

Supporting information

Land application of biosolids-derived biochar in Australia: A review

Payel Sinha¹, Serhiy Marchuk¹, Peter Harris¹, Diogenes L. Antille^{1,2}, Bernadette K. McCabe^{1*}

¹University of Southern Queensland, Centre for Agricultural Engineering, Toowoomba, Queensland, Australia

²CSIRO Agriculture and Food, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

* Correspondence: bernadette.mccabe@usq.edu.au; Tel.: +61-7-4631-1623

This file includes:

Table S1

References

Table S1: Variation in BDB properties as a function in pyrolysis/gasification temperature. The data were compiled using UC Davis Biochar Database (<http://biochar.ucdavis.edu/>) and data from published peer-reviewed articles from around the world.

Reference	T°C	Yield, %	Total Surface Area m ² /g	Total Ash Content %	Total C %	Total H %	Total N %	Total P %	pH	EC dS/m	CEC cmolc/kg	K g/kg	Na g/kg	Ca mg/kg	Mg mg/kg	Al mg/kg	Fe mg/kg
Méndez et al. (2013) [1]	400		33.4						7.8	3.04	29.9						
Méndez et al. (2013) [1]	600		37.2						8.7	1.46	11.7						
Zhao, L et al. (2013) [2]	500		71.6	61.9	26.6			0.2	8.8		168.0	0.5		6.6	0.6	1.9	2.2
Agrafioti et al. (2013) [3]	300		4.0		39.7	4.1	7.1		6.0								
Agrafioti et al. (2013) [3]	500		18.0		9.8	0.4	2.1										
Liu et al. (2014) [4]	450				21.3		3.2	1.5	8.6			13.8					
Chen et al. (2014) [5]	500		25.4	74.2	17.5	0.7	1.5	1.8	8.8		76.8	8.5	1.2	59.3	14.7	28.4	31.1
Chen et al. (2014) [5]	600		20.3	77.9	18.4	0.3	1.4	1.9	9.5		30.8	8.5	1.7	62.7	15.5	28.3	33.6
Chen et al. (2014) [5]	700		32.2	81.5	16.9	0.2	1.0	2.0	11.1		50.3	9.9	1.4	64.4	16.4	32.8	35.3
Chen et al. (2014) [5]	800		48.5	83.9	16.2	0.0	0.5	1.9	12.2		126.6	9.3	1.9	65.8	16.6	34.5	35.8
Chen et al. (2014) [5]	900		67.6	88.1	15.9	0.1	0.5	2.0	12.2		247.5	8.7	3.4	69.6	17.5	35.5	37.2
Cely et al. (2014) [6]	600		59.2	73.6					7.7	3.70	24.2						
Zhao et al. (2014) [7]	500		71.6		30.6	3.3	2.9										
Xu et al. (2014) [8]	500		71.6	61.4	27.7				8.9			5.2		65.7	6.5	19.3	22.1
Oh et al. (2015) [9]	400		19.5		31.8	3.4	4.4										
Song et al. (2014) [9]	400		0.1	52.0	22.6	2.7	3.5		7.8								
Song et al. (2014) [9]	450		2.9	55.6	18.6	2.0	2.4		8.3								
Song et al. (2014) [9]	500		3.2	57.6	17.7	1.7	2.3		9.1								
Song et al. (2014) [9]	550		13.3	58.5	19.6	1.8	2.1		10.0								
de la Rosa et al. (2014) [10]	600		67.3	69.5	17.9	1.5	2.0		6.7								
Pituello et al. (2015) [11]	250			48.6	28.3		3.8	1.7	6.9	0.69		4.2					
Pituello et al. (2015) [11]	350			58.1	27.5		3.6	2.0	7.3	0.09		4.4					
Pituello et al. (2015) [11]	450			67.0	22.5		2.8	2.2	7.2	0.13		4.9					
Pituello et al. (2015) [11]	550			73.2	20.1		2.3	2.4	7.1	0.21		4.2					
Chen et al. (2015) [12]	900			88.1	15.9	0.1	0.5		10.2		247.5			69.6	17.5		
Ojeda et al. (2015) [13]	500							5.1	10.0			9.1	3.8	89.1			42.7

