

Summary of findings:

Interventions compared to Usual care in patients with Ankylosis Spondylitis

Patient or population: patients with Ankylosis Spondylitis

Setting: rehabilitation setting

Intervention: Interventions

Comparison: Usual care

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	Nº of participants (studies)	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
	Risk with Usual care	Risk with Interventions				
Functional Index (BASFI) assessed with: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index follow-up: median 12 weeks	-	SMD 0.34 SD lower (0.53 lower to 0.14 lower)	-	1247 (8 RCTs)	⊕○○○ Very low ^{a,b,c,d}	Interventions may reduce functional Index but the evidence is very uncertain.
Activity disease (BASDAI) assessed with: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index Scale from: 0 to 10 follow-up: median 12 weeks	-	SMD 0.37 SD lower (0.64 lower to 0.11 lower)	-	1247 (8 RCTs)	⊕○○○ Very low ^{a,b,c,d}	Interventions may reduce activity disease but the evidence is very uncertain.
Metrology (BASMI) assessed with: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index Scale from: 0 to 10 follow-up: median 12 weeks	-	SMD 0.12 SD lower (0.33 lower to 0.08 higher)	-	362 (5 RCTs)	⊕⊕○○ Low ^{a,d}	The evidence suggests interventions reduces metrology slightly.
Pain (VAS) assessed with: Visual Analogue Scale Scale from: 0 to 100 follow-up: mean 16 weeks	-	SMD 0.31 SD lower (0.88 lower to 0.25 higher)	-	813 (2 RCTs)	⊕○○○ Very low ^{c,d,e}	The evidence is very uncertain about the effect of interventions on pain.
Quality of Life (ASQoL) assessed with: Ankylosing Spondylitis Quality of Life Scale from: 0 to 18 follow-up: mean 16 weeks	-	SMD 0.09 SD lower (0.51 lower to 0.32 higher)	-	866 (3 RCTs)	⊕○○○ Very low ^{a,b,d}	The evidence is very uncertain about the effect of interventions on quality of Life.

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: confidence interval; SMD: standardised mean difference

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.

Moderate certainty: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.

Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.

Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.

Explanations

- a. Any studies with low risk of bias
- b. No direct comparison between the interventions
- c. Etherogeneity >60%
- d. Different and few follow-up
- e. All studies with high risk of bias

Summary of findings:

Intervention compared to Home-based exercise programs for Patients with ankylosing spondylitis

Patient or population: Patients with ankylosing spondylitis

Setting: Rehabilitation clinic, home

Intervention: Intervention

Comparison: Home-based exercise programs

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	№ of participants (studies)	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
	Risk with Home-based exercise programs	Risk with Intervention				
Functional Index (BASFI) assessed with: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index Scale from: 0 to 10 follow-up: median 12 weeks	-	SMD 0.29 SD lower (0.79 lower to 0.12 higher)	-	236 (4 RCTs)	⊕⊕○○ Low ^{a,b}	The evidence suggests intervention results in a slight reduction in functional Index.
Activity disease (BASDAI) assessed with: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index Scale from: 0 to 10 follow-up: median 12 weeks	-	SMD 0.14 SD lower (0.42 lower to 0.15 higher)	-	191 (3 RCTs)	⊕⊕○○ Low ^{c,d}	The evidence suggests intervention results in a slight reduction in activity disease.
Metrology (BASMI) assessed with: Bath Ankylosis Arthritis Metrology Index Scale from: 0 to 10 follow-up: median 12 weeks	-	SMD 0.2 SD lower (0.77 lower to 0.37 higher)	-	191 (3 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊕○ Moderate ^d	Intervention probably results in a reduction in metrology.
Pain (VAS) assessed with: Visual Analogue Scale Scale from: 0 to 100 follow-up: median 12 weeks	-	SMD 0.27 SD lower (0.61 lower to 0.07 higher)	-	166 (3 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊕○ Moderate ^d	Intervention likely results in a slight reduction in pain.
Quality of life (ASQoL) assessed with: Ankylosing Spondylitis Quality of Life follow-up: mean 4 weeks	-	SMD 0.75 SD lower (1.31 lower to 0.2 lower)	-	52 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕○○ Low ^{d,e}	The evidence suggests that intervention results in little to no difference in quality of life.

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: confidence interval; SMD: standardised mean difference

Summary of findings:

Intervention compared to Home-based exercise programs for Patients with ankylosing spondylitis

Patient or population: Patients with ankylosing spondylitis
Setting: Rehabilitation clinic, home
Intervention: Intervention
Comparison: Home-based exercise programs

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	№ of participants (studies)	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
	Risk with Home-based exercise programs	Risk with Intervention				

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence
High certainty: we are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.
Moderate certainty: we are moderately confident in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.
Low certainty: our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: the true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.
Very low certainty: we have very little confidence in the effect estimate: the true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect.