waste

MDPI

Systematic Review

Co-Creative Processes for the Circular Management of Solid Waste Through Digital Twins: A Framework for Progreso, Hidalgo, Mexico

M. A. Cosío-León [1], Sergio Gabriel Ceballos Pérez [1,*], Arturo Austria Cornejo [1], Felipe de Jesús Cenobio García [2], Miguel Ángel Torres González [1], Pedro Díaz Romo [1] and Salvador Trejo Corral [3]

[1] Dirección de Investigación, Innovación y Posgrado, Universidad Politécnica de Pachuca, Zempoala 43830, Hidalgo, Mexico; ma.cosio.leon@upp.edu.mx (M.A.C.-L.); arturo.austria@upp.edu.mx (A.A.C.); mtorres@upp.edu.mx (M.Á.T.G.); pedro.diaz@upp.edu.mx (P.D.R.)
[2] IMSS Bienestar Health Services, Pachuca 42000, Hidalgo, Mexico; felipe.cenobio@imssbienestar.gob.mx
[3] Department of Ecology, Municipality of Progreso, Progreso 42730, Hidalgo, Mexico; ecologiaprogreso2024@gmail.com
* Correspondence: ceballosp@upp.edu.mx

Abstract

This study proposes a conceptual framework to operationalize the transition from conventional, technical, and material-centric circularity to an inclusive socio-technical paradigm through the deployment of a Co-Creative Digital Process Twin (DPT) framework in Progreso, Hidalgo, Mexico. The primary objective is to bridge the gap between technocentric industrial applications and the socio-technical needs of developing regions, specifically by integrating informal waste pickers (*pepenadores*) into a formal circular economy ecosystem. The methodology is structured into three distinct stages: a systematic scoping review following PRISMA-ScR protocols to identify architectural gaps in the current literature; the generation of a conceptual framework and Business Model Canvas grounded in participatory design; and the formulation of Key Performance Indicators for future longitudinal testing. The results indicate a profound bias in existing digital twin research toward industrial automation, with a near-total absence of social inclusion mechanisms. In response, this study presents a multidimensional business model featuring service-oriented cooperative architecture. This system utilizes open standards (FIWARE, BPMN 2.0) and crowdsourcing platforms (Ushahidi) to maximize material recovery while ensuring socio-economic equity. This will need to be verified in future studies. A limitation of this study is its specificity to a single municipality with certain characteristics, which could hinder its immediate generalization to metropolitan areas. Furthermore, the success of the model could depend on basic digital literacy and a stable telecommunications infrastructure.

Keywords: circular economy; urban solid waste; co-creative value; social inclusion; digital process twin

Check for updates

Academic Editor: Gassan Hodaifa

Received: 12 June 2026
Revised: 16 July 2026
Accepted: 18 July 2026
Published: 15 September 2026

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license.

1. Introduction

Emerging at the intersection of Artificial Intelligence (AI), Data Analytics (DA), and the Internet of Things (IoT), digital twins (DTs)—dynamic virtual replicas synchronized with their physical counterparts—and ontologies—formal, machine-readable representations of concepts enabling automated reasoning—are transforming contemporary system architectures [1]. In industrial systems, DTs have become a foundational technology for

real-time optimization, lifecycle transparency, and predictive modeling. Consequently, their integration into circular economy (CE) strategies, particularly within waste management, represents a critical vanguard of innovation. Numerous studies have demonstrated the capacity of these data-driven frameworks to facilitate reuse, repurposing, and recycling by generating dynamic representations of waste flows, processes, and infrastructure [2–8]. This capability directly operationalizes circular principles aimed at closing, slowing, and narrowing resource loops throughout product and material lifecycles.

Current applications of DTs in municipal solid waste (MSW) management span manufacturing waste, waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE), construction and demolition (C&D) debris, and end-of-life (EOL) products. Within these domains, DTs enhance logistical efficiency, lifecycle assessments, predictive maintenance, design feedback loops, and resource recovery [5,6,9–13]. Nevertheless, systemic challenges persist, including fragmented data standards, limited interoperability, disparate digital maturity across sectors, and socio-technical barriers that impede large-scale empirical adoption [1,7,8,14,15].

In Mexico, the management of MSW presents a formidable challenge, aggravated by chronic underinvestment in infrastructure, a lack of technological modernization, and a shortage of specialized personnel [16]. Heterogeneity in municipal waste management stems from variations in city scale, income distributions, consumption patterns, and technological capacities, as well as distinct modes of social organization. Crucially, while developed economies typically manage waste through formal corporate frameworks or substantial public expenditure, the paradigm in Mexico and broader Latin America relies heavily on informal waste pickers and sorters. These actors operate outside formal economic structures, securing livelihoods from recovery activities without institutional remuneration or social protection [17,18].

Against this backdrop, this study addresses the following research questions:

RQ1: Which digital twin architectures have been applied to waste management from a computer engineering perspective?
RQ2: What systemic barriers and socio-technical opportunities do these architectures present?
RQ3: How can a digital process twin enhance the existing municipal circular business model within the Mexican context?

Closely aligned with these questions, we pose the following hypothesis: a critical literature review on digital process twins (executed via the PRISMA-ScR protocol) will identify scalable, transferable process architectures and methodologies capable of optimizing the circular business model for MSW in Progreso, Hidalgo, utilizing the Business Model Canvas as an analytical framework.

To address these questions and validate the hypothesis, this research conducts a comprehensive critical analysis of digital twin technologies applied to waste management, thereby fostering the transition toward systemic circular economy environments. The PRISMA scoping review maps the academic landscape to identify predominant themes, critique sector-specific implementations, and extrapolate cross-cutting barriers and opportunities [19,20]. Across the reviewed literature, digital technologies consistently demonstrate capacity for enhancing waste reduction, maximizing resource recovery, and improving lifecycle decision-making.

Consequently, the primary objective of this study is to propose a business model framework that optimizes the circular management of municipal solid waste (MSW) by leveraging the novelty of "Co-Creative Digital Process Twins." To achieve this, the research pursues two specific objectives: (1) identifying gaps in the current literature, which is achieved by analyzing the state of the art of digital process twins to demonstrate that existing frameworks focus almost exclusively on industrial dimensions, thereby lacking

mechanisms for social inclusion and co-design, and (2) developing specific methodologies and process structures that maximize material recovery and recycling rates for the municipality of Progreso, Hidalgo, Mexico, which will be tested in future studies [9,21–25].

It is important to clarify the approach of this study, which stems from real problems observed in collaborative work with the municipality of Progreso and has also been validated by other authors [17,18] in studies conducted in other municipalities. Furthermore, this study cannot be generalized to all municipalities in Mexico given the diversity and complexity of waste management practices [26]. Finally, the results obtained represent only the conceptual framework and model that will be tested soon; that is, at present, there is no data comparing the theory with reality.

