



Proceeding Paper

Grinding Uncertainty: Business Model Innovation as a Strategy for Coffee Sector SMEs †

Yves Clément Zimmermann



HHN, Heilbronn University of Applied Sciences, Max-Planck-Str. 39, 74081 Heilbronn, Germany; prof@yveszimmermann.de

Presented at the International Coffee Convention 2023, Mannheim, Germany, 30 September-3 October 2023.

Abstract: The coffee industry, one of the world's most vital commercial value chains, faces severe challenges, especially among its small- and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) actors. These challenges encompass social, economic, and environmental crises, with climate change being particularly menacing. This perspective article highlights business model innovation (BMI) as a proactive strategic option for SMEs in the coffee industry, providing a roadmap from the field to the coffee cup for navigating these uncertainties. Drawing on recent BMI research within the coffee sector and related fields, the piece explores transferable strategies and potential implementations, with an emphasis on sustainability-oriented BMI. The discussion identifies both potential benefits and challenges of implementing BMI. Ultimately, the article positions BMI as a promising field for both researchers and practitioners, offering sustainable solutions to the multifaceted challenges faced by the coffee industry.

Keywords: business model innovation; strategy; uncertainty; SMEs; sustainability; coffee industry; entrepreneurship

1. Introduction

Globally, coffee production has averaged over 10 million tons and spans more than 11 million hectares [1]. The coffee industry is a global business worth billions, involving many small- and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) stakeholders, such as farmers, roasters, retailers, coffee shops, grocery stores, and online retailers from over 70 countries, supporting roughly 120 million people's livelihoods [2,3]. As such, the coffee industry is one of the most crucial global commercial value chains. However, it faces significant challenges, especially among its SME actors [4-6]. These challenges include social, economic, and environmental crises, with climate change being particularly threatening [1,3,7].

SMEs are particularly susceptible to risks due to their restricted resources [8]. SMEs depend on their ability to innovate more than larger companies, as they often depend on a single business model (BM) [9]. Lack of resources can be a barrier to investment in innovation. Paradoxically, SMEs tend to be more flexible and quicker to adopt a BM than larger enterprises due to their less rigid corporate structures [10,11]. In addition, they have strong connections with local communities. This facilitates them in building strategic alliances rapidly and utilizing the local stakeholders' expertise to innovate owing to their distinctive connection with the region. SMEs are, therefore, well placed to respond efficiently to any crisis. They can innovate BMs and ultimately emerge stronger [10,12].

2. Theoretical Foundations

2.1. Business Model Innovation

Since its introduction in the 1990s, when it was mainly used by internet entrepreneurs presenting innovative business plans to investors, the term 'business model' has evolved significantly [13,14]. Evolving into a more conceptual tool, BMs such as Nespresso, Xerox, or Airbnb have attracted interest from researchers and practitioners [15,16]. A business



Citation: Zimmermann, Y.C. Grinding Uncertainty: Business Model Innovation as a Strategy for Coffee Sector SMEs. Proceedings 2023, 89, 16. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ICC2023-14846

Academic Editor: Steffen Schwarz

Published: 19 August 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

Proceedings **2023**, 89, 16 2 of 5

model explains how an enterprise operates [17]. Osterwalder and Pigneur's (2010) widely recognized business model canvas (BMC), for example, is composed of nine building blocks: key activities, partners and resources (strategic elements), customer segments, relationships and channels (market elements), and costs and revenue streams (financial elements) [18]. More recently, academics have agreed that the business model can be understood as a combination of three independent elements: creating, delivering, and capturing values [19–21]. Research encompasses fields such as strategy, innovation, and entrepreneurship, leading to the emergence of business model innovation (BMI) [22]. Defined by deliberate transformation [21], BMI includes designed changes to key elements or architecture [8], and is differentiated between incremental and radical approaches [23]. The multidisciplinary relevance of BMI has further extended into sustainability and circular economy [24,25]. While a business model is a representation of a company's current business logic, business model innovation is defined as the activity or process of deliberately transforming the core elements of a company and its business logic to achieve operational and strategic progress [26].

