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Abstract: The classification of sleep stages is a crucial task in the context of sleep medicine. It involves the analysis of multiple signals thus being tedious and complex. Even for a trained physician scoring a whole night sleep study can take several hours. Most of the automatic methods trying to solve this problem use human engineered features biased for a specific dataset. In this work we use deep learning to avoid human bias. We propose an ensemble of 5 convolutional networks achieving a kappa index of 0.83 when classifying 500 sleep studies.
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1. Introduction

Sleep disorders are a common problem: insomnia has a prevalence of 20% and daytime sleepiness between 12% and 15% [1,2]. Sleep disorders can be diagnosed analysing a set of bio-signals recorded during the sleep period, a technique called polysomnography. This analysis is expensive, uncomfortable for the patient and difficult to interpret. Thus, it is usually presented as an hypnogram, a graph showing the evolution of the sleep stages.

The gold standard for the hypnogram construction is the American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) guide, which includes how to identify sleep stages and associated events such as arousals, movements and cardiac and respiratory events. This guide identifies 5 sleep stages: Awake (W), Rapid Eye Movements (REM), and 3 Non REM known as N1, N2, and N3. A well built hypnogram allows a quickly and accurate diagnosis. Yet, the agreement between two experts trying to build the same hypnogram is lower than 90% (with a kappa index between 0.48 and 0.89 [3]), with even less agreement for specific stages such as N1.

The aforementioned reasons motivated several works that automate the sleep stages classification. Traditionally, these works were based on feature extraction and later classification [4–8], solutions commonly biased towards the available dataset. To solve the bias problem we propose the use of Deep Learning, an option already explored by some authors [9–13].

Particularly, we use a convolutional network that learns the relevant features for the classification by itself. Following the AASM guides we use multiple channels, namely two electroencephalogram (EEG), one electromyogram (EMG) and both electrooculogram (EOG). Furthermore, our signals are filtered to reduce noise and remove artefacts induced by the electrocardiogram (ECG).

2. Materials

Our experiments were carried out using real polysomnographs (PSG) from the Sleep Heart Health Study (SHHS) [14]. These PSG were scored by several experts following the AASM rules [15] and include 2 EEG, both EOG, EMG and ECG.
From the database we randomly selected 3 datasets for training, testing and validation containing 400, 100, and 500 registers respectively, or 288,000, 119,121, and 606,981 samples. Most of the samples belong to class N2 (36%) or W (38%), and the less represented one is N1 (3%). Imbalanced classes is a typical problem in sleep medicine.

3. Method

We use a convolutional network that is fed with 5 filtered signals simultaneously: two EEG derivations, both EOG and one EMG. The filtering pipeline includes a Notch filter in 60 Hz for all the signals, and a high pass in 15 Hz for the EMG signal. We also remove ECG artefacts using an adaptive filter [16].

Following clinical procedure, the network input are 30 s windows (usually called epochs) with the signals re-sampled (if needed) to 125 Hz, resulting in a sample dimension of 3750 × 5. Each signal is normalised to mean 0 and deviation 1, using as reference the training dataset.

Figure 1 represents the proposed convolutional network. The convolutional block presented in the figure is a set of four layers including: 1D convolution, batch normalization, ReLu activation and average pool. This block is repeated \( n \) times. All the 1D convolutions have the same kernel size but layer \( i \) has twice the filters of layer \( i - 1 \).

The network was trained using Adam optimiser with 64 samples per batch and early stopping with a patience of 10, monitoring the loss made in the validation dataset.

To select the hyper-parameters: \( n \), the number of filters for the first layer, kernel size, and learning rate; we used a Tree-structured Parzen Estimator (TPE), which is sequential model-based optimisation (SMBO) approach. We trained 50 models using the TPE and selected the 5 best to build an ensemble.

4. Results

The ensemble built with the 5 best models was used to carry out experiments with the test dataset, obtaining the performance measures and confusion matrix shown in Figure 2. The class with the best classification is W and then N2, N3, REM show similar values regarding the F1 score, although there are significant differences in the sensitivity. As expected, N1 is the class with the worst classification, with values lower than 0.4. Apart from the problems classifying class N1, most of the errors happen between classes N2 and N3.

![Figure 1. Proposed Convolutional Network.](image)

![Figure 2. Confusion matrix for the test set classification using the 5 model ensemble.](image)
5. Discussion and Conclusions

In this work we propose an ensemble of convolutional networks to classify sleep stages. The main reason is to avoid introducing human bias in our solution with a method that learns the relevant features by itself.

To configure the network hyper-parameters we used a tree-structured parzen estimator, evaluating 50 different models and selecting the best 5 to build an ensemble. This ensemble achieves an average precision, sensitivity, and F1 score of 0.78, 0.75 and 0.76 with a kappa index of 0.83. Yet it shows difficulties to classify class N1 and a bias towards class N2.

Given the lack of standards or benchmarks related to this problem, it is difficult to compare our solutions against previous works. Some references are shown in Table 1. Our values are competitive, achieving the highest kappa index and the best classification for class W.

Table 1. Performance achieved in previous works.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work</th>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Kappa</th>
<th>F1 Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>N1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biswal et al. [12]</td>
<td>Massachusetts General Hospital, 1000 recordings</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Längkvist et al. [9]</td>
<td>St Vicent’s University Hospital, 25 recordings</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sors et al. [13]</td>
<td>SHHS, 1730 recordings</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supratak et al. [11]</td>
<td>MAS5 dataset, 62 recordings</