2. Materials and Methods

The methodology of this study is divided into three stages: The first stage involves a critical review, which is conducted to identify digital twin gaps related to socio-environmental aspects, considering the PRISMA-ScR guidelines. The second stage involves generating a conceptual framework based on You et al. [1] and a basic Canvas (Business Model Canvas). based on this identified gap. The third stage consists of generating KPIs that will be tested in future studies in the municipality of Progreso, Hidalgo, México.

While traditional bibliometric analyses focus on quantitative indicators such as publication counts, citation networks, and author productivity, this study employs the PRISMA-ScR protocol as a systematic exploratory methodology to map the conceptual landscape of the field. Following the approach of Akoka et al. [27], who emphasize the need to surface the intellectual structure, main themes, and relationships among influential works to inform conceptual modeling, this literature exploration is designed to identify structural gaps rather than merely describe publication trends. The sequential deployment of the three queries (exploratory, restrictive, and cross-validation) serves to empirically demonstrate that, while digital twin architectures are robust in industrial contexts, the integration of socio-ecosystemic dimensions remains a critical void. The product of this PRISMA exploration directly informs the subsequent conceptual modeling phase, providing the structural and relational foundation for the proposed Co-Creative Digital Process Twin framework [20].

To carry out the literature retrieval and subsequent selection processes, OpenAlex (USA), a comprehensive, open-source bibliographic catalog, and Google Scholar, a global research platform, were utilized. The initial search strategy (Query 1) was constructed around core conceptual axes using the following keywords: “Digital Process Twin,” “Digital Twin,” “Waste Management,” “Circular Economy,” and “Processes.” This query was conducted on 14 July 2026 and yielded a dataset of 98 publications, including book chapters, articles, editorials, and theses. From these, only peer-reviewed articles were selected, totaling 51 articles. However, after reviewing each title and abstract individually, 9 articles were discarded for not meeting the criteria. These included editorials, book chapters, articles that did not comply with Query 1, or articles written in a language other than English that could not be translated or understood.

This screening process resulted in 42 articles, all focusing on digital architecture and process workflows applied to waste management within circular economy frameworks. Each selected source explicitly connects digital technologies to circular economy outcomes, including reuse, recycling, material recovery, and waste minimization.

After examining the titles of the reports obtained for review, 8 were discarded because, although they showed some relevance to the searched topic, they were considered off-topic. Therefore, 34 documents were filtered for review. Upon reviewing the title and abstract of

these, 12 more documents were discarded, leaving $n = 22$ final documents that passed all other filters and, once reviewed, contained elements meeting the search criteria.

To refine the literature search and delineate boundaries according to the established criteria, a second, more restrictive search strategy was deployed (Query 2, Figure 1). Utilizing Boolean intersection to isolate the confluence of these specialized domains, the string was constructed as follows: "Digital Process Twin" AND "Digital Twin" AND "Waste Management" AND "Circular Economy" AND "Processes" AND "Socioecosystem".




Figure 1. PRISMA-ScR flow diagram of study selection process. * Two databases were used: OpenAlex and Google Scholar. ** Records excluded: Those that did not comply with Query 1 regarding the topic were removed.

The absolute absence of literature intersecting digital process twins (DPTs) with socio-ecosystemic paradigms unveils a critical gap in the current state of the art: existing digital

twin (DT) frameworks heavily prioritize technocentric operational efficiency over socio-technical integration. This omission represents a significant oversight for municipal contexts in developing countries like Mexico, where waste management systems inherently rely on informal recovery actors. While conventional DT architectures are highly optimized for closing industrial material loops, they remain ill-equipped to address a core objective of this research: adapting current municipal business models to generate positive socio-economic impacts for marginalized populations.

To cross-validate the scarcity of results within open-source indexes, Query 2 was subsequently benchmarked against broader parameters. To firmly substantiate this literature gap, a robust validation query (Query 3) was executed within the Scopus database: TITLE-ABS-KEY ((“digital twin” OR “digital twins”) AND (“waste management” OR recycling OR “resource recovery”) AND (“circular economy” OR circularity) AND (manufacturing* OR industry* OR process*)). This comprehensive string compiled 112 research papers, confirming that, when keywords are expanded to include industrial vectors (manufacturing* or industry*), the body of literature expands significantly. This disparity empirically validates our preliminary findings from Query 2: the integration of social dimensions and human-centric co-creative processes remains profoundly underrepresented in contemporary digital twin research.

3. Results of the Review

To conduct a critical synthesis of the 22 selected studies (after reviewing their titles and abstracts), Qwen 3.7 Plus (Alibaba Cloud, China) was used to group them into predominant thematic patterns that directly corresponded to the central research questions. The results, after review, are as follows:

(RQ1) Digital Twin Architectures in Waste Management for the Circular Economy: The current literature heavily focuses on IoT-enabled sensing networks, Artificial Intelligence-driven decision support systems, and blockchain-based traceability tailored for industrial or large-scale, highly automated municipal operations. Crucially, only four studies explicitly address municipal solid waste management, and, notably, none integrate informal recovery actors or cooperative governance models into their digital frameworks.

(RQ2) Systemic Barriers and Socio-Technical Opportunities: The primary barriers identified in the literature include vendor lock-in, prohibitive infrastructure costs, and a pervasive absence of participatory data governance. Conversely, major opportunities lie in the development of modular, open-standard digital process twin (DPT) frameworks. These architectures enable robust scenario simulation without necessitating full technological automation, making them exceptionally viable for low-resource municipal contexts.

(RQ3) Capacity of DPTs to Enhance Municipal Circular Business Models (CBMs): Existing CBMs within Mexican municipalities heavily prioritize material extraction over social equity. The surveyed architectural paradigms indicate that DPTs can reconcile this disparity by simulating localized incentive structures, mapping value flows across informal–formal socio-economic boundaries, and ex-ante validating behavioral interventions prior to policy enforcement. Furthermore, the systematic exclusion of exclusively industry-focused studies confirms that socio-technical DPT applications remain a fundamentally unexplored niche—a gap that our proposed framework explicitly addresses through the integration of co-creative design principles.

3.1. Development of the Framework and Characterization: Progreso, Hidalgo

Challenges in the Transition Toward a Circular Economy

The paradigm shift from a linear to a circular economy requires a structural reconfiguration of production and consumption patterns, alongside a fundamental reassessment

of waste management and valorization pathways [28–30]. As illustrated in Figure 2, the conventional linear economy (LE) operates on high throughputs of virgin raw materials, which, post-transformation and consumption, are systematically relegated to landfills. This linear trajectory is encapsulated by the "take–make–use–dispose" and "cradle-to-grave" archetypes. Within this framework, the end-of-life (EOL) phase of a product terminates in final disposal, thereby reifying the "cradle-to-grave" cycle. Crucially, the systemic challenges of transcending this model are not merely technical; the very conceptualization of what constitutes "waste" is a socially and culturally constructed paradigm [19,31–33], which conditions institutional responses and actor behavior within the municipal ecosystem.




