



^{Article} ¹³C NMR Spectroscopic Studies of Intra- and Intermolecular Interactions of Amino Acid Derivatives and Peptide Derivatives in Solutions

Yoshikazu Hiraga ^{1,*}, Saori Chaki ¹, Yuri Uyama ¹, Ryosuke Hoshide ¹, Takumi Karaki ¹, Daisuke Nagata ¹, Kanji Yoshimoto ¹ and Satomi Niwayama ^{2,*}

- ¹ Graduate School of Science and Technology, Hiroshima Institute of Technology, 2-1-1 Miyake, Saeki-ku, Hiroshima 731-5193, Japan; s.chaki.58583@gmail.com (S.C.); yubadm645@gmail.com (Y.U.); mhmr10051104@gmail.com (R.H.); k.takumi.tennis@i.softbank.jp (T.K.); nagasuke0916@iCloud.com (D.N.); k.yoshimoto.ud@it-hiroshima.ac.jp (K.Y.)
- ² Graduate School of Engineering, Muroran Institute of Technology, 27-1, Mizumoto-cho, Muroran 050-8585, Japan
- * Correspondence: y.hiraga.65@it-hiroshima.ac.jp (Y.H.); niwayama@mmm.muroran-it.ac.jp (S.N.); Tel.: +81-82-921-9468 (Y.H.); +81-143-46-5746 (S.N.)

Abstract: ¹³C NMR spectroscopic investigations were conducted for various amino acid derivatives and peptides. It was observed that ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons are correlated with the solvent polarities, but the extent depends on the structures. The size of the functional groups and inter- and intra-molecular hydrogen bonding appear to be the major contributors for this tendency.

Keywords: ¹³C NMR spectroscopy; IR spectroscopy; carbonyl group; amino acids; peptides; solvent polarity; molecular interaction; DFT calculation

1. Introduction

NMR spectroscopy often serves as a useful tool for obtaining information about the chemical environment of various molecules in addition to structural elucidation [1–3]. These pieces of information include dipole–dipole forces, van der Waals interaction, hydrogen bonding etc., among sample molecules and/or solvents [4]. Various studies have been conducted for examining solvent effects with organic molecules with the use of NMR spectroscopy as well [5]. For example, hydrogen bonding and associated behaviors of organic molecules with water or acids in various solvents were monitored by ¹H and/or ¹³C NMR spectroscopy [6,7].

Earlier, we reported that ¹³C NMR spectroscopy can monitor behaviors of carbonyl groups in various solvents with different polarities [8,9]. We found a correlation between ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbon of camphor and the solvent polarities E_T^N [10,11], and further evaluated the interaction between the camphor molecule and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) in an aqueous solution [8]. We also found that the carbonyl carbons of various carboxylic acids and esters as well as carboxyl esters (half-esters) showed a correlation between the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of carbonyl carbons and solvent polarity, and that the extent of the interaction between molecules or solvent can be dependent on the structures [9,12]. Here we have extended these studies to various amino acid derivatives and dipeptide derivatives. A fair number of spectroscopic studies have been reported for the properties and behaviors of amino acid possessing the structure of a cyclic secondary amine. Due to this uniqueness, its conformational rigidity has been well documented; for example, it has been known as a breaker of secondary structure of proteins such as α -helices and β -sheets [16,17]. The unusually high percentages of the "cis" structures



Citation: Hiraga, Y.; Chaki, S.; Uyama, Y.; Hoshide, R.; Karaki, T.; Nagata, D.; Yoshimoto, K.; Niwayama, S. ¹³C NMR Spectroscopic Studies of Intra- and Intermolecular Interactions of Amino Acid Derivatives and Peptide Derivatives in Solutions. *Organics* **2022**, *3*, 38–58. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/org3010003

Academic Editors: Wim Dehaen, Michal Szostak, Huaping Xu and George Kokotos

Received: 23 October 2021 Accepted: 27 January 2022 Published: 9 February 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). in the peptide bonds consisting of proline residues are also well investigated by NMR spectroscopy [18–23]. Therefore, the behaviors of peptides consisting of proline have been under close scrutiny.

In this study, ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons were monitored for evaluation of the interaction between amino acid derivatives including dipeptides and various solvents with different polarities. For the purpose of understanding the behavior of the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of carbonyl carbons in the dipeptides, the IR spectra of the dipeptide derivatives in chloroform and in acetonitrile solutions were also measured. In addition, the most stable structures of the dipeptide derivatives were analyzed by the density functional theory (DFT) calculations (B3LYP/6-31+G(d) level) and their IR spectra predicted by the vibrational analysis were compared with the observed IR spectra.

2. Materials and Methods

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded using JEOL JNM-ECZ400S NMR spectrometer operating at 400 MHz for ¹H and 100.53 MHz for ¹³C experiments at 298.0 \pm 1.0 K with 5 mm (o.d.) Pyrex glass tubes. Deuterated solvents, acetone-*d*₆, acetonitrile-*d*₃, chloroform*d*, D₂O, dimethyl sulfoxide-*d*₆, and methanol-*d*₄ and other reagents were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd. (Japan). The compounds, *N*-Boc-L-alanine-OH **1a**, *N*-Boc-L-valine-OH **2**, *N*-Boc-L-proline-OH **3a**, *N*-Boc-L-serine-OH **4a**, *N*-Boc-L-threonine-OH **5**, L-alanine methyl ester hydrochloride, L-serine methyl ester hydrochloride, and L-proline methyl ester hydrochloride were purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. (Japan). The infrared spectra of dipeptide derivatives in chloroform and acetonitrile were recorded using HORIBA FT-720 Fourier transform infrared spectrometer and the demountable liquid cell. The demountable liquid cell consisted of CaF₂ windows and Teflon spacer with a path length of 0.1 mm.

