

Table S4. CASP quality assessment for cohort studies.

First author, publication year^{ref. no}	1. Did the study address a clearly focused issue?	2. Was the cohort recruited in an acceptable way?	3. Was the exposure accurately measured to minimise bias?	4. Was the outcome accurately measured to minimise bias?	5.a Have the authors identified all important confounding factors? 5.b Have they taken account of the confounding factors in the design and/or analysis?	6.a Was the follow up of subjects complete enough? 6.b Was the follow up of subjects long enough?	7. How precise are the results?	8. Do you believe in the results	Score
Cheung et al, 2017 ⁸²	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	N/A	U	Y	5/8
Goossens et al, 2020 ⁵¹	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	N/A	U	Y	5/8
Hossler et al, 2011 ⁶⁸	Y	Y	Y	U	U	N/A	U	Y	4/8
Huang et al, 2020 ⁶³	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	N/A	Y	Y	6/8
Katwa et al, 2020 ⁶⁰	Y	U	N/A	U	U	N/A	U	Y	2/8
Konttila et al, 2020 ⁵⁷	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	Y	Y	7/8
Lee et al, 2018 ⁵⁶	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	N/A	Y	Y	6/8
Levi et al, 2017 ⁶⁹	Y	Y	Y	U	Y	N/A	Y	Y	6/8
Moss et al, 2018 ⁵⁹	Y	U	U	U	U	N/A	U	Y	2/8
Pettigrew et al, 2019 ⁵⁸	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	Y	Y	7/8

First author, publication year^{ref. no}	1. Did the study address a clearly focused issue?	2. Was the cohort recruited in an acceptable way?	3. Was the exposure accurately measured to minimise bias?	4. Was the outcome accurately measured to minimise bias?	5.a Have the authors identified all important confounding factors? 5.b Have they taken account of the confounding factors in the design and/or analysis?	6.a Was the follow up of subjects complete enough? 6.b Was the follow up of subjects long enough?	7. How precise are the results?	8. Do you believe in the results	Score
Pollom et al, 2018 ⁷⁷	Y	Y	U	U	U	N/A	Y	Y	3/8
Tuck et al, 2015 ⁸⁰	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	N/A	U	Y	5/8
Vandervoort et al, 2014 ¹⁰	Y	Y	U	U	Y	N/A	Y	Y	5/8
Walter et al, 2019 ¹⁹	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	U	U	5/8

Y= yes; N= no; N/A= not applicable; U= unknown.