

Supplementary Material

Efficacy of Different Fungicide Spraying Techniques on the Infestation with *Kabatiella zae* and Formation of *Fusarium* Mycotoxins in Forage Maize

Tim Birr, Andreas Tillessen, Joseph-Alexander Verreet, Mario Hasler and Holger Klink

Table S1. Dry matter yield (\pm SD; dt/ha), DON and ZEN concentrations (\pm SD; μ g/kg) in forage maize samples at silage maturity of the cultivar “SY Werena” in the two fungicide-untreated controls without (T1) and with (T2) silk channel inoculation of main ears with macroconidia of *Fusarium culmorum* seven days after silk emergence at BCCH 65 at the three trial locations Barkhorn, Hemdingen, and Hohenschulen.

Treatment	Barkhorn			Hemdingen			Hohenschulen		
	Yield (dt/ha)	DON (μ g/kg)	ZEN (μ g/kg)	Yield (dt/ha)	DON (μ g/kg)	ZEN (μ g/kg)	Yield (dt/ha)	DON (μ g/kg)	ZEN (μ g/kg)
T1 – Non-inoculated	180.1 \pm 9.1	2598 \pm 776	498 \pm 252	189.8 \pm 8.4	4453 \pm 2204	585 \pm 192	131.1 \pm 12.1	3834 \pm 2640	478 \pm 198
T2 – Inoculated	176.4 \pm 8.5	28,355 \pm 4233	1966 \pm 438	190.2 \pm 6.5	14,992 \pm 2368	2260 \pm 791	136.8 \pm 6.7	25,493 \pm 4237	2254 \pm 874

DON = deoxynivalenol; ZEN = zearalenone.

Table S2. Disease severities of *Kabatiella zae* (\pm SD; percentage of leaf area affected by symptoms) on the upper, middle (L-2 to L+2) and lower leaf segment of forage maize at BBCH 65, BBCH 75 and BBCH 85 of the cultivar “SY Werena” depending on different fungicide spraying techniques (treatments T2 – T5) at the three trial locations Barkhorn, Hemdingen, and Hohenschulen. Treatments: T2 – Fungicide-untreated control, T3 - Overhead spraying technique, T4 - Dropleg spraying technique, T5 - Combination of T3 and T4. The fungicide Prosaro® was applied (T3 – T5) with 1.0 L/ha and a spray volume of 400 L/ha at BBCH 65.

Treatment	Leaf segment	Disease severity <i>K. zae</i> (%)								
		Barkhorn			Hemdingen			Hohenschulen		
		BBCH 65	BBCH 75	BBCH 85	BBCH 65	BBCH 75	BBCH 85	BBCH 65	BBCH 75	BBCH 85
T2 – Untreated control	Upper	1.0 \pm 0	2.6 \pm 0.1	13.6 \pm 0.8	1.0 \pm 0.1	2.6 \pm 0.2	7.6 \pm 0.9	1.6 \pm 0.6	10.1 \pm 4.1	25.6 \pm 6.0
	Middle	1.1 \pm 0.1	1.9 \pm 0.2	4.7 \pm 0.2	1.9 \pm 0.3	3.7 \pm 0.8	4.6 \pm 0.6	2.7 \pm 1.5	6.1 \pm 3.2	15.6 \pm 1.9
	Lower	1.0 \pm 0	1.8 \pm 0.2	3.4 \pm 0.8	2.0 \pm 0.2	3.4 \pm 0.5	3.5 \pm 0.5	1.7 \pm 0.5	2.8 \pm 0.6	4.5 \pm 1.3
T3 – Overhead spraying technique	Upper	1.0 \pm 0	1.4 \pm 0.3	7.0 \pm 1.5	1.0 \pm 0	2.1 \pm 0.1	4.5 \pm 0.4	1.2 \pm 0.3	3.0 \pm 1.7	9.9 \pm 3.5
	Middle	1.0 \pm 0.1	1.6 \pm 0.2	2.6 \pm 0.3	1.6 \pm 0.3	2.8 \pm 0.3	3.0 \pm 0.3	1.7 \pm 0.9	3.0 \pm 1.8	6.7 \pm 4.1
	Lower	1.0 \pm 0	1.5 \pm 0.2	2.4 \pm 0.1	1.8 \pm 0.1	2.7 \pm 0.2	2.8 \pm 0.3	1.2 \pm 0.3	2.2 \pm 0.9	2.9 \pm 0.9
T4 – Dropleg spraying technique	Upper	1.0 \pm 0.1	2.3 \pm 0.3	14.2 \pm 1.5	1.0 \pm 0	2.4 \pm 0.1	6.9 \pm 0.2	1.3 \pm 0.4	6.5 \pm 2.4	13.9 \pm 3.3
	Middle	1.0 \pm 0	1.6 \pm 0.1	4.1 \pm 0.6	1.5 \pm 0.2	2.8 \pm 0.3	3.1 \pm 0.3	1.7 \pm 0.5	2.9 \pm 0.8	4.9 \pm 1.8
	Lower	1.0 \pm 0	1.4 \pm 0.2	2.5 \pm 0.5	1.8 \pm 0.2	2.5 \pm 0.3	2.6 \pm 0.3	1.2 \pm 0.2	2.1 \pm 0.7	2.9 \pm 0.9
T5 – Combination of T3 and T4	Upper	0.9 \pm 0.1	1.3 \pm 0.1	9.9 \pm 1.3	1.0 \pm 0	2.1 \pm 0.1	4.0 \pm 0.2	0.9 \pm 0.2	2.5 \pm 0.9	6.7 \pm 1.7
	Middle	1.0 \pm 0	1.4 \pm 0.1	2.7 \pm 0.1	1.5 \pm 0.2	2.5 \pm 0.2	2.6 \pm 0.2	1.3 \pm 0.3	1.8 \pm 0.7	4.6 \pm 1.0
	Lower	1.0 \pm 0	1.0 \pm 0.1	2.0 \pm 0.1	1.5 \pm 0.3	2.2 \pm 0.2	2.3 \pm 0.2	1.2 \pm 0.2	1.6 \pm 0.3	2.1 \pm 0.4

