

Supplementary data

Table S1. Antibiotics' plasma concentration measurements. Data are presented as median {IQR} (min; max)

	<i>n</i>	Value
		C(mg/L)
<i>β-lactams</i>		<i>C_{min}</i>
<i>Piperacillin (tazobactam)</i>	41	12.3 {4.8–42.2} (1.43;233)
<i>Cefepime</i>	22	12.6 {7–23.8} (3.3;57.4)
<i>Ceftazidime</i>	9	41.6 {26.6–52.7} (2.9;60)
<i>Imipenem</i>	9	2.1 {1.3–2.2} (0.5;2.7)
<i>Meropenem</i>	8	8 {4.6–11.3} (0.03;25.4)
<i>Aminoglycosides</i>		<i>C_{max}</i>
<i>Amikacin</i>	52	71.2 {61.7–95.3} (40;316)
<i>Tobramycin</i>	1	21.4
<i>Quinolones</i>		<i>C_{max}</i>
<i>Ciprofloxacin</i>	2	5.1 (5.1;5.1)

Table S2. Covariables of β -lactams' $\log(C_{\min})$ Univariate analysis

<i>Variable</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>Beta (95%CI)</i>	<i>p</i>
Age	148		0.03(0.02; 0.05)	<0.0001
Weight	145		0 (-0.01; 0.01)	0.93
Oedema score	94		0 (-0.06; 0.05)	0.89
Fluid intake during D1 (*100mL)	139		0 (-0.02; 0.01)	0.85
Creatinine clearance at D1 (mL/min)	145		-0.02 (-0.03 ; -0.02)	<0.0001
High renal clearance				
No	91		0	
Yes (>100mL/min/1.73m ²)	54		-1.4 (-1.8; -0.99)	<0.0001
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ ratio	146		0.01 (-0.02; 0.03)	0.58
SAPS2 (admission)	146		0.02 (0.01; 0.03)	0.004
SOFA score (day 1)	146		0.06 (-0.01; 0.12)	0.086
Vasopressor				
Yes		63	0.19 (-0.25; 0.64)	0.39
No		85	0	
RRT				
Yes		2	-0.13 (-2.06; 1.81)	0.90
No		135	0	
Continuous infusion				
Yes		10	0	
No		138	-0.73 (-1.61; 0.14)	0.10

Table S3. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters of the patients and comparison according to clinical outcome (clinical cure of VAP). Data are presented as median {IQR} (min;max) or n (%).

	<i>No cure of VAP</i>	<i>Cure of VAP</i>	<i>p</i>
β -lactams	<i>n=16</i>	<i>n=52</i>	
C_{min}/MIC	15.4 {4.4;61} (0.07;183)	11.6 {1.9;49.5} (0.18;637)	0.89
$C_{min}/MIC >1$	14 (88)	43 (83)	0.94
$C_{min}/MIC >4$	12 (75)	35 (67)	0.78
<i>Aminoglycosides</i>	<i>n=9</i>	<i>n=34</i>	
C_{max}/MIC	28.6 {17.0;42.5} (0.17;165)	34.3 {19.6;51.7} (0.26;126.9)	0.85
$C_{max}/MIC >8$	8 (89)	32 (94)	1.00
$C_{max}/MIC >10$	8 (89)	30 (88)	1.00

Table S4. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters of the patients and comparison according to clinical outcome. Data are presented as median {IQR} (min;max) or n (%).

	<i>Poor clinical outcome</i>	<i>Good clinical outcome</i>	<i>p</i>
β -lactams	<i>n=30</i>	<i>n=14</i>	
C_{min}/MIC	19.6 {4.2;67.9} (0.07;637)	3.1 {1.2;14.1} (0.18;431.9)	0.07
$C_{min}/MIC >1$	26 (87)	10 (71)	0.42
$C_{min}/MIC >4$	23 (77)	6 (43)	0.06
<i>Aminoglycosides</i>	<i>N=16</i>	<i>n=11</i>	
C_{max}/MIC	34.6 {21.6;42.7} (8.2;158)	32.3 {11;49.2} (0.17;165)	0.22
$C_{max}/MIC >8$	16 (100)	9 (81)	0.31
$C_{max}/MIC >10$	15 (94)	8 (73)	0.34

Table S5. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters of the patients and comparison according to clinical outcome (28-day mortality). Data are presented as median {IQR} (min;max) or n (%).

	<i>Overall population</i>	<i>Alive at D28</i>	<i>Death at D28</i>	<i>p</i>
β -lactams	<i>n=77</i>	<i>n=49</i>	<i>n=28</i>	
C_{min}/MIC	12.6 {2.5–47.2} (0.001;637)	12.6 {2.5 – 47} (0.10;534)	12.7 {2.6 – 62.3} (0.001;637)	0.68
$C_{min}/MIC >1$	64 (83%)	43 (88)	21 (75)	0.26
$C_{min}/MIC >4$	54 (70%)	34 (69)	20 (71)	1.00
<i>Aminoglycosides</i>	<i>n=47</i>	<i>n=30</i>	<i>n=17</i>	

C_{\max}/MIC	32.5 {19.3–45.5} (0.17;165)	28.6 {17.0;42.5} (0.17;165)	34.3 {19.6;51.7} (0.26;126.9)	0.63
$C_{\max}/MIC >8$	44 (94)	28 (93)	16 (94)	1.00
$C_{\max}/MIC >10$	41 (87)	25 (83)	16 (94)	0.78
