

**Table S1:** The results of Three-way ANOVA followed by the post-hoc Tukey test for pH values of a) bovine and b) ovine plain and fortified yoghurts with different proportions of hemp press cake flour (HPCF) during the 7-day storage period.

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	p <sup>1</sup>	Storage (day)	pH <sup>2</sup>	HPCF (%)	pH <sup>2</sup>
Type (1)	1	0.496	0.496	388.204	<0,0001	1	4.63 <sup>a</sup>	0	4.45 <sup>f</sup>
HPCF (2)	5	0.716	0.143	112.117	<0,0001	3	4.58 <sup>b</sup>	2	4.49 <sup>e</sup>
Storage (3)	3	0.138	0.046	36.043	<0,0001	5	4.55 <sup>bc</sup>	4	4.54 <sup>d</sup>
(1) x (2)	5	0.035	0.007	5.421	<b>0.000</b>	7	4.53 <sup>c</sup>	6	4.61 <sup>c</sup>
(1) x (3)	3	0.019	0.006	4.867	<b>0.005</b>	<b>Type</b>		8	4.65 <sup>b</sup>
(2) x (3)	15	0.003	0.000	0.164	1.000	Bovine	4.50 <sup>b</sup>	10	4.69 <sup>a</sup>
(1) x (2) x (3)	15	0.016	0.001	0.842	0.629	Ovine	4.64 <sup>a</sup>		

<sup>1</sup>Bolded p-values are statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ )

<sup>2</sup>Different letters indicate statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) separately for storage time, type of the yogurt and level of the HPCF addition

**Table S2:** The results of Three-way ANOVA followed by the post-hoc Tukey test for Titratable Acidity (°SH) of a) bovine and b) ovine plain and fortified yoghurts with different proportions of hemp press cake flour (HPCF) during the 7-day storage period.

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	p <sup>1</sup>	Storage (day)	TA (°SH) <sup>2</sup>	HPCF (%)	TA (°SH) <sup>2</sup>
Type (1)	1	1241.1	1241.1	5229.3	<0,0001	1	35.6 <sup>d</sup>	0	44.5 <sup>a</sup>
HPCF (2)	5	915.7	183.1	771.6	<0,0001	3	39.1 <sup>c</sup>	2	41.7 <sup>b</sup>
Storage (3)	3	596.0	198.7	837.1	<0,0001	5	40.8 <sup>b</sup>	4	40.1 <sup>c</sup>
(1) x (2)	5	82.1	16.4	69.2	<0,0001	7	42.3 <sup>a</sup>	6	38.6 <sup>d</sup>
(1) x (3)	3	64.0	21.3	89.9	<0,0001	<b>Type</b>		8	36.7 <sup>e</sup>
(2) x (3)	15	17.0	1.1	4.8	<0,0001	Bovine	43.1 <sup>a</sup>	10	35.2 <sup>f</sup>
(1) x (2) x (3)	15	18.7	1.2	5.2	<0,0001	Ovine	35.9 <sup>b</sup>		

<sup>1</sup>Bolded p-values are statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ )

<sup>2</sup>Different letters indicate statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) separately for storage time, type of the yogurt and level of the HPCF addition

**Table S3:** The results of Three-way ANOVA followed by the post-hoc Tukey test for L\* value of a) bovine and b) ovine plain and fortified yoghurts with different proportions of hemp press cake flour (HPCF) during the 7-day storage period.

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	p <sup>1</sup>	Storage (day)	L* <sup>2</sup>	HPCF (%)	L* <sup>2</sup>
Type (1)	1	634.9	634.9	377.8	<0,0001	1	63.0 <sup>a</sup>	0	82.1 <sup>a</sup>
HPCF (2)	5	16896.0	3379.2	2011.2	<0,0001	3	61.4 <sup>b</sup>	2	67.7 <sup>b</sup>
Storage (3)	3	108.8	36.3	21.6	<0,0001	5	61.1 <sup>b</sup>	4	60.9 <sup>c</sup>
(1) x (2)	5	76.6	15.3	9.1	<0,0001	7	60.8 <sup>b</sup>	6	56.1 <sup>d</sup>
(1) x (3)	3	20.3	6.8	4.0	<b>0.009</b>	<b>Type</b>		8	53.1 <sup>e</sup>
(2) x (3)	15	41.6	2.8	1.6	0.075	Bovine	59.5 <sup>b</sup>	10	49.6 <sup>f</sup>
(1) x (2) x (3)	15	22.5	1.5	0.9	0.574	Ovine	63.7 <sup>a</sup>		

