



Abstract Post Hoc Subgroup Analysis and Identification—Learning More from Existing Data[†]

Elizabeth Mannion ^{1,*}, Paola G. Ferrario ² and Christian Ritz ¹

- ¹ National Institute of Public Health, 1455 Copenhagen, Denmark; ritz@sdu.dk
- ² Max Rubner-Institut, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany; paola.ferrario@mri.bund.de
- * Correspondence: elman@sdu.dk
- ⁺ Presented at the 14th European Nutrition Conference FENS 2023, Belgrade, Serbia, 14–17 November 2023.

Abstract: Personalized nutrition aims to exploit heterogeneity. One reason for heterogeneity may be the presence of one or more subgroups that respond better to a dietary intervention than the observed average in the entire population considered. However, designing studies solely with the intention to carry out subgroup analyses is challenging as the subgroups may be unknown. In addition, anticipated subgroup effects are rarely known in advance. This study investigates the usefulness of a methodology where principled post hoc investigations of subgroup effects are used. By means of both supervised and unsupervised learning approaches, relevant subgroups were identified using baseline covariate information. The unsupervised approach involved a principled search strategy for determining optimal cut-offs such as regression trees. Once subgroups had been identified, statistical models including treatment-subgroup interactions were fitted to estimate the subgroups effects. Data from a published nutrition trial on weight loss in children were re-evaluated to identify the subgroups that benefitted more than the average from the dietary intervention. Very preliminary results indicated that a number of subgroups could be identified using baseline covariates. Subgroup analysis seems to be underutilized in nutrition, forfeiting valuable information that could potentially inform future personalized nutrition strategies. This is particularly relevant as it is a common finding that nutrition trials only detect small average effects of dietary interventions.

Keywords: subgroup analysis; interaction; regression trees; baseline covariates; personalized nutrition

Author Contributions: Revision of the manuscript, E.M. Comments to the manuscript, P.G.F. Initiation, comments and revision of the manuscript, C.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Restrictions apply to the availability of these data. Data were obtained from the Kiel Obesity Prevention Study's original authors (https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0801703) with the permission of the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.



Citation: Mannion, E.; Ferrario, P.G.; Ritz, C. Post Hoc Subgroup Analysis and Identification—Learning More from Existing Data. *Proceedings* 2023, 91, 422. https://doi.org/10.3390/ proceedings2023091422

Academic Editors: Sladjana Sobajic and Philip Calder

Published: 9 April 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).