

Table S1. Results of the multivariate logistic regression. The analysis was carried out on the basis of the selection of the most significant variables and the imposition of the condition of non-correlation.

	<i>Regression coefficients (B)</i>	<i>Standard error</i>	<i>Wald test</i>	<i>P-value (<0.05)</i>	<i>Odds ratio (OR)</i>	<i>OR confidence interval 95%</i>	
						<i>Lower</i>	<i>Upper</i>
Age	0.023	0.051	0.204	0.652	1.023	0.926	1.130
$\frac{E_r}{BMI}$	0.269	0.311	0.748	0.387	1.309	0.711	2.410
EBR	-0.155	0.068	5.239	0.022*	0.857	0.750	0.978
$\frac{E_l}{BMI}$	-0.100	0.251	0.158	0.691	0.905	0.553	1.480
$\frac{F_r}{BMI}$	-0.199	0.491	0.164	0.685	0.820	0.313	2.145
FBR	0.085	0.040	4.559	0.033*	1.089	1.007	1.177
$\frac{F_l}{BMI}$	-0.011	0.321	0.001	0.972	0.989	0.527	1.855
RUR	0.032	0.122	0.068	0.794	1.032	0.813	1.312
LUR	-0.028	0.086	0.107	0.743	0.972	0.821	1.151

E_r : maximum force of right knee extensors; E_l : maximum force of left knee extensors; F_r : maximum force of right knee flexors; F_l : maximum force of left knee flexors; EBR: Extensor Bilateral Ratio; FBR: Flexor Bilateral Ratio; RUR: Right Unilateral Ratio; LUR: Left Unilateral Ratio. *: Statistically different values.

Table S2. Results of the Cox regression: multivariate survival analysis at 8 degrees of freedom.

	<i>Regression coefficients (B)</i>	<i>Standard error</i>	<i>Wald test</i>	<i>P-value (<0.05)</i>	<i>Hazard ratio (HR)</i>	<i>HR confidence interval 95%</i>	
						<i>Lower</i>	<i>Lower</i>
$\frac{E_r}{\text{BMI}}$	-0.17	0.96	0.03	0.86	0.84	0.13	-0.17
$\frac{E_l}{\text{BMI}}$	0.20	0.60	0.11	0.74	1.23	0.38	0.20
$\frac{F_r}{\text{BMI}}$	-0.22	0.94	0.06	0.81	0.80	0.13	-0.22
$\frac{F_l}{\text{BMI}}$	0.47	0.68	0.48	0.49	1.61	0.42	0.47
FBR	1.64	0.58	8.10	0.01*	5.16	1.67	1.64
EBR	-12.41	506.96	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00	-12.41
RUR	0.70	0.56	1.60	0.21	2.01	0.68	0.70
LUR	-0.01	0.67	0.01	0.99	0.99	0.27	-0.01

*: Statistically different values.

Table S3. Results of the ROC curves analysis. This method was implemented to maximize the sensitivity and specificity indices to derive optimized thresholds compared to those currently used by therapists.

<i>Variables</i>	<i>Current pathological cut-off</i>	<i>Sensitivity</i>	<i>Specificity</i>	<i>Optimized cut-off</i>	<i>Sensitivity</i>	<i>Specificity</i>	<i>Area under the curve (AUC)</i>	<i>P-value</i>
$\frac{E_r}{BMI}$	20	0.86	0.16	26.03	0.64	0.67	0.65	0.02*
$\frac{E_l}{BMI}$	20	0.86	0.13	24.18	0.68	0.50	0.57	0.32
$\frac{F_r}{BMI}$	15	0.55	0.27	16.65	0.51	0.82	0.34	0.02*
$\frac{F_l}{BMI}$	15	0.68	0.27	20.00	0.41	0.84	0.54	0.57
EBR	18	0.07	1.00	8.00	0.47	0.77	0.41	0.19
FBR	18	0.36	0.93	17.00	0.41	0.91	0.68	0.01*
RUR	40	0.59	0.79	39.06	0.68	0.76	0.75	0.01*
LUR	40	0.27	0.82	33.65	0.59	0.64	0.56	0.33

E_r : maximum force of right knee extensors; E_l : maximum force of left knee extensors; F_r : maximum force of right knee flexors; F_l : maximum force of left knee flexors; EBR: Extensor Bilateral Ratio; FBR: Flexor Bilateral Ratio; RUR: Right Unilateral Ratio; LUR: Left Unilateral Ratio. *: Statistically different values.