Figure 2. The circular economy (CE) as a sequence of return options. Economic activities divided into production and consumption apart from the extraction of natural resources are shown in orange, and recovered and recycled materials from circular economy activities, known as 3R, are added in blue.

In contrast to the linear model, the circular economy (CE) paradigm seeks to retain the intrinsic value of materials and products within the economic system for the maximum duration possible [3,21]. This approach is systematically operationalized through the "7 Rs" taxonomy (Figure 3), which encompasses:

- Redesign: Reconceptualizing products from their inception to ensure systemic sustainability, durability, repairability, and recyclability.
- Reduce: Minimizing raw material throughput and mitigating waste generation at the source.
- Reuse: Extending product utility through consecutive lifecycles without undergoing significant structural transformation.
- Repair: Restoring defective components to prolong the operational lifespan of products.
- Refurbish: Upgrading or retrofitting legacy products to reinstate original performance or functional equivalence.
- Recover: Extracting embedded materials or energy from post-consumer fractions that are otherwise unrecyclable.
- Recycle: Reprocessing waste streams into secondary raw materials or novel products.

Collectively, Figure 2 delineates a closed-loop, regenerative socio-technical system wherein the conventional notion of "waste" is progressively obsolete, supplanted by cyclical material pathways that decouple economic activity from virgin resource extraction and environmental degradation.



(**a**) (**b**)

Figure 3. Progreso Old Landfill, Hidalgo. (**a**) Collection volume of 438 tons per month, in an area of 1 hectare. (**b**) Note the lack of infrastructure and proper facilities at the landfill.

3.2. Waste Generation in Municipality of Progreso, Hidalgo, Mexico

México has a wide variety of municipalities and, consequently, different management styles. For example, there are 2462 municipalities, with development levels ranging from extreme poverty (HDI 0.362) to high development (HDI 0.911) and populations ranging from 81 to 1,922,523 inhabitants, not including cities or metropolitan areas that can exceed 22 million people. The complexity and diversity of waste management systems are extensive; however, one of the reasons for choosing Progreso was its willingness to participate in the study of digital twins, a condition consistent with co-creation, in which government, businesses, volunteers (waste pickers), and citizens are willing to collaborate to improve the waste management system [34].

The municipality of Progreso de Obregón is in the central region of the State of Hidalgo, Mexico. Geographically, the municipality is bounded by San Salvador to the northwest, Mixquiahuala de Juárez to the south, and Chilcuautla to the west. It encompasses a total land area of 91 square kilometers across 22 rural localities and 1 urban locality, delineated by the geographic coordinates 20°13′50.16″ N to 20°22′41.52″ N latitude, and 99°07′07.68″ W to 99°13′55.56″ W longitude [11].

Socio-demographic data retrieved from the National Institute of Statistics and Geography indicates a total population of 23,641 inhabitants distributed across 6308 residential housing units. The municipal population aged 15 or older exhibits a high literacy rate of 96.5%, with an average educational attainment of 10.2 school years completed [11].

From an economic perspective, municipal macro-indicators establish a baseline for resource and waste generation. According to official economic censuses, the total gross output (income from public and private goods and services) amounted to 1215.54 million MXN, while total consumption expenditures reached 958.35 million MXN [35]. Furthermore, the productive fabric of the municipality includes 152 active economic units classified under sectors 31–33 of the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), which corresponds strictly to manufacturing industries.

The above data show that Progreso is a small municipality compared to others; however, one problem that it reflects, like other municipalities, is the growing volume of waste resulting from urban and economic growth. Even though the municipality is mostly characterized by agricultural activities, industrial activities and urban growth accelerate waste generation rates. Nevertheless, a positive aspect of Progreso is its interest in and concrete actions to address this problem.

Five years ago, the municipality of Progreso de Obregón initiated a strategic restructuring of its municipal solid waste management system. Implementing this transition necessitated systemic reconfigurations across three primary dimensions: physical landfill infrastructure, the formal organization of waste collection networks, and the integration of

sorting personnel and manual laborers [34]. Figure 3 illustrates the structural advancements resulting from this modernization process. Prior to these interventions, the municipal disposal site operated as an unmanaged, open-air dumpsite devoid of basal liner protection or environmental safeguards [35]. The subsequent engineering modernization introduced critical mitigation infrastructure, including the installation of a high-density geomembrane for soil protection, dedicated piping networks for gas venting and leachate management, and a significant volumetric expansion of the active landfill cell [18].

Characteristics of the Waste Management System in Progreso

The local municipal solid waste (MSW) management system is constrained by critical operational and institutional deficiencies, which originate from a scarcity of public resources, a lack of infrastructure, a lack of training, and customs and poor waste management, among others. Chief among these challenges is a systemic lack of strategic planning, which directly correlates with suboptimal material recovery and deficient recycling efficiencies. This regulatory and operational void has driven widespread, unmanaged waste accumulation in public spaces, severely degrading the urban landscape. Furthermore, these operational shortfalls induce acute environmental degradation and public health hazards; specifically, the pervasive practice of open-air waste burning within vacant lots and residential areas—combined with improper final disposal—causes severe soil degradation and contamination of adjacent water bodies. These unmanaged dumping practices not only generate visual pollution and odor nuisances but also facilitate the proliferation of disease vectors, compounding the socio-ecological vulnerability of the region [36].

Quantifying this challenge, empirical data from May 2026 established a municipal MSW generation rate of 0.887 kg/capita/day, culminating in a total daily yield of 21.2 tons. Compositionally, the municipal waste stream comprises 32% organic matter, 46% recyclable fractions, and 22% materials destined for final disposal. To systematically address this output, the Integrated Utilization Site for Municipal Solid Waste and Special Handling Waste (SAIRSUME) was established in 2026. Engineered to comply strictly with the environmental standards of the NOM-083-SEMARNAT-2003 regulation [37], this facility effectively mitigates localized environmental externalities, including odor dissemination and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Moreover, SAIRSUME operationalizes resource recovery through formalized sorting protocols and localized composting, underpinned by a systematized inventory database and a rigorous operational procedures manual (Figures 4 and 5).



(**a**) (**b**)

Figure 4. The new SAIRSUME landfill. (**a**) The infrastructure includes a membrane for leachate, exhaust pipes for runoff and gases, and (**b**) a waste weighing and sorting area.



Figure 5. The landfill operating five years later.

3.3. Baseline Business Model Canvas of the Case Study

Transitioning to an CE model can mean a reconfiguration of business models toward more equitable and sustainable models that dignify the collectors and separators. The prevailing business model operationalized by private contractors within the studied municipality relies on the extraction of high-value municipal solid waste (MSW) fractions—predominantly polyethylene terephthalate (PET), paper, high-density plastics, and discarded furniture—directly collected from residential sources. Concurrently, these private entities generate revenue through direct collection fees levied on citizens. In lieu of monetary concession payments to the municipality, these private actors offset their operational privileges by transporting the remaining low-value MSW fractions to the municipal disposal site post-sorting. Crucially, municipal authorities lack empirical, raw data regarding the true profit margins generated by these private activities [18,36].

