

## Abstract

# Is There a Relationship between Biofilm Forming-Capacity and Antibiotic Resistance in *Staphylococcus* spp.? In Vitro Results <sup>†</sup>

Matthew Gavino Donadu <sup>1,2</sup>, Marco Ferrari <sup>2</sup>, Vittorio Mazzarello <sup>2</sup>, Stefania Zanetti <sup>2</sup>, Ivan Kushkevych <sup>3</sup>, Simon K.-M. R. Rittmann <sup>4</sup>, Anette Stájer <sup>5</sup>, Boglárka Ónodi <sup>5</sup>, Zoltán Baráth <sup>6</sup>, Edit Urbán <sup>7</sup> and Mórió Gajdács <sup>8,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Hospital Pharmacy, Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria di Sassari, 07100 Sassari, Italy; mdonadu@uniss.it

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Sassari, Sassari, 07100 Sassari, Italy; dr.marcoferrari@gmail.com (M.F.); vmazza@uniss.it (V.M.); zanettis@uniss.it (S.Z.)

<sup>3</sup> Department of Experimental Biology, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, 62500 Brno, Czech Republic; kushkevych@mail.muni.cz

<sup>4</sup> Department of Functional and Evolutionary Ecology, Archaea Physiology & Biotechnology Group, Universität Wien, 1090 Wien, Austria; simon.rittmann@univie.ac.at

<sup>5</sup> Department of Periodontology, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Szeged, Tisza Lajos körút 62–64., 6720 Szeged, Hungary; stajer.anette@stoma.szote.u-szeged.hu (A.S.); onodibogi0802@gmail.com (B.Ó.)

<sup>6</sup> Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Szeged, Tisza Lajos körút 62–64., 6720 Szeged, Hungary; barath.zoltan@stoma.szote.u-szeged.hu

<sup>7</sup> Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, University of Pécs Medical School, Szigeti út 12., 7624 Pécs, Hungary; urban.edit@pte.hu

<sup>8</sup> Department of Oral Biology and Experimental Dental Research, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Szeged, Tisza Lajos körút 62–64., 6720 Szeged, Hungary

\* Correspondence: mariopharma92@gmail.com or gajdacs.mario@stoma.szote.u-szeged.hu

<sup>†</sup> Presented at the 2nd International Electronic Conference on Antibiotics—Drugs for Superbugs: Antibiotic Discovery, Modes of Action and Mechanisms of Resistance, 15–30 June 2022; Available online:

<https://eca2022.sciforum.net/>.



**Citation:** Donadu, M.G.; Ferrari, M.; Mazzarello, V.; Zanetti, S.; Kushkevych, I.; Rittmann, S.K.-M.R.; Stájer, A.; Ónodi, B.; Baráth, Z.; Urbán, E.; et al. Is There a Relationship between Biofilm Forming-Capacity and Antibiotic Resistance in *Staphylococcus* spp.? In Vitro Results. *Med. Sci. Forum* **2022**, *12*, 29. <https://doi.org/10.3390/eca2022-12734>

Academic Editor: Manuel Simões

Published: 15 June 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

**Abstract:** *Staphylococcus* species are considered important members of the normal skin microbiota, in addition to being common pathogens in human and animal infections. In addition to *S. aureus*, other members of the genus are now widely-recognized as pathogens, especially in immunocompromised individuals. One of the most important virulence factors of staphylococci is the formation of biofilm (slime), which enhances their survival on inanimate surfaces, in addition to providing protection against immune cells and antibiotics *in vivo*. There has been considerable interest in the study of the relationship between biofilm formation and the antibiotic resistant phenotype, however, the results in the available literature are inconsistent. Thus, this study aims to investigate the correlation between biofilm formation and antibiotic resistance in *Staphylococcus* spp. isolates using phenotypic methods. One hundred and eighty ( $n = 180$ ) isolates were included in the study, with *S. epidermidis* (40.0%) and *S. lugdunensis* (10.0%) being the most numerous. Biofilm-forming capacity was assessed by means of the crystal violet microtiter-plate-based (CV-MTP) method. Methicillin-resistance (MR) was identified in 47.2% ( $n = 85$ ) of isolates. Among the commonly-used antimicrobials, resistance was highest for clindamycin (51.1%), erythromycin (48.9%) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (51.1%). Based on the CV-MTP method,  $n = 13$  (7.2%),  $n = 13$  (7.2%),  $n = 42$  (23.3%) and  $n = 113$  (62.3%) staphylococcal isolates were non-biofilm-producing, weak, moderate and strong biofilm producers, respectively. No significant differences in biofilm-formation were shown to be observed on the basis of MR (susceptible (S):  $0.881 \pm 0.309$  vs. resistant (R):  $0.890 \pm 0.347$ ;  $p = 0.133$ ) and according to the resistance, to most other antibiotics. Rifampin-resistant isolates were more potent biofilm-producers than their susceptible counterparts (S:  $0.802 \pm 0.296$  vs. R:  $1.194 \pm 0.221$ ;  $p = 0.024$ ). The association of the antibiotic-resistant phenotype and biofilm-formation is still inconclusive, due to the heterogeneity of the results in presently available studies; however, the understanding of these mechanisms in *Staphylococcus* spp. is crucial to appropriately address the therapy and eradication of these pathogens.

**Keywords:** Congo red agar; crystal violet; microtiter plate assay; biofilm-formation; *Staphylococcus aureus*; non-aureus staphylococci; methicillin-resistance; multidrug resistance; MDR; phenotypic assay

---

**Supplementary Materials:** The presentation material can be downloaded at: <https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/eca2022-12734/s1>.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization: M.G.D. and M.G.; Methodology: M.G., M.G.D., M.F. and E.U.; Formal analysis: M.G.D., M.G., M.F., B.Ó. and S.Z.; Investigation: M.F., M.G.D., I.K., S.K.-M.R.R. and M.G.; Resources: Z.B., A.S., V.M. and S.Z.; Supervision: S.Z., E.U.; Project administration: V.M. and M.G.; Funding acquisition: V.M., Z.B. and A.S.; Writing—original draft: M.G.; Writing—review and editing: M.G.D., E.U., I.K., S.K.-M.R.R. and M.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** M.G. was supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship (BO/00144/20/5) of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. The research was supported by the New National Excellence Program of the Ministry for Innovation and Technology from the source of the National Research Development and Innovation Fund (M.G.: ÚNKP-22-5-SZTE-107; Ó.B.: ÚNKP-22-2-SZTE-102). M.G. would also like to acknowledge the support of ESCMID's "30 under 30" Award. The APC was kindly funded by MDPI.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.