

Table 4. Histological variables measured in the middle intestines of LG-vs.HG-50SBM+2SPN and 100FM fish.

*p-value <0.05; **p-value<0.01; *** p-value<0.001; **** p-value <0.0001

Treatments Groups	Histological variables measured in middle intestine					
	Fold height	Fold base width	Fold apex width	Fold cho-rion area	Number of Goblet cells	Number of IELs
LG-50SBM+2SPN	113±40	50±12	44±9	477±351,	8±6	1±1
HG-50SBM+2SPN	151±33	64±20	48±10	1100±609	9±4	4±3
100FM	152±46	60±15	55±15	949±614	9±7	4±2
Significance						
LG-vs.-HG-50SBM+2SPN	0.0037**	0.0419*	0.9999	0.0006***	0.9999	0.0001****
LG-50SBM+2SPN vs. 100 FM	0.0351*	0.1023	0.0356*	0.0090**	0.2051	0.0001****
HG-50SBM+2SPN vs. 100FM	0.9999	0.9999	0.3290	0.9999	0.7209	0.9999

Table S5. Histological variables measured in the posterior intestines of LG-vs. HG-50SBM+2SPN and 100FM fish.

Treatments Groups	Histological variables measured in posterior intestine					
	Fold height	Fold base width	Fold apex width	Fold cho-rion area	Number of Gob-let cells	Number of IELs
LG -50SBM+2SPN	115±19	57±18	50±14	470±223	6±7	1±2
HG -50SBM+2SPN	122±23	59±21	48±18	679±395	6±5	3±2
100FM	154±30	69±18	55±17	896±549	9±4	5±4
Significance						
LG-vs.-HG-50SBM+2SPN	0.9999	0.9999	0.9999	0.2885	0.9999	0.0385
LG-50SBM+2SPN vs. 100 FM	0.0006***	0.1180	0.9999	0.0085**	0.1783	0.0005***
HG-50SBM+2SPN vs. 100FM	0.0059**	0.2227	0.3017	0.2610	0.3199	0.6198

p-value<0.01; * p-value<0.001