



Proceeding Paper **Temporal Variation of PM₁ on the Campus of the University of Patras, Greece**⁺

Aristeidis Bloutsos ^{1,2,*} and Panayotis Yannopoulos ¹

- ¹ Environmental Engineering Laboratory, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Patras, 26504 Patras, Greece; p.c.yannopoulos@upatras.gr
- ² Hydraulics and Geotechnical Engineering Division, Department of Civil Engineering, University of West Attica, 12241 Athens, Greece
- * Correspondence: arblouts@upatras.gr
- [†] Presented at the 16th International Conference on Meteorology, Climatology and Atmospheric Physics—COMECAP 2023, Athens, Greece, 25–29 September 2023.

Abstract: Several scientific studies reveal that particulate matter that is smaller than 1 μ m (PM₁) represents the main hazard for the cardiorespiratory pathological status of the population. The present study deals with the presentation of the long-term continuous measurements of PM₁ in the atmospheric environment of the University of Patras Campus (UPC) at Rion. The 1 h mean concentrations of PM₁ were recorded and presented in this study, covering a seven-year period (2012–2018) in a suburban area of Patras, with background characteristics. The results indicated that PM₁ levels were quite low, with significant differences between cold and warm periods. However, they did not show significant variations. This project aimed to identify and assess UPC air quality. Our findings may contribute to useful PM₁ concentration patterns based on the long-term recorded data.

Keywords: air pollution; air quality; PM1; suburban; diurnal; weekly; annual; hourly; ultrafine

1. Introduction

Previous epidemiological studies have focused on the adverse effects of PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter $\leq 10 \ \mu m$ and $\leq 2.5 \ \mu m$, respectively). Increased concentrations of PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ are associated with respiratory and cardiovascular diseases [1]. These adverse effects lead to increased incidences of hospitalization and mortality [2–4]. PM_1 (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter $\leq 1 \ \mu m$ — ultrafine particles) is a predominant component of $PM_{2.5}$ [5], although their physicochemical properties are different. While $PM_{2.5}$ can penetrate the lower respiratory system, PM_1 is even smaller, having the ability to diffuse more deeply, depositing in the alveoli [6]. Thus, PM size is negatively correlated to its adverse effects [7,8].

During the last decades, several campaigns have been conducted to characterize the air quality regarding PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ worldwide [9] and in Greece [10]. However, there are limited systematic or continuous experimental campaigns for PM_1 [11–14]. In addition, ultrafine particles have not been regulated in Europe or the United States as there is a significant lack of relative data [15].

The present study considered the temporal presentation of PM_1 concentrations measured continuously by the Environmental Engineering Laboratory (EEL) of the Civil Engineering Department from September 2012 to December 2018. These concentrations are comparable to other stations in Patras of limited campaign duration.

2. Materials and Methods

EEL conducted several air quality monitoring programs for gaseous pollutants and airborne particulates in the major areas of Patras (downtown and UPC) [16]. In April 2012,



Citation: Bloutsos, A.; Yannopoulos, P. Temporal Variation of PM₁ on the Campus of the University of Patras, Greece. *Environ. Sci. Proc.* **2023**, *26*, 19. https://doi.org/10.3390/ environsciproc2023026019

Academic Editors: Konstantinos Moustris and Panagiotis Nastos

Published: 23 August 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). a new fixed air pollution monitoring station started operating in continuous mode at the UPC under the responsibility of EEL.

2.1. Study Area

The University of Patras has been installed in UPC since 1968. UPC includes an area of 2.66 km² at the foot of Panachaicon Mountain, 8 km NNE of the Patras center S of Rion Village, and approximately 3 km NW of the coastline. Nowadays, UPC includes more than 30 major building blocks, many secondary buildings in various sizes, and for different purposes, having a total area of more than 260,000 m². Details about the location and characteristics of the surrounding area are given by [17].

The station (Geographical Longitude: 21°47′22″, Geographical Latitude: 38°17′22″, Altitude: 60.6 m) is located at the western parking lot of the Building of the Department of Civil Engineering (Figure 1). The inclination of the ground surface of this area is 4–5% toward NW. Apart from asphalt-covered streets and parking lots, the major area consists of natural soil with low vegetation, bushes, and olive trees. The nearest building to the EEL station is the three-storey building of the Civil Engineering Department, which is about 15 m W away, while the other buildings or obstacles are even further away. Due to the topographical characteristics, the ventilation of the area around the station takes place mainly from the NW, N, ENE, and SSE directions. The UPC area is characterized as suburban with background concentration characteristics [18].