2.1. ¹³C NMR Measurements of Various Amino Acid Derivatives and Dipeptide Derivatives in Various Solvents

The concentration of the sample solutions was $25.0 \pm 5.0 \text{ mmol/L}$. In the case of D₂O solution, the chemical shifts were recorded with reference to TSP- d_4 as an external standard. In the case of organic solutions, the chemical shifts were recorded with reference to the carbons in the used solvent as an internal standard (acetone- d_6 , δ 29.8; acetonitrile- d_3 , δ 1.3; benzene- d_6 , δ 128.0; chloroform-d, δ 77.0; dimethyl sulfoxide- d_6 , δ 39.5; methanol- d_4 , δ 49.0). In the case of ethanol and tetrahydrofuran solutions, the ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded using the No-Deuterium Proton NMR technique, and the chemical shifts were referenced to the used solvent as an internal standard (ethanol, δ 56.8; tetrahydrofuran δ 67.4). The E_T^N values utilized in this work are as follows: tetrahydrofuran, E_T^N 0.207; chloroform-d, E_T^N 0.259; acetone- d_6 , E_T^N 0.355; dimethyl sulfoxide- d_6 , E_T^N 0.444; acetonitrile- d_3 , E_T^N 0.460; ethanol, E_T^N 0.654; methanol- d_4 , E_T^N 0.762; D₂O, E_T^N 1.000 [10].

In the measurement of ¹³C NMR spectra of the amino acid derivatives and dipeptide derivatives, the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons of the major isomer was adopted for each compound when the rotational isomers across the amide bond were observed. In this way the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts in relation to the solvent polarity were monitored. The ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons in the amino acid derivatives and dipeptide derivatives in various solvents are listed in Tables S13–S17 (Supplementary Materials).

2.2. General Procedure for Methylation of N-Boc-Protected Amino Acids

N-Boc-L-alanine-OMe **1b**, *N*-Boc-L-proline-OMe **3b**, and *N*-Boc-L-serine-OMe **4b** were prepared by methylation with the use of trimethylsilyl diazomethane (TMSCHN₂) of corresponding acids **1a**, **3a**, and **4a**, respectively [24,25].

2.2.1. N-Boc-L-alanine-OMe 1b

To a stirred solution of 97 mg (0.51 mmol) of *N*-Boc-L-alanine-OH **1a** in 5.0 mL of toluene-methanol (3:1), 1.7 mL of hexane solution of 10% TMSCHN₂ (1.02 mmol) was added dropwise until the yellow color persisted. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate = 9:1) to afford 61 mg (0.30 mmol) of *N*-Boc-L-alanine-OMe **1b**. Yield 58.4%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 1.38 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, CH(CH₃)); 1.44 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 4.32 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 18.7 (CH(CH₃)); 28.3 (C(CH₃)₃); 49.1 (CH(CH₃)); 52.3 (OCH₃); 79.9 (C(CH₃)₃); 155.4 (CO-O^tBu); 174.2 (CO-OCH₃).

2.2.2. N-Boc-L-proline-OMe 3b

N-Boc-L-proline-OMe **3b** (50 mg, 0.22 mmol) was prepared from *N*-Boc-L-proline-OH **3a** (109 mg, 0.51 mmol) according to the same procedure described above. Yield 43.1%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 1.41 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.82–1.87 (m, 2H, CH₂); 2.12–2.28 (m, 2H, CH₂); 3.37–3.58 (m, 2H, CH₂); 3.72 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 4.20–4.32 (m, 1H, CH). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 23.7 (CH₂); 28.3 (C(CH₃)₃); 30.9 (CH₂); 46.3 (CH₂); 51.9 (OCH₃); 59.1 (CH); 79.8 (C(CH₃)₃); 154.4 (CO-O^tBu); 173.8 (CO-OCH₃).

2.2.3. N-Boc-L-serine-OMe 4b

N-Boc-L-serine-OMe **4b** (61 mg, 0.28 mmol) was prepared from *N*-Boc-L-serine-OH **4a** (110 mg, 0.54 mmol) according to the same procedure described above. Yield 51.9%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 1.44 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 3.79 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 3.88–3.98 (m, 2H, CH₂O); 4.39 (m, 1H, CH). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 28.3 (C(CH₃)₃); 52.7 (OCH₃); 55.7 (CH); 63.6 (CH₂O); 80.4 (C(CH₃)₃); 155.7 (CO-O^tBu); 171.2 (CO-OCH₃).

2.3. General Procedure for Preparation of N-Boc-Protected Dipeptide Derivatives

N-Boc-L-proline-L-alanine-OMe **6**, *N*-Boc-L-proline-L-serine-OMe **7**, *N*-Boc-L-proline-OMe **8**, *N*-Boc-L-alanine-L-proline-OMe **9**, and *N*-Boc-L-serine-L-proline-OMe **10** were prepared from the corresponding *N*-Boc-protected amino acid and the amino acid methyl ester with N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) as a coupling reagent [26,27].

2.3.1. N-Boc-L-proline-L-alanine-OMe 6

L-Alanine methyl ester hydrochloride (1.040 g, 7.45 mmol) was suspended in 20.0 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and the suspension was cooled to 0 °C. Anhydrous triethylamine (1.0 mL, 7.2 mmol), N-Boc-proline-OH 2a (2.154 g, 10.01 mmol) suspended in 10.0 mL of CH₂Cl₂, and the solution of DCC (2.072 g, 10.04 mmol) dissolved in 10.0 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added to this suspension. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at 0 $^\circ$ C and for 5 h at room temperature. The precipitated dicyclohexylurea was then filtered off and washed with CH₂Cl₂. The combined filtrate was washed successively with 5% NaHCO₃ aqueous solution, water, 1 mol/L HCl aqueous solution, and water. The organic phase was then dried using anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane-ethyl acetate = 1:1) to afford 1.402 g of N-Boc-L-proline-L-alanine-OMe 6 as colorless solid. M.p. 31–32 °C. Yield 62.6%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 1.35 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, CH(CH₃)); 1.45 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.80–2.00 (m, 2H, CH₂); 2.05–2.36 (m, 2H, CH₂); 3.27–3.52 (m, 2H, CH₂); 3.73 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 4.25 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)); 4.54 (m, 1H, CH). The amino proton was not observed because of broadening of the signal. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 18.5 (18.3) (CH(CH₃)); 24.5 (23.7) (CH₂); 28.3 (C(CH₃)₃); 30.8 (32.9) (CH₂); 47.0 (47.9) (CH₂); 52.3 (OCH₃); 59.7 (CH); 61.0 (CH); 80.4 (C(CH₃)₃); 155.6 (154.6) (CO-O^tBu); 171.7 (172.1) (CO-NH); 173.1 (CO-OCH₃) (The chemical shifts in parentheses are for the minor amide rotational isomer).