<sup>1</sup>Bolded p-values are statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ )

<sup>2</sup>Different letters indicate statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) separately for storage time, type of the yogurt and level of the HPCF addition

**Table S4:** The results of Three-way ANOVA followed by the post-hoc Tukey test for  $a^*$  value of a) bovine and b) ovine plain and fortified yoghurts with different proportions of hemp press cake flour (HPCF) during the 7-day storage period.

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	$p^1$	Storage (day)	$a^{*2}$	HPCF (%)	$a^{*2}$
Type (1)	1	3.0	3.0	385.9	<0,0001	1	-0.11 <sup>c</sup>	0	-3.99 <sup>f</sup>
HPCF (2)	5	496.3	99.3	12811.0	<0,0001	3	0.03 <sup>b</sup>	2	0.00 <sup>e</sup>
Storage (3)	3	1.0	0.3	44.0	<0,0001	5	0.08 <sup>ab</sup>	4	0.51 <sup>d</sup>
(1) x (2)	5	7.7	1.5	199.2	<0,0001	7	0.12 <sup>a</sup>	6	1.06 <sup>c</sup>
(1) x (3)	3	0.1	0.0	3.7	<b>0.015</b>	Type		8	1.19 <sup>b</sup>
(2) x (3)	15	1.3	0.1	10.9	<0,0001	Bovine	0.17 <sup>a</sup>	10	1.41 <sup>a</sup>
(1) x (2) x (3)	15	0.9	0.1	8.1	<0,0001	Ovine	-0.11 <sup>b</sup>		

<sup>1</sup>Bolded p-values are statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ )

<sup>2</sup>Different letters indicate statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) separately for storage time, type of the yogurt and level of the HPCF addition

**Table S5:** The results of Three-way ANOVA followed by the post-hoc Tukey test for  $b^*$  value of a) bovine and b) ovine plain and fortified yoghurts with different proportions of hemp press cake flour (HPCF) during the 7-day storage period.

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	$p^1$	Storage (day)	$b^{*2}$	HPCF (%)	$b^{*2}$
Type (1)	1	76.5	76.5	97.8	<0,0001	1	8.6b	0	3.1f
HPCF (2)	5	2818.4	563.7	720.5	<0,0001	3	9.6a	2	5.3e
Storage (3)	3	31.8	10.6	13.6	<0,0001	5	9.7a	4	8.1d
(1) x (2)	5	137.6	27.5	35.2	<0,0001	7	9.8a	6	10.9c
(1) x (3)	3	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.811	Type		8	13.3b
(2) x (3)	15	13.0	0.9	1.1	0.361	Bovine	8.7b	10	15.9a
(1) x (2) x (3)	15	10.0	0.7	0.9	0.621	Ovine	10.2a		

<sup>1</sup>Bolded p-values are statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ )

<sup>2</sup>Different letters indicate statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) separately for storage time, type of the yogurt and level of the HPCF addition

**Table S6:** The results of Three-way ANOVA followed by the post-hoc Tukey test for Total phenolic content (TCP) in a) bovine and b) ovine plain and fortified yoghurts with different proportions of hemp press cake flour (HPCF) during the 7-day storage period.

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	$p^1$	Storage (day)	TPC (mg GAE/g) <sup>2</sup>	HPCF (%)	TPC (mg GAE/g) <sup>2</sup>
Type (1)	1	41.4	41.4	512.8	<0,0001	1	4.6 <sup>d</sup>	0	2.2 <sup>f</sup>
HPCF (2)	5	528.4	105.7	1307.5	<0,0001	3	5.5 <sup>c</sup>	2	3.5 <sup>e</sup>
Storage (3)	3	49.6	16.5	204.4	<0,0001	5	6.1 <sup>b</sup>	4	5.0 <sup>d</sup>
(1) x (2)	5	30.4	6.1	75.2	<0,0001	7	6.5 <sup>a</sup>	6	6.6 <sup>c</sup>
(1) x (3)	3	6.7	2.2	27.5	<0,0001	Type		8	7.9 <sup>b</sup>
(2) x (3)	15	6.9	0.5	5.7	<0,0001	Bovine	5.0 <sup>b</sup>	10	8.9 <sup>a</sup>
(1) x (2) x (3)	15	6.0	0.4	5.0	<0,0001	Ovine	6.3 <sup>a</sup>		

