F., Warner, C. L., Fahn, S., Lovelace, R. E. Distant effects of local injection of botulinum toxin. *Muscle Nerve* **1987**, *10*, 552–555.
- OIney, R. K., Aminoff, M. J., Gelb, D. J., Lowenstein, D. H. Neuromuscular effects distant from the site of botulinum neurotoxin injection. *Neurol* **1988**, *38*, 1780–1783.
- Lange, D.J.; Rubin, M.; Greene, P.E.; Kang, U.J.; Moskowitz, C.B.; Brin, M.F.; Lovelace, R.E. Fahn, S., Distant effects of locally injected botulinum toxin: A double-blind study of single fiber EMG changes. *Muscle Nerve* **1991**, *14*, 672–675.
- Girlanda, P.; Vita, G.; Nicolosi, C.; Milone, S.; Messina, C. Botulinum toxin therapy: Distant effects on neuromuscular transmission and autonomic nervous system. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* **1992**, *55*, 844–845.
- Garner, C.G.; Straube, A.; Witt, T.N.; Gasser, T.; Oertel, W. H. Time course of distant effects of local injections of botulinum toxin. *Mov Disord Off J Mov Disord Soc* **1993**, *8*, 33–37.

7. Girlanda, P.; Quartarone, A.; Sinicropi, S.; Nicolosi, C.; Messina, C. Unilateral injection of botulinum toxin in blepharospasm: Single fiber electromyography and blink reflex study. *Mov Disord Off J Mov Disord Soc* **1996**, *11*, 27–31.
8. Bogucki, A. Serial SFEMG studies of orbicularis oculi muscle after the first administration of botulinum toxin. *Eur J Neurol* **1999**, *6*, 461–467.
9. Bakheit, A.M.; Ward, C.D.; McLellan, D.L. Generalised botulism-like syndrome after intramuscular injections of botulinum toxin type A: A report of two cases. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* **1997**, *62*, 198.
10. Bhatia, K.P.; Münchau, A.; Thompson, P.D.; Houser, F.; Chauhan, V.S.; Hutchinsin, M.; Shapira, A.H.V.; Marsden, C.D. Generalised muscular weakness after botulinum toxin injections for dystonia: A report of three cases. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* **1999**, *67*, 90–93.
11. Schweizer, V.; Woodson, G.E.; Bertorini, T.E. Single-fiber electromyography of the laryngeal muscles. *Muscle Nerve* **1999**, *22*, 111–114.
12. Tang, X.; Wan, X. Comparison of Botox with a Chinese type A botulinum toxin. *Chin Med J (Engl)* **2000**, *113*, 794–798.
13. Roche, N.; Schnitzler, A.; Genêt, F.F.; Durand, M. C.; Bensmail, D. Undesirable distant effects following botulinum toxin type a injection. *Clin Neuropharmacol* **2008**, *31*, 272–280.
14. Osio, M.; Mailland, E.; Muscia, F.; Nascimbene, C., Vanotti, A., Bana, C.; Corsi, F.; Foschi, D.; Mariani, C. Botulinum neurotoxin-A does not spread to distant muscles after intragastric injection: A double-blind single-fiber electromyography study. *Muscle Nerve* **2010**, *42*, 165–169.
15. Schnitzler, A.; Genet, F.; Durand M-C.; Roche, N.; Bensmail, D.; Chartier-Kastler, E.; Denys, P. Pilot study evaluating the safety of intradetrusor injections of botulinum toxin type A: Investigation of generalized spread using single-fiber EMG. *Neurol Urodyn* **2011**, *30*, 1533–1537.
16. Alimohammadi, M.; Andersson, M.; Punga, A.R. Correlation of botulinum toxin dose with neurophysiological parameters of efficacy and safety in the glabellar muscles: A double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized study. *Acta Derm Venereol* **2014**, *94*, 32–37.
17. Punga, A.R.; Eriksson, A.; Alimohammadi, M. Regional diffusion of botulinum toxin in facial muscles: A randomised double-blind study and a consideration for clinical studies with split-face design. *Acta Derm Venereol* **2015**, *95*, 948–951.
18. Ruet, A.; Durand, M.C.; Denys, P.; Lofaso, F.; Genet, F.; Schnitzler, A. Single-fiber electromyography analysis of botulinum toxin diffusion in patients with fatigue and pseudobotulism. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* **2015**; *96*, 1103–1109.
19. Szuch, E.; Caress, J.B.; Paudyal, B.; Brashear, A.; Cartwright, M. S.; Strowd III, R. E. Head drop after botox: Electrodiagnostic evaluation of iatrogenic botulinum toxicity. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* **2017**, *156*, 1–3.
20. Lispi, L.; Leonardi, L.; Petrucci, A. Longitudinal neurophysiological assessment of intramuscular type-A botulin toxin in healthy humans. *Neurol Sci Off J Ital Neurol Soc Ital Soc Clin Neurophysiol* **2018**, *39*, 329–332.
21. Leonardi, L.; Haggiag, S.; Petrucci, A.; Lispi, L. Electrophysiological abnormalities in iatrogenic botulism: Two case reports and review of the literature. *J Clin Neurosci Off J Neurosurg Soc Australas* **2019**, *60*, 138–141.
22. Timmermans, G.; Depierreux, F.; Wang, F.; Hansen, I.; Maquet, P. Cosmetic Injection of Botulinum Toxin Unmasking Subclinical Myasthenia Gravis: A Case Report and Literature Review. *Case Rep Neurol* **2019**, *11*, 244–251.
23. Punga, A.R.; Liik, M. Botulinum toxin injections associated with suspected myasthenia gravis: An underappreciated cause of MG-like clinical presentation. *Clin Neurophysiol Pract* **2020**, *5*, 46–49.
24. Eleopra, R.; Rinaldo, S.; Montecucco, C.; Rossetto, O.; Devigili, G. Clinical duration of action of different botulinum toxin types in humans. *Toxicon Off J Int Soc Toxinology*. Epub ahead of print 14 March 2020, doi:10.1016/j.toxicon.2020.02.020.
25. Ekstedt, J.; Nilsson, G.; Stalberg, E. Calculation of the electromyographic jitter. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* **1974**, *37*, 526–539.

26. Benatar, M.; Hammad, M.; Doss-Riney, H. Concentric-needle single-fiber electromyography for the diagnosis of myasthenia gravis. *Muscle Nerve* **2006**, *34*, 163–168.