2.3.2. N-Boc-L-proline-L-serine-OMe 7

N-Boc-L-proline-L-serine-OMe 7 (0.945 g, 2.99 mmol) was prepared from L-serine methyl ester hydrochloride (1.190 g, 7.65 mmol) and *N*-Boc-L-proline-OH **2a** (2.152 g, 10.00 mmol) according to the same procedure as described above. Yield 39.1%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 1.43 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.77–2.21 (m, 4H, CH₂ × 2); 3.33–3.52 (m, 2H, CH₂); 3.76 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 3.83–4.03 (m, 2H, CH₂O); 4.20 (m, 1H, CH(CH₂OH)); 4.60 (m, 1H, CH). The hydroxy proton and amino proton were not observed because of broadening of the signals. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 24.6 (23.7) (CH₂); 28.3 (C(CH₃)₃); 29.2 (31.0) (CH₂); 47.1 (CH₂); 52.5 (OCH₃); 55.1 (54.5) (CH); 60.3 (60.9) (CH₂O); 62.3 (63.3) (CH); 80.6 (C(CH₃)₃); 155.2 (154.6) (CO-O^tBu); 170.8 (CO-OCH₃); 172.6 (173.2) (CO-NH) (The chemical shifts in parentheses are for the minor amide rotational isomer).

2.3.3. N-Boc-L-proline-L-proline-OMe 8

N-Boc-L-proline-L-proline-OMe **8** (0.767 g, 2.35 mmol) was prepared from L-proline methyl ester hydrochloride (1.005 g, 6.07 mmol) and *N*-Boc-L-proline-OH **2a** (1.771 g, 8.23 mmol) according to the same procedure as described above. Yield 38.7%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 1.39 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.67–2.26 (m, 8H, CH₂ × 4); 3.28–3.64 (m, 4H, CH₂ × 2); 3.67 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 4.15 (m, 1H, CH); 4.42 (m, 1H, CH). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 23.6 (23.3) (CH₂); 24.4 (24.2) (CH₂); 29.7 (CH₂); 30.7 (30.5) (CH₂); 28.3 (C(CH₃)₃); 46.2 (CH₂); 46.4 (CH₂); 51.8 (OCH₃); 59.0 (CH); 60.2 (CH); 79.7 (C(CH₃)₃); 154.1 (153.7) (CO-O^tBu); 154.9 (154.3) (CO-N); 171.5 (171.0) (CO-OCH₃) (The chemical shifts in parentheses are for the minor amide rotational isomer).

2.3.4. N-Boc-alanine-L-proline-OMe 9

N-Boc-L-alanine-L-proline-OMe **9** (1.357 g, 4.52 mmol) was prepared from L-proline methyl ester hydrochloride (0.840 g, 5.07 mmol) and *N*-Boc-L-alanine-OH **1a** (0.902 g, 4.77 mmol) according to the same procedure as described above. Yield 89.1%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 1.35 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, CH(CH₃)); 1.40 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.90–2.27 (m, 4H, CH₂ × 2); 3.54–3.71 (m, 2H, CH₂); 3.70 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 4.45 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)); 4.51 (m, 1H, CH). The amino proton was not observed because of broadening of the signal. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 18.3 (CH(CH₃)); 24.9 (CH₂); 28.3 (C(CH₃)₃); 28.9 (CH₂); 46.7 (CH₂); 47.6 (CH(CH₃)); 52.2 (OCH₃); 58.6 (CH); 79.5 (C(CH₃)₃); 155.2 (CO-O^tBu); 171.7 (CO-N); 172.4 (CO-OCH₃).

2.3.5. N-Boc-L-serine-L-proline-COOMe 10

N-Boc-L-serine-L-proline-OMe **10** (0.839 g, 2.65 mmol) was prepared from L-proline methyl ester hydrochloride (0.521 g, 3.15 mmol) and *N*-Boc-L-serine-OH **3a** (0.606 g, 2.95 mmol) according to the same procedure as described above. Yield 84.3%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): 1.33 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃); 1.83–2.20 (m, 4H, CH₂ × 2); 3.58–3.69 (m, 2H, CH₂); 3.63 (s, 3H, OCH₃); 3.67–3.79 (m, 2H, CH₂O); 4.46 (m, H, CH); 4.50 (m, 1H, CH(CH₂OH)). The hydroxy proton and amino proton were not observed because of broadening of the signals. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): 24.6 (CH₂); 28.0 (C(CH₃)₃); 28.6 (CH₂); 46.9 (CH₂); 52.3 (52.4) (OCH₃); 53.3 (54.0) (CH); 58.7 (59.1) (CH₂O); 63.5 (63.7) (CH); 79.6 (79.9) (C(CH₃)₃); 155.4 (157.0) (CO-O^tBu); 169.9 (CO-N); 172.6 (172.1) (CO-OCH₃) (The chemical shifts in parentheses are for the minor amide rotational isomer).

2.4. Computational Methods

The geometry optimizations for the most stable structures of *N*-Boc-L-proline-Lalanine-OMe **6**, *N*-Boc-L-proline-L-serine-OMe **7**, *N*-Boc-L-alanine-L-proline-OMe **9**, and *N*-Boc-L-serine-L-proline-OMe **10** were performed at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d) level in the gas phase with the GAUSSIAN 09 program [28]. The geometry optimization of the dipeptide derivatives, **6**, **7**, **9**, and **10** in the solution phase (chloroform and acetonitrile) were performed with the use of the integral equation formalism model of the polarizable continuum model (IEFPCM) at the same theory level [29,30]. At the same theory level, frequency calculations were carried out for the confirmation of the optimized geometries. Zero-point energy corrections were also performed on the electronic energies.

3. Results and Discussion

We first monitored the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl groups in eight derivatives of five kinds of *N*-Boc-protected amino acids, *N*-Boc-L-alanine-OH **1a**, *N*-Boc-L-alanine-OMe **1b**, *N*-Boc-L-valine-OH **2**, *N*-Boc-L-proline-OH **3a**, *N*-Boc-L-proline-OMe **3b**, *N*-Boc-L-serine-OH **4a**, *N*-Boc-L-serine-OMe **4b**, and *N*-Boc-L-threonine-OH **5**, in various solvents having different E_T^N values (Scheme 1). The ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons in the *N*-Boc-protected amino acids and these derivatives are listed in Tables S13 and S14 (Supplementary Materials).



Scheme 1. N-Boc-protected amino acids and these derivatives.