2.2. Business Model Innovation as an Entrepreneurial Response Strategy to Crisis

The term 'crisis', originally meaning 'decision' or 'turning point' in Greek, lacks a universally accepted definition [27]. Hermann (1963) identified three key components, further elaborated by scholars like Dutton (1986) and Fink (2002), emphasizing extreme, unexpected events demanding urgent response from an organization [28-30]. Organizational crises are characterized by low-probability, high-impact events, threatening the organization's viability [31]. They are often classified into two primary types: sudden and smoldering. The COVID-19 pandemic, for example, can be seen as a sudden event, while the classification of climate change as either sudden or smoldering is controversial and open to debate [12]. Academics argue that whatever the nature of the crisis, an organization needs to act quickly and decisively. In response to crises, innovation is identified as an entrepreneurial strategy, alongside retrenchment, persevering, and exit [32]. Retrenchment refers to cost-cutting measures in the short term. Persevering refers to maintaining the status quo of business activities, i.e., through debt financing in the medium term. Exit refers to discontinuation. Finally, innovating refers to a company's strategic renewal in response to a crisis in the long term. Opting for innovation is proactive, forward-looking, and provides solutions for capitalizing on emerging opportunities [12]. This approach is particularly beneficial for SMEs, enabling them to navigate effectively through crises. During the COVID-19 pandemic, assessing the crisis's impact on business models (BMs) prompted managers to potentially regard business model innovation (BMI) as a proactive and strategic choice, manifesting the significant importance of innovation in securing organizational resilience [9,12].

3. Literature Research and Analysis

A systematic literature review was conducted to explore how business model innovation in crises is related to and can contribute to SMEs in the coffee value chain [33]. Several scientific databases, including Google Scholar, PubMed, Scopus and Web of Science, were used to search the literature. Data were collected using specific keywords such as business model, business model innovation, coffee, coffee shops, roasters, farmers, smallholders, SME, crisis, and strategy. A total of 52 records were retrieved. These remain after applying a filter to exclude items other than journal articles, such as reports, book chapters, conference proceedings, and articles in a language other than English. The next step was the reading of all titles and abstracts of the relevant articles. Articles that did not fit the theme and objectives of the study were excluded, as were articles that did not clearly relate to the coffee industry or business model innovation. After screening the titles and abstracts, 48 acceptable articles were identified. A total of 18 articles were included after reading the full texts. The apparent scarcity of research may be attributed to the niche status of the domain and its inherent interdisciplinarity. The illustrated economic potential of the coffee

Proceedings **2023**, 89, 16 3 of 5

industry and the advances in the body of knowledge on entrepreneurship challenge this status quo.

4. Discussion and Findings

Approximately one-third of studies analyze popular business models of large companies like Nestle (Nescafe, Nespresso), Starbucks, or Illy [15,34–38], highlighting the lack of BMI research on coffee SMEs [39]. Three of the studies focus on smallholders proposing the inclusive business model involving the entire stakeholders as market actors as the way forward [40,41], whereas others promote the Relationship Coffee Model (RCM), where coffee quality is at the core of the relationship between growers and global buyers [42]. Three other studies focus on somewhat larger coffee farmers, coffee plantations, and coffee processors, generally using the Triple-Layer BMC as a tool for designing a more sustainable BM [43–45]. Three more studies analyze very statically the BMs of local coffee shops in India (Café Coffee Day, Bangalore, India), Indonesia (Klinik Kopi, Yogyakarta, Indonesia), and coffee shops in general, relying on business model canvas analysis [39,46,47]. A single study is an investigation of the business model of coffee machine rental companies [48]. Thus, within this small sample of studies, the diversity within the coffee value chain, as well as the need for BMI-related research within coffee SMEs, can be observed.

The findings indicate that recent research on SME innovation, in particular, places a strong emphasis on sustainable business model innovation (SBMI), whether it is trying to develop a sustainable coffee plantation in Java by applying the triple-layer BMC [44] or harvesting coffee by-products by applying the circular BMC [49]. Unfortunately, the articles remain theoretical and lack empirical verification. The aim of SBMI is to capture economic value while preserving or restoring natural, social, and economic capital beyond the boundaries of the organization. Coffee cultivation, in particular, generates by-products in quantities which are potentially dangerous for the environment. A BM that focuses on a circular economy and aims to recover these by-products could provide an interesting solution in terms of environmental, social, and economic sustainability, guaranteeing an additional income stream. BMI could also be a key tool for specialty coffee SMEs in the face of competition from large branded coffee companies [39]. In related fields, several classifications of SBMI have been empirically tested [19]. Lüdeke-Freund et al. propose 45 sustainable business models (BMs), e.g., eco-design, closing the loop, and the green supply chain model could be highly transferable to the coffee sector, with industrial ecology parks sharing knowledge and facilities and closing energy, water and material loops [50].

