

Proceeding Paper

# Exploring the Antiradical Potential of Species from Lamiaceae Family: Implications for Functional Food Development in the Context of Neurodegenerative and Neuropsychiatric Diseases <sup>†</sup>

Ana Campinho <sup>1</sup>, Joana Alves <sup>1</sup>, Rosário Martins <sup>2,3</sup> , Mónica Vieira <sup>4,5</sup> , Clara Grosso <sup>1,\*</sup>  
and Cristina Delerue-Matos <sup>1</sup> 

- <sup>1</sup> REQUIMTE/LAQV, Instituto Superior de Engenharia do Porto, Instituto Politécnico do Porto, Rua Dr. António Bernardino de Almeida, 431, 4249-015 Porto, Portugal; 1210048@isep.ipp.pt (A.C.); 10200666@ess.ipp.pt (J.A.); cmm@isep.ipp.pt (C.D.-M.)
- <sup>2</sup> CIIMAR/CIMAR—Interdisciplinary Centre of Marine and Environmental Research, University of Porto, Terminal de Cruzeiros do Porto de Leixões, Av. General Norton de Matos s/n, 4450-208 Matosinhos, Portugal; mrm@ess.ipp.pt
- <sup>3</sup> Health and Environment Research Centre, School of Health, Polytechnic Institute of Porto, Rua Dr. António Bernardino de Almeida, 400, 4200-072 Porto, Portugal
- <sup>4</sup> Ciências Químicas e das Biomoléculas, Escola Superior de Saúde, Instituto Politécnico do Porto, Rua Dr. António Bernardino de Almeida 400, 4200-072 Porto, Portugal; mav@ess.ipp.pt
- <sup>5</sup> TBIO—Centro de Investigação em Saúde Translacional e Biotecnologia Médica, Escola Superior de Saúde, Instituto Politécnico do Porto, Rua Dr. António Bernardino de Almeida 400, 4200-072 Porto, Portugal
- \* Correspondence: claragrosso@graq.isep.ipp.pt
- <sup>†</sup> Presented at the 4th International Electronic Conference on Foods, 15–30 October 2023; Available online: <https://foods2023.sciforum.net/>.

**Abstract:** Neurodegenerative and neuropsychiatric diseases have become highly significant in Western societies. Unfortunately, these diseases currently lack a cure, and existing treatments merely manage the symptoms. Thus, it is imperative to explore new alternatives for either preventing these disorders or treating them effectively. One promising avenue for prevention lies in the development of neuroprotective and antioxidant functional foods. To this end, a study focused on ten species from the Lamiaceae family, which have attracted attention due to their well-known antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-obesity, and anti-cancer properties, among others. The interest in their pharmacological applications has grown significantly in recent years. In order to uncover the biological potential of these species, the study involved performing decoctions and evaluating both the total phenolic content (TPC) and antiradical activity. The results revealed that TPC values ranged from  $59.97 \pm 6.18$  (*Ocimum basilicum* L. var *minimum*) to  $374.0 \pm 16.9$  (*Salvia officinalis* L.) mg gallic acid equivalents (GAE)/g of dry extract (dw). Additionally, the IC<sub>50</sub> values for DPPH• and ABTS•<sup>+</sup> scavenging activities varied between  $21.55 \pm 1.18$  (*Origanum vulgare* L.) and  $132.0 \pm 15.3$  µg/mL (*O. basilicum* var *minimum*), and from  $14.79 \pm 0.50$  (*O. vulgare*) to  $44.65 \pm 2.34$  µg/mL (*O. basilicum*), respectively. The observed strong antiradical activity holds great promise for the future development of functional foods aimed at combating the oxidative stress implicated in these diseases and promoting overall brain health. By harnessing the potential of these species from Lamiaceae family, we may pave the way for innovative approaches to tackle neurodegenerative and neuropsychiatric conditions.

