

Table S1. The influence of foliar treatments on leaves mass

Variant	Foliar treatment	Leaves mass (g) after:			
		F1	F2	F3	F4
V1	Control	1.02±0.09 ^a	1.6±0.22 ^a	168.2±9.01 ^a	248.4±5.64 ^a
V2	Cropmax	1.50±0.22 ^{ab}	2.72±0.21 ^c	224.8±8.91 ^b	378.2±8.30 ^c
V3	FullGreen	1.88±0.31 ^b	2.06±0.19 ^{ab}	210.6±10.19 ^b	251.4±8.65 ^a
V4	Nutrigizer	1.88±0.26 ^b	1.98±0.19 ^{ab}	219±12.42 ^b	281.8±5.94 ^b
V5	Rerum	1.78±0.18 ^b	2.5±0.18 ^{bc}	262.6±17.97 ^c	379.4±15.63 ^c

Each value is a mean of 5 replicates with standard error mean (Mean ± SEM). Mean with different letters (a, b, c) in each column are significantly different at 0.05 probability level by the Duncan multiple range test.

Table S2. Results concerning lettuce yield after foliar treatments

Variant	Foliar treatment	Yield (t ha ⁻¹)
V1	Control	32.72±3.80 ^a
V2	Cropmax	65.28±3.47 ^b
V3	FullGreen	39.78±3.60 ^a
V4	Nutrigizer	45.84±7.04 ^a
V5	Rerum	71.00±2.78 ^b

Each value is a mean of 5 replicates with standard error mean (Mean ± SEM). Mean with different letters (a, b) in each column are significantly different at 0.05 probability level by the Duncan multiple range test.

Table S3. Results concerning lettuce quality indices after foliar treatments

Variant	Foliar treatment	Dry matter (%)	Ascorbic acid (mg 100g ⁻¹)	Sugars (%)
V1	Control	5.06±0.09 ^a	10.38±0.59 ^a	1.440±0.16 ^a
V2	Cropmax	5.78±0.35 ^{ab}	13.78±1.74 ^{ab}	1.416±0.07 ^a
V3	FullGreen	5.56±0.38 ^{ab}	13.66±0.78 ^{ab}	1.280±0.12 ^a
V4	Nutrigizer	5.68±0.26 ^{ab}	15.90±0.79 ^{bc}	1.196±0.07 ^a
V5	Rerum	6.28±0.58 ^b	17.62±1.30 ^c	1.820±0.16 ^b

Each value is a mean of 5 replicates with standard error mean (Mean ± SEM). Mean with different letters (a, b, c) in each column are significantly different at 0.05 probability level by the Duncan multiple range test.