<sup>1</sup>Bolded p-values are statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ )

<sup>2</sup>Different letters indicate statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) separately for storage time, type of the yogurt and level of the HPCF addition

**Table S7:** The results of Three-way ANOVA followed by the post-hoc Tukey test for Antioxidant activity (AOA) of a) bovine and b) ovine plain and fortified yoghurts with different proportions of hemp press cake flour (HPCF) during the 7-day storage period.

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	p <sup>1</sup>	Storage (day)	AOA (% inhibition of DPPH) <sup>2</sup>	HPCF (%)	AOA (% inhibition of DPPH) <sup>2</sup>
Type (1)	1	75.8	75.8	42.9	<0,0001	1	18.8d	0	11.2f
HPCF (2)	5	8212.4	1642.5	929.8	<0,0001	3	22.5c	2	14.9e
Storage (3)	3	1781.2	593.7	336.1	<0,0001	5	25.6b	4	21.8d
(1) x (2)	5	26.3	5.3	3.0	0.020	7	30.5a	6	28.8c
(1) x (3)	3	214.0	71.3	40.4	<0,0001	Type		8	32.9b
(2) x (3)	15	132.5	8.8	5.0	<0,0001	Bovine	23.5b	10	36.6a
(1) x (2) x (3)	15	55.0	3.7	2.1	0.029	Ovine	25.3a		

<sup>1</sup>Bolded p-values are statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ )

<sup>2</sup>Different letters indicate statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) separately for storage time, type of the yogurt and level of the HPCF addition

**Table S8:** The results of Three-way ANOVA followed by the post-hoc Tukey test for bacterial count of *Lactobacillus* spp. strains in a) bovine and b) ovine plain and fortified yoghurts with different proportions of hemp press cake flour (HPCF) during the 7-day storage period.

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	p <sup>1</sup>	Storage (day)	<i>Lactobacillus</i> spp. (log CFU/mL) <sup>2</sup>	HPCF (%)	<i>Lactobacillus</i> spp. (log CFU/mL) <sup>2</sup>
Type (1)	1	0.154	0.154	36.401	<0,0001	1	6.72 <sup>a</sup>	0	6.76 <sup>a</sup>
HPCF (2)	5	0.562	0.112	26.530	<0,0001	3	6.70 <sup>a</sup>	2	6.73 <sup>ab</sup>
Storage (3)	3	0.187	0.062	14.749	<0,0001	5	6.66 <sup>b</sup>	4	6.70 <sup>bc</sup>
(1) x (2)	5	0.036	0.007	1.715	0.138	7	6.63 <sup>b</sup>	6	6.66 <sup>cd</sup>
(1) x (3)	3	0.001	0.000	0.085	0.968	Type		8	6.62 <sup>de</sup>
(2) x (3)	15	0.004	0.000	0.070	1.000	Bovine	6.71 <sup>a</sup>	10	6.58 <sup>e</sup>
(1) x (2) x (3)	15	0.002	0.000	0.034	1.000	Ovine	6.64 <sup>b</sup>		

<sup>1</sup>Bolded p-values are statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ )

<sup>2</sup>Different letters indicate statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) separately for storage time, type of the yogurt and level of the HPCF addition

**Table S9:** The results of Three-way ANOVA followed by the post-hoc Tukey test for bacterial count of *Streptococcus* spp. strains in a) bovine and b) ovine plain and fortified yoghurts with different proportions of hemp press cake flour (HPCF) during the 7-day storage period.