5. Conclusions

Drawing on the analysis, this article highlights the importance of BMI within the coffee sector, emphasizing the relevance for SMEs. SBMI, in particular, is seen as an opportunity to address environmental and social challenges, and the need for further research that focuses on the practical implementation of these models within SMEs is underlined. The SBMI strategies explored here present a critical pathway for navigating the uncertainties and multifaceted challenges faced by the coffee industry.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable. **Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

Proceedings **2023**, 89, 16 4 of 5

References

 Bilen, C.; El Chami, D.; Mereu, V.; Trabucco, A.; Marras, S.; Spano, D. A Systematic Review on the Impacts of Climate Change on Coffee Agrosystems. *Plants* 2022, 12, 102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 2. Bracken, P.; Burgess, P.J.; Girkin, N.T. Opportunities for Enhancing the Climate Resilience of Coffee Production through Improved Crop, Soil and Water Management. *Agroecol. Sustain. Food Syst.* **2023**, *47*, 1125–1157. [CrossRef]
- 3. Bager, S.L.; Lambin, E.F. Sustainability Strategies by Companies in the Global Coffee Sector. *Bus. Strat. Environ.* **2020**, 29, 3555–3570. [CrossRef]
- 4. Alamsyah, A.; Widiyanesti, S.; Wulansari, P.; Nurhazizah, E.; Dewi, A.S.; Rahadian, D.; Ramadhani, D.P.; Hakim, M.N.; Tyasamesi, P. Blockchain Traceability Model in the Coffee Industry. *J. Open Innov. Technol. Mark. Complex.* **2023**, *9*, 100008. [CrossRef]
- 5. Barreto Peixoto, J.A.; Silva, J.F.; Oliveira, M.B.P.P.; Alves, R.C. Sustainability Issues along the Coffee Chain: From the Field to the Cup. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* **2023**, 22, 287–332. [CrossRef]
- 6. Khurrohmah, M.; Liestyana, Y.; Wahyuningsih, T. Social Capital, Knowledge Sharing, Innovation, and Performance of Coffee Producer SMEs in Purworejo, Central Java. *J. Ilm. Manaj. Kesatuan* **2022**, *10*, 471–482. [CrossRef]
- 7. Birthwright, A.-T.; Mighty, M. Risky Business: Modeling the Future of Jamaica's Coffee Production in a Changing Climate. *Climate* **2023**, *11*, 122. [CrossRef]
- 8. Clauss, T.; Bouncken, R.B.; Laudien, S.; Kraus, S. Business Model Reconfiguration and Innovation in SMEs: A Mixed Method Analysis from the Electronics Industry. *Int. J. Innov. Manag.* **2020**, 24, 2050015. [CrossRef]
- 9. Zimmermann, Y.C. Tourismus in der Krise: COVID-19 als Auslöser für neue Geschäftsmodelle und Digitalisierung: Fallstudie mit Akteuren einer Flusskreuzfahrtdestination im ersten COVID-Lockdown; 1st Auflage 2024; Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH: Wiesbaden, Germany, 2023. [CrossRef]
- 10. Morgan, T.; Anokhin, S.; Ofstein, L.; Friske, W. SME Response to Major Exogenous Shocks: The Bright and Dark Sides of Business Model Pivoting. *Int. Small Bus. J.* 2020, *38*, 369–379. [CrossRef]
- 11. Kuik, S.; Kumar, A.; Diong, L.; Ban, J. A Systematic Literature Review on the Transition to Circular Business Models for Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises (SMEs). *Sustainability* **2023**, *15*, 9352. [CrossRef]
- 12. Clauss, T.; Breier, M.; Kraus, S.; Durst, S.; Mahto, R.V. Temporary Business Model Innovation—SMEs' Innovation Response to the COVID-19 Crisis. *R D Manag.* **2021**, *52*, 294–312. [CrossRef]
