



# Proceeding Paper Simulations of Tesla Valve Micromixer for Water Purification with Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanoparticles <sup>†</sup>

Christos Liosis <sup>1</sup>, George Sofiadis <sup>2</sup>, Evangelos Karvelas <sup>3</sup>, Theodoros Karakasidis <sup>3</sup>, \* and Ioannis Sarris <sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, University of Thessaly, 38334 Volos, Greece
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Thessaly, 38334 Volos, Greece
- <sup>3</sup> Condensed Matter Physics Lab, Department of Physics, University of Thessaly, 35100 Lamias, Greece
  - Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of West Attica, 12243 Athens, Greece

Correspondence: thkarak@uth.gr; Tel.: +30-223-106-0280

+ Presented at the International Conference EWaS5, Naples, Italy, 12–15 July 2022.

**Abstract:** Heavy metals can contaminate water through both natural processes and anthropogenic activities. Unlike organic contaminants, heavy metals are toxic, not biodegradable, and possess the ability to accumulate in organisms. Effective mixing between contaminated water and nanoparticles is of great importance in various purification applications of microfluidics, especially when heavy metals are involved. In these terms, a series of simulations were performed to succeed in an effective mixing of iron oxide nanoparticles in the duct. The selected geometry for the simulations was the Tesla valve which was used as a micromixer. In the present work, a stream loaded with nanoparticles and a stream with contaminated water are numerically studied for various inlet velocity ratios of the two streams. Better mixing is achieved, compared with relative works, under  $V_p/V_c = 10$ , for an inlet rate of the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles per second equal to 1000.

Keywords: heavy metals; Tesla valve; nanoparticles; micromixers; Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>; OpenFoam; water treatment

## 1. Introduction

The combined properties of heavy metals, i.e., non-biodegradable, unmetabolized, or decomposed, and their ability to accumulate in environmental systems make them extremely dangerous for human health [1]. Moreover, heavy metals are categorized as essential (Zn, Cu, Fe, and Co) and nonessential (Cd, Hg, As, and Cr). This classification is based on their toxicity, for example, even at low concentrations essential heavy metals are harmless, unlike nonessential metals, which are highly toxic [2]. Several studies investigate the synthesis of nanoparticles and adsorption properties of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic nanoparticles for the removal of heavy metal ions. Chang and Chen [3] found that the adsorption equilibrium time was 1 min for monodisperse Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic nanoparticles with a mean diameter size of 13.5 nm. In addition to synthesis of nanoparticles, several parameters affect the adsorption efficiency of heavy metals, such as pH, contact time, temperature, adsorbent dose, and initial ion concentration [2].

Modern water flow simulations explore novel mechanisms occurring at the nanoscale for water purification [4]. The main purpose of this numerical study was to achieve the optimum mixing between streams of nanoparticles and contaminated water, where the heavy metal could be captured by nanoparticles through chemical reactions under various initial conditions [2]. Passive micromixing systems are defined through virtue of their geometry and any natural flow features that arise [5]. Generally, passive micromixers [1] are more reliable in comparison to active micromixers [6], mostly due to a reduction in moving parts. In micromixers, the flow rates and the regime of the fluids are significantly low and laminar, respectively. The mixing of the fluids is mainly dependent on diffusion with a very low mixing efficiency [7].



Citation: Liosis, C.; Sofiadis, G.; Karvelas, E.; Karakasidis, T.; Sarris, I. Simulations of Tesla Valve Micromixer for Water Purification with Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Nanoparticles. *Environ. Sci. Proc.* 2022, 21, 82. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/environsciproc2022021082

Academic Editors: Vasilis Kanakoudis, Maurizio Giugni, Evangelos Keramaris and Francesco De Paola

Published: 7 December 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Many variations of Tesla's geometries which were used either as valves [8,9] or as micromixers [10] have been investigated during the last years. The main factors affecting the mixing efficiency are the Reynolds number (Re) and geometric parameters [11]. In previous studies, the Reynolds number varied from 0.05 to 100 [10,11], while the mixing efficiency reached up to 96.47% for Re = 52.5 and eight Tesla units [12]. The geometric parameters of existing micromixers show a high variety of lengths and contact angles ( $\theta^{\circ}$ ). It should be noted that Tesla valves can be used as forward or inverse flow micromixers.

