Supplementary Materials

Table S1. PRISMA 2020 Checklist

Section / Topic	Item	PRISMA 2020 Checklist Item	Page No.
TITLE	1	Identify the report as a systematic review	Title page (p. 1)
ABSTRACT	2	See PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist	Abstract (p. 1)
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge	Introduction (pp. 2–3)
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the objectives or questions the review addresses	Introduction (p. 3)
METHODS			
Eligibility criteria	5	Specify inclusion and exclusion criteria and how studies were grouped	Methods – Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria; Table 1 (pp. 4–5)
Information sources	6	Specify all databases, registers, and other sources searched	Methods – Search Strategy (p. 4)
Search strategy	7	Present the full search strategies for all databases	Methods – Search Strings (p. 5)
Selection process	8	Describe the process for selecting studies	Methods – Study Selection; PRISMA flow diagram (pp. 4–6; Fig. 1)
Data collection process	9	Describe the process for collecting data from reports	Methods – Data Extraction and Validation (p. 6)
Data items	10a	List and define all outcomes for which data were sought	Methods – Research Questions (p. 4)
Data items	10b	List and define all other variables for which data were sought	Methods – Bibliometric Indicators (p. 6)
Study risk of bias assessment	11	Specify methods used to assess risk of bias in included studies	Methods – CASP Quality Appraisal (pp. 6–7; Table 2)
Effect measures	12	Specify effect measures used	N/A for bibliometric review
Synthesis methods	13a	Describe the process used to decide which studies were eligible for synthesis	Methods – Bibliometric Analysis Procedure (p. 6)
Synthesis methods	13b	Describe methods required to prepare data for synthesis	Methods – Data Cleaning and Validation (p. 6)
Synthesis methods	13c	Describe methods used to synthesise results	Methods – VOSviewer & Biblioshiny Analysis (p. 6)
Reporting bias assessment	14	Describe methods used to assess risk of reporting bias	Methods – Reporting Bias Assessment (p. 7)
Certainty assessment	15	Describe methods used to assess certainty in the body of evidence	N/A for bibliometric review
RESULTS			
Study selection	16a	Describe results of the search and selection process	Results – Study Selection; Fig. 1 (pp. 6–7)

Study characteristics	17	Cite each included study and present its characteristics	Results – Bibliometric Outputs (pp. 7–9)
Risk of bias in studies	18	Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study	Results – CASP Evaluation; Table 2 (p. 7)
Results of individual studies	19	Present summary statistics and results for each study	Results – Thematic and Citation Analysis (pp. 7–10)
Results of syntheses	20	Present results of all syntheses conducted	Results – Themes 1–3; Figs. 2–5 (pp. 8–12)
Reporting biases	21	Present assessments of risk of reporting bias	Results – Reporting Bias Assessment (p. 7)
Certainty of evidence	22	Present assessments of certainty in the evidence	N/A for bibliometric review
DISCUSSION			
Discussion	23a	Provide a general interpretation of the results	Discussion (pp. 12–14)
Limitations of evidence	23b	Discuss limitations of the evidence included in the review	Discussion – Limitations (pp. 13–14)
Limitations of review	23c	Discuss limitations of the review processes	Discussion – Methodological Constraints (p. 14)
Implications	23d	Discuss implications for practice, policy, and future research	Discussion & Conclusions (pp. 14–15)
OTHER INFORMATION			
Registration and protocol	24a	Provide registration information	Methods – Protocol Statement (p. 4; not registered)
Support	25	Describe sources of financial or non-financial support	Funding Statement (p. 15)
Competing interests	26	Declare any competing interests	Conflicts of Interest (p. 15)
Availability of data	27	Report where data, code, and materials can be accessed	Data Availability Statement (p. 15)