Figure 1 shows the results of *N*-Boc-L-alanine-OH **1a**. The ¹³C chemical shifts of both the carbonyl carbons in the COOH and in the *N*-Boc group show downfield shifts as the solvent polarity E_T^N increased. Figure 2 shows the results of *N*-Boc-L-valine-OH **2**. It showed a similar tendency to *N*-Boc-L-alanine-OH **1a**, especially for the carbonyl carbon of the COOH. However, the carbonyl carbon of the *N*-Boc group showed smaller change of the ¹³C chemical shifts than that of **1a**.

A quite similar tendency was also observed in *N*-Boc-L-proline-OH **3a** to *N*-Boc-L-valine-OH **2**, showing greater chemical shift changes in the carbonyl carbon in the COOH, but smaller changes for the carbonyl carbon in the *N*-Boc group with increase of E_T^N values (Figure 3). We interpret that these tendencies are due to the steric bulkiness of the isopropyl group and proline rings and hence decreased susceptibility to accept solvent effects. Interestingly, the ¹³C chemical shifts of both the carbonyl groups were almost constant in both *N*-Boc-L-serine-OH **4a** (Figure 4) and *N*-Boc-L-threonine-OH **5** (Figure 5). From the behaviors of the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbon in the *N*-Boc group of **4a** and **5**, it can be assumed that the solvent effect was not affected in the aprotic solvents because of the intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the oxygen atom of the hydroxy group or the carbonyl group in the *N*-Boc group and the hydrogen atom of the hydroxy group of the L-serine and L-threonine, but in the protic solvents, the slight downfield shifts were likely due to competition of the hydrogen bonding with the protic solvent.



Figure 1. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons of *N*-Boc-L-alanine-OH 1a.



Figure 2. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons of *N*-Boc-L-valine-OH 2.



Figure 3. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons of *N*-Boc-L-proline-OH 3a.



Figure 4. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons of *N*-Boc-L-serine-OH 4a.



Figure 5. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons of *N*-Boc-L-threonine-OH 5.

We measured ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of three kinds of the corresponding methyl esters, *N*-Boc-L-alanine-OMe **1b** (Figure 6), *N*-Boc-L-proline-OMe **3b** (Figure 7), and *N*-Boc-L-serine-OMe **4b** (Figure 8). Since these methyl esters were insoluble in D₂O, the behavior of ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons was investigated in seven organic solvents having different E_T^N values. However, these tendencies did not alter from the corresponding carboxylic acids, **1a**, **3a**, and **4a**, showing the same tendencies for the chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons. Therefore, for further studies, we utilized all carbomethoxy derivatives instead of the free carboxylic acids because of the increased solubilities in organic solvents.

From these observations, it appears that the intermolecular forces between the carbonyl group and the solvent countervail with the steric effects by the substituents of the amino acids, and amino acid derivatives with a small functional group can move the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons of the *N*-Boc group as in Scheme 2a,b. On the other

hand, although the solvent polarity E_T^N values was increased, the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbon in the *N*-Boc group and in the carboxy group or the carbomethoxy group of **4a**, **4b**, and **5** were only slightly changed. Therefore, these results could be assumed to mean that the intramolecular hydrogen bonding exists between the oxygen atom of the carbonyl group in the carboxyl group or the carbonyl group in the *N*-Boc group and the hydrogen atom of the hydroxy group of the L-serine and L-threonine as shown in Scheme 2c.



Figure 6. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons of *N*-Boc-L-alanine-OMe 1b.



Figure 7. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons of *N*-Boc-L-proline-OMe **3b**.



Figure 8. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons of *N*-Boc-L-serine-OMe 4b.

(a) small nonpolar aliphatic functional group

(b) large nonpolar aliphatic functional group



Scheme 2. The existence of intermolecular forces between the carbonyl group in *N*-Boc-protected amino acid derivatives having several functional groups. (**a**) Small nonpolar aliphatic functional group, (**b**) large nonpolar aliphatic functional group, (**c**) a polar functional group (hydroxy group).

Next, we measured ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons of five dipeptide derivatives, *N*-Boc-L-proline-L-alanine-OMe **6**, *N*-Boc-L-proline-L-serine-OMe **7**, and *N*-Boc-L-proline-L-proline-OMe **8**, in particular, containing an *N*-Boc-protected L-proline residue, and *N*-Boc-L-alanine-L-proline-OMe **9** and *N*-Boc-L-serine-L-proline-OMe **10**, containing an L-proline methyl ester (Scheme 3). The ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons in the *N*-Boc-protected dipeptide derivatives are listed in Tables S15–S17 (Supplementary Materials). Figures 9–13 show the results.



Scheme 3. N-Boc-protected dipeptide derivatives.

For *N*-Boc-L-proline-L-alanine-OMe **6** as shown in Figure 9, both the carbonyl carbons of the peptide bond and the carbomethoxy group showed downfield shifts as the solvent polarity E_T^N values increased, while the carbonyl carbon of the *N*-Boc group showed only slight change as in the above results. The pattern of the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbon in the *N*-Boc group of **6** were similar to *N*-Boc-L-proline-OMe **3b** and the pattern of the carbonyl carbon in the carbomethoxy group of **6** were similar to *N*-Boc-L-alanine-OMe **1b**. From these solvent effects on these carbonyl groups, we predicted that intramolecular hydrogen bonding was formed between the oxygen atom of the carbonyl group in the *N*-Boc group and the hydrogen atom of the peptide bond as shown in Figure 9 and in Scheme **4**a.



Figure 9. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons of *N*-Boc-L-proline-L-alanine-OMe 6.





hydrogen bonding

Scheme 4. Steric effects caused by the proline residue, the N-Boc group, and intramolecular hydrogen bonding. (a) N-Boc-L-proline-L-alanine-OMe 6, (b) N-Boc-L-proline-L-serine-OMe 7, (c) N-Boc-Lproline-L-proline-OMe 8.

For *N*-Boc-L-proline-L-serine-OMe 7 as shown in Figure 10, the carbonyl carbon of the peptide bond showed a downfield shift with the increase of the solvent polarity and the carbonyl carbon of the carbomethoxy group showed only slight change. However, the carbonyl carbon of the N-Boc group remained almost constant except in protic solvents as in the dipeptide derivative 6, probably due to the similar intramolecular hydrogen bonding as shown in Figure 10. Here, interestingly, we found out that intramolecular hydrogen bonding can also form between the carbonyl oxygen of the N-Boc group on the L-proline and the hydrogen atom of the hydroxy group as in Scheme 4b, which will be described later.