**Keywords:** Lamiaceae family; brain disorders; functional foods; oxidative stress



**Citation:** Campinho, A.; Alves, J.; Martins, R.; Vieira, M.; Grosso, C.; Delerue-Matos, C. Exploring the Antiradical Potential of Species from Lamiaceae Family: Implications for Functional Food Development in the Context of Neurodegenerative and Neuropsychiatric Diseases. *Biol. Life Sci. Forum* **2023**, *26*, 33. <https://doi.org/10.3390/Foods2023-15493>

Academic Editor: Manuel Viuda-Martos

Published: 30 October 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Oxidative stress is characterized by the imbalance between reactive oxygen species (ROS) and antioxidant defense mechanisms, and is a major contributor to the pathogenesis

of several disorders [1], including cardiovascular diseases [2], diabetes [3], neurodegenerative and neuropsychiatric diseases [4,5], and cancer [6]. Consumption of nutraceuticals and functional foods rich in antioxidants is a suitable strategy to delay the progression of these chronic disorders, since dietary supplementation will boost the antioxidant status of the body, enabling the reduction in the production of oxidative stress biomarkers [7]. Antioxidants are, therefore, highly demanded for nutraceutical and functional food products by product development companies. Compared with synthetic antioxidants, such as butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) and butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA), natural antioxidants offer great advantages since they are considered safer and are also economically and easily available. They can be extracted from different natural matrices, such as edible vegetables, aromatic plants, fruits, seeds, agri-food by-products, algae, etc. [8,9].

The Lamiaceae family, commonly known as the mint family, is composed of 236 genera and around 6900 to 7200 species, with a worldwide distribution [10]. Several species are known for their pharmacological potential, that results from a wide range of secondary metabolites produced, mainly flavonoids, phenolic acids, and terpenoids [10]. Amongst the bioactive properties reported, their antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, and neuroprotective properties are the most studied ones [10,11].

The aim of this study was to valorize 10 Lamiaceae species belonging to the *Lavandula*, *Mentha*, *Ocimum*, *Origanum*, *Rosmarinus*, *Salvia* and *Thymus* genera, by assessing their antioxidant activity and possible utilization in the design of functional food products for neurodegeneration and neuropsychiatric prevention.

## 2. Material and Methods

### 2.1. Plant Species

Ten different species from the Lamiaceae family were purchased from an herbal store (Ervanário Portuense, Portugal, <https://www.ervanarioportuense.pt>, accessed on 01 March 2023); namely, *Lavandula angustifolia* Miller (Lot 10.ALF.109.22.02), *Mentha piperita* L. (Lot 07.HOR.51102.21.11S), *Mentha pulegium* L. (Lot 02.POE.117J.22.2S), *Ocimum basilicum* L. (Lot 10.BAS.109.21.03), *Ocimum basilicum* var. *minimum* L. (Lot 10.MNJ.660.14.1C), *Origanum majorana* L. (Lot 1402TR), *Origanum vulgare* L. (Lot 11.ORE.1078.20.01), *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. (Lot 11.ALE.117.22.01), *Salvia officinalis* L. (Lot 1922ALS), and *Thymus vulgaris* L. (Lot 12.TOM.117.22.01). All plant materials were powdered to a mean particle size of < 1000 µm and stored at room temperature before use.

### 2.2. Extraction Procedure

Extracts were prepared by boiling 0.5 g of each powdered plant material in 125 mL of water for 10 min. After this step, the extracts were filtered and lyophilized.

### 2.3. Determination of Total Phenolic Compounds (TPC)

A spectrophotometric assay based on the Folin–Ciocalteu reagent [12] was employed to determine the TPC values of each extract, and calibration curves were performed using gallic acid. The formation of the blue complex was monitored at 760 nm in a microplate reader (Synergy HT, Biotek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA). Results were expressed as mg gallic acid equivalents (GAE)/g of extract dried weight (dw). Three independent assays were performed.

### 2.4. Antiradical Activity

The antiradical capacity was assessed against two radicals, namely, DPPH• and ABTS•+, according to well-established procedures [12]. Absorbances were monitored in a microplate reader (Synergy HT, Biotek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA) at 517 and 734 nm, respectively. Each sample was tested in triplicate and the results are expressed as IC<sub>50</sub> values.