Leng et al. (2015) [14]	280		12.0	68.6	18.0	1.6			6.2								
Leng et al. (2015) [14]	280		17.0	70.2	16.5	2.2			6.0								
Leng et al. (2015) [14]	260		16.0	71.9	14.1	1.5			6.6								
Liang et al. (2016) [15]	300		6.4	20.6	63.4		0.1		7.5	0.31	26.0						
Liang et al. (2016) [15]	500		174.6	22.5	76.7		0.0		10.3	0.95	15.2	1.9					
van Zveiten et al. (2010) [16]	550				21.0		0.0	5.7	7.9							11.0	
Rahman et al. (2021) [17]	600				9.1		1.4	2.1	6.4	2.18		5.6	1.0	12.4	5.6	50.2	25.8
Netherway et al. (2019) [18]	300	91.9	21.6	91.1	4.4	0.8	2.4	1.1	5.4		34.5	1.3	1.9	4.5	2.9		43.0
Netherway et al. (2019) [18]	400	89.8	24.6	92.7	3.1	0.7	2.0	1.1	5.2		38.3	1.3	2.0	5.1	3.0		43.4
Netherway et al. (2019) [18]	500	87.7	25.3	93.4	2.7	0.4	1.7	1.1	5.2		36.6	1.7	2.2	5.6	3.1		46.7
Hossain et al. (2021) [19]	300	64.7	93.9		26.7		3.9	5.1	7.1	0.46		2.2		20.4	7.2		
Hossain et al. (2010) [20]	550						2.3		8.2	1.90	35.0						
Roberts et al. (2017) [21]	300	67.5		58.4	24.5	2.9	4.0	6.6									
Roberts et al. (2017) [21]	450	52.5		73.5	19.6	1.6	3.0	8.5									
Roberts et al. (2017) [21]	600	50.2		80.8	19.8	1.0	2.7	9.3									
Roberts et al. (2017) [21]	750	48.1		79.0	19.4	0.5	2.0	10.1									
Roberts et al. (2017) [21]	300	70.1		48.6	32.8	3.6	5.4	7.9									
Roberts et al. (2017) [21]	450	49.1		68.4	25.4	1.7	3.7	11.4									
Roberts et al. (2017) [21]	600	45.5		76.6	24.7	1.0	3.1	12.4									
Roberts et al. (2017) [21]	750	44.4		80.6	24.9	0.6	2.4	11.9									
Hossain et al. (2011) [22]	300	72.3		52.8	25.6	2.6	3.3		5.3	4.12							
Hossain et al. (2011) [22]	400	63.7		63.3	20.2	1.3	2.4		4.9	4.15							
Hossain et al. (2011) [22]	500	57.9		68.2	20.3	0.9	2.1		7.3	4.70							
Hossain et al. (2011) [22]	700	52.4		72.5	20.4	0.5	1.2		12.0	2.50							
Wang et al. (2021) [23]	350	73.3	8.0	55.1	25.3	2.2	3.2		7.4								
Wang et al. (2021) [23]	450	67.1	8.8	64.4	24.3	1.7	3.0		8.1								
Wang et al. (2021) [23]	550	61.3	10.2	72.4	19.9	1.1	2.4		8.5								
Frišták et al. (2018) [24]	430				31.9	0.8		5.7	8.6	0.35							
Frišták et al. (2018) [24]	430				49.9	1.5		1.7	8.7	0.32							
Adhikari et al. (2019) [25]	400		4.0	48.6	50.1	2.4	4.5	2.0									
Adhikari et al. (2019) [25]	400		5.0	49.5	50.6	2.4	4.4	2.2									
Adhikari et al. (2019) [25]	400		13.0	49.9	49.5	2.2	4.8	2.2									
Adhikari et al. (2019) [25]	400		17.0	49.4	49.5	1.9	4.5	2.1									