For *N*-Boc-L-proline-L-proline-OMe **8**, only the carbonyl carbon of the carbomethoxy group showed downfield shifts with the increase of the solvent polarity, while two other carbonyl carbons in the N-Boc group and in the peptide bond showed only slight changes of the chemical shifts (Figure 11).

From these solvent effects of dipeptides 6-8, it appears that the steric effects caused by the proline residue is remarkable, showing comparable effects caused by the intramolecular hydrogen bonding (Scheme 4c).



Figure 10. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons of *N*-Boc-L-proline-L-serine-OMe 7.



Figure 11. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons of *N*-Boc-L-proline-L-proline-OMe 8.

In order to investigate the steric effect of the proline ring residue, the behavior of the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons in the two dipeptides, *N*-Boc-L-alanine-L-proline-OMe **9** and *N*-Boc-L-serine-L-proline-OMe **10** in different solvents were investigated. Interestingly, when we measured ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons of *N*-Boc-L-alanine-L-proline-OMe **9**, all the three carbonyl carbons in **9** showed downfield shifts with the increase of the solvent polarity E_T^N values (Figure 12). This is an anticipated outcome as this case is similar to *N*-Boc-L-alanine-OH **1a** or *N*-Boc-L-alanine-OMe **1b**. In addition, this outcome also suggests that the peptide bond formed with the carboxyl group on the proline ring makes some contribution to the near-constant chemical shifts on the carbonyl next to the proline nitrogen atom.



Figure 12. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons of *N*-Boc-L-alanine-L-proline-OMe 9.

The dipeptide *N*-Boc-L-serine-L-proline-OMe **10** also showed downfield shifts for the two carbonyl carbons in the carbomethoxy group and in the peptide bond, while the change of the carbonyl carbon in the *N*-Boc group was slight except in EtOH and MeOH- d_4 (Figure 13). It is likely that only these protic solvents exhibited the influence because of the hydrogen bonding from these solvents. This pattern for the *N*-Boc group was similar to *N*-Boc-L-serine-OH **4a**, *N*-Boc-L-serine-OMe **4b**, and *N*-Boc-L-proline-L-serine-OMe **7**. These results suggest that the intramolecular hydrogen bonding can be formed between the carbonyl oxygen of the *N*-Boc group on the L-serine and the hydrogen atom of the hydroxy group of L-serine from the behavior of the carbonyl carbon of the *N*-Boc group in dipeptide **10** as will be described later (Scheme 5).



Figure 13. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbons of N-Boc-L-serine-L-proline-OMe 10.



Scheme 5. Steric effects caused by the proline residue and intramolecular hydrogen bonding in *N*-Boc-L-serine-L-proline-OMe **10**.

As for the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the *N*-Boc group in dipeptide derivatives **6**, **7**, **9**, and **10**, the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbon in the *N*-Boc group in **9** showed the downfield shifts with an increase of the solvent polarity (Figure 12), whereas those of the *N*-Boc group attached to L-proline in **6**, in **7**, and in **10** hardly changed even with the increased solvent polarity (Figures 9, 10 and 13). For elucidation of the behavior of the ¹³C NMR chemical shift of the carbonyl carbon in the *N*-Boc group in the dipeptide derivatives, **6**, **7**, **9**, and **10**, the infrared absorption spectra in organic solutions were measured and the absorption patterns of the carbonyl groups in the dipeptide derivatives were examined. We selected chloroform as a low-polarity solvent and acetonitrile as a high-polarity solvent for the organic solvents with minimal interferences with the carbonyl absorptions for the IR measurement. Furthermore, the IR spectra of the dipeptide derivatives, **6**, **7**, **9**, and **10**, were predicted based on their most stable structures calculated by the density functional theory (DFT) at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d) level in the gas phase and in the solution phase, and they were compared with the actually measured spectra. All the calculations were performed with the use of the GAUSSIAN 09 program [28].

The IR spectra of the dipeptide, *N*-Boc-L-proline-L-alanine-OMe **6**, in chloroform and in acetonitrile are shown in Figure 14. The carbonyl groups assigned to the carbonyl bond in the ester group in **6** were observed at 1741 cm^{-1} , and those in the *N*-Boc group and in peptide bonds were observed at 1682 cm^{-1} in chloroform (Figure 14b). They were observed at $1747 \text{ and } 1697 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ respectively in acetonitrile (Figure 14d).



Figure 14. IR spectra of *N*-Boc-L-proline-L-alanine-OMe **6**: (**a**) CHCl₃ (red line); (**b**) 20 mmol/L of **6** in CHCl₃; (**c**) acetonitrile (blue line); (**d**) 20 mmol/L of **6** in acetonitrile.

The calculated IR spectra of **6** having the most stable structures in the gas phase and in the solution phase are shown in Figure 15. As shown in Figure 15d, in the gas phase, the carbonyl group of the methyl ester group in **6** appeared at 1802 cm⁻¹. The above carbonyl bond in the *N*-Boc group and the carbonyl bond in the peptide bond were at 1716 and 1737 cm⁻¹, respectively. The absorption at 1716 cm⁻¹ was assigned to the stretching vibration in the same direction for each carbonyl bond, and 1737 cm⁻¹ was assigned to that in the opposite direction. As shown in Figure 15e,f, the calculated IR absorptions of the carbonyl bond in the ester group of **6** appeared at 1776 cm⁻¹ and 1762 cm⁻¹ in chloroform and in acetonitrile respectively. Those assigned to the carbonyls in the *N*-Boc group and in the peptide bond were at 1710 cm⁻¹ (opposite) and 1690 cm⁻¹ (same) in chloroform and at 1697 cm⁻¹ (opposite) and 1676 cm⁻¹ (same) in acetonitrile. From these spectra, the observed spectral pattern of the absorptions of the carbonyl groups in **6** is in good agreement with the estimated patterns by the DFT calculation in the gas phase and in the solution phase (chloroform and acetonitrile).

