

## Supplementary Materials and Methods

### 1. 16S rRNA gene sequencing

First, total DNA was extracted from the samples using the PowerSoil® DNA Isolation kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. After extraction, the 16S full-length primer was designed according to the conserved region 27F-1492R for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of the target area (10 µL system, Solexa PCR). The synthesized specific primers (27F-1492R) were designed as follows: forward primer 27F: AGRGTTTGATYNTGGCTCAG; reverse primer 1492R: TASGGHTAC-CTTGTTASGACTT.

Subsequently, quality inspection was performed on the formed sequencing library, and processing, including barcode recognition, was performed on the high-quality circular consensus sequencing (CCS) sequence obtained. The generated optimization CCS was clustered at the level of 97% similarity (USEARCH, version 10.0), and its species classification was obtained based on the sequence composition of the operational taxonomic unit (OTU). The 16S Silva database and RDP Classifier platforms were used to analyze species annotation and taxonomy as well as the diversity of the gut microbiota. Alpha diversity analysis was performed to examine the species richness and diversity within a single sample, and the ACE, Chao, Shannon, and Simpson indices of each sample were calculated. The differences in the community composition and structure of different samples were compared through beta diversity analysis. Finally, linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) analysis was used to screen biomarkers that were significantly different between the groups (biomarker screening criteria: LDA score >4).

### 2. Colonic transcriptome sequencing

After the experiment, mice were anesthetized and executed by cervical dislocation, and colon tissues were dissected and collected from five mice in each group. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent. The libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq platform. Transcriptome library preparation and sequencing services were provided by Biomarker Technologies Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). To ensure the accuracy of the subsequent analysis, reads containing connectors and low quality (including reads with >10% of N removed; and reads with more than 50% of the whole reads with the number of bases with a quality value  $Q \leq 10$  were removed) were removed, and the resulting high quality clean data were provided in FASTQ format. The information for the version of the reference genome is as follows: *Mus musculus*.GRCm38\_release95.genome.fa ([https://jan2019.archive.ensembl.org/Mus\\_musculus/Info/Index](https://jan2019.archive.ensembl.org/Mus_musculus/Info/Index)). The raw reads were further processed with a bioinformatics pipeline, the BMKCloud (<https://www.biocloud.net>) online platform. Differential expression analysis of two conditions/groups was performed using DESeq2. DESeq2 provides statistical routines for determining differential expression in digital gene expression data using a model based on the negative binomial distribution. The resulting P values

were adjusted using Benjamini and Hochberg's approach for controlling the false discovery rate. Genes with an adjusted  $p$  value  $< 0.01$  and a fold change  $\geq 2$  found by DESeq2 were assigned as differentially expressed. The functions of differentially expressed genes, based on gene ontology (GO; <http://geneontology.org>) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG; <https://www.kegg.jp>), were enriched using the Goseq R package and KOBAS, and the corrected  $p < 0.05$ .

### ***3. Protein separation and western blot analysis***

The samples were mixed with SDS-PAGE loading buffer (Solarbio, Beijing, China) containing 1% PMSF (Solarbio, Beijing, China) and incubated at 95°C for 10 min. Equal amounts of protein samples (20  $\mu$ g) were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE (Epizyme Biomedical Technology Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) and then transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (0.45  $\mu$ m, Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). Next, the membranes were transferred to a 5% BSA blocking solution at room temperature and blocked for 2 h. Next, the membranes were incubated with the corresponding primary antibody (dilution, 1:1000) at 4°C overnight. The membranes were rinsed 3 times with TBS-T buffer. The cells were incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-coupled anti-rabbit secondary antibody (dilution, 1:10,000) for 1 h at room temperature and then were washed three times with TBS-T buffer. Finally, a chemiluminescence imaging system (Monad Biotech, China) was used for image acquisition. The antibodies used in the study are shown in Supplementary Table S3.