Adhikari et al. (2019) [25]	500		21.0	54.7	46.7	1.4	3.9	17.0									
Adhikari et al. (2019) [25]	500		19.0	54.2	48.5	1.4	4.0	15.5									
Adhikari et al. (2019) [25]	500		39.0	56.3	47.0	1.2	3.9	16.5									
Adhikari et al. (2019) [25]	500		30.0	56.5	47.1	1.2	3.9	16.1									
Adhikari et al. (2019) [25]	600		79.5	59.1	45.5	0.9	3.4	16.1									
Adhikari et al. (2019) [25]	600		80.5	27.7	47.1	1.0	3.5	14.2									
Adhikari et al. (2019) [25]	600		95.0	29.1	46.3	0.9	3.3	19.8									
Adhikari et al. (2019) [25]	600		60.5	60.8	45.4	0.9	3.3	15.3									

References

1. Méndez, A.; Terradillos, M.; Gascó, G. Physicochemical and agronomic properties of biochar from sewage sludge pyrolysed at different temperatures. *J Anal Appl Pyrolysis*. **2013**, 102, 124-130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2013.03.006>.
2. Zhao, L.; Cao, X.; Mašek, O.; Zimmerman, A. Heterogeneity of biochar properties as a function of feedstock sources and production temperatures. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2013**, 256-257, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.04.015>.
3. Agrafioti, E.; Bouras, G.; Kalderis, D.; Diamadopoulos, E. Biochar production by sewage sludge pyrolysis. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis* **2013**, 101, 72-78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2013.02.010>.
4. Liu, T.; Liu, B.; Zhang, W. Nutrients and Heavy Metals in Biochar Produced by Sewage Sludge Pyrolysis: Its Application in Soil Amendment. *Pol. J. Environ.* **2014**, 23, (1), 271-275.
5. Chen, T.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, H.; Lu, W.; Zhou, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Ren, L. Influence of pyrolysis temperature on characteristics and heavy metal adsorptive performance of biochar derived from municipal sewage sludge. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2014**, 164, 47-54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.04.048>.
6. Cely Parra, P.; Tarquis, A.; Paz-Ferreiro, J.; Méndez, A.; Gascó, G. Factors driving carbon mineralization priming effect in a soil amended with different types of biochar. *Solid Earth* **2014**, 6. <https://doi.org/10.5194/sed-6-849-2014>.
7. Zhao, L.; Zheng, W.; Cao, X. Distribution and evolution of organic matter phases during biochar formation and their importance in carbon loss and pore structure. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2014**, 250, 240-247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2014.04.053>.
8. Xu, X.; Cao, X.; Zhao, L.; Sun, T. Comparison of sewage sludge- and pig manure-derived biochars for hydrogen sulfide removal. *Chemosphere* **2014**, 111, 296-303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.04.014>.
9. Oh, S.-Y.; Son, J.-G.; Chiu, P.C. Black carbon-mediated reductive transformation of nitro compounds by hydrogen sulfide. *Environ. Earth Sci.* **2015**, 73, (4), 1813-1822. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-014-3535-8>.
10. De la Rosa, J.; Paneque, M.; Miller, A.; Knicker, H. Relating physical and chemical properties of four different biochars and their application rate to biomass production of *Lolium perenne* on a Calcic Cambisol during a pot experiment of 79 days. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2014**, 499C, 175-184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.08.025>.
11. Pituello, C.; Francioso, O.; Simonetti, G.; Pisi, A.; Torreggiani, A.; Berti, A.; Morari, F. Characterization of chemical–physical, structural and morphological properties of biochars from biowastes produced at different temperatures. *J. Soils Sediments*. **2015**, 15, (4), 792-804. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-014-0964-7>.
12. Chen, T.; Zhou, Z.; Xu, S.; Wang, H.; Lu, W. Adsorption behavior comparison of trivalent and hexavalent chromium on biochar derived from municipal sludge. *Bioresour. Technol.* **2015**, 190, 388-394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.04.115>.
13. Ojeda, G.; Mattana, S.; Àvila, A.; Alcañiz, J.M.; Volkmann, M.; Bachmann, J. Are soil–water functions affected by biochar application? *Geoderma* **2015**, 249-250, 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2015.02.014>.
14. Leng, L.; Yuan, X.-Z.; Huang, H.; Shao, J.; Hou, W.; Chen, X.; Zeng, G. Bio-char derived from sewage sludge by liquefaction: Characterization and application for dye adsorption. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2015**, 346, 223-231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2015.04.014>.
15. Liang, C.; Gascó, G.; Fu, S.; Méndez, A.; Paz-Ferreiro, J. Biochar from pruning residues as a soil amendment: Effects of pyrolysis temperature and particle size. *Soil Tillage Res.* **2016**, 164, 3-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2015.10.002>.
16. van Zwieten, L.; Kimber, S.; Morris, S.; Downie, A.; Berger, E.; Rust, J.; Scheer, C. Influence of biochars on flux of N₂O and CO₂ from Ferrosol. *Soil Res.* **2010**, 48, (7), 555-568. <https://doi.org/10.1071/SR10004>.
17. Rahman, M.S.; Schefe, C.; Rajput, S.; Keizer, D.; Weatherley, A. O-aryl and Carbonyl Carbon Contents of Food Waste and Biosolid Predict P Availability in an Acidic Soil. *Front. sustain. food syst.* **2021**, 4, 277.

