



## Supplementary Materials

**Table S1.** Outcomes by PANDEMYC score at baseline (comparison between patients with PANDEMYC score > P75 and the rest of population).

Variable	TOTAL	≤P75	>P75	<i>p</i> -Value
<b>Primary outcome (n (%)):</b>				
• ICU admission and/or death	15 (10.4)	7 (6.5)	8 (22.2)	<b>0.012</b>
<b>Secondary outcomes:</b>				
• Length of stay (days)	7 (5)	7 (4)	11 (6)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
• Necessity of higher O2 therapy at 48/72 h (n (%))	47 (34.1)	25 (25.0)	14 (53.8)	<b>0.005</b>
• Necessity to update COVID-19 treatment at 48/72 h (n (%))	53 (37.9)	34 (34.0)	11 (39.3)	0.605
• Necessity of higher O2 therapy or update COVID-19 treatment at 48/72 h (n (%))	66 (48.5)	40 (40.4)	16 (61.5)	0.054

ICU: Intensive care unit. Bold results are statistically significant.

**Table S2.** Receiver operating characteristic curves comparison.

ROC Curves Compared	AUC Difference CI (95%)	<i>p</i> -Value
ST2 concentrations vs. PANDEMYC score	0.002 (-0.147–0.151)	0.983
ST2 concentrations vs. LUS (LUZ-score)	0.015 (-0.185–0.216)	0.880
ST2 concentrations vs. Model 1 †	-0.074 (-0.227–0.078)	0.339
ST2 concentrations vs. Model 2 ‡	-0.076 (-0.226–0.074)	0.321
PANDEMYC score vs. LUS (LUZ-score)	0.014 (-0.151–0.179)	0.869
PANDEMYC score vs. Model 1 †	-0.076 (-0.185–0.033)	0.171
PANDEMYC score vs. Model 2 ‡	-0.077 (-0.179–0.024)	0.134
LUS (LUZ-score) vs. Model 1 †	-0.090 (-0.168–0.011)	<b>0.025</b>
LUS (LUZ-score) vs. Model 2 ‡	-0.091 (-0.180–0.003)	<b>0.043</b>
Model 1 † vs. Model 2 ‡	-0.002 (-0.033–0.030)	0.923

† Model 1 includes sST2 + PANDEMYC score + lung ultrasound Zaragoza score. ‡ Model 2 includes sST2 + PANDEMYC score + lung ultrasound Zaragoza score + age. Bold numbers are statistically significant. LUS: lung ultrasound.