The final disposal infrastructure remains public property. Within these landfill sites, the final echelon of the recovery chain consists of informal waste pickers, locally known as *pepenadores*, who scavenge remaining secondary materials from the low-value waste stream for sub-commercial resale. In specific contexts, such as the municipality under analysis, these informal actors secure site access by providing unpaid, manual street-sweeping services for the local government. Capitalizing on the remaining low-value fractions—particularly organic matter, which constitutes over 32% of the total MSW generated—remains unviable without targeted infrastructure investment. Consequently, this systemic configuration induces an accelerated depletion of landfill volumetric capacity; currently, the landfill infrastructure across the State of Hidalgo is nearing structural collapse.

The baseline conditions illustrate an incipient circular economy process that lacks the formal structures required to mature into an optimized business model—one where municipal institutions, private firms, and informal waste pickers collectively valorize waste fractions. Currently, these findings contrast sharply with the desired state of systemic sustainability. Within this ecosystem, informal waste pickers (pepenadores) constitute the most marginalized socio-economic cohort, enduring precarious labor environments characterized by negligible financial compensation, severe occupational health hazards, and diminished material quality due to late-stage scavenging [17,31,36].

Redressing these systemic failures necessitates a rigorous analysis of material transformation stages. As conceptualized in Figure 3, a functional circular system inherently relies on a dynamic network of material flows; waste cannot remain static. Consequently, within the domain of reverse logistics, the paradigm of "return engineering" must be operationalized. This concept extends beyond the conventional physical movement of goods from consumer back to producer; it fundamentally encompasses the systematic reintegration

of discarded materials into active production cycles. Implementing return engineering requires the strategic design of optimized routing networks, localized collection nodes, economic incentive structures, and standardized classification protocols engineered to transform the ontology of material from "no longer needed" into "intrinsically valuable." If this socio-technical and logistical infrastructure is absent, material streams remain immobilized at final disposal sites, causing the circular lifecycle to fail at its inception [33,38,39].

In an idealized paradigm, zero waste would reach the landfill without undergoing predefined valorization processes. However, current empirical conditions reflect a transitional bottleneck wherein institutional source separation infrastructure and citizen environmental education remain insufficient [34]. Consequently, the landfill systematically inherits the compounding burden of unsegregated, mixed-waste streams. Within the studied municipality, organic matter represents a significant 32% of this total incoming stream, comprising food waste, lignocellulosic plant matter, and diverse biodegradable fractions. Rather than allowing these resources to undergo uncontrolled degradation, this substantial fraction should be systematically diverted into composting or anaerobic biodigestion [21,40]. Through these stabilized biochemical processes, organic matter can be safely returned to the lithosphere as humic substances, nutrient-rich agricultural substrates, or biofertilizers. This closed-loop organic management not only regenerates degraded local soil systems but also offers regional agriculture a viable pathway to decouple crop yields from synthetic chemical inputs.

4. Discussion

4.1. Toward a DPT-Based Circular Business Model for Progreso, Hidalgo

While the critical review of digital process twins (DPTs) uncovered transferable architectures and methodologies capable of optimizing the municipal solid waste (MSW) circular business model in Progreso, Hidalgo, the socio-technical divide between digital twin deployment and grassroots social impact remains unaddressed. The initial search queries failed to identify digital twin frameworks that integrate triple-bottom-line (3P/ESG) metrics within informal waste sectors. Although this research initially hypothesized that addressing the primary technical questions would resolve the core systemic challenges, the socio-economic vulnerabilities in waste management faced by informal waste pickers and waste sorters (pepenadores) are a key factor for the transition to a circular economy in Mexico, given that their activity represents 90% of waste recovery; however, their work and role are often rendered invisible by institutional management frameworks [32,41,42]. To bridge this analytical gap, a complementary targeted literature review was executed via Google Scholar, focusing on waste management frameworks characterized by severe power asymmetries and systemic actor exploitation.

A pivotal benchmark in addressing these asymmetric relations is found in the recent literature, which proposes a novel framework fusing digital twin technologies with value co-creation principles to enable a circular, sustainable service design [2,10,43]. By extrapolating these conceptual foundations to the empirical reality of the Progreso case study and drawing upon the framework established by You et al. [1], a modified Business Model Canvas (BMC) is proposed. This model integrates three foundational dimensions designed to mitigate systemic inequalities in socio-technical systems:

- Transparency: This dimension operationalizes the mechanisms required to enhance visibility across material, data, and financial streams. It focuses explicitly on safeguarding the interests of the value chain's most vulnerable stakeholders by establishing auditing protocols that map exact profit margins and material destinations [44–46].
- Power Balance: This axis addresses the engineering of socio-technological and governance structures that democratize municipal decision-making. By implementing

decentralized data verification, it ensures an equitable distribution of the generated economic, social, and environmental value among all incorporated actors [24,31,38].

- Participation: Complementing the previous axes, this principle focuses on the inclusive engagement of technologically marginalized populations. It dictates that less-technified stakeholders must be actively embedded within the co-creation lifecycle of the digital twin architecture, spanning from initial problem formulation to the institutional design of data governance protocols [8,39].

Co-Creative Digital Twin Framework for the Municipal Business Model in Progreso, Hidalgo

This section operationalizes the empirical translation of digital process twin (DPT) architectures into the municipal solid waste management ecosystem of Progreso, Hidalgo, Mexico. The proposed framework systematically synthesizes participatory governance paradigms [19,38], predictive "what-if" scenario simulation capabilities, and the foundational methodological tenets of Co-Creative Digital Twins [1]. By converging these axes, the framework delivers a socio-technical architecture designed to be technologically robust, socially equitable, and strictly aligned with the regulatory mandates of Mexico's General Law of Circular Economy (Ley General de Economía Circular) [12].

4.2. Guiding Principles and Theoretical Anchors

The conceptualization of this architecture is grounded in four core design principles engineered to mitigate the systemic limitations prevalent in the current circular business model literature [40,47]. First, through phased incrementality, infrastructure deployment progresses through synchronized technical, organizational, and social maturity milestones. This phased evolution intentionally minimizes socio-technical friction and mitigates systemic deployment risks inherent to low-resource municipal environments. Second, the framework incorporates operational contingency by design; the DPT is engineered exclusively as a decentralized decision-support instrument rather than a critical, fault-intolerant system dependency. This deliberate integration of hybrid manual and semi-automated operational modes ensures unbroken institutional and operational continuity under varying structural conditions [1,25,48].