### 2.5. Statistical Analysis

For both TPC values and antiradical activities, samples were compared using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Tukey's test. *p*-values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. A Pearson correlation between TPC and bioactivities was also performed. All statistical analyses were carried out with GraphPad Prism, version 8.0.1.

### 3. Results and Discussion

Table 1 displays the TPC values determined for the ten decoctions tested, ranging from 59.97 to 374.0 mg GAE/g extract dw. Among all samples, *S. officinalis* stood out for its highest content of phenolic compounds (374.0 mg GAE/g extract dw), followed by *R. officinalis* (195.1 mg GAE/g extract dw), the *Mentha* species (188.9 and 140.4 mg GAE/g extract dw) and the *Origanum* species (156.6 and 118.6 mg GAE/g extract dw). Brezoiu et al. [13] reported a TPC value for the *S. officinalis* hydroethanolic (ethanol/water = 4/1 *v/v*) extract that was lower than the one shown in Table 1 (181.11 mg GAE/g extract dw), which may be related to the different solvent used for the extraction procedure. Indeed, Schnitzler et al. [14] compared different extraction solvents when extracting phenolic compounds from *S. officinalis*, and concluded that water achieved better results than all the tested ethanol–water mixtures. Concerning the *Mentha* species, the TPC values of 17.00 mg GAE/g dw (for *M. pulegium*) and 31.40 mg GAE/g dw (for *M. piperita*) were obtained for 80% aqueous methanolic extracts [15], while, for an aqueous extract of *M. piperita*, the value recorded was 230.8 mg GAE/g [16]. Yan et al. [17] determined the TPC values for 42 *O. vulgare* samples, belonging to five subspecies from an oregano plant collection of the German National Genebank, and obtained values between 79.5 mg GAE/g dw and 147.3 mg GAE/g dw for their 80% (*v/v*) hydromethanolic ultrasound-assisted extracts. The results obtained for *O. majorana* are better than those previously reported for an aqueous extract (9.2 mg GAE/g) [18], while the ones found for *R. officinalis* are in agreement with those described before [19].

**Table 1.** Total phenolic content (TPC), and DPPH• and ABTS•+ scavenging activities of the ten decoctions.

Species	TPC (mg GAE/g dw)	DPPH• Scavenging Activity (IC <sub>50</sub> , µg/mL)	ABTS•+ Scavenging Activity (IC <sub>50</sub> , µg/mL)
<i>Lavandula angustifolia</i> Miller	94.97 ± 11.82 <sup>e</sup>	42.66 ± 0.98 <sup>c,d</sup>	36.36 ± 1.71 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Mentha piperita</i> L.	188.9 ± 6.5 <sup>b</sup>	34.52 ± 3.76 <sup>c,d</sup>	28.17 ± 2.52 <sup>c</sup>
<i>Mentha pulegium</i> L.	140.4 ± 4.1 <sup>c,d</sup>	43.31 ± 1.90 <sup>c</sup>	25.15 ± 2.74 <sup>c</sup>
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L.	68.32 ± 8.92 <sup>e,f</sup>	40.41 ± 1.57 <sup>c,d</sup>	44.65 ± 2.34 <sup>a</sup>
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> var <i>minimum</i> L.	59.97 ± 6.18 <sup>f</sup>	132.0 ± 15.3 <sup>a</sup>	37.45 ± 1.12 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Origanum majorana</i> L.	118.6 ± 14.4 <sup>d,e</sup>	54.71 ± 17.13 <sup>b</sup>	24.83 ± 0.80 <sup>c</sup>
<i>Origanum vulgare</i> L.	156.6 ± 9.2 <sup>c</sup>	21.55 ± 1.18 <sup>d</sup>	14.79 ± 0.50 <sup>d</sup>
<i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i> L.	195.1 ± 18.3 <sup>b</sup>	25.78 ± 1.13 <sup>c,d</sup>	19.06 ± 0.57 <sup>d</sup>
<i>Salvia officinalis</i> L.	374.0 ± 16.9 <sup>a</sup>	29.64 ± 1.71 <sup>c,d</sup>	28.04 ± 0.39 <sup>c</sup>
<i>Thymus vulgaris</i> L.	70.96 ± 4.24 <sup>e,f</sup>	43.77 ± 0.36 <sup>c</sup>	28.50 ± 0.91 <sup>c</sup>

Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation of three assays (n = 3). In each column, different superscript letters mean statistically significant differences, at *p* < 0.05.

Concerning DPPH• scavenging activity, all plant extracts were active, displaying IC<sub>50</sub> values in the range of 21.55 µg/mL (*O. vulgare*) and 132.0 µg/mL (*O. basilicum* var. *minimum*), with the order of potency being as follows: *O. vulgare* ≈ *R. officinalis* ≈ *S. officinalis* ≈ *M. piperita* ≈ *O. basilicum* ≈ *L. angustifolia* ≈ *M. pulegium* ≈ *T. vulgaris* > *O. majorana* > *O. basilicum* var. *minimum*. Except for the study published by Dorman et al. [16], in which the reported IC<sub>50</sub> values of the aqueous extracts against DPPH• were higher (e.g.,

335.0 µg/mL for *O. vulgare*, 236.5 µg/mL for *R. officinalis*, 265.8 µg/mL for *S. officinalis*, 382.4 µg/mL for *T. vulgaris*, and c.a. 150 µg/mL for *M. piperita*, all the values reported by other authors for aqueous and hydroethanolic extracts are in the same range as those presented in Table 1 [15,18,20–24].

The strongest ABTS<sup>•+</sup> scavenging activity was observed for *O. vulgare* and *R. officinalis*, followed by *O. majorana* ≈ *M. pulegium* ≈ *S. officinalis* ≈ *M. piperita* ≈ *T. vulgaris* > *L. angustifolia* > *O. basilicum* var *minimum* ≈ *O. basilicum* (Table 1), and the obtained IC<sub>50</sub> values are in the same range of those determined by other authors. Mapeka et al. [25] tested different extracts of Lamiaceae species, and the IC<sub>50</sub> values were as follows: *O. majorana* (IC<sub>50</sub> = 5.79 µg/mL), *R. officinalis* (IC<sub>50</sub> = 10.56 µg/mL), *S. officinalis* (IC<sub>50</sub> = 17.18 µg/mL), *M. piperita* (IC<sub>50</sub> = 19.96 µg/mL), *T. vulgaris* (IC<sub>50</sub> = 27.48 µg/mL), and *O. basilicum* (IC<sub>50</sub> = 53.54 µg/mL).

The correlation analysis between TPC and the antiradical activity data showed that there is not a strong correlation between chemical composition and bioactivities ( $r = -0.454$  between TPC and DPPH<sup>•</sup> scavenging activity,  $r = 0.451$  between TPC and ABTS<sup>•+</sup> scavenging activity, and  $r = -0.400$  between both antiradical activities), meaning that other classes of compounds may also contribute to the overall activity.

#### 4. Conclusions

In this study, 10 decoctions prepared from Lamiaceae species were evaluated for their potential antiradical activity. All extracts displayed strong activity, holding great promise for the future development of functional foods designed to combat the oxidative stress implicated in chronic disorders, such as neurodegenerative and/or neuropsychiatric diseases.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, R.M., M.V., C.G., and C.D.-M.; methodology, R.M., M.V., C.G., and C.D.-M.; validation, R.M., M.V., C.G., and C.D.-M.; formal analysis, R.M., M.V., C.G., and C.D.-M.; investigation, A.C. and J.A.; resources, R.M. and C.D.-M.; writing—original draft preparation, A.C. and J.A.; writing—review and editing, A.C., J.A., R.M., M.V., C.G., and C.D.-M.; visualization, A.C., J.A., R.M., M.V., C.G., and C.D.-M.; supervision, R.M., M.V., C.G., and C.D.-M.; funding acquisition, R.M. and C.D.-M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by projects REQUIMTE/LAQV—UIDB/50006/2020, UIDP/50006/2020, and LA/P/0008/2020 financed by FCT/Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia e Ensino Superior (MCTES), through national funds.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