Figure 1. Map of the major area of Patras.

2.2. Data

The EEL Station is equipped, among others [17], with an automatic analyzer (model Grimm 180) of particulate matter (PM_{10} , $PM_{2.5}$, and PM_1) based on the 90° scattering light measurement principle. The specific sampler is certified (TÜV CERT) and the factory calibrations were maintained for the measurement to follow relative European regulation (EN 12341/EN 14907). A flow and a zero check were performed every month to ensure the reliable operation of the device. The continuous monitoring campaign started on 7 September 2012 and lasted until 19 December 2018. The analyzer recorded data every five minutes. In addition, meteorological data are available from the meteorological station installed on the roof of the EEL Station chamber.

2.3. Methodology

The 5 min PM₁ data are used to calculate the mean hourly PM₁ concentrations. It must be noted that a 1 h record is constructed when its completeness is more than 67% (i.e., more than eight 5 min records during an hour). Thus, hourly values that did not meet the above criterion were excluded from further analysis. Therefore, the overall dataset completeness was 85.6% for the monitoring period, while the degree of completeness achieved for the period 2012–2018 was 76.8%. Hereafter, the completeness will refer to the worst-case duration of 2012–2018 (1 January 2012–31 December 2018). Based on mean hourly values, the diurnal, monthly, weekly, and yearly PM₁ variations were obtained.

3. Results and Discussion

During the monitoring period, the mean hourly PM₁ concentrations at UPC ranged from 0.1 to 106.4 μ g m⁻³, with an average value of 7.4 ± 51.4 μ g m⁻³ and a median of 6.4 μ g m⁻³. These findings are comparable to corresponding average values of 8.6 μ g m⁻³ [19] and 7.7 μ g m⁻³ [11] from a monitoring station nearby to EEL's station referring to much shorter monitoring campaigns. The 98% percentile was estimated to be equal to 21.4 μ g m⁻³. The completeness of the dataset was 76.8%. During the cold period (October–March) of the monitoring period, the mean hourly PM₁ concentrations at UPC ranged from 0.1 to 106.4 μ g m⁻³, with an average value of 8.0 ± 6.2 μ g m⁻³ and a median of 6.6 μ g m⁻³. The 98% percentile was estimated to be equal to 24.3 μ g m⁻³. The completeness of the corresponding 2012–2018 dataset was 76.4%. During the warm period (April–September) of the monitoring period, the mean hourly PM₁ concentrations at UPC ranged from 0.3 to 61.5 μ g m⁻³, with an average value of 6.9 ± 3.7 μ g m⁻³ and a median of 6.3 μ g m⁻³. The 98% percentile was estimated to be equal to 16.3 μ g m⁻³. Regarding the warm period, the completeness of the dataset was 77.3%.

The diurnal variations of PM_1 during the whole period and the warm and cold periods are shown in Figure 2. During 2012–2018, the hourly mean values ranged from 6.6 to $8.7 \,\mu g \,m^{-3}$, while the PM₁ ranges during the cold and warm periods were 6.2–10.2 $\mu g \,m^{-3}$ and 5.6–7.6 μ g m⁻³, respectively. Regarding the diurnal cycle, PM₁ concentrations had an average value of 7.4 \pm 0.6 μ g m⁻³ during the study period, 8.0 \pm 1.2 μ g m⁻³ during the cold periods and 6.9 \pm 0.7 μ g m⁻³ during the warm periods. The variation of hourly mean values was more significant for the values of the cold period than for the warm period values. For all the cases, a significant variation occurred after 09:00. Regarding the cold-period variations during 2012–2018, two peak values appeared: one during the morning hours 11:00–12:00, and another one during the evening hours 18:00–21:00. The evening peak was higher than the morning peak. Regarding the warm period, one peak value appeared during 20:00-22:00. During 00:00-09:00, although the concentration values varied insignificantly, the PM₁ concentrations during the cold period were lower than the corresponding values during the warm period. The above-discussed patterns indicate that traffic and human activities have a significant impact on PM1 concentrations. Additionally, central heating and/or wood burning during the cold periods, respectively, explain the peaks observed.