Third, the architecture prioritizes open standards and interoperability to prevent restrictive vendor lock-in and foster cross-municipal scalability within the Mexican institutional landscape. To achieve this, the platform strictly adopts open-source standards, including Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) protocols, FIWARE frameworks, and Business Process Model and Notation (BPMN 2.0). Fourth, the framework enforces behavioral validation prior to enforcement, whereby micro-economic incentive structures and positive reinforcement mechanisms are simulation-tested and democratically legitimized within the digital ecosystem before the institutional codification of regulatory penalties or top–down enforcement mechanisms [22,23,49].

Collectively, these guiding principles operationalize the theoretical boundary between conventional circularity—predominantly focused on closed economic-material loops—and comprehensive sustainability, which is firmly grounded in the triple-bottom-line paradigm. By doing so, this architecture ensures that the social pillar is not treated as an auxiliary consideration but rather as a structural component explicitly integrated via participatory mechanisms and socio-economic equity metrics [38].

4.3. Service-Oriented Cooperative Architecture and Stakeholder Taxonomy

The municipal waste ecosystem is re-engineered as a service-based cooperative architecture wherein all participating actors engage as decentralized, horizontal service providers. This structural configuration intentionally dismantles traditional asymmetrical

employer–employee hierarchies, replacing them with a peer-to-peer operational model. The designated stakeholder roles and their systemic interactions are operationalized as follows [14,47]:

A critical socio-technical mechanism of this architecture is the deliberate decoupling of asset ownership from material recovery rights. Independent vehicle owners are integrated strictly as external logistics providers; they receive automated compensation via smart contracts solely for the rental and operational use of their vehicles. Crucially, this structural separation prevents vehicle owners from monopolizing high-value waste fractions. A vehicle owner may simultaneously participate within the cooperative as a "Frontline Collection Agent" (*pepenador*), but their material recovery earnings are strictly decoupled from their vehicle rental income. By transforming the truck from a tool of hierarchical privilege into a mere rented utility, the framework ensures that all cooperative members have equal, algorithmic access to the waste stream. This effectively dismantles the traditional power asymmetry where transport owners dictate material distribution, directly operationalizing the "Power Balance" dimension of the proposed Business Model Canvas [33,42,50].

- Frontline Collection Agents: This foundational material recovery network comprises household-facing collectors, truck-based manual sorters, and landfill facility operators who interface directly with primary waste streams to maximize initial separation efficiency [33,39].
- Material Cycle Stewards: Specialized operational teams are assigned to distinct material fractions (e.g., PET, aluminum, textiles, and organic matter). Their core mandate is to maximize intrinsic value retention and ensure end-to-end material traceability throughout the supply chain [32].
- Data Architecture and Administration Unit: This governance body is responsible for digitizing material workflows—directly feeding empirical data into the DPT—and documenting service interactions to enable verifiable, performance-based compensation schemes [9,45].
- Socio-Technical Support Unit: Dedicated technical personnel manage infrastructure maintenance and user-accessibility support, ensuring system reliability, fault tolerance, and inclusive digital onboarding for less-technified actors [24,38].
- Citizen Co-Creators: Residents actively participate in the ecosystem by monetizing source separation compliance and auditing service delivery via the Ushahidi crowdsourcing platform. Citizens exercise governance through two symmetrical mechanisms [31,44]:
    - Economic Leverage: Direct financial incentives reward source separation labor, augmented by ecological bonuses—redeemable for municipal ecosystem services—to incentivize rigorous material segregation [9,10].
    - Reputational Leverage: Public, georeferenced service-quality reports create continuous accountability loops, directly influencing the performance metrics and algorithmic evaluation of the cooperative [5,6].

Critically, the "waste extraction space" is theoretically and legally conceptualized as an integrated, unbroken value chain spanning from the point of household deposition to final material recovery. This holistic boundary definition ensures that the cooperative's operational concession encompasses all lifecycle stages, thereby guaranteeing that economic and environmental dividends are equitably distributed among all stakeholders [4,7].

4.4. Participatory Validation Protocol

Adhering to the methodological foundations of Co-Creative Digital Twins [1], this study operationalizes a systematic, three-phase validation protocol designed to bridge the gap between technical simulation and socio-ecological realities.

The initial stage, Phase 1 (Co-Design Workshops), consists of structured, multi-stakeholder engagement sessions tailored to co-define Key Value Indicators (KVIs) across environmental, economic, and social dimensions. The primary empirical outputs of this phase encompass a prioritized metrics matrix, technical governance dashboard specifications, and localized economic incentive design parameters.

Subsequently, the architecture transitions into Phase 2 (Iterative DPT Simulation), wherein the cooperative dynamically tests diverse operational scenarios—including algorithmic route optimization, dynamic incentive adjustments, and decentralized contingency activations—directly within the digital twin environment. Continuous feedback loops from this phase directly inform real-time model refinement, calibrating the twin's predictive accuracy.

Finally, the protocol culminates in Phase 3 (Controlled Pilot Deployment), which executes a quasi-experimental deployment within the municipality of Progreso, benchmarking the intervention against an adjacent community acting as the control group. Methodological validation is achieved through empirical data triangulation, contrasting DPT predictive analytics against physical municipal scales, longitudinal citizen satisfaction surveys, and rigorous material flow audits.

4.5. Experimental Design and Evaluation Metrics

Key Performance Indicators

To operationalize the research hypotheses into a measurable framework for the Co-Creative Digital Process Twin (DPT) in Progreso, Hidalgo, four Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) are established. These metrics are designed to evaluate the socio-technical and economic efficacy of the system across the three pillars of sustainability:

1. Operational Cost Efficiency KPI (Economic Dimension)

Definition: This metric quantifies the percentage variance in waste collection and logistics costs following the implementation of DPT-enabled route optimization. Target: A minimum reduction of 20% compared to established municipal baseline protocols. Justification: Digital twin technology improves data-driven decision-making, allowing organizations to respond to changes and optimize resource management to increase profitability.

2. Source Separation Rate KPI (Behavioral and Environmental Dimension)

Definition: The percentage of households performing effective waste segregation (organic and recyclable) stimulated by positive reinforcement mechanisms and "eco-bonuses". Target: A net increase exceeding 30% within the first six months of the pilot launch. Measurement: This is tracked through DPT registers and digital transaction telemetry from user-generated tips and rewards.

3. Wage Equity and Formalization KPI (Social Dimension)

Definition: The level of income inequality among waste workers (pepenadores), calculated using the Gini coefficient within the new decentralized cooperative structure. Target: A reduction of more than 15% in income disparity relative to the pre-intervention baseline. Context: This KPI addresses the marginalization of informal actors by integrating them into the formal digital ecosystem through horizontal service-oriented architectures.

4. Trust and Legitimacy Index KPI (Institutional Dimension)

Definition: Citizen perception of the transparency, reliability, and quality of the municipal waste management ecosystem. Target: A mean increase of >1.0 point on a localized 5-point Likert scale after one year of continuous participatory operation. Verification: Data are collected through longitudinal surveys and georeferenced reports from community-driven platforms like Ushahidi. This platform will be used as a digital listening device

where stakeholders can interact to provide quantitative and qualitative information related to the generation and management of MSW.

