

## Supplementary Material







Figure S1. Survey scheme used for this paper.




**Figure S2.** Survey participants by research field (multiple options).



**Figure S3.** Survey participants by position.



**Figure S4.** Survey participants by country.



**Figure S5.** User feedback on problems with OoC devices by background (industry vs academia).

**Table S2:** List of asked institutions and Social network groups

Directly mailed universities/departments:

Institute of Basic Medical Science, University of Oslo, Norway  
 Institute of Human Genetics, Polish Academy of Science, Poznan, Poland  
 School of Biomedical Engineering, The University of Sydney, Australia  
 Faculty of Engineering, Department of Materials, Imperial College London, UK  
 School of Chemistry and Bioscience, University of Bradford, UK  
 University of South Australia, UniSA Cancer Research Institute & Future Industries Institute, Australia  
 John Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA  
 KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Micro- and Nanosystems, Gothenburg, Sweden  
 Tampere University, Finland  
 University of Melbourne, Australia  
 University of Wyoming, USA  
 University of Tübingen, Germany

Networks addressed:

"Nordic Organ on Chip Society" (Finland, Denmark, Sweden, Norway and Iceland)  
 "Organ-on-a-Chip technology" Facebook group  
 "Organs-on-chips" & "3D cell biology" groups on LinkedIn