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	p <sup>1</sup>	Storage (day)	<i>Streptococcus</i> spp. (log CFU/mL) <sup>2</sup>	HPCF (%)	<i>Streptococcus</i> spp. (log CFU/mL) <sup>2</sup>
Type (1)	1	0.003	0.003	1.108	0.295	1	7.99 <sup>a</sup>	0	8.04 <sup>a</sup>
HPCF (2)	5	0.809	0.162	64.364	<0,0001	3	7.96 <sup>b</sup>	2	8.00 <sup>ab</sup>
Storage (3)	3	0.274	0.091	36.394	<0,0001	5	7.92 <sup>c</sup>	4	7.97 <sup>b</sup>
(1) x (2)	5	0.018	0.004	1.405	0.229	7	7.88 <sup>d</sup>	6	7.92 <sup>c</sup>
(1) x (3)	3	0.006	0.002	0.766	0.516	Type		8	7.89 <sup>c</sup>
(2) x (3)	15	0.045	0.003	1.189	0.294	Bovine	7.93 <sup>a</sup>	10	7.81 <sup>d</sup>
(1) x (2) x (3)	15	0.007	0.000	0.181	1.000	Ovine	7.94 <sup>a</sup>		

<sup>1</sup>Bolded p-values are statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ )

<sup>2</sup>Different letters indicate statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) separately for storage time, type of the yogurt and level of the HPCF addition

**Table S10:** The results of Three-way ANOVA followed by the post-hoc Tukey test for bacterial count of *Bifidobacterium* spp. strains in a) bovine and b) ovine plain and fortified yoghurts with different proportions of hemp press cake flour (HPCF) during the 7-day storage period.

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	<i>p</i> <sup>1</sup>	Storage (day)	<i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp. (log CFU/mL) <sup>2</sup>	HPCF (%)	<i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp. (log CFU/mL) <sup>2</sup>
Type (1)	1	0.027	0.027	8.281	<b>0.005</b>	1	7.23 <sup>a</sup>	0	7.28 <sup>a</sup>
HPCF (2)	5	1.695	0.339	105.120	< 0.0001	3	7.18 <sup>b</sup>	2	7.23 <sup>ab</sup>
Storage (3)	3	0.869	0.290	89.862	< 0.0001	5	7.11 <sup>c</sup>	4	7.19 <sup>b</sup>
(1) x (2)	5	0.018	0.004	1.118	0.356	7	7.02 <sup>d</sup>	6	7.12 <sup>c</sup>
(1) x (3)	3	0.039	0.013	4.071	<b>0.009</b>	Type		8	7.02 <sup>d</sup>
(2) x (3)	15	0.133	0.009	2.753	<b>0.001</b>	Bovine	7.15 <sup>a</sup>	10	6.97 <sup>e</sup>
(1) x (2) x (3)	15	0.039	0.003	0.803	0.672	Ovine	7.12 <sup>b</sup>		

<sup>1</sup>Bolded *p*-values are statistically significant (*p* < 0.05)

<sup>2</sup>Different letters indicate statistically significant differences (*p* < 0.05) separately for storage time, type of the yogurt and level of the HPCF addition

**Table S11:** The results of Three-way ANOVA followed by the post-hoc Tukey test for count of yeasts and moulds in a) bovine and b) ovine plain and fortified yoghurts with different proportions of hemp press cake flour (HPCF) during the 7-day storage period.

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	<i>p</i> <sup>1</sup>	Storage (day)	Yeasts and moulds (log CFU/mL) <sup>2</sup>	HPCF (%)	Yeasts and moulds (log CFU/mL) <sup>2</sup>
Type (1)	1	0.00	0.00	3.35	0.070	1	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	0	0.016 <sup>e</sup>
HPCF (2)	5	0.05	0.01	318.36	< 0.0001	3	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	2	0.052 <sup>d</sup>
Storage (3)	3	1.29	0.43	14367.35	< 0.0001	5	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	4	0.058 <sup>c</sup>
(1) x (2)	5	0.00	0.00	0.84	0.527	7	0.218 <sup>a</sup>	6	0.064 <sup>b</sup>
(1) x (3)	3	0.00	0.00	3.35	<b>0.022</b>	Type		8	0.067 <sup>ab</sup>
(2) x (3)	15	0.14	0.01	318.36	< 0.0001	Bovine	0.054 <sup>a</sup>	10	0.070 <sup>a</sup>
(1) x (2) x (3)	15	0.00	0.00	0.84	0.635	Ovine	0.055 <sup>a</sup>		

<sup>1</sup>Bolded *p*-values are statistically significant (*p* < 0.05)

<sup>2</sup>Different letters indicate statistically significant differences (*p* < 0.05) separately for storage time, type of the yogurt and level of the HPCF addition

**Table S12:** The results of Three-way ANOVA followed by the post-hoc Tukey test of sensory scores in a) bovine and b) ovine plain and fortified yoghurts with different proportions of hemp press cake flour (HPCF).

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	p <sup>1</sup>	Type	Score <sup>2</sup>	HPCF (%)	Score <sup>2</sup>
<i>Appearance</i>						Bovine	7.2 <sup>a</sup>	0	8.6 <sup>a</sup>
Type (1)	1	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.522	Ovine	7.1 <sup>a</sup>	2	8.3 <sup>a</sup>
HPCF (2)	5	88.8	17.8	24.7	<0,0001			4	7.3 <sup>b</sup>
(1) x (2)	5	10.2	2.0	2.8	<b>0.021</b>			6	6.6 <sup>bc</sup>
								8	6.2 <sup>c</sup>
								10	5.9 <sup>c</sup>
<i>Texture</i>						Bovine	6.8 <sup>a</sup>	0	8.3 <sup>a</sup>
Type (1)	1	8.7	8.7	12.4	<b>0.001</b>	Ovine	6.2 <sup>b</sup>	2	7.7 <sup>ab</sup>
HPCF (2)	5	173.6	34.7	49.4	<0,0001			4	7.4 <sup>b</sup>
(1) x (2)	5	2.1	0.4	0.6	0.700			6	6.1 <sup>c</sup>
								8	5.2 <sup>d</sup>
								10	4.2 <sup>e</sup>
<i>Aroma</i>						Bovine	7.2 <sup>a</sup>	0	8.6 <sup>a</sup>
Type (1)	1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.653	Ovine	7.1 <sup>a</sup>	2	8.2 <sup>a</sup>
HPCF (2)	5	110.5	22.1	23.7	<0,0001			4	7.8 <sup>a</sup>
(1) x (2)	5	11.0	2.2	2.3	<b>0.049</b>			6	6.4 <sup>b</sup>
								8	6.1 <sup>b</sup>
								10	5.6 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Taste</i>						Bovine	6.9 <sup>a</sup>	0	8.6 <sup>a</sup>
Type (1)	1	6.3	6.3	7.4	<b>0.008</b>	Ovine	6.4 <sup>b</sup>	2	8.3 <sup>a</sup>
HPCF (2)	5	288.1	57.6	67.5	<0,0001			4	7.6 <sup>ab</sup>
(1) x (2)	5	9.2	1.8	2.2	0.068			6	6.9 <sup>b</sup>
								8	5.3 <sup>c</sup>
								10	3.3 <sup>d</sup>
<i>Aftertaste</i>						Bovine	7.1 <sup>a</sup>	0	8.4 <sup>a</sup>
Type (1)	1	47.3	47.3	65.1	<0,0001	Ovine	5.6 <sup>b</sup>	2	7.9 <sup>ab</sup>
HPCF (2)	5	281.9	56.4	77.6	<0,0001			4	7.4 <sup>bc</sup>
(1) x (2)	5	7.5	1.5	2.1	0.078			6	6.4 <sup>c</sup>
								8	4.6 <sup>d</sup>
								10	3.3 <sup>e</sup>
<i>Overall</i>						Bovine	7.0 <sup>a</sup>	0	8.5 <sup>a</sup>
Type (1)	1	7.1	7.1	40.1	<0,0001	Ovine	6.5 <sup>b</sup>	2	8.1 <sup>a</sup>
HPCF (2)	5	173.5	34.7	196.2	<0,0001			4	7.5 <sup>ab</sup>
(1) x (2)	5	3.9	0.8	4.4	<b>0.001</b>			6	6.5 <sup>b</sup>
								8	5.5 <sup>c</sup>
								10	4.4 <sup>d</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bolded p-values are statistically significant ( $p < 0.05$ )

<sup>2</sup>Different letters indicate statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) separately for each sensory attribute