In the present study, a Tesla valve was used as a passive micromixer where a heavymetal-contaminated water stream and a freshwater stream loaded with nanoparticles are inserted in a microfluidic duct with variable inlet velocity ratios. The novelty of this work is that discrete methods are used in order to simulate the nanoparticle trajectories inside the single Tesla's valve geometry. Numerical simulations were performed for the study of the effect of inflow on the particle distribution in the duct. The methodology for water flow and particle motion simulation is described in Section 2. The results of the mixing performance are discussed in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. Finally, the most important conclusions are summarized in Section 5.

### 2. Materials and Methods

The slow water flow in the micromixer duct is expected to be laminar and steady state. The inlet of the micromixer is a squared cross section with height and width of  $W = H = 10^{-4}$  m. The angle  $\theta = 30^{\circ}$  and the length ratio of  $L_1/L_2 = 2$  were selected from an existing Tesla structure [12]. The two water streams enter the micromixer from different inlets (with the same dimensions), are mixed, and then leave the domain from the common outlet as is shown in Figure 1. Additionally, the physical and mechanical properties of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> have an impact on interactions and thus they were numerically embedded in the simulations. The values of these properties found from the literature correspond to a density equal to 5180 kg/m<sup>3</sup> [13], Poisson's ratio equal to 0.31, and Young's modulus  $200 \times 10^9$  Pa [14].



Figure 1. Micromixer geometry and nanoparticles: heavy metals inlet and outlet flow directions.

The incompressible Navier–Stokes equations are solved in the Eulerian frame, for the pressure p and velocity u, together with a model for the discrete motion of particles in a Lagrangian frame. Due to microfluidic duct size, nanoscale effects such as wall interference on fluid properties and transport properties [15] are suppressed. Governing equations of the fluid phase are given by [1]:

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla u = -\nabla p + v \nabla^2 u \tag{2}$$

where *t* is time and *v* the kinematic viscosity of the water. The motion equations of each single particle in the discrete frame are based on the Newton law and may read as follows:

$$m_i \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = F_{nc,i} + F_{tc,i} + F_{drag,i} + F_{grav,i}$$
(3)

$$I_i \frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial t} = M_{drag,i} + M_{con,i} \tag{4}$$

where the index *i* stands for the *i*th-particle and diameters  $d_i$ ,  $u_i$ , and  $\omega_i$  are its transversal and rotational velocities, respectively, and  $m_i$  is its mass. The mass moment of inertia matrix is  $I_i$  and the terms  $\partial u_i / \partial t$  and  $\partial \omega_i / \partial t$  correspond to the linear and angular accelerations, respectively.  $F_{nc,i}$  and  $F_{tc,i}$  are the normal and tangential contact forces, respectively.  $F_{drag,i}$ stands for the hydrodynamic drag force, and  $F_{grav,i}$  is the total force due to buoyancy.  $M_{drag,i}$ and  $M_{con,i}$  are the drag and contact moments, respectively.

The Reynolds number (*Re*) is defined as:

$$Re = \frac{\rho VD}{\mu} = \frac{VD}{v} \tag{5}$$

where  $\rho = 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3$  is the density of the fluid,  $\mu$  is the fluid dynamic viscosity coefficient, while  $\nu = 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$  is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid. *D* is a characteristic linear dimension that is equal to the hydraulic diameter (*D<sub>h</sub>*); for a square inlet duct *D<sub>h</sub>* = *W* = *H* =  $10^{-4}$  m. Finally, *V* is the maximum velocity that is developed inside the duct. *Re* was found to be 0.63 and 0.1 for the V<sub>p</sub>/V<sub>c</sub> = 10 and V<sub>p</sub>/V<sub>c</sub> = 1, respectively, in the present work.

Mixing efficiency (*n*) of the Tesla micromixer is defined as [16]:

$$n = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_C^2}{\sigma_{max}^2}} = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1}\sum_{i=1}^N (C_i - \overline{C})^2}}{\overline{C}(1 - \overline{C})}$$
(6)

where  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_{max}$  are the standard deviation and the maximum deviation, respectively. *N* is the number of sampling points and N - 1 is given by applying Bessel's correction.  $C_i$  is the point concentration and  $\overline{C}$  is the mean concentration from sampling points.