- 13. Magretta, J. Why Business Models Matter. Harv. Bus. Rev. 2002, 80, 86–92, 133.
- 14. Zott, C.; Amit, R.; Massa, L. The Business Model: Recent Developments and Future Research. *J. Manag.* **2011**, *37*, 1019–1042. [CrossRef]
- 15. Matzler, K.; Bailom, F.; Friedrich von den Eichen, S.; Kohler, T. Business Model Innovation: Coffee Triumphs for Nespresso. *J. Bus. Strategy* **2013**, *34*, 30–37. [CrossRef]
- 16. Chesbrough, H. The Role of the Business Model in Capturing Value from Innovation: Evidence from Xerox Corporation's Technology Spin-off Companies. *Ind. Corp. Chang.* **2002**, *11*, 529–555. [CrossRef]
- 17. Casadesus-Masanell, R.; Ricart, J.E. From Strategy to Business Models and onto Tactics. *Long Range Plan.* **2010**, *43*, 195–215. [CrossRef]
- 18. Osterwalder, A.; Pigneur, Y. Business Model Generation: A Handbook for Visionaries, Game Changers, and Challengers; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2010; ISBN 978-0-470-87641-1.
- 19. Donner, M.; De Vries, H. Business Models for Sustainable Food Systems: A Typology Based on a Literature Review. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* **2023**, *7*, 1160097. [CrossRef]
- 20. Clauss, T. Measuring Business Model Innovation: Conceptualization, Scale Development, and Proof of Performance: Measuring Business Model Innovation. *R D Manag.* **2017**, *47*, 385–403. [CrossRef]
- 21. Foss, N.J.; Saebi, T. Fifteen Years of Research on Business Model Innovation: How Far Have We Come, and Where Should We Go? *J. Manag.* 2017, 43, 200–227. [CrossRef]
- 22. Bigelow, L.S.; Barney, J.B. What Can Strategy Learn from the Business Model Approach? *J. Manag. Stud.* **2021**, *58*, 528–539. [CrossRef]
- 23. Kraus, S.; Kanbach, D.K.; Krysta, P.M.; Steinhoff, M.M.; Tomini, N. Facebook and the Creation of the Metaverse: Radical Business Model Innovation or Incremental Transformation? *Int. J. Entrep. Behav. Res.* **2022**, *28*, 52–77. [CrossRef]
- 24. Geissdoerfer, M.; Vladimirova, D.; Evans, S. Sustainable Business Model Innovation: A Review. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2018**, 198, 401–416. [CrossRef]
- 25. Schneckenberg, D.; Matzler, K.; Spieth, P. Theorizing Business Model Innovation: An Organizing Framework of Research Dimensions and Future Perspectives. *R D Manag.* **2022**, *52*, 593–609. [CrossRef]
- 26. Pucihar, A.; Lenart, G.; Kljajić Borštnar, M.; Vidmar, D.; Marolt, M. Drivers and Outcomes of Business Model Innovation—Micro, Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises Perspective. *Sustainability* **2019**, *11*, 344. [CrossRef]
- 27. Paraskevas, A.; Quek, M. When Castro Seized the Hilton: Risk and Crisis Management Lessons from the Past. *Tour. Manag.* **2019**, 70, 419–429. [CrossRef]
- 28. Hermann, C.F. Some Consequences of Crisis Which Limit the Viability of Organizations. Adm. Sci. Q. 1963, 8, 61. [CrossRef]
- 29. Dutton, J.E. The Processing of Crisis and Non-Crisis Strategic Issues. J. Manag. Stud. 1986, 23, 501–517. [CrossRef]
- 30. Fink, S. *Crisis Management: Planning for the Inevitable*; An Authors Guild Backinprint.com Edition; Revised with a New Foreword; iUniverse: Lincoln, NE, USA, 2002; ISBN 978-0-595-09079-2.