Figure 2. Diurnal variation of PM_1 mean concentration values at the UPC monitoring station during the cold period and warm periods of 2012–2018.

Figure 3 shows the monthly variation of PM₁ concentrations during 2012–2018. PM₁ monthly concentrations ranged from 6.1 to 9.9 μ g m⁻³ with an average value of 7.5 ± 1.1 μ g m⁻³. Higher values were recorded during the months of November–April (7.6–9.9 μ g m⁻³), while a significant decay was observed during May to October (6.1–7.2 μ g m⁻³). Similar PM₁ concentrations were reported by [19] at another station near EEL's.

The weekly variations of PM₁ during the whole period and warm and cold periods are shown in Figure 4. Weekly PM₁ concentrations had an average value of $7.4 \pm 0.4 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ during the study period, and $8.0 \pm 0.5 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ and $6.9 \pm 0.3 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ during cold and warm periods, respectively. The weekly mean values ranged from 6.9 to 7.9 $\ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ during 2012–2018, while the corresponding ranges were 7.4–8.9 $\ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ and 6.4–7.2 $\ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ during cold and warm periods, respectively. The variation of PM₁ during the week was rather

small. The variation was more significant during the cold period where a slight increase appeared during the weekend.



Figure 3. Monthly variation of PM₁ mean concentration values at the UPC monitoring station from 2012 to 2018.



Figure 4. Weekly variation of PM₁ mean concentration values at the UPC monitoring station during the cold period and warm periods of 2012–2018.

In Figure 5, PM_1 annual average concentrations from hourly values are presented. Yearly PM₁ concentrations had an average value of 7.6 \pm 1.3 µg m⁻³ during the study period, $8.1 \pm 1.3 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ during cold periods and $7.1 \pm 1.4 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ during warm periods. The annual PM₁ concentrations ranged from 5.7 to 9.1 μ g m⁻³ during 2012–2018. PM₁ annual concentrations ranged from 6.3 to 9.6 μ g m⁻³ and 5.2 to 9.1 μ g m⁻³, regarding the cold periods and warm periods, respectively. The annual variation of PM₁ concentrations was rather stable through the period 2012–2015, while there was a significant decay during 2016–2018. PM₁ concentrations were higher during cold periods than warm periods. As neither EPA [20] nor EEA [21] have prescribed air quality standards for PM_1 , nor has the WHO [22] recommended any guidelines, comparison was carried out by estimating an equivalent $PM_{2.5}$ or PM_{10} concentration value, based on $PM_1/PM_{2.5}$ and/or PM_1/PM_{10} ratios mentioned in bibliography. Gaidajis et al. [23] reported $PM_1/PM_{2.5} = 0.89-0.98$ and $PM_{2.5}/PM_{10} = 0.84-0.85$ for cold periods. Thus, equivalent PM_{10} concentrations ranged from 6.4–10.8 μ g m⁻³. These values are similar to recorded values at UPC [24–26] and are lower than the limit values of EEA (20 μ g m⁻³) and EPA (12 μ g m⁻³). Equivalent PM₁₀ concentrations were estimated using $PM_{2.5}/PM_{10}$ [23] and $PM_{2.5}/PM_{10} = 0.74 \pm 0.13$ [25]. Thus, equivalent PM₁₀ concentrations ranged from 8.7–14.6 μ g m⁻³. These values are similar to recorded values at UPC [25,26] and are lower than the limit values of EEA (40 μ g m⁻³) and AQG of WHO (15 μ g m⁻³). Similarly, equivalent PM₁₀ concentrations were estimated using $PM_1/PM_{10} = 0.48-0.91$ [27]. Thus, equivalent PM_{10} concentrations ranged from $6.3-19.0 \,\mu g \,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$. These values are similar to recorded values at UPC [25,26] and are lower than the limit values of EEA (40 μ g m⁻³) and the maximum value slightly exceeds the AQG of WHO (15 μ g m⁻³).



Figure 5. Annual variation of PM_1 mean concentration values at the UPC monitoring station during the cold period and warm periods of 2012–2018.

Figure 6 shows PM_1 concentrations with respect to the wind direction at the EEL's site. Wind directions, which are mainly in the N, NE, and ESE-SE sectors, are representative of the predominant wind directions at EEL. Figure 6 reveals that the most significant dependence of PM_1 concentrations on wind direction is the N, SE, and NW sectors during the cold period and SE during the warm period.