From the DFT calculations of dipeptide **6**, it was revealed that the intramolecular hydrogen bonding could be formed between the oxygen atom of the carbonyl group of the *N*-Boc group attached to proline and the hydrogen atom of the peptide bond between L-proline and L-alanine in the optimized structure shown in Scheme 4a. Therefore, the IR spectral results in organic solutions indeed support that dipeptide **6** primarily adopts the structures shown in Figure 15b,c and in Scheme 4a.



Figure 15. The most stable structure and calculated IR spectra of *N*-Boc-L-proline-L-alanine-OMe **6**: (**a**) The most stable structure in the gas phase; (**b**) the most stable structure in chloroform; (**c**) the most stable structure in acetonitrile; (**d**) calculated IR spectrum in the gas phase; (**e**) calculated IR spectrum in chloroform; (**f**) calculated IR spectrum in acetonitrile. *Same* and *Opposite* in (**d**, **f**) mean the stretching vibration in the same direction and opposite direction for each carbonyl bond, respectively.

The IR spectra in chloroform and in acetonitrile along with the predicted IR spectra of *N*-Boc-L-proline-L-serine-OMe 7, are shown in Figures 16 and 17, respectively. The carbonyl groups assigned to the carbonyl bond in the ester group in 7 were observed at 1743 cm⁻¹ and those in the *N*-Boc group and in the peptide bond were observed at 1676 cm⁻¹ in chloroform (Figure 16b). These carbonyl groups were observed at 1749 and 1697 cm⁻¹ in acetonitrile (Figure 16d). The calculated IR spectra of 7 in the gas phase and in the solution phase are shown in Figure 17d,f. As shown in Figure 17d, the carbonyl bond assigned to the carbomethoxy group appeared at 1792 cm⁻¹, that assigned to the peptide bond was at 1741 cm⁻¹, and that assigned to the *N*-Boc group was at 1717 cm⁻¹ in the gas phase.

As in those of dipeptide **6**, the observed IR spectra in organic solution and the estimated IR spectra of the most stable structure of **7** showed good agreement. From the results of the IR spectra of dipeptide 7 in organic solution and the calculated IR spectra, we reasoned that an intramolecular hydrogen bonding was formed between the oxygen atom of the carbonyl group in the *N*-Boc group attached to L-proline and the hydrogen atom of the hydroxy group of the serine in the organic solution as shown in Figure 17a–c and Scheme 4b in the organic solution.



Figure 16. IR spectra of *N*-Boc-L-proline-L-serine-OMe 7: (**a**) CHCl₃ (red line); (**b**) 20 mmol/L of 7 in CHCl₃; (**c**) acetonitrile (blue line); (**d**) 20 mmol/L of 7 in acetonitrile.



Figure 17. The most stable structure and calculated IR spectra of *N*-Boc-L-proline-L-serine-OMe 7: (a) The most stable structure in the gas phase; (b) the most stable structure in chloroform; (c) the most stable structure in acetonitrile; (d) calculated IR spectrum in the gas phase; (e) calculated IR spectrum in chloroform; (f) calculated IR spectrum in acetonitrile.

Next, the observed IR spectra in chloroform and in acetonitrile along with the predicted IR spectra of the most stable structures of *N*-Boc-L-alanine-L-proline-OMe **9** and those of *N*-Boc-L-serine-L-proline-OMe **10** are shown in Figures 18 and 19 as well as Figures 20 and 21. The absorptions of the carbonyl groups assigned to the carbonyl bond in the carbomethoxy

group in **9** in chloroform and in acetonitrile were observed at 1743 and 1747 cm⁻¹, and those assigned to the carbonyl bond in *N*-Boc group were observed at 1705 and 1709 cm⁻¹ also in chloroform and in acetonitrile as shown in Figure 18b,d. The absorption assigned to the carbonyl bond in the peptide bond was observed at 1649 cm⁻¹ in chloroform, whereas the absorption in acetonitrile was not observed because of the overlap with the background of the solvent (Figure 18b–d).



Figure 18. IR spectra of *N*-Boc-L-alanine-L-proline-OMe **9**: (**a**) CHCl₃ (red line); (**b**) 20 mmol/L of **9** in CHCl₃; (**c**) acetonitrile (blue line); (**d**) 20 mmol/L of **9** in acetonitrile.



Figure 19. The most stable structure and calculated IR spectra of *N*-Boc-L-alanine-L-proline-OMe **9**: (a) the most stable structure in the gas phase, (b) the most stable structure in chloroform, (c) the most stable structure in acetonitrile, (d) calculated IR spectrum in the gas phase, (e) calculated IR spectrum in chloroform, (f) calculated IR spectrum in acetonitrile.

The absorptions of carbonyl groups assigned to the carbonyl bond in the ester group in **10** were observed at 1736 and 1745 cm⁻¹ in chloroform and in acetonitrile respectively, and those assigned to the carbonyls in the *N*-Boc group were observed at 1707 and 1712 cm⁻¹

also in chloroform and in acetonitrile respectively, and the absorption assigned to the carbonyl in the peptide bond was observed at 1647 cm⁻¹ in chloroform (Figure 20b,d).



Figure 20. IR spectra of *N*-Boc-L-serine-L-proline-OMe **10**: (**a**) CHCl₃ (red line); (**b**) 20 mmol/L of **10** in CHCl₃; (**c**) acetonitrile (blue line); (**d**) 20 mmol/L of **10** in acetonitrile.

Both the observed IR spectra of **9** and **10** were found to be in good agreement with the estimated IR spectra of the most stable structures of **9** and **10**, respectively. It is especially notable that the optimized structure of **10** obtained by the DFT calculation showed the existence of the intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the oxygen atom of the carbonyl bond in the *N*-Boc group and the hydrogen atom of the hydroxy group of the serine (Figure 21a–c). These results support the solvent effects of the carbonyl group of each *N*-Boc group in **9** and **10** as shown in Figures 12 and 13.



Figure 21. The most stable structure and calculated IR spectra of *N*-Boc-L-serine-L-proline-OMe **10**: (a) the most stable structure in the gas phase, (b) the most stable structure in chloroform, (c) the most stable structure in acetonitrile, (d) calculated IR spectrum in the gas phase, (e) calculated IR spectrum in chloroform, (f) calculated IR spectrum in acetonitrile.