The OpenFoam platform is used for the calculation of the flow field and the uncoupled equations of particle motion [17,18]. The simulation process reads as follows: initially, the fluid flow is found using the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations and the pressure correction method. Upon finding the flow field, pressure and velocity, the motion of particles is evaluated by the Lagrangian method. The equations are evolved in time by Euler's time marching method. An unstructured computational grid composed of 62,899 (tetrahedra) cells is used here as shown in Figure 2, which is adequate for the low Reynolds number of the flow. Details of the numerical models, force, and moment terms used on equations may be found in [19,20].



Figure 2. Single Tesla micromixer mesh.

### 3. Results

A series of simulations were performed with different velocity ratios of the contaminated water ( $V_c$ ) and the nanoparticle solution ( $V_p$ ) streams for optimum mixing. Simulation parameters as well as the boundary conditions are presented in Table 1. Initially, the examination of the inlet velocity ratio occurs as shown in Figure 3a,b, which represents the velocity field inside the micromixer for the  $V_p/V_c = 10$  and  $V_p/V_c = 1$ , respectively. It is clear that for the higher velocity ratio the velocity field is decreased inside the duct.

 Table 1. Simulation parameters.

Inlet, outlet dimensions of geometry	Height (H) = Width (W) = $1 \times 10^{-4}$ m	
Diameter of nanoparticles	13.5 nm	
Nanoparticles per second	500 and 1000	
Boundary conditions	Velocity (U) (m/s)	Pressure (p) (pa)
Contaminated water-heavy metals (V <sub>c</sub> )	0.0005, 0.00005	zero gradient
Nanoparticles (V <sub>p</sub> )	0.0005	zero gradient
Outlet	zero gradient	0
Walls	0	zero gradient



**Figure 3.** Velocity field into Tesla geometry for (a)  $V_p/V_c = 10$ ; (b)  $V_p/V_c = 1$ .

The first outcomes (before mathematical analysis) of the investigation for optimum mixing under various inlet velocities ratios of the micromixer are presented in Figure 4a,b. The rate of nanoparticles remains constant for the entire simulation. In Figure 4a,b, we provide 500 Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles per second at the upper half inlet of the micromixer. Under  $V_p/V_c = 10$  (4a), a satisfying distribution is observed at the begging of the micromixer. In addition, the upper part (loop) of the micromixer is full of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles. Near the common outlet, a very satisfying mixing is observed for all inlet rates of nanoparticles. It should be noticed that in the present simulations only one Tesla valve is used compared with previous works. However, under  $V_p/V_c = 1$  (4b), no mixing is observed inside the whole length of the micromixer. Hence, there is no need for further investigation of the case study  $V_p/V_c = 1$ .

Nanoparticle concentrations were calculated for N samples near the exit of the duct. The quantification of the results show that mixing efficiency increased with the increase in the inlet rate of  $Fe_3O_4$  nanoparticles. When the rate was equal to 500  $Fe_3O_4$  nanoparticles per second, the mixing efficiency was 46.5%, while for 1000  $Fe_3O_4$  nanoparticles per second, the mixing efficiency was 52.8%.



**Figure 4.** Particle distribution for the provided rate equal to 500 nanoparticles per second in the micromixer under (**a**)  $V_p/V_c = 10$ ; (**b**)  $V_p/V_c = 1$ .

The distribution of nanoparticles is visualized in Figure 5 through a cross section of the micromixer near the exit. An inlet rate equal to 500/s nanoparticles was determined in all regions of the micromixer (Figure 5a). The majority of nanoparticles are localized in the middle layers of the micromixer. At the bottom of the micromixer, the concentration is minimized. Additionally, for rates equal to 1000/s, the nanoparticles also exist in all regions and seem to be more distributed across the micromixer (Figure 5b). Moreover, it is encouraging that for both inlet ratio cases, the second layer (from bottom) has a high concentration. This may be a counterbalance for the first layer where the concentration is at a minimum.



**Figure 5.** Concentration (mg/mL) for  $V_p/V_c = 10$  and under rates of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles equal to (a) 500/s; (b) 1000/s for N samples.