Figure 6. Hourly mean PM₁ concentrations for each wind direction sector at the EEL monitoring station of UPC during the cold period and warm periods of 2012–2018.

4. Conclusions

PM₁ concentrations were recorded continuously at the University of Patras Campus from September 2012 to December 2018. PM₁ concentrations were higher during cold period, showing that central heating and/or wood burning mainly affect the air quality. The mean hourly PM₁ levels of the cold period were up to 17% lower than the PM₁ levels of the warm period during 01:00–08:00, while the PM₁ concentrations of the cold period were up to 58% higher than the PM₁ concentrations of the warm period during 08:00–01:00. It seems that the weekly variation of PM₁ values was rather stable without having been affected by seasonality. The monthly variation showed that seasonality affected PM₁ concentrations as PM₁ levels increased during the cold period. There was a significant decay of the annual concentrations, though these concentrations were quite low, less than 10 μ g m⁻³. The equivalent PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ concentrations can be characterized as background values.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.B. and P.Y.; methodology, A.B. and P.Y.; formal analysis, A.B. and P.Y.; resources, P.Y.; data curation, A.B. and P.Y.; writing—original draft preparation, A.B. and P.Y.; writing—review and editing, A.B. and P.Y.; visualization, A.B. and P.Y.; supervision, P.Y. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the authors upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Fasola, S.; Maio, S.; Baldacci, S.; La Grutta, S.; Ferrante, G.; Forastiere, F.; Stafoggia, M.; Gariazzo, C.; Viegi, G.; on behalf of the BEEP Collaborative Group. Effects of Particulate Matter on the Incidence of Respiratory Diseases in the Pisan Longitudinal Study. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2020, 17, 2540. [CrossRef]
- Carugno, M.; Dentali, F.; Mathieu, G.; Fontanella, A.; Mariani, J.; Bordini, L.; Milani, G.P.; Consonni, D.; Bonzini, M.; Bollati, V.; et al. PM10 exposure is associated with increased hospitalizations for respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis among infants in Lombardy, Italy. *Environ. Res.* 2018, 166, 452–457. [CrossRef]
- Delamater, P.L.; Finley, A.O.; Banerjee, S. An analysis of asthma hospitalizations, air pollution, and weather conditions in Los Angeles County, California. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2012, 425, 110–118. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Janssen, N.A.H.; Fischer, P.; Marra, M.; Ameling, C.; Cassee, F.R. Short-term effects of PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5-10} on daily mortality in the Netherlands. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2013**, *463*, 20–26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Koulouri, E.; Grivas, G.; Gerasopoulos, E.; Chaloulakou, A.; Mihalopoulos, N.; Spyrellis, N. Study of size-segregated particle (PM₁, PM₂. 5, PM₁₀) concentrations over Greece. *Glob. Nest J.* 2008, *10*, 132–139.
- Yang, M.; Chu, C.; Bloom, M.S.; Li, S.; Chen, G.; Heinrich, J.; Markevychd, I.; Knibbsf, L.D.; Bowattee, G.; Dharmagee, S.C.; et al. Is smaller worse? New insights about associations of PM1 and respiratory health in children and adolescents. *Environ. Int.* 2018, 120, 516–524. [CrossRef]
- Valavanidis, A.; Fiotakis, K.; Vlachogianni, T. Airborne particulate matter and human health: Toxicological assessment and importance of size and composition of particles for oxidative damage and carcinogenic mechanisms. J. Environ. Sci. Health C Environ. Carcinog. Ecotoxicol. Rev. 2008, 26, 339–362. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, X.; Xu, Z.; Su, H.; Ho, H.C.; Song, Y.; Zheng, H.; Hossain, M.Z.; Khan, M.A.; Bogale, D.; Zhang, H.; et al. Ambient particulate matter (PM₁, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀) and childhood pneumonia: The smaller particle, the greater short-term impact? *Sci. Total Environ.* 2021, 772, 145509. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Europe's Air Quality Monitoring Network. Available online: https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/explore-interactivemaps/up-to-date-air-quality-data (accessed on 1 May 2023).