4. Conclusions

In summary, the correlation between the ¹³C NMR chemical shift of carbonyl carbons by NMR spectroscopy and the solvent polarity parameter E_T^N , the IR absorption spectra in solution, and the predicted IR spectra from the optimized structures obtained by DFT calculations can reveal the intermolecular and intramolecular interactions between the amino acid derivatives including dipeptide derivatives and solvents. In the case of N-Boc-protected amino acids and the dipeptides having a small aliphatic functional group (e.g., alanine), more prominent downfield shifts with increase of E_T^N value were observed for the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyls in the carbomethoxy group and in the N-Boc groups. This observation indicates the existence of intermolecular forces between the carbonyl group and the solvent due to the less steric hindrance by the amino acids with a small functional group as depicted in Scheme 2. Amino acids and dipeptides having a large functional group (e.g., proline) showed slight downfield shifts for the carbonyl in the *N*-Boc group with increase of E_T^N perhaps due to the steric bulkiness and the hydrogen bonding between the oxygen atom of the *N*-Boc group and the peptide bond as shown in Scheme 4. In particular, the carbonyls in N-Boc-L-proline-L-proline-OMe 8 were hardly affected by the change of the solvent polarity due to the bulkiness (Scheme 4c). In the case of N-Boc-protected amino acid and dipeptides having a hydroxyl group, the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the carbonyl carbon in N-Boc group were almost constant regardless of the polarity of the solvent, due to the intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the oxygen atom of the carbonyl bond of the N-Boc group and the hydrogen atom of the hydroxy group of serine as depicted in Schemes 4b and 5. The above tendency found on the proline residue of 8 in which the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts remains near-constant is as notable as this hydrogen bonding.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.action.com/actionals //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/org3010003/s1. Table S1. B3LYP/6-31+G(d) Optimized cartesian coordinates, energies, and calculated frequencies of carbonyl groups in the gas phase for N-Boc-Lproline-L-alanine-OMe 6, Table S2. B3LYP/6-31+G(d) Optimized cartesian coordinates, energies, and calculated frequencies of carbonyl groups in chloroform for N-Boc-L-proline-L-alanine-OMe 6. Table S3. B3LYP/6-31+G(d) Optimized cartesian coordinates, energies, and calculated frequencies of carbonyl groups in acetonitrile for N-Boc-L-proline-L-alanine-OMe 6. Table S4. B3LYP/6-31+G(d) Optimized cartesian coordinates, energies, and calculated frequencies of carbonyl groups in the gas phase for N-Boc-L-proline-L-serine-OMe 7. Table S5. B3LYP/6-31+G(d) Optimized cartesian coordinates, energies, and calculated frequencies of carbonyl groups in chloroform for N-Boc-Lproline-L-serine-OMe 7. Table S6. B3LYP/6-31+G(d) Optimized cartesian coordinates, energies, and calculated frequencies of carbonyl groups in acetonitrile for N-Boc-L-proline-L-serine-OMe 7. Table S7. B3LYP/6-31+G(d) Optimized cartesian coordinates, energies, and calculated frequencies of carbonyl groups in the gas phase for N-Boc-L-alanine-L-proline-OMe 9. Table S8. B3LYP/6-31+G(d) Optimized cartesian coordinates, energies, and calculated frequencies of carbonyl groups in chloroform for N-Boc-L-alanine-L-proline-OMe 9. Table S9. B3LYP/6-31+G(d) Optimized cartesian coordinates, energies, and calculated frequencies of carbonyl groups in acetonitrile for N-Boc-L-alanine-L-proline-OMe 9. Table S10. B3LYP/6-31+G(d) Optimized cartesian coordinates, energies, and calculated frequencies of carbonyl groups in the gas phase for N-Boc-L-serine-L-proline-OMe 10. Table S11. B3LYP/6-31+G(d) Optimized cartesian coordinates, energies, and calculated frequencies of carbonyl groups in chloroform for N-Boc-L-serine-L-proline-OMe 10. Table S12. B3LYP/6-31+G(d) Optimized cartesian coordinates, energies, and calculated frequencies of carbonyl groups in acetonitrile for *N*-Boc-L-serine-L-proline-OMe **10**. Table S13. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of carbonyl carbons in **1a**, 1b, 2, and 3a. Table S14. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of carbonyl carbons in in 3b, 4a, 4b, and 5. Table S15. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of carbonyl carbons in 6 and 7. Table S16. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of carbonyl carbons in 8 and 9. Table S17. ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of carbonyl carbons in 10, Video S1, N-Boc-L-proline-L-alanine-OMe 6, Video S2, N-Boc-L-proline-L-serine-OMe 7, Video S3, N-Boc-L-alanine-L-proline-OMe 9, Video S4, N-Boc-L-serine-L-proline-OMe 10.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.H. and S.N.; methodology, Y.H. and S.N.; investigation, Y.H., S.N., S.C., Y.U., R.H., T.K., D.N. and K.Y.; wrote the manuscript, Y.H. and S.N. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Strategic Research Foundation Grant-aided Project for Private University (MEXT, Japan), Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (JSPS, Japan), and The Iwatani Naoji Foundation Grant, The Ogasawara Toshiaki Foundation Grant, and JST-SICORP Grant (JPMJSC21U3), Japan.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: This work has been supported by the Strategic Research Foundation Grant-aided Project for Private University (MEXT, Japan), Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (JSPS, Japan), The Iwatani Naoji Foundation Grant, The Ogasawara Toshiaki Foundation Grant, and JST-SICORP Grant (JPMJSC21U3), Japan.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Kwan, E.E.; Huang, S.G. Structural Elucidation with NMR Spectroscopy: Practical Strategies for Organic Chemists. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2008, 2008, 2671–2688. [CrossRef]
- Günther, H. NMR Spectroscopy: Basic Principles, Concepts and Applications in Chemistry, 3rd ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2013.
- 3. Dias, D.A.; Jones, O.A.H.; Beale, D.J.; Boughton, B.A.; Benheim, D.; Kouremenos, K.A.; Wolfender, J.-L.; Wishart, D.S. Current and Future Perspectives on the Structural Identification of Small Molecules in Biological Systems. *Metabolites* **2016**, *6*, 46. [CrossRef]
- 4. Maeda, M.; Aoyama, T.; Takido, T.; Seno, M. Hydrogen-Bonding Interactions of C-Tetraundecenylresorc[4]arene with Some Guest Molecules. J. Oleo Sci. 2006, 55, 637–646. [CrossRef]
- Mann, S.K.; Pham, T.N.; McQueen, L.L.; Lewandowski, J.R.; Brown, S.P. Revealing Intermolecular Hydrogen Bonding Structure and Dynamics in a Deep Eutectic Pharmaceutical by Magic-Angle Spinning NMR Spectroscopy. *Mol. Pharm.* 2020, 17, 622–631. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Milic, M.; Targos, K.; Chavez, M.T.; Thompson, M.A.M.; Jennings, J.J.; Franz, A.K. NMR Quantification of Hydrogen-Bond-Accepting Ability for Organic Molecules. J. Org. Chem. 2021, 86, 6031–6043. [CrossRef]
- Pease, L.G.; Deber, C.M.; Blout, E.R. Cyclic Peptides. V. ¹H and ¹³C Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Determination of the Preferred β Conformation for Proline-Containing Cyclic Hexapeptides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 258–260. [CrossRef]
- Nakata, S.; Tenno, R.; Deguchi, A.; Yamamoto, H.; Hiraga, Y.; Izumi, S. Marangoni Flow around a Camphor Disk Regenerated by the Interaction between Camphor and Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate Molecules. *Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 2015, 466, 40–44. [CrossRef]
- Hiraga, Y.; Chaki, S.; Niwayama, S. ¹³C NMR Spectroscopic Studies of the Behaviors of Carbonyl Compounds in Various Solutions. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2017, 58, 4677–4681. [CrossRef]
- 10. Reichardt, C. Solvents and Solvent Effects in Organic Chemistry, 3rd ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2003.
- 11. Reichardt, C. Solvatochromic Dyes as Solvent Polarity Indicators. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 2319–2358. [CrossRef]
- 12. Niwayama, S. Highly Efficient Selective Monohydrolysis of Symmetric Diesters. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 5834–5836. [CrossRef]
- Sarnowski, M.P.; Kang, C.W.; Elbatrawi, Y.M.; Wojtas, L.; Valle, J.R.D. Peptide N-Amination Supports β-Sheet Conformation. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 2083–2086. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ramotowska, S.; Zarzeczańska, D.; Dąbkowska, I.; Wcisło, A.; Niedziałkowski, P.; Czaczyk, E.; Grobelna, B.; Ossowski, T. Hydrogen Bonding and Protonation Effects in Amino Acids' Anthraquinone Derivatives—Spectroscopic and Electrochemical Studies. Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 2019, 222, 117226. [CrossRef]
- 15. Shoulders, M.D.; Raines, R.T. Interstrand Dipole-Dipole Interactions Can Stabilize the Collagen Triple Helix. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2011, 286, 22905–22912. [CrossRef]
- 16. Shamsir, M.S.; Dalby, A.R. β-Sheet Containment by Flanking Prolines: Molecular Dynamic Simulations of the Inhibition of β-Sheet Elongation by Proline Residues in Human Prion Protein. *Biophys. J.* **2007**, *92*, 2080–2089. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lee, C.; Kalmar, L.; Xue, B.; Tompa, P.; Daughdrill, G.W.; Uversky, V.N.; Han, K.-H. Contribution of Proline to the Pre-structuring Tendency of Transient Helical Secondary Structure Elements in Intrinsically Disordered Proteins. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2014, 1840, 993–1003. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Deber, C.M.; Bovey, F.A.; Carver, J.P.; Blout, E.R. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Evidence for cis-Peptide Bonds in Proline Oligomers. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 6191–6198. [CrossRef]