#### 4. Discussion

To summarize the existing results, mixing is not achieved for all the cases with  $V_p/V_c = 1$ under all the selected rates of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles. As the  $V_p/V_c$  increases, the nanoparticles are spread to almost the full height of the micromixer as shown in Figure 3a. The results of the estimation of the mixing index in Equation (6) show that for an inlet rate equal to 500 Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles per second, the mixing efficiency was 46.5%, while for 1000 Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles per second, the mixing efficiency was 52.8%. Hence, mixing efficiency seems to have a correlation with the inlet rates of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles. Additionally, the inlet velocity ratio seems to be crucial for the mixing efficiency of micromixers, since for the simplest geometries [19] with or [1] without an external magnetic field, the factor  $V_p/V_c$ dominates over other factors such as radius ratio and frequency of the magnetic field.

Moreover, comparing with previous works where a Tesla valve was used as a micromixer, our results seem encouraging. Weng found 26.10% [12] for a single Tesla micromixer which is half of the present work. It should be noted that the present geometry is based on Weng. Additionally, our mixing efficiency for 1000 Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles per second (52.8%) is comparable with Weng (51.93%) after the second Tesla valve. Hence, the selected initial conditions in the present work result in successful mixing with fewer Tesla units. Additionally, for an inverse type micromixer, Wang found mixing efficiency equal to 45.7% [10] with the first Tesla unit.

#### 5. Conclusions

In the present work, Tesla's valve geometry was used as a micromixer. In order to succeed in creating a uniform distribution of  $Fe_3O_4$  nanoparticles inside the micromixer, various inlet velocity ratios were investigated, while forward flow was selected. The results from simulations show that as the velocity is equal to  $V_p/V_c = 10$ , the nanoparticles are spread uniformly across the length of the micromixer and occupy a large percent of the height of the micromixer near the common exit. The lower boundary of the velocity ratio is found where an effective mix is achieved. Hence, the next concern is to determine the upper boundary of the velocity ratio. Above the upper boundary, the velocity ratio will not intensively affect the mixing efficiency. The initial rates of nanoparticles seem to have a secondary role to mixing efficiency. Moreover, further investigation of mixing performance is needed either for reverse flow or adding Tesla's valves in a series according to the bibliography. In addition, an external magnetic field for further investigation of mixing enhancement will be embedded to expand this simplified model.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, E.K.; methodology, E.K. and G.S.; software, C.L.; validation, C.L. and I.S.; formal analysis, C.L.; investigation, E.K.; resources, T.K.; data curation, T.K.; writing—original draft preparation, C.L.; writing—review and editing, I.S.; visualization, G.S.; supervision, T.K.; project administration, T.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** Evangelos Karvelas and Theodoros Karakasidis acknowledge partial support of this work by the project "ParICT\_CENG: Enhancing ICT research infrastructure in Central Greece to enable processing of big data from sensor stream, multimedia content, and complex mathematical modeling and simulations" (MIS 5047244) which is implemented under the action "Reinforcement of the Research and Innovation Infrastructure", funded by the Operational Programme "Competitiveness, Entrepreneurship and Innovation" (NSRF 2014-2020) and co-financed by Greece and the European Union (European Regional Development Fund).

