

Aluminum Foams as Permanent Cores in Casting [†]

Sara Ferraris ^{1,*}, Graziano Ubertalli ¹, Antonio Santostefano ¹ and Antonio Barbato ²

¹ Politecnico di Torino, Department of Applied Science and Technology, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy; graziano.ubertalli@polito.it (G.U.); antonio.santostefano@polito.it (A.S.)

² DACA-I Powertrains Engineering Srl, Italy, Via Giuseppe Giacosa 38, 10125 Torino, Italy; abarbato@daca-i.com

* Correspondence: sara.ferraris@polito.it

[†] Presented at the 1st International Electronic Conference on Metallurgy and Metals, 22 February–7 March 2021; Available online: <https://iec2m.sciforum.net/>.

Abstract: Their low density and high specific stiffness and impact energy/vibration absorption ability make Al-based metal foams promising materials in applications for which a light weight and energy/vibration absorption abilities are crucial. In view of these properties, Al-based foams can be extremely interesting as cores in cast components in order to improve their performances and simplify their whole technological process. However, both in the scientific literature and in technological application, this topic is still poorly explored. In the present work, Al-based metal foams (Cymat foams and Havel metal foams in the form of rectangular bars) are used in a gravity casting experiment of an Al-Si-Cu-Mg alloy (EN AB-46400). The foams were fully characterized before and after insertion in casting. Porosity, cell wall and external skin thickness, microstructure, infiltration degree, and the quality of the interface between the foam core and the dense cast shell, have been investigated by means of optical microscopy and scanning electron microscopy equipped with energy dispersive spectroscopy (SEM-EDS). The analyses evidenced that a continuous and thick external skin protect the foam from infiltration by molten metal, preserving the initial porosity and insert shape. A detailed analysis of the foam's external skin highlights that the composition of this external skin is crucial for the obtaining of a good joining between the molten metal and the Al foam core. In fact, the presence of Mg oxides on the foam surface prevents bonding, and maintains a gap between the core and the shell. This point opens up the opportunity to design innovative surface modifications for this external skin as promising strategies for the optimization of cast components with a foam core.

Keywords: Al-based foams; casting; foam cores

Citation: Ferraris, S.; Ubertalli, G.; Santostefano, A.; Barbato, A. Aluminum Foams as Permanent Cores in Casting. *Mater. Proc.* **2021**, *3*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.3390/IEC2M-09253>

Academic Editor: Eric D. van Hullebusch

Published: 20 February 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Al-based foams are gaining increasing interest due to their low density, high specific stiffness and impact energy/vibration absorption ability, fire resistance, and recyclability [1,2]. The scientific literature has documented this situation through the publication of papers on this topic, which has risen from a rate of 100/year to 600/year in the last 20 years [3]. Moreover, industrial applications are emerging in this field. In many cases, however, the performances of metal foams can be enhanced only by the realization of sandwich structures or hollow structures with a foam core, which have been widely studied in the literature [2,4]. On the other hand, the use of Al-based foams as cores in casting component production is still poorly explored (just a few papers/year, less than 10 patents published on this topic), even if it can offer numerous advantages, such as weight reduction compared to dense components, the obtainment of cavities in cast objects, strength increase in terms of hollow or T-shaped sections, impact energy and vibration absorption, acoustic insulation, and the simplification of the technological processes (no removal/recycling of traditional sand cores) and recyclability [3].

The few published works related to the use of Al-based foams as cores in casting include some details and characterizations, but almost no solutions have been proposed and discussed to overcome the criticisms. For the first time, the present research considers and compares different foams, analyzes both foams and cast objects, establishes the main issues, and proposes new strategies for their overcoming.

2. Materials and Methods

Al-based metal foams with a dense and thick outer skin (AF1; Al alloy with a limited amount of TiH_2 as the foaming agent, Havel Metal Foams GmbH, Brandenburg an der Havel, Germany) or with a thin and not completely homogeneous outer skin (AF2; Al alloy with SiC particles as stabilizing agent, Cymat Technologies Ltd, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada) were considered.

The samples' density was measured by means of weight and volume measurements. The dimension and distribution of pores, as well as the thickness of the cell walls and the outer skin, were estimated by optical microscopy (Reichert-Jung MeF3, Leica Microsystems Srl, Buccinasco (MI), Italy) on metallographic sections of the foams.

The morphology and semi-quantitative composition of the foam surface was investigated by means of scanning electron microscopy equipped with energy dispersive spectroscopy (SEM-EDS JEOL, JCM 6000 plus and JED 2300).

Foam samples in the form of rectangular bars were used as cores in the gravity casting experiments with an Al-Si-Cu-Mg alloy (EN AB-46400).

The cast objects were sectioned, and visually inspected to estimate the degree of infiltration and the stability of the foam core in the cast component. Small samples of the cast metal and of the cast metal with foam inserts were cut, resin-mounted, and mirror-polished for optical microscopy observations.

3. Results and Discussion

The visual appearance of the foams used as inserts is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. (a) Foam AF1, (b) foam AF2.

It can be observed that the AF1 foam presents a thick outer skin which uniformly covers almost the whole lateral surface of the bar (it has been produced by powder metallurgy as a shaped part). On the other hand, the AF2 foam presents a thin outer skin which is not completely homogeneous and covers only the top and bottom surfaces of the bars (they have been cut from large panels).