- 10. National Air Pollution Monitoring Network (NAPMN). Available online: https://ypen.gov.gr/perivallon/poiotita-tisatmosfairas/ (accessed on 1 May 2023).
- Kostenidou, E.; Florou, K.; Kaltsonoudis, C.; Tsiflikiotou, M.; Vratolis, S.; Eleftheriadis, K.; Pandis, S.N. Sources and chemical characterization of organic aerosol during the summer in the eastern Mediterranean. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2015, *15*, 11355–11371. [CrossRef]
- Florou, K.; Papanastasiou, D.K.; Pikridas, M.; Kaltsonoudis, C.; Louvaris, E.; Gkatzelis, G.I.; Patoulias, D.; Mihalopoulos, N.; Pandis, S.N. The contribution of wood burning and other pollution sources to wintertime organic aerosol levels in two Greek cities. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2017, 17, 3145–3163. [CrossRef]
- Pateraki, S.; Fameli, K.-M.; Assimakopoulos, V.; Bougiatioti, A.; Maggos, T.; Mihalopoulos, N. Levels, Sources and Health Risk of PM_{2.5} and PM₁-Bound PAHs across the Greater Athens Area: The Role of the Type of Environment and the Meteorology. *Atmosphere* 2019, 10, 622. [CrossRef]
- Pateraki, S.; Asimakopoulos, D.N.; Maggos, T.; Assimakopoulos, V.D.; Bougiatioti, A.; Bairachtari, K.; Vasilakos, C.; Mihalopoulos, N. Chemical characterization, sources and potential health risk of PM_{2.5} and PM₁ pollution across the Greater Athens Area. *Chemosphere* 2020, 241, 125026. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Squizzato, S.; Masiol, M.; Agostini, C.; Visin, F.; Formenton, G.; Harrison, R.M.; Rampazzo, G. Factors, origin and sources affecting PM1 concentrations and composition at an urban background site. *Atmos. Res.* **2016**, *180*, 262–273. [CrossRef]
- 16. Yannopoulos, P.C. Long-term assessment of airborne particulate concentrations in Patras, Greece. *Fresenius Environ. Bull.* **2008**, 17, 608–616.
- 17. Yannopoulos, P.C.; Bloutsos, A.A. Air Pollution in the University of Patras Campus, Greece. Monitoring Details and Data Evaluation for the Period 2012–2013; LAP Lambert Academic Publishing: London, UK, 2014; pp. 1–64.
- Bloutsos, A.A.; Yannopoulos, P.C. NO_x and CO levels in the Mediterranean major Patras area, Greece. *Environ. Prog. Sustain. Energy* 2023, 42, e14001. [CrossRef]
- 19. Manousakas, M.I.; Florou, K.; Pandis, S.N. Source Apportionment of Fine Organic and Inorganic Atmospheric Aerosol in an Urban Background Area in Greece. *Atmosphere* **2020**, *11*, 330. [CrossRef]
- Environmental Protection Agency US (USEPA). Available online: https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants/naaqs-table (accessed on 1 May 2023).
- European Environmental Agency (EEA). Available online: https://www.eea.europa.eu/themes/air/air-quality-concentrations/ air-quality-standards (accessed on 1 May 2023).
- WHO Global Air Quality Guidelines. Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀), Ozone, Nitrogen Dioxide, Sulfur Dioxide and Carbon Monoxide; Worlds Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2021; pp. 1–300.
- Gaidajis, G.; Angelakoglou, K.; Aktsoglou, D. Wintertime particulate mass concentrations in urban environment and the impact of economic crisis. J. Environ. Sci. Health—Part A Toxic/Hazard. Subst. Environ. Eng. 2014, 49, 1653–1660. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Glavas, S.D.; Nikolakis, P.; Ambatzoglou, D.; Mihalopoulos, N. Factors affecting the seasonal variation of mass and ionic composition of PM_{2.5} at a central Mediterranean coastal site. *Atmos. Environ.* 2008, 42, 5365–5373. [CrossRef]
- Bloutsos, A.A.; Yannopoulos, P.C. Monitoring Particulate Pollution in the University of Patras Campus, Greece. In Perspectives on Atmospheric Sciences; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2017; pp. 861–867.
- Bloutsos, A.A. Preliminary Results of Particulate Pollution at the University of Patras, Greece. In Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Environmental Design (ICED2020), Athens, Greece, 24–25 October 2020.
- Theodosi, C.; Grivas, G.; Zarmpas, P.; Chaloulakou, A.; Mihalopoulos, N. Mass and chemical composition of size-segregated aerosols (PM₁, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀) over Athens, Greece: Local versus regional sources. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2011, *11*, 11895–11911. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.