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to the Greek Research & Technology Network (GRNET) for the use of computational time granted in the National HPC facility ARIS. Evangelos Karvelas's work is part of his post-doctoral project in the Department of Physics of the University of Thessaly, Greece.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Karvelas, E.; Liosis, C.; Karakasidis, T.; Sarris, I. Mixing of Particles in Micromixers under Different Angles and Velocities of the Incoming Water. *Proceedings* 2018, 2, 577. [CrossRef]
- Liosis, C.; Papadopoulou, A.; Karvelas, E.; Karakasidis, T.E.; Sarris, I.E. Heavy Metal Adsorption Using Magnetic Nanoparticles for Water Purification: A Critical Review. *Materials* 2021, 14, 7500. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chang, Y.-C.; Chen, D.-H. Preparation and Adsorption Properties of Monodisperse Chitosan-Bound Fe3O4 Magnetic Nanoparticles for Removal of Cu(II) Ions. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2005, 283, 446–451. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Sofos, F.; Karakasidis, T.E.; Spetsiotis, D. Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Ion Separation in Nano-Channel Water Flows Using an Electric Field. *Mol. Simul.* **2019**, *45*, 1395–1402. [CrossRef]
- Green, J.; Holdø, A.; Khan, A. A Review of Passive and Active Mixing Systems in Microfluidic Devices. *Int. J. Multiphys.* 2007, 1, 1–32. [CrossRef]
- Liosis, C.; Karvelas, E.G.; Karakasidis, T.; Sarris, I.E. Numerical Study of Magnetic Particles Mixing in Waste Water under an External Magnetic Field. J. Water Supply Res. Technol. 2020, 69, 266–275. [CrossRef]
- 7. Cai, G.; Xue, L.; Zhang, H.; Lin, J. A Review on Micromixers. *Micromachines* 2017, 8, 274. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Anagnostopoulos, J.S.; Mathioulakis, D.S. Numerical Simulation and Hydrodynamic Design Optimization of a Tesla-Type Valve for Micropumps. In Proceedings of the 3rd IASME/WSEAS International Conference Fluid Dynamic Aerodynamic, Corfu, Greece, 20–22 August 2005; pp. 195–201.
- 9. Fairley, J.D.; Thompson, S.M.; Anderson, D. Time-Frequency Analysis of Flat-Plate Oscillating Heat Pipes. *Int. J. Therm. Sci.* 2015, 91, 113–124. [CrossRef]
- 10. Wang, C.T.; Chen, Y.M.; Hong, P.A.; Wang, Y.T. Tesla Valves in Micromixers. Int. J. Chem. React. Eng. 2014, 12, 397–403. [CrossRef]
- 11. Hossain, S.; Ansari, M.A.; Husain, A.; Kim, K.Y. Analysis and Optimization of a Micromixer with a Modified Tesla Structure. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2010, *158*, 305–314. [CrossRef]
- 12. Weng, X.; Yan, S.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, J.; Shen, J. Design, Simulation and Experimental Study of a Micromixer Based on Tesla Valve Structure. *Huagong Jinzhan/Chem. Ind. Eng. Prog.* 2021, 40, 4173–4178. [CrossRef]
- Teja, A.S.; Koh, P.-Y. Synthesis, Properties, and Applications of Magnetic Iron Oxide Nanoparticles. *Prog. Cryst. Growth Charact. Mater.* 2009, 55, 22–45. [CrossRef]
- Chicot, D.; Mendoza, J.; Zaoui, A.; Louis, G.; Lepingle, V.; Roudet, F.; Lesage, J. Mechanical Properties of Magnetite (Fe3O4), Hematite (α-Fe2O3) and Goethite (α-FeO·OH) by Instrumented Indentation and Molecular Dynamics Analysis. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 2011, 129, 862–870. [CrossRef]
- Sofos, F.; Liakopoulos, A.; Karakasidis, T.E. Particle-Based Modeling and Meshless Simulation of Flows with Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics. *Glob. Nest J.* 2019, 21, 513–518. [CrossRef]
- Endaylalu, S.A.; Tien, W.-H. A Numerical Investigation of the Mixing Performance in a Y-Junction Microchannel Induced by Acoustic Streaming. *Micromachines* 2022, 13, 338. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Weller, H.G.; Tabor, G.; Jasak, H.; Fureby, C. A Tensorial Approach to Computational Continuum Mechanics Using Object-Oriented Techniques. *Comput. Phys.* **1998**, *12*, 620. [CrossRef]
- Ramesha, D.K.; Anvekar, A.; Raj, A.; Vighnesh, J.; Tripathi, S. A DSMC Analysis of Gas Flow in Micro Channels Using OpenFOAM. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Advances in Mechanical Engineering Sciences (ICAMES-17), PES College of Engineering, Mandya, India, 21–22 April 2017.
- 19. Karvelas, E.; Liosis, C.; Karakasidis, T.; Sarris, I. Micromixing Nanoparticles and Contaminated Water Under Different Velocities for Optimum Heavy Metal Ions Adsorption. *Environ. Sci. Proc.* **2020**, *2*, 65. [CrossRef]
- Karvelas, E.; Liosis, C.; Benos, L.; Karakasidis, T.; Sarris, I. Micromixing Efficiency of Particles in Heavy Metal Removal Processes under Various Inlet Conditions. *Water* 2019, 11, 1135. [CrossRef]