The relative density ρ_s (considering the density of compact Al, 2.7 g/cm³ as a reference) is between 0.4 and 0.6 for the AF1 foams used, and between 0.1 and 0.2 for the AF2 ones.

The pore dimension is highly variable for both foam types, in accordance with the self-generation route used in both production process (powder metallurgy with TiH₂ as foaming agent for AF1 and gas injection in the molten metal for AF2) [3,4]. Pore dimension values in the range 95–3900 μm have been reported for AF1 foams, and in the range 1000–20,000 μm for the AF2 ones.

The wall thickness is in the 15–400 μm range for AF1 and in the 50–1000 μm one for AF2. Finally, the outer skin thickness is up to 1200 μm for AF1 and up to 300 μm for AF2, confirming the greater thickness of the first type of foam.

EDS analyses on the foam surfaces evidenced the presence of C, O, Mg and Al on AF1 samples, and of C, O, Al and Si on the AF2 samples. Moreover, the AF1 samples showed numerous particles rich in O and Mg, attributable to the Mg-rich oxides and carbonates frequently observed on Al-Mg alloys [5–7]. These particles are hardly removed; only mechanical grinding can detach them from the surface. On the other hand, a few particles (rich in Al and O) can be found on the surfaces of the AF2 samples, and are easily removed with sample ultrasonic washing. These observations suggest a higher oxidation degree for the AF1 samples compared to the AF2 ones. This feature can determine the possibility of foam reacting with molten metal in casting experiments, as discussed in the following.

The visual appearances of the cast samples and the optical images of the foam core–cast metal interface are reported in Figure 2 ((a) for AF1 foam inserts and (b) for AF2 ones).




Figure 2. Casting experiments, (a) AF1 foams as inserts, (b) AF2 foams as inserts.

It can be observed that the foam core is completely unaltered after the casting experiment for AF1 (the foam bar maintains its shape and porosity), while a certain degree of infiltration can be documented for AF2, even if part of the porosity is maintained.

These observations suggest that the thicker outer skin of the AF1 foams, which covers all the surface exposed to the molten metal, effectively protects them from infiltration during casting experiments, while the thin outer skin of AF2 cores, which does not cover all the sample surface exposed to the molten metal, allows a certain degree of infiltration.

Looking at the interface between the foam core and the cast metal, it can be observed that a thick gap (hundreds of microns) is clearly observable for AF1 cores, while metallurgical continuity is documented for AF2 cores in many zones of the interface. In this case it can be supposed that the well-adhered oxide layer, which is rich in Mg, evidenced by the SEM-EDS analyses of the outer skin of AF1 cores, can obstruct the reaction with the molten metal, as is frequently reported in the literature for Al–Mg alloys. On the other hand, the surface of AF2 foams presents a lower amount of oxides that adhere poorly to the surface, and consequently these foams are more prone to reaction.

4. Conclusion

Al-based foams in the form of bars have been used as cores in gravity casting experiments. Foams with a thick and continuous outer skin over the whole external surface are effectively protected from the infiltration of molten metal, and maintain their shape and porosity. However, the high surface oxidation of the outer skin inhibits its reaction with molten metal, hampering metallurgical bonding between the foam core and the cast metal. On the other hand, metallurgical continuity can be obtained when the outer skin is less oxidized. However, in this case, the lesser thickness and the absence of skin in some areas of the external surface allow the infiltration of molten metal. This research suggests that an Al-based foam with a thick and continuous outer skin can be suitable for use as a core in casting if its surface does not present a significant oxide layer, or if this layer can be properly removed.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: DACA-I Powertrain Engineering Srl and Regione Piemonte are kindly acknowledged for funding this activity through ICARO project (ICARO—Industrialization of Cast Aluminum PROduct, call “Competitività regionale e occupazione” F.E.S.R. 2014/2020 Obiettivo tematico OT 1—Asse I—Azione I.1b.1.1 “IR2”).

References

1. Bauer, B.; Kralj, S.; Busic, M. Production and application of metal foams in casting technology. *Tehnickivjesnik* **2013**, *20*, 1095–1102.
2. Banhart, J. Aluminum Foams: On the Road to Real Applications. *MRS Bull.* **2003**, *28*, 290–295, doi:10.1557/mrs2003.83.
3. Ubertalli, G.; Ferraris, S. Al-Based Metal Foams (AMF) as Permanent Cores in Casting: State-of-the-Art and Future Perspectives. *Metals* **2020**, *10*, 1592, doi:10.3390/met10121592.
4. Korner, C.; Singer, R.F. Processing of Metal Foams—Challenges and Opportunities. *Adv. Eng. Mat.* **2006**, *2*, 159–165, doi:10.1002/3527606165.ch1.
5. Hu, S.P.; Niu, C.N.; Bian, H.; Song, X.G.; Cao, J.; Tang, D.Y. Surface activation assisted brazing of Al–Zn–Mg–Cu alloy: Improvement in microstructure and mechanical properties. *Mater. Lett.* **2018**, *218*, 86–89.
6. Schällibaum, J.; Burbach, T.; Münch, C.; Weiler, W.; Wahlen, A. Transient liquid phase bonding of AA 6082 aluminium alloy. *Materialwiss. Werkst.* **2015**, *46*, 704–712.
7. Ferraris, S.; Perero, S.; Ubertalli, G. Surface Activation and Characterization of Aluminum Alloys for Brazing Optimization. *Coatings* **2019**, *9*, 459, doi:10.3390/coatings9070459.