Table S3. Dry matter yield (\pm SD; dt/ha) of forage maize of the cultivar “SY Werena” depending on different fungicide spraying techniques (treatments T2 – T5) at the three trial locations Barkhorn, Hemdingen, and Hohenschulen. Treatments: T2 – Fungicide-untreated control, T3 - Overhead spraying technique, T4 - Dropleg spraying technique, T5 - Combination of T3 and T4. The fungicide Prosaro® was applied (T3 – T5) with 1.0 L/ha and a spray volume of 400 L/ha at BBCH 65.

Treatment	Dry matter yield (dt/ha)		
	Barkhorn	Hemdingen	Hohenschulen
T2 – Untreated control	176.4 \pm 8.5	190.2 \pm 6.5	136.8 \pm 6.7
T3 – Overhead spraying technique	194.3 \pm 9.0	200.7 \pm 9.1	159.1 \pm 11.7
T4 – Dropleg spraying technique	192.5 \pm 3.8	198.0 \pm 4.5	151.9 \pm 5.7
T5 – Combination of T3 and T4	203.2 \pm 7.7	205.3 \pm 9.9	164.9 \pm 9.3

Table S4. Concentrations of DON and ZEN (\pm SD; μ g/kg) in forage maize samples at silage maturity of the cultivar “SY Werena” depending on different fungicide spraying techniques (treatments T1 – T5) at the three trial locations Barkhorn, Hemdingen, and Hohenschulen. Treatments: T1 – Fungicide-untreated control, T2 – Fungicide-untreated control, T3 - Overhead spraying technique, T4 - Dropleg spraying technique, T5 - Combination of T3 and T4. Treatments T2 to T5 were inoculated with macroconidia of *Fusarium culmorum* seven days after silk emergence by silk channel inoculation of forage maize main ears. Treatment T1 was not inoculated with *Fusarium* spores in order to measure the success of the silk channel inoculation by comparing DON and ZEN concentrations between the two fungicide untreated controls T1 and T2. The fungicide Prosaro® was applied (T3 – T5) with 1.0 L/ha and a spray volume of 400 L/ha two days after inoculation at BBCH 65.

Treatment	Mycotoxin	Mycotoxin concentration (μ g/kg)		
		Barkhorn	Hemdingen	Hohenschulen
T1 - Untreated control ¹	DON	2598 \pm 776	4453 \pm 2204	3834 \pm 2640
	ZEN	498 \pm 252	585 \pm 192	478 \pm 198
T2 – Untreated control ²	DON	28,355 \pm 4233	14,992 \pm 2368	25,493 \pm 4237
	ZEN	1966 \pm 438	2260 \pm 791	2254 \pm 874
T3 – Overhead spraying technique ²	DON	12,354 \pm 2062	4716 \pm 1891	14,557 \pm 2400
	ZEN	991 \pm 118	649 \pm 178	1424 \pm 632
T4 – Dropleg spraying technique ²	DON	7479 \pm 1602	1781 \pm 763	3699 \pm 2253
	ZEN	657 \pm 306	296 \pm 78	592 \pm 254
T5 – Combination of T3 and T4 ²	DON	8102 \pm 1883	2233 \pm 871	4727 \pm 2468
	ZEN	556 \pm 237	261 \pm 102	511 \pm 371

¹ Without *Fusarium* inoculation. ² With *Fusarium* inoculation seven days after silk emergence. DON = deoxynivalenol; ZEN = zearalenone.