- 19. Torchia, D.A.; Sparks, S.W. Proline Assignments and Identification of the Cis K116/P117 Peptide Bond in Liganded Staphylococcal Nuclease Using Isotope Edited 2D NMR Spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 8315–8317. [CrossRef]
- Ohgo, K.; Dabalos, C.L.; Kumashiro, K.K. Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy and Isotopic Labeling Target Abundant Dipeptide Sequences in Elastin's Hydrophobic Domains. *Macromolecules* 2018, 51, 2145–2156. [CrossRef]
- Lansing, J.C.; Hu, J.G.; Belenky, M.; Griffin, R.G.; Herzfeld, J. Solid-State NMR Investigation of the Buried X-Proline Peptide Bonds of Bacteriorhodopsin. *Biochemistry* 2003, 42, 3586–3593. [CrossRef]
- 22. Aliv, A.E.; Courtier-Murias, D. Conformational Analysis of L-Prolines in Water. J. Phys. Chem. B 2007, 111, 14034–14042. [CrossRef]
- Budαěšínský, M.; Ragnarsson, U.; Lankiewicz, L.; Grehn, L.; Slaninová, J.; Hlaváček, J. Synthesis and Utilization of ¹³C and ¹⁵N Backbone-labeled Proline: NMR Study of Synthesized Oxytocin with Backbone-labeled C-terminal Tripeptide Amide. *Amino* Acids 2005, 29, 151–160. [CrossRef]
- 24. Presser, A.; Hüfner, A. Trimethylsilyldiazomethane—A Mild and Efficient Reagent for the Methylation of Carboxylic Acids and Alcohols in Natural Products. *Mon. Chem.* 2004, 135, 1015–1022. [CrossRef]
- Leggio, A.; Liguori, A.; Perri, F.; Siciliano, C.; Viscomi, M.C. Methylation of α-Amino Acids and Derivatives Using Trimethylsilyldiazomethane. *Chem. Biol. Drug Des.* 2009, 73, 287–291. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Blatchly, R.A.; Allen, T.R.; Bergstrom, D.T.; Shinozaki, Y. Microscale Synthesis and Analysis of a Dipeptide. J. Chem. Educ. 1989, 66, 965–966. [CrossRef]
- 27. Abdurragman, J.; Wahyuningrum, D.; Achmad, S.; Bundjali, B. Synthesis of Dipeptide Benzoylalanylglycine Methyl Ester and Corrosion Inhibitor Evaluation by Tafel Equation. *Sains Malays.* **2011**, *40*, 973–976.
- 28. Available online: https://gaussian.com/glossary/g09/ (accessed on 30 December 2021).
- Eilme, A. Solvatochromic Probe in Molecular Solvents: Implicit Versus Explicit Solvent Model. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* 2014, 133, 1538.
 [CrossRef]
- 30. Klamt, A.; Moya, C.; Palomar, J. A Comprehensive Comparison of the IEFPCM and SS(V)PE Continuum Solvation Methods with the COSMO Approach. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2015**, *11*, 4220–4225. [CrossRef]