18. Netherway, P.; Reichman, S.M.; Laidlaw, M.; Scheckel, K.; Pingitore, N.; Gascó, G.; Méndez, A.; Surapaneni, A.; Paz-Ferreiro, J. Phosphorus-Rich Biochars Can Transform Lead in an Urban Contaminated Soil. *J. Environ. Qual.* **2019**, *48*, (4), 1091-1099. <https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2018.09.0324>.
19. Hossain, M.Z.; Bahar, M.M.; Sarkar, B.; Donne, S.W.; Wade, P.; Bolan, N. Assessment of the fertilizer potential of biochars produced from slow pyrolysis of biosolid and animal manures. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis* **2021**, *155*, 105043. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2021.105043>.
20. Hossain, M.K.; Strezov, V.; Yin Chan, K.; Nelson, P.F. Agronomic properties of wastewater sludge biochar and bioavailability of metals in production of cherry tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). *Chemosphere* **2010**, *78*, (9), 1167-1171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.01.009>.
21. Roberts, D.A.; Cole, A.J.; Whelan, A.; de Nys, R.; Paul, N.A. Slow pyrolysis enhances the recovery and reuse of phosphorus and reduces metal leaching from biosolids. *Waste Manage.* **2017**, *64*, 133-139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.03.012>.
22. Hossain, M.K.; Strezov, V.; Chan, K.Y.; Ziolkowski, A.; Nelson, P.F. Influence of pyrolysis temperature on production and nutrient properties of wastewater sludge biochar. *J. Environ. Manage.* **2011**, *92*, (1), 223-228. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.09.008>.
23. Wang, Z.; Liu, S.; Liu, K.; Ji, S.; Wang, M.; Shu, X. Effect of temperature on pyrolysis of sewage sludge: Biochar properties and environmental risks from heavy metals. *E3S Web Conf.* **2021**, 237.
24. Frišták, V.; Pipiška, M.; Soja, G. Pyrolysis treatment of sewage sludge: A promising way to produce phosphorus fertilizer. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2017**, 172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.12.015>.
25. Adhikari, S.; Gascó, G.; Méndez, A.; Surapaneni, A.; Jegatheesan, V.; Shah, K.; Paz-Ferreiro, J. Influence of pyrolysis parameters on phosphorus fractions of biosolids derived biochar. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, *695*, 133846. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133846>.