

Supplementary Table S1. Risk of bias assessments for included studies

| JBI Checklist for Case Series – Criteria |
|--|
| 1. Were there clear criteria for inclusion in the case series? |
| 2. Was the condition measured in a standard, reliable way for all participants included in the case series? |
| 3. Were valid methods used for identification of the condition for all participants included in the case series? |
| 4. Did the case series have consecutive inclusion of participants? |
| 5. Did the case series have complete inclusion of participants? |
| 6. Was there clear reporting of the demographics of the participants in the study? |
| 7. Was there clear reporting of clinical information of the participants? |
| 8. Were the outcomes or follow up results of cases clearly reported? |
| 9. Was there clear reporting of the presenting site(s)/clinic(s) demographic information? |
| 10. Was statistical analysis appropriate? |
| Responses Options: Yes, No, Unclear, Not Applicable (NA) |
| Quality Rating: Poor 0 – 3; Fair 4 – 7; Good 8 – 10 |

| Study | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Appraisal |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|------------------|
| Liu et al., 2020 [16] | Yes | No | Yes | 9 – Good |
| Wang et al., 2019 [9] | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | 8– Good |
| Shin et al., 2018 [2] | Yes | No | Yes | 9– Good |
| Lee et al., 2017 [33] | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 9 – Good |
| Zhou et al., 2016 [1] | Yes | 10 - Good |
| Haidar et al., 2015 [29] | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | 8 – Good |
| Nomura et al., 2014 [3] | Yes | No | Yes | 9 - Good |
| Kekatpure et al., 2014 [24] | Yes | No | Yes | 9 - Good |
| Morace et al., 2012 [22] | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 9 – Good |
| Zhang et al., 2012 [51] | Yes | 10 - Good |
| Deneuve et al., 2012 [27] | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | 8 – Good |
| Xu et al., 2011 [26] | Yes | No | Yes | 9 - Good |
| Al-Daraji et al., 2009 [33] | Yes | No | Yes | 9 - Good |
| Chatni et al., 2009 [20] | Yes | No | Yes | 9 – Good |
| Kakudo et al., 2008 [21] | Yes | No | Yes | 9– Good |
| Folk et al., 2007 [31] | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 9– Good |
| Galioto et al., 2006 [19] | Yes | 10 - Good |
| Chung et al., 2004 [15] | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 9 – Good |
| Dare et al., 2003 [30] | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | 8 – Good |
| Pieper et al., 1999 [35] | Yes | No | Yes | 9 - Good |
| Krishnamurthy et al., 1998 | Yes | No | Yes | 9 - Good |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------|
| [25] | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sichel et al., 1994 [28] | Yes | 10 - Good |
| Wenig et al., 1993 [14] | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 9 – Good |
| Colmenero et al., 1991 [18] | Yes | No | Yes | 9 - Good |
| Murayama et al., 1988 [6] | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | 9 – Good |
| Shapshay et al., 1976 [7] | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | 8 – Good |
| Buchanan et al., 1975 [34] | Yes | No | Yes | 9 - Good |
| Liu et al., 2020 [16] | Yes | No | Yes | 9 - Good |