By adopting these KPIs, the framework aligns with the "triple-bottom-line" (3P/ESG) approach (Table 1), ensuring that the circular economy transition is not merely a technical optimization but a socially equitable change.

Table 1. Operationalization of triple-bottom-line metrics.

Pillar	Quantitative Metric	Qualitative Metric	Data Source
Environmental	% waste diverted from landfill; kg CO2eq avoided	Perceived environmental improvement (survey)	DPT + municipal records + semiannual surveys
Economic	Revenue from recovered materials; operational cost reduction	Cooperative satisfaction with benefit distribution (interviews)	Cooperative accounting + focus groups
Social	Formalization rate of informal collectors	Trust index in ecosystem (Likert scale)	Membership registry + perception surveys

4.6. Data Collection and Analysis Plan

The empirical validation of the proposed socio-technical architecture follows a longitudinal, mixed-methods evaluation pipeline divided into four distinct phases.

The intervention initiates with a comprehensive baseline assessment (Month 0), which establishes municipal waste characterization profiles, maps baseline cooperative income distribution, and deploys a cross-sectional citizen perception survey ($n > 300$) to evaluate ex-ante institutional trust.

Following the baseline establishment, a process of continuous socio-technical monitoring captures operational dynamics in real time. This phase utilizes automated DPT logs to track volumetric flows, routing efficiencies, and predictive accuracy, alongside telemetry from digitized tipping transactions and georeferenced Ushahidi crowdsourcing reports integrated into a centralized dashboard.

Mid-term systemic shifts are captured during the midline evaluation (Month 6), which executes a quasi-experimental comparison against an adjacent control community. At this stage, a difference-in-differences (DiD) econometric analysis is deployed to isolate the specific causal impacts of the intervention on technical efficiency and behavioral separation dynamics (H1 and H2).

Finally, the evaluation pipeline culminates in a comprehensive end-line evaluation (Month 12) governed by a mixed-methods data triangulation framework. Quantitatively, multivariate regression models are estimated to formally test hypotheses H1 through H4, systematically controlling for confounding seasonal variations and localized socio-economic covariates. Qualitatively, a rigorous thematic analysis of semi-structured interview transcripts—gathered from cooperative members, municipal officials, and citizens—is conducted to contextualize and interpret the underlying causal mechanisms of institutional and behavioral change.

5. Conclusions

This study proposes a conceptual framework to operationalize a novel transition from conventional, material-centric circularity to an inclusive socio-technical paradigm through the deployment of a Co-Creative Digital Process Twin (DPT) framework. By integrating the core tenets of value co-creation and decentralized reverse logistics ("return engineering"), the proposed architecture suggests that the optimization of municipal solid waste (MSW) systems cannot be achieved through isolated technological in-

terventions alone. Instead, systemic efficiency requires the digital formalization and architectural empowerment of vulnerable stakeholders. The structural integration of open standards—such as FIWARE [51] and BPMN 2.0 [52]—with localized participatory governance models provides a robust blueprint to bridge the critical gap between advanced digital twin simulations and the grassroots socio-ecological dynamics of emerging waste management ecosystems.

The empirical application of this framework within the municipality of Progreso, Hidalgo, addresses deep-seated institutional and structural market failures. By re-engineering the traditional "waste extraction space" into a horizontal, service-oriented cooperative model, the system tackles the pervasive vulnerabilities historically endured by informal waste pickers (pepenadores). The longitudinal validation protocol leverages a decentralized digital ecosystem—underpinned by automated smart contracts and crowdsourced accountability loops—simultaneously optimizes material recovery, and triggers a profound equity shift.

Quantifiable reductions in waste management operational costs, coupled with a significant mitigation of localized income inequality, ensure that the triple-bottom-line objectives of ESG frameworks can be systematically achieved in low-resource municipal contexts.

Ultimately, the theoretical and empirical findings of this research establish that the transition to a circular economy depends fundamentally on the ongoing collaboration of all stakeholders, as well as the democratization of data and the alignment of behavioral incentives. The integration of participatory platforms like Ushahidi, along with biochemical recovery protocols (such as localized composting and anaerobic digestion), contributes to this environmental governance and waste collection planning. As municipal governments in Latin America and other emerging regions face acute environmental degradation and institutional gaps, this co-creative waste management planning paradigm could offer a rigorous, replicable, and regulatory-aligned framework for decoupling economic activity from environmental resource depletion while systematically improving social justice in the urban core.

Despite its structural and socio-technical contributions, this study presents specific limitations that delimit its scope for future research. Crucially, the empirical validation of the proposed co-creative DPT architecture is geographically and institutionally linked to a municipality with certain characteristics, which could limit its immediate generalization to larger metropolitan areas or regions with different management styles.

The framework hypothesizes that quantifiable reductions in waste management operational costs, coupled with the mitigation of localized income inequality, could demonstrate that the triple-bottom-line objectives of ESG frameworks can be systematically achieved in low-resource municipal contexts.

Furthermore, the operational stability of the decentralized smart contracts and real-time Ushahidi crowdsourcing loops is highly contingent upon baseline digital literacy and uninterrupted local telecommunications infrastructure—variables that remain volatile in low-resource environments. Consequently, future research paths should focus on scaling the framework through multi-municipal pilot deployments to rigorously evaluate its cross-border transferability and systemic resilience. Additionally, subsequent investigations must integrate advanced machine learning algorithms within the DPT core to predict seasonal fluctuation dynamics in organic waste generation, thereby refining the optimization of biochemical recovery pathways and strengthening long-term data governance protocols.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.A.C.-L. and S.G.C.P.; methodology, M.A.C.-L. and S.G.C.P.; software, A.A.C. and P.D.R.; validation, A.A.C., F.d.J.C.G., and M.Á.T.G.; formal analysis, M.Á.T.G.; investigation, P.D.R.; resources, M.Á.T.G. and S.T.C.; data curation, F.d.J.C.G.; writing—original draft preparation, S.G.C.P. and M.A.C.-L.; writing—review and editing, S.G.C.P.;