1. Sharifi-Rad, M.; Anil Kumar, N.V.; Zucca, P.; Varoni, E.M.; Dini, L.; Panzarini, E.; Rajkovic, J.; Tsouh Fokou, P.V.; Azzini, E.; Peluso, I.; et al. Lifestyle, Oxidative Stress, and Antioxidants: Back and Forth in the Pathophysiology of Chronic Diseases. *Front. Physiol.* **2020**, *11*, 694. [CrossRef]
2. Petrucci, G.; Rizzi, A.; Hatem, D.; Tosti, G.; Rocca, B.; Pitocco, D. Role of Oxidative Stress in the Pathogenesis of Atherothrombotic Diseases. *Antioxidants* **2022**, *11*, 1408. [CrossRef]
3. Bhatti, J.S.; Sehrawat, A.; Mishra, J.; Sidhu, I.S.; Navik, U.; Khullar, N.; Kumar, S.; Bhatti, G.K.; Reddy, P.H. Oxidative stress in the pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes and related complications: Current therapeutics strategies and future perspectives. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **2022**, *184*, 114–134. [CrossRef]
4. Jiang, T.; Sun, Q.; Chen, S. Oxidative stress: A major pathogenesis and potential therapeutic target of antioxidative agents in Parkinson's disease and Alzheimer's disease. *Prog. Neurobiol.* **2016**, *147*, 1–19. [CrossRef]
5. Bhatt, S.; Nagappa, A.N.; Patil, C.R. Role of oxidative stress in depression. *Drug Discov. Today* **2020**, *25*, 1270–1276. [CrossRef]
6. Hayes, J.D.; Dinkova-Kostova, A.T.; Tew, K.D. Oxidative Stress in Cancer. *Cancer Cell* **2020**, *38*, 167–197. [CrossRef]

