

Supplementary Table S1. Docking simulations summary and grid parameters used.

The first line refers to LOX from *P. homomalla* , separated to highlight that this LOX is not from diatom organism, and has been used as a reference. This is the protein present in PDB database with a structure complexed to arachidonic acid (AA). Our docking simulations for this protein were aimed to check the reliability of the docking procedure. The results evidenced high affinity, and the perfect reproduction of the binding mode. The other 8 LOX structures are from diatoms. We performed docking simulations on these structures to investigate their ability to bind different substrates and propose their preliminary classification. The second LOX we presents in the table is from *P. tricornutum* . In this case, from the literature it is suggested that the substrate is 9,12-hexa-decadienoic acid. We performed docking simulations with this substrate and, in addition, with EPA, to verify that the docking simulations are able to differentiate correct vs incorrect substrates. The results confirm the better affinity of 9,12-hexa-decadienoic acid instead of EPA. Moreover, the correct position in the binding site is possible only for 9,12-hexa-decadienoic acid (not shown). The table continues with the results related to *A. glacialis*, *Bellerocha sp.*, *P. dubia* for which no evidence in literature suggests the possible substrate. We performed the simulations with four substrates having different length (HTrA, EPA, DHA, octacosaoctaenoic acid), to evaluate the substrate preference and obtain a comparison among the different lengths. Finally, the table reports the results for the other diatom LOXs. In these cases, we performed the docking analysis only with the substrates suggested by literature evidences, for a further comparison to the energy value of our reference complex (i.e., *P. homomalla*). The column with the header “Confirmation of binding” (for *P. homomalla*) or “Potential binding” reports YES/NO to indicate that the docking simulations suggest or not the binding of the ligand. “Higher affinity” is reported when the best docking score obtained was comparable to the one detected for our reference complex.

Docking results			Docking settings							
Substrate	Confirmation of binding		npts	grid	points in xyz	spacing(A)	gridcenter	xyz-coordinates	or auto	flexible residues
Redocking check										
LOX from <i>P. homomalla</i>	arachidonic acid(AA)	YES (HIGH AFFINITY)	94	72	82	0.375	5.343	-2.223	6.921	null
Substrate	Potential binding		npts	grid	points in xyz	spacing(A)	gridcenter	xyz-coordinates	or auto	flexible residues
Diatom LOXs docking analysis										
LOX from <i>P. tricornutum</i>	EPA	NO	56	50	58	0.375	9.339	-1.971	6.24	null
	9,12-hexa-decadienoic acid *	YES	60	50	60	0.375	10.183	-1.92	6.172	H-105, F-352, F-356, W-418
LOX from <i>A. glacialis</i>	HTrA	NO	64	50	60	0.375	5.503	-0.564	11.792	F-517, I-518, W-522, L-690, L-693
	EPA	YES	64	50	60	0.375	5.456	-0.579	11.771	F-517, I-518, W-522, L-690, L-693
	DHA	YES	64	50	60	0.375	5.474	-0.312	11.904	F-517, I-518, W-522, L-690, L-694
	octacosaoctaenoic acid	YES (HIGHER AFFINITY)	64	50	60	0.375	6.716	1.248	11.918	F-517, I-518, W-522, L-690, L-695
LOX from <i>Bellerocha sp.</i>	HTrA	NO	64	50	60	0.375	-7.677	0.9	-0.675	L-333, L-491, L-494
	EPA	NO	64	50	60	0.375	-7.331	1.056	-0.643	null
	DHA	YES	64	50	60	0.375	-7.677	0.9	-0.675	L-333, L-491, L-494
	octacosaoctaenoic acid	YES	64	50	60	0.375	-7.677	0.9	-0.675	L-333, L-491, L-495
LOX from <i>P. dubia</i>	HTrA	NO	64	50	60	0,375	8,918	2,408	2,847	null
	EPA	YES	64	50	60	0.375	7.28	2.704	2.854	null
	DHA	YES (HIGHER AFFINITY)	64	50	60	0.375	8.918	2.408	2.847	null
	octacosaoctaenoic acid	YES (HIGHER AFFINITY)	64	50	60	0.375	7.263	2.352	2.819	null
LOX from <i>S. marinoi</i>	HTrA *	YES	56	50	58	0.375	-8.969	4.555	-8.19	null
	HTtA *	YES	56	50	58	0.375	-12.055	4.444	-7.437	null
	EPA *	YES (HIGHER AFFINITY)	56	50	58	0.375	-6.961	4.556	-8.189	null
LOX from <i>P. arenysensis</i>	EPA *	YES (HIGHER AFFINITY)	78	82	70	0.375	18.497	2.754	15.254	null
LOX from <i>F. cylindrus</i>	EPA *	YES	78	82	70	0.375	7.849	-1.152	-0.932	null
LOX from <i>C. debilis</i>	EPA *	YES	56	50	60	0.375	-8.056	-1.546	-2.962	null

* substrate whose production in the specific diatom species is suggested by literature