

Abstract

Electronic versus Ionic Electroactive Polymers (EAPs) Strain Sensors for Wearable Electronics: A Comparative Study [†]

Nitin Kumar Singh ^{*}, Kazuto Takashima  and Shyam Sudhir Pandey ^{*}

Graduate School of Life Science and Systems Engineering, Kyushu Institute of Technology, 2-4 Hibikino, Wakamatsu, Kitakyushu, Fukuoka 808-0196, Japan

^{*} Correspondence: nitinmjpruitp@gmail.com or singh.nitin-kumar228@mail.kyutech.jp (N.K.S.); shyam@life.kyutech.ac.jp (S.S.P.)[†] Presented at the 9th International Symposium on Sensor Science, Warsaw, Poland, 20–22 June 2022.

Abstract: Electroactive polymer (EAP) strain sensors have gained appreciable attention as a potential candidate for their application in the area of soft electromechanical devices and have been widely used in soft robotics, healthcare, augmented reality, and wearable devices. In this research, a systematic comparison has been made by fabricating the electronic and ionic types of capacitive EAP strain sensors. To accomplish this, a combination of silicone rubber sandwiched between silver-coated stretchable fabric electrodes is used as an electronic type of EAP sensor, while a conducting and stretchable freestanding film consisting of Styrene-ethylene-butylene-styrene (SEBS) rubber and dedocyl benzene sulfonate acid (DBSA) doped polyaniline composite film sandwiched between carbon grease electrodes is chosen as an ionic type of EAP sensor. Mechanical characterization in terms of the uniaxial tensile testing was performed on both types of sensors using our custom-made tensile testing system, while capacitance under reversible stretching and relaxation under variable strains was measured using a computer-controlled XY-stage and an electrometer. Constitutive equations based on various existing mathematical models were used for analyzing stress–strain curves obtained from uniaxial tensile testing for predicting the mechanical behaviour of the sensor in multiaxial loading. The stress–strain curve for the electronic type of EAP sensor fit with Ogden’s second term, while Yeoh’s third term demonstrated a very good agreement for the ionic type of sensors. It was found that the observed capacitance was drastically enhanced for the ionic sensors, which was almost 1000 times higher compared to that observed for the electronic EAP based sensors. Conducting fabric used as stretchable top and bottom electrodes limit the elasticity of the sensor, while the ionic type of sensor can be stretchable up to >200% compared to the fabric-based sensor.



Citation: Singh, N.K.; Takashima, K.; Pandey, S.S. Electronic versus Ionic Electroactive Polymers (EAPs) Strain Sensors for Wearable Electronics: A Comparative Study. *Eng. Proc.* **2022**, *21*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.3390/engproc2022021001>

Academic Editors: Piotr Lesiak, Tomasz Woliński and Leszek Jaroszewicz

Published: 19 August 2022

Publisher’s Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Keywords: electroactive polymers; strain sensors; wearable devices; soft robotics; healthcare

Author Contributions: Design, Experiment, Modeling, Performance Testing of the Sensors, Writing—original draft, N.K.S.; Experimental Setup Preparation, Writing—review and editing, K.T.; Design, Correspondent, Resources, Writing—review and editing, S.S.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgments: One of the authors Nitin Kumar Singh would like to thank ministry of education, culture, sports and science (MEXT), Japan for providing the scholarship.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.