7. Meulmeester, F.L.; Luo, J.; Martens, L.G.; Mills, K.; van Heemst, D.; Noordam, R. Antioxidant Supplementation in Oxidative Stress-Related Diseases: What Have We Learned from Studies on Alpha-Tocopherol? *Antioxidants* **2022**, *11*, 2322. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Xu, D.-P.; Li, Y.; Meng, X.; Zhou, T.; Zhou, Y.; Zheng, J.; Zhang, J.-J.; Li, H.-B. Natural Antioxidants in Foods and Medicinal Plants: Extraction, Assessment and Resources. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2017**, *18*, 96. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Lourenço, S.C.; Moldão-Martins, M.; Alves, V.D. Antioxidants of Natural Plant Origins: From Sources to Food Industry Applications. *Molecules* **2019**, *24*, 4132. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Marchioni, I.; Najar, B.; Ruffoni, B.; Copetta, A.; Pistelli, L.; Pistelli, L. Bioactive Compounds and Aroma Profile of Some Lamiaceae Edible Flowers. *Plants* **2020**, *9*, 691. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Gürbüz, P.; Martinez, A.; Pérez, C.; Martínez-González, L.; Göger, F.; Ayran, İ. Potential anti-Alzheimer effects of selected Lamiaceae plants through polypharmacology on glycogen synthase kinase-3 $\beta$ ,  $\beta$ -secretase, and casein kinase 1 $\delta$ . *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2019**, *138*, 111431. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Gomes, I.; Rodrigues, H.; Rodrigues, C.; Marques, M.; Paíga, P.; Paiva, A.; Simões, P.; Fernandes, V.C.; Vieira, M.; Delerue-Matos, C.; et al. Evaluation of the Biological Potential of *Himantalia elongata* (L.) S.F.Gray and *Eisenia bicyclis* (Kjellman) Setchell Subcritical Water Extracts. *Foods* **2022**, *11*, 746. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Brezoiu, A.-M.; Prundeanu, M.; Berger, D.; Deaconu, M.; Matei, C.; Oprea, O.; Vasile, E.; Negreanu-Pirjol, T.; Muntean, D.; Danciu, C. Properties of *Salvia officinalis* L. and *Thymus serpyllum* L. Extracts Free and Embedded into Mesopores of Silica and Titania Nanomaterials. *Nanomaterials* **2020**, *10*, 820. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Schnitzler, P.; Nolkemper, S.; Stintzing, F.C.; Reichling, J. Comparative in vitro study on the anti-herpetic effect of phytochemically characterized aqueous and ethanolic extracts of *Salvia officinalis* grown at two different locations. *Phytomedicine* **2008**, *15*, 62–70. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Benabdallah, A.; Rahmoune, C.; Boumendjel, M.; Aissi, O.; Messaoud, C. Total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of six wild *Mentha* species (Lamiaceae) from northeast of Algeria. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Biomed.* **2016**, *6*, 760–766. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Dorman, H.J.D.; Koşar, M.; Kahlos, K.; Holm, Y.; Hiltunen, R. Antioxidant Properties and Composition of Aqueous Extracts from *Mentha* Species, Hybrids, Varieties, and Cultivars. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2003**, *51*, 4563–4569. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Yan, F.; Azizi, A.; Janke, S.; Schwarz, M.; Zeller, S.; Honermeier, B. Antioxidant capacity variation in the oregano (*Origanum vulgare* L.) collection of the German National Genebank. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2016**, *92*, 19–25. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Méabed, E.M.H.; El- Sayed, N.M.; Abou-Sreea, A.I.B.; Roby, M.H.H. Chemical analysis of aqueous extracts of *Origanum majorana* and *Foeniculum vulgare* and their efficacy on *Blastocystis* spp. cysts. *Phytomedicine* **2018**, *43*, 158–163. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Jafari, S.Z.; Jafarian, S.; Hojjati, M.; Najafian, L. Evaluation of antioxidant activity of nano- and microencapsulated rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L.) leaves extract in cress (*Lepidium sativum*) and basil (*Ocimum basilicum*) seed gums for enhancing oxidative stability of sunflower oil. *Food Sci. Nutr.* **2022**, *10*, 2111–2119. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Soheili, M.; Salami, M. *Lavandula angustifolia* biological characteristics: An in vitro study. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **2019**, *234*, 16424–16430. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Kaurinovic, B.; Popovic, M.; Vlaisavljevic, S.; Trivic, S. Antioxidant Capacity of *Ocimum basilicum* L. and *Origanum vulgare* L. Extracts. *Molecules* **2011**, *16*, 7401–7414. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
22. Salem, M.A.; Radwan, R.A.; Mostafa, E.S.; Alseekh, S.; Fernie, A.R.; Ezzat, S.M. Using an UPLC/MS-based untargeted metabolomics approach for assessing the antioxidant capacity and anti-aging potential of selected herbs. *RSC Adv.* **2020**, *10*, 31511–31524. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Vieira, S.F.; Ferreira, H.; Neves, N.M. Antioxidant and Anti-Inflammatory Activities of Cytocompatible *Salvia officinalis* Extracts: A Comparison between Traditional and Soxhlet Extraction. *Antioxidants* **2020**, *9*, 1157. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Gedikoğlu, A.; Sökmen, M.; Çivit, A. Evaluation of *Thymus vulgaris* and *Thymbra spicata* essential oils and plant extracts for chemical composition, antioxidant, and antimicrobial properties. *Food Sci. Nutr.* **2019**, *7*, 1704–1714. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Mapeka, T.M.; Sandasi, M.; Viljoen, A.M.; van Vuuren, S.F. Optimization of Antioxidant Synergy in a Polyherbal Combination by Experimental Design. *Molecules* **2022**, *27*, 4196. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

**Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.