Proceedings **2023**, 89, 16 5 of 5

- 31. Pearson, C.M.; Clair, J.A. Reframing Crisis Management. Acad. Manag. Rev. 1998, 23, 59–76. [CrossRef]
- 32. Wenzel, M.; Stanske, S.; Lieberman, M.B. Strategic Responses to Crisis. Strat. Manag. J. 2021, 42, O16–O27. [CrossRef]
- 33. Tranfield, D.; Denyer, D.; Smart, P. Towards a Methodology for Developing Evidence-Informed Management Knowledge by Means of Systematic Review. *Br. J. Manag.* **2003**, *14*, 207–222. [CrossRef]
- 34. Cannarozzo Tinoco, M.A.; Lago, N.C.; Echeveste, M.E.; Rodeghiero Neto, Í.; Schwengber Ten Caten, C. Circular Value Proposition for the Coffee Capsule Chain from a Sustainable PSS Solution Design Method. *Proc. Des. Soc.* **2023**, *3*, 907–916. [CrossRef]
- 35. Snihur, Y.; Markman, G. Business Model Research: Past, Present, and Future. J. Manag. Stud. 2023. [CrossRef]
- 36. Pies, I.; Schultz, F.C. The Governance of Sustainable Business Model Innovation—An Ordonomic Approach. *Scand. J. Manag.* **2023**, *39*, 101246. [CrossRef]
- 37. Koen, P.A.; Bertels, H.M.J.; Elsum, I.R. The Three Faces of Business Model Innovation: Challenges for Established Firms. *Res.-Technol. Manag.* **2011**, *54*, 52–59. [CrossRef]
- 38. Cao, J.; Qiu, R. Retail Coffee Business Analysis and Industry Development Research Based on Starbucks Business Model. In Proceedings of the E3S Web Conference—2021 2nd International Conference on New Energy Technology and Industrial Development (NETID 2021), Nanjing, China, 25–27 June 2021; Volume 292, p. 03030. [CrossRef]
- 39. Yostrakul, A. Value Co-Creation in Sustainable Entrepreneurship: An Exploratory Study on SMES' Business Model Innovation. In Proceedings of the 30 Years of Research in Innovation and Product Development Management: Discovering Together the Next 30, Lecco, Italy, 7–9 June 2023.
- 40. Sedana, G.; Astawa, N.D. Establishment of Inclusive Business on Coffee Production in Bali Province: Lesson from the Coffee Development Project in Nusa Tenggara Timur Province, Indonesia. *Asian J. Agric. Rural. Dev.* **2019**, *9*, 111–122. [CrossRef]
- 41. Mulyati, H.; Indrawan, D. Inclusive Business Models in Coffee Value Chain: Comparing Practical Evidence between East Java and North Sumatera. *J. Manaj. Agribisnis* **2021**, *18*, 122. [CrossRef]
- 42. Hernandez-Aguilera, J.N.; Gómez, M.I.; Rodewald, A.D.; Rueda, X.; Anunu, C.; Bennett, R.; Van Es, H.M. Quality as a Driver of Sustainable Agricultural Value Chains: The Case of the Relationship Coffee Model: Quality as a Driver of Sustainable Agricultural Value Chains: The Case of the Relationship Coffee Model. Bus. Strat. Environ. 2018, 27, 179–198. [CrossRef]
- 43. Furqon, C.; Sultan, M.A.; Wijaya, F. Business Development of Coffee Farmers Group Using Triple Layered Business Model Canvas. *J. Bus. Econ. Rev.* **2019**, *4*, 163–170. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Weullas, W.; Adib Sultan, M.; Furqon, C. Developing a Coffee Plantation Business Model Using the Tripple Layer Business Model Canvas. *Bus. Innov. Entrep. J. (BIEJ)* **2023**, *5*, 33–39. [CrossRef]
- 45. Tan, G.N.D. A Business-Model Approach on Strategic Flexibility of Firms in a Shifting Value Chain: The Case of Coffee Processors in Amadeo and Silang, Cavite, Philippines. *Glob. J. Flex. Syst. Manag.* **2021**, 22, 17–28. [CrossRef]
- 46. Rahardjo, B.; Hasbullah, R.; Taqi, F.M. Coffee Shop Business Model Analysis. Integrated J. Bus. Econ. 2019, 3, 140. [CrossRef]
- 47. Mishra, A. Business Model for Indian Retail Sector: The Café Coffee Day Case. IIMB Manag. Rev. 2013, 25, 160–170. [CrossRef]
- 48. Sudirjo, F. Coffe Machine Rental Business Model Analysis: Exploring Market Opportunities and Business Development Strategies. West Sci. Bus. Manag. 2023, 1, 197–205. [CrossRef]
- 49. Lagrasta, F.P.; Pontrandolfo, P.; Scozzi, B. Circular Economy Business Models for the Tanzanian Coffee Sector: A Teaching Case Study. Sustainability 2021, 13, 13931. [CrossRef]
- 50. Lüdeke-Freund, F.; Breuer, H.; Massa, L. Sustainable Business Model Design: 45 Patterns, 1st ed.; Florian Lüdeke-Freund, Henning Breuer, Lorenzo Massa: Berlin, Germany, 2022; ISBN 978-3-9824003-0-3.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.