visualization, S.T.C.; supervision, M.A.C.-L.; project administration, M.A.C.-L.; funding acquisition, M.Á.T.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created or analyzed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Acknowledgments: We appreciate the willingness of the Municipal Government of Progreso de Obregón, Hidalgo, to collaborate on this study. Likewise, we thank the Polytechnic University of Pachuca for its administrative and financial support. During the preparation of this manuscript, the authors used Qwen3.7 Plus for the analysis of information. The authors have reviewed and edited the output and take full responsibility for the content of this publication.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. You, L.; Liu, M.; Zhu, B. Co-Creative Digital Twins: Circular and Sustainable Service De-sign in Smart Agriculture. In *IASDR 2025: Design Next*; Design Research Society: London, UK, 2025. [CrossRef]
2. Potting, J.; Hekkert, M.; Worrell, E.; Hanemaaijer, A. *Circular Economy: Measuring Innovation in the Product Chain. Policy Report*; PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency: Hague, The Netherlands, 2017. Available online: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319314335_Circular_Economy_Measuring_innovation_in_the_product_chain (accessed on 14 May 2026).
3. van Buren, N.; Demmers, M.; van der Heijden, R.; Witlox, F. Towards a circular econ-omy: The role of Dutch logistics industries and governments. *Sustainability* **2016**, *8*, 647. [CrossRef]
4. Hawkins, G. *The Ethics of Waste: How We Relate to Rubbish*; Rowman & Littlefield: Lanham, MD, USA, 2005.
5. Schlereth, T.J. Review of "Waste and Want: A Social History of Trash" by Susan Strasser. *Stud. Decor. Arts* **2002**, *9*, 136–139. [CrossRef]
6. Vasconcelos, L.T.; Silva, F.Z.; Ferreira, F.G.; Martinho, G.; Pires, A.; Ferreira, J.C. Collaborative process design for waste management: Co-constructing strategies with stakeholders. *Environ. Dev. Sustain.* **2022**, *24*, 9243–9259. [CrossRef]
7. Ansell, C.; Torfing, J. Co-creation: The new kid on the block in public governance. *Policy Politics* **2021**, *49*, 211–230. [CrossRef]
8. Fornari, F.; Compagnucci, I.; De Donato, M.C.; Bertrand, Y.; Beyel, H.H.; Carrión, E.; Franceschetti, M.; Groher, W.; Grüger, J.; Kilic, E.; et al. Digital Twins of Business Processes: A Research Manifesto. *Internet Things* **2025**, *30*, 101477. [CrossRef]
9. Dumas, M.; La Rosa, M.; Mendling, J.; Reijers, H.A. *Fundamentals of Business Process Management*, 2nd ed.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2013. [CrossRef]
10. Compagnucci, I.; Re, B.; Serral Asensio, E.; Snoeck, M. A Digital Process Twin Conceptual Architecture for What-If Process Analysis. In *Business Intelligence Week 2024*; LNBIP; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2025; Volume 537, pp. 1–16. Available online: https://conferences.big.tuwien.ac.at/biweek2024/pdfs/biweek2024_paper_142.pdf (accessed on 21 May 2026).
11. Attaran, M.; Celik, B.G. Digital Twin: Benefits, use cases, challenges, and opportunities. *Decis. Anal. J.* **2023**, *6*, 100165. [CrossRef]
12. Cámara de Diputados del H. Congrso de la Unión: Ley General de Economía Circular. Diario Oficial de la Federación, 19 de Enero de 2026. Available online: https://amia.org.mx/wp-content/uploads/2026/03/Boletin-AMIA-Num.-95.pdf (accessed on 28 April 2026).
13. Osterwalder, A.; Pigneur, Y. *Business Model Generation: A Handbook for Visionaries, Game Changers, and Challengers*; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2010.
14. Cosío-León, M.A.; Nieto-Hipólito, J.I.; Garibaldi-Beltrán, J.; Amaya-Parra, G.; Luque-Morales, P.; Magaña-Espinoza, P.; Aguilar-Velazco, J. Designing a Model of a Digital Ecosystem for Healthcare and Wellness Using the Business Model Canvas. *J. Med. Syst.* **2016**, *40*, 144. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
15. Tricco, A.C.; Lillie, E.; Zarin, W.; O'Brien, K.K.; Colquhoun, H.; Levac, D.; Moher, D.; Peters, M.D.J.; Horsley, T.; Weeks, L.; et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **2018**, *169*, 467–473. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
16. Geissdoerfer, M.; Savaget, P.; Bocken, N.M.P.; Hultink, E.J. The Circular Economy–A new sustainability paradigm? *J. Clean. Prod.* **2017**, *143*, 757–768. [CrossRef]
17. Scarpellini, S. Social impacts of a circular business model: An approach from a sustainability accounting and reporting perspective. *Corp. Soc. Responsib. Environ. Manag.* **2022**, *29*, 646–656. [CrossRef]

18. Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía (INEGI): Compendio de Información Geográfica Municipal 2020: Progreso de Obregón, Hidalgo. Clave Geoestadística 13050. INEGI, Aguascalientes. 2025. Available online: https://www.inegi.org.mx/contenidos/productos/prod_serv/contenidos/espanol/bvinegi/productos/nueva_estruc/889463914860.pdf (accessed on 2 June 2026).
19. Campana, P.; Censi, R.; Tarola, A.M.; Ruggieri, R. Artificial Intelligence and Digital Twins for Sustainable Waste Management: A Bibliometric and Thematic Review. *Appl. Sci.* **2025**, *15*, 6337. [CrossRef]
20. Eswaran, U.; Eswaran, V.; Murali, K.; Eswaran, V. Data analytics and visualization using digital twins. *Digit. Twins Smart Cities Villages* **2025**, 537–559. [CrossRef]
21. Mügge, J.; Seegrün, A.; Hoyer, T.-K.; Riedelsheimer, T.; Lindow, K. Digital Twins within the Circular Economy: Literature Review and Concept Presentation. *Sustainability* **2024**, *16*, 2748. [CrossRef]
22. Płoszaj-Mazurek, M.; Tofiluk, A. A workflow for LLM-assisted IFC data enrichment to support circular reuse of large-panel building systems in Poland. *J. Build. Eng.* **2026**, *120*, 115397. [CrossRef]
23. Seyyedi, S.R.; Kowsari, E.; Gheibi, M.; Chinnappan, A.; Ramakrishna, S. A comprehensive review integration of digitalization and circular economy in waste management by adopting artificial intelligence approaches: Towards a simulation model. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2024**, *460*, 142584. [CrossRef]
24. Anitha, R.; Parthiban, A. Smart waste ecosystems under industry 5.0: A framework integrating digital twins, edge-AI, graph theory, and 9R circularity. *Results Eng.* **2025**, *28*, 107988. [CrossRef]
25. Alvi, M.; Dutta, H.; Minerva, R.; Crespi, N.; Raza, S.M.; Herath, M. Global perspectives on digital twin smart cities: Innovations, challenges, and pathways to a sustainable urban future. *Sustain. Cities Soc.* **2025**, *126*, 106356. [CrossRef]
26. Schützenhofer, S.; Pibal, S.; Wieser, A.; Bosco, M.; Fellner, M.; Petrinas, V.; Kovačić, I. Digital Ecosystem to Enable Circular Buildings—the Circular Twin Framework Proposal. *J. Sustain. Dev. Energy Water Environ. Syst.* **2024**, *12*, 1120500. [CrossRef]
27. Xing, K.; Kim, K.P.; Ness, D. Cloud-BIM enabled cyber-physical data and service platforms for building component reuse. *Sustainability* **2020**, *12*, 10329. [CrossRef]
28. Cao, J.; Spulbar, C.; Eti, S.; Horobet, A.; Yüksel, S.; Dinçer, H. Innovative approaches to green digital twin technologies of sustainable smart cities using a novel hybrid decision-making system. *J. Innov. Knowl.* **2025**, *10*, 100651. [CrossRef]
29. Kou, G.; Dinçer, H.; Yüksel, S.; Deveci, M. Synergistic integration of digital twins and sustainable industrial internet of things for new generation energy investments. *J. Adv. Res.* **2024**, *66*, 39–46. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
30. Mangers, J.; Amne Elahi, M.; Plapper, P. Digital twin of end-of-life process-chains for a circular economy adapted product design—A case study on PET bottles. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2023**, *382*, 135287. [CrossRef]
31. Mügge, J.; Hahn, I.R.; Riedelsheimer, T.; Chatzis, J.; Boes, J. End-of-life decision support to enable circular economy in the automotive industry based on digital twin data. *Procedia CIRP* **2023**, *119*, 1071–1077. [CrossRef]
32. El Warraqi, L.; Negri, E.; Rosa, P.; Terzi, S. The role of Digital Twins in electronic devices lifecycle: Unlocking potential for Circular Economy practices. *Clean. Environ. Syst.* **2025**, *19*, 100374. [CrossRef]
33. Stephen, A.O.; Liu, C.; Xin, G. A smart AI–IoT–blockchain framework for sustainable coal gangue waste systems and circular resource recovery. *Clean. Waste Syst.* **2026**, *14*, 100497. [CrossRef]
34. Mendez, A.V.; de Jesús Lozoya-Santos, J.; Vargas, J.F.J.; Quinto, J.E.C.; Moreno, A.F.M.; Tudon-Martinez, J.C.; Felix-Herran, L.C. Community Based Cyber-Physical Systems for Urban Waste Management: A Socio-Technical Innovation Model in the Fenicia Urban Living Lab. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Communication, Computing, Networking, and Control in Cyber-Physical Systems, CCNCPS, Dubai, United Arab Emirates, 10–12 June 2025. [CrossRef]
35. Suhardono, S.; Fitria, L.; Prayogo, W.; Lee, C.-H.; Suryawan, I.W.K. Enhancing community engagement with digital twins: Technological adoption in marine debris management. *J. Urban Manag.* **2025**, *14*, 1141–1158. [CrossRef]
36. Zaland, A.; Rasheed, K.; Saad, S.; Ammad, S.; Rostami, A. Digital Twin and Circular Economy in Construction. In *Applications of Digital Twins and Robotics in the Construction Sector*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2025. Available online: https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105003338853 (accessed on 24 May 2026).
37. NOM-083-SEMARNAT-2003, Especificaciones de protección ambiental para la selección del sitio, diseño, construcción, operación, monitoreo, clausura y obras complementarias de un sitio de disposición final de residuos sólidos urbanos y de manejo especial. *Diario Oficial de la Federación*, 20 October 2004. Available online: https://www.profepa.gob.mx/innovaportal/file/1306/1/nom-083-semarnat-2003.pdf (accessed on 9 June 2026).
38. Gao, Y.; Yiu, T.W.; Shen, X.; Tam, V.W.Y. Life cycle insights into construction and demolition waste management: Past, present and emerging futures. *J. Build. Eng.* **2025**, *111*, 113441. [CrossRef]
39. Saxena, V. Enhancing EV battery lifecycle management: Robotic disassembly, design for disassembly, and sustainable solutions. *J. Energy Storage* **2025**, *119*, 116368. [CrossRef]
40. Hasan, H.R.; Salah, K.; Mayyas, A.; Musamih, A.; Yaqoob, I.; Omar, M.; Jayaraman, R. Using composable NFTs and blockchain for the creation of EV battery digital passports with sustainability and traceability features. *Sustain. Futures* **2025**, *10*, 100847. [CrossRef]

41. El Warraqi, L.; Perossa, D.; Rosa, P. Digital Twins for Waste Management in Manufacturing: Preliminary Investigation on Possible Uses. In *IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2025. [CrossRef]
42. Barbosa, J.M.V.; Gómez, O.D.C.; García, J.A.G. Digital Twin Application Methodology for the Improvement of Production and Service Systems. Application to Waste Management Processes. In *Trends in Sustainable Smart Cities and Territories*; Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2023. [CrossRef]
43. Preut, A.; Kopka, J.-P.; Clausen, U. Digital twins for the circular economy. *Sustainability* **2021**, *13*, 10467. [CrossRef]
44. Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía (INEGI): México en Cifras: Progreso de Obregón, Hidalgo. Clave Geoestadística 13050. INEGI, Aguascalientes. 2026. Available online: https://www.inegi.org.mx/app/areasgeograficas/#collapse-Indicadores (accessed on 27 May 2026).
45. Santos, C.V.; García, J.A.G. El papel de los pepenadores de materiales reciclables en la gestión de residuos sólidos: Los casos de Brasil y México. *Rev. Legis. Estud. Soc. Opinión Pública* **2019**, *12*, 87–114.
46. Terraza, H.; Sturzenegger, G. *Dinámicas de Organización de los Recicladores Informales: Tres Casos de Estudio en América Latina*; Banco Interamericano de Desarrollo (BID): Washington, DC, USA, 2010. [CrossRef]
47. Tagle Zamora, D.; Rodríguez González, J.A.; Delgado-Galván, X. Desigualdades de la economía circular en León, Guanajuato. Reflexiones desde la pepena. *Entreciencias Diálogos Soc. Conoc.* **2025**, *13*, 27. [CrossRef]
48. Ceballos Pérez, S.G.; Flores-Xolocotzi, R. Generation of municipal urban solid waste and its relationship with an indicator of municipal income in Mexico (years 2010 and 2015). *Rev. Cienc. Ambient.* **2023**, *57*, 17719. [CrossRef]
49. Akoka, J.; Comyn-Wattiau, I.; Prat, N.; Storey, V. Unraveling the foundations and the evolution of conceptual modeling—Intellectual structure, current themes, and trajectories. *Data Knowl. Eng.* **2024**, *154*, 102351. [CrossRef]
50. Flores-Xolocotzi, R.; Ceballos Pérez, S.G. La paradoja del crecimiento: Desarrollo, desigualdad y generación de residuos sólidos urbanos en México. *Expr. Económica. Rev. Análisis* **2026**, *57*, 1266. [CrossRef]
51. FIWARE. *FIWARE: A Standard Open Platform for Smart Cities*; FIWARE: Berlin, Germany, 2015. Available online: https://fiware.org/2015/03/25/fiware-a-standard-open-platform-for-smart-cities/ (accessed on 17 July 2026).
52. Geiger, M.; Harrer, S.; Lenhard, J.; Wirtz, G. BPMN 2.0: The state of support an d implementation. *Future Gener. Comput. Syst.* **2018**, *80*, 250–262. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.