

Risk of Bias Summary

First Author	RCT studies*							Overall judgement
	Randomization process	Deviations from intended interventions	Missing outcome data	Measurement of the outcome	Selection of the reported result			
Cadmus-Bertram [42]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Gell [44]	+	+	+	+	?	?	?	?
Haggerty [48]	+	+	?	-	+	+	-	-
Hartman [52]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Kenfield [47]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lynch [38,39]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Maxwell-Smith [56]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Mayer [46]	?	+	+	+	+	+	?	?
McNeil [61]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Mendoza [40]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ormel [65]	+	+	+	?	+	+	?	?
Pope [49]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Singh [53]	+	+	+	?	+	+	?	?
Van Blarigan [69]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Valle [51]	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Villaron [64]	?	+	+	+	+	?	?	-
Non RCT**								
First Author	Bias due to confounding	Bias in selection of participants	Bias in classification of interventions	Bias due to deviations from intended interventions	Bias due to missing data	Bias in measurement of outcomes	Bias in selection of the reported result	Overall judgement
Cheong [59]	?	/	/	/	?	-	+	-
Chung [58]	N/I	/	+	+	/	-	+	N/I
Delrieu [63]	-	/	+	+	/	-	+	-
Gell [43]	N/I	/	+	+	+	-	+	N/I
Götte [66]	?	/	/	+	+	-	+	-
Kim [60]	N/I	/	+	+	?	-	+	N/I
Le [41]	N/I	/	/	/	/	-	+	N/I
Lozano-Lozano [67]	N/I	/	+	+	+	-	+	N/I
McCarroll [50]	N/I	/	+	+	+	-	+	N/I
Ovans [45]	?	/	+	+	?	-	+	-
Puszkiewic [68]	?	/	+	+	+	-	+	-
Short [55]	N/I	/	+	N/I	?	-	+	N/I
Spark [54]	?	/	+	+	/	-	+	-
Trinh [62]	N/I	/	+	+	/	-	+	N/I
Uhm [57]	/	/	+	+	+	?	+	?

*Assessed using RoB2.0; low risk of bias (+); some concerns (?) ; high risk of bias (-)

**Assessed using ROBINS-I; low risk of bias (+), moderate risk of bias (/), serious risk of bias (?), critical risk of bias (-), No information (N/I)