

**Table S1.** Pearson correlation coefficients between physicochemical parameters, antioxidant activity (ABTS and DPPH), and colour parameters ( $L^*$  and  $a^*$ ) of rose hip nectar treated with different HVED frequencies and time ( $p < 0.05$ ).

	pH	Electrical conduct.	Ascorbic acid	TPC	Flavan-3-ols	Flavonols	ABTS	DPPH	$L^*$	$a^*$
pH	1									
Electrical conduct.	<b>-0.842</b>	1								
Ascorbic acid	-0.512	0.537	1							
TPC	-0.165	0.275	0.511	1						
Flavan-3-ols	-0.468	0.514	0.539	0.258	1					
Flavonols	-0.560	0.488	0.494	-0.007	0.179	1				
ABTS	0.236	0.032	<b>-0.423</b>	<b>-0.248</b>	<b>-0.106</b>	<b>-0.581</b>	1			
DPPH	0.194	-0.196	-0.197	-0.138	-0.478	-0.571	0.634	1		
$L^*$	0.679	-0.600	-0.455	-0.186	-0.322	-0.804	0.696	0.686	1	
$a^*$	0.678	-0.600	-0.456	-0.220	-0.364	-0.752	0.679	0.691	<b>0.955</b>	1

**Table S2.** The results of the post-hoc Tukey test applied on physicochemical parameters, antioxidant activity (ABTS and DPPH), and colour parameters ( $L^*$  and  $a^*$ ) of rose hip nectar treated with different HVED frequencies (50 and 100 Hz). The time of treatment was 10, 15, and 20 minutes.

F (Hz)	Time (min)	Electrical conduct.	Ascorbic acid	TPC	Flavan-3- ols	Flavonols	AA		Colour parameter	
							ABTS	DPPH	$L^*$	$a^*$
10										
0		b	b	b	a	b	a	a	a	a
50		b	a	a	a	a	c	b	b	b
100		a	a	a	a	a	b	a	b	b
15										
0		c	a	a	b	a	a	a	a	a
50		b	a	b	a,b	a	c	b	b	b
100		a	a	a	a	a	b	b	b	b
20										
0		c	c	c	a	b	a	a	a	a
50		b	b	a	a	a	b	b	b	b
100		a	a	b	a	a	b	b	b	b

Differences in the same column are marked with different letters (post-hoc Tukey test  $p \leq 0.05$ ).

Flavan-3-ols - as a (+)-catechin.

Flavonols - as a quercetin-3-galactoside.

GAE - gallic acid equivalent.

TE - Trolox equivalent.

**Table S3.** The results of two-factor ANOVA analysis of physicochemical parameters, antioxidant activity (ABTS and DPPH), and colour parameters ( $L^*$  and  $a^*$ ) of HVED treated rose hip nectar.

Electrical conduct.		Ascorbic acid		TPC		Flavan-3-ols		Flavonols		ABTS		DPPH		$L^*$		$a^*$	
		F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P
Freq.		316.292	0.000	4.539	0.077	0.157	0.857	7.948	0.030	0.033	0.862	10.105	0.005	2.632	0.126	2.306	0.155
Time		121.179	0.000	27.181	0.001	28.317	0.000	19.700	0.002	0.018	0.982	8.427	0.009	0.523	0.610	2.184	0.169
Inter.		39.274	0.000	4.763	0.058	4.197	0.034	21.242	0.002	1.122	0.386	6.301	0.011	0.923	0.492	0.773	0.569
																0.916	0.495

ANOVA analysis with  $P\text{-value} \leq 0.05$  or  $F\text{-value} \geq F_{\text{critical}}$  are statistically significant

F - F value

P - P value.

**Table S4.** Pearson correlation coefficients between physicochemical parameters and antioxidant activity (ABTS and DPPH) of different formulated rose hip nectars ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Parameter	Electrical conduct.	Ascorbic acid	TPC	Flavan-3-ols	Flavonols	ABTS	DPPH
Electrical conduct.	1						
Ascorbic acid	0.268	1					
TPC	0.326	0.663	1				
Flavan-3-ols	-0.650	0.316	-0.052	1			
Flavonols	-0.347	0.584	0.547	0.276	1		
ABTS	-0.544	0.584	0.513	0.691	0.835	1	
DPPH	-0.355	0.688	0.616	0.633	0.779	<b>0.937</b>	1

**Table S5.** Pearson correlation coefficient between colour parameters ( $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ ,  $b^*$ ,  $C^*$  and  $h^\circ$ ) of different formulated rose hip nectars ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Parameter	$L^*$	$a^*$	$b^*$	$C^*$	$h^\circ$
$L^*$	1				
$a^*$	-0.700	1			
$b^*$	<b>0.987</b>	-0.697	1		
$C^*$	<b>0.938</b>	-0.533	<b>0.925</b>	1	
$h^\circ$	<b>0.906</b>	<b>-0.921</b>	<b>0.908</b>	0.794	1

**Table S6.** The average counts of aerobic mesophilic bacteria (AMB) and *Enterobacteriaceae* (EB) in the nectars during 12 days of refrigerated storage.

AMB			EB		
Sample/ Day	Microbiol. limit (cfu/mL)	Results (cfu/mL)	Sample/Day	Microbiol. limit (cfu/mL)	Results (cfu/mL)
Day "0"			Day "0"		
N	10 <sup>3</sup>	<10	N	10	<10
NP	10 <sup>3</sup>	<10	NP	10	<10
NSP	10 <sup>3</sup>	<10	NSP	10	<10
NBP 1	10 <sup>3</sup>	<10	NBP 1	10	<10
NBP 2	10 <sup>3</sup>	<10	NBP 2	10	<10
NPA	10 <sup>3</sup>	<10	NPA	10	<10
Day 6			Day 6		
N	10 <sup>3</sup>	<10	N	10	<10
NP	10 <sup>3</sup>	<10	NP	10	<10
NSP	10 <sup>3</sup>	<10	NSP	10	<10
NBP 1	10 <sup>3</sup>	<10	NBP 1	10	<10
NBP 2	10 <sup>3</sup>	<10	NBP 2	10	<10
NPA	10 <sup>3</sup>	<10	NPA	10	<10
Day 12			Day 12		
N	10 <sup>3</sup>	<10	N	10	<10
NP	10 <sup>3</sup>	<10	NP	10	<10
NSP	10 <sup>3</sup>	<10	NSP	10	<10
NBP 1	10 <sup>3</sup>	<10	NBP 1	10	<10
NBP 2	10 <sup>3</sup>	<10	NBP 2	10	<10
NPA	10 <sup>3</sup>	<10	NPA	10	<10

N - nectar without HVED treatment (control)

NP - HVED treated nectar

NSP - HVED treated low-calorie nectar

NBP 1 - nectar prepared from blanched pulp + HVED

NBP 2 - nectar prepared from blanched puree + HVED

NPA - pasteurised nectar.

**Table S7.** The results of the detection of *Salmonella* spp.(S), *Listeria monocytogenes* (LM), and average counts of *Escherichia coli* (EC) in the nectars during 12 days of refrigerated storage.

Sample/ Day	S		LM		EC	
	Microbiol. limit (cfu/mL)	Results (cfu/mL)	Microbiol. limit (cfu/mL)	Results (cfu/mL)	Sample/ Day	Microbiol. limit (cfu/mL)
Day "0"	absence in 25 mL		absence in 25 mL		Day "0"	
N	nd		nd	N	$10^2$	<10
NP	nd		nd	NP	$10^2$	<10
NSP	nd		nd	NSP	$10^2$	<10
NBP 1	nd		nd	NBP 1	$10^2$	<10
NBP 2	nd		nd	NBP 2	$10^2$	<10
NPA	nd		nd	NPA	$10^2$	<10
Day 6	absence in 25 mL		absence in 25 mL		Day 6	
N	nd		nd	N	$10^2$	<10
NP	nd		nd	NP	$10^2$	<10
NSP	nd		nd	NSP	$10^2$	<10
NBP 1	nd		nd	NBP 1	$10^2$	<10
NBP 2	nd		nd	NBP 2	$10^2$	<10
NPA	nd		nd	NPA	$10^2$	<10
Day 12	absence in 25 mL		absence in 25 mL		Day 12	
N	nd		nd	N	$10^2$	<10
NP	nd		nd	NP	$10^2$	<10
NSP	nd		nd	NSP	$10^2$	<10
NBP 1	nd		nd	NBP 1	$10^2$	<10
NBP 2	nd		nd	NBP 2	$10^2$	<10
NPA	nd		nd	NPA	$10^2$	<10

N - nectar without HVED treatment (control)

NP - HVED treated nectar

NSP - HVED treated low-calorie nectar

NBP 1 - nectar prepared from blanched pulp + HVED

NBP 2 - nectar prepared from blanched puree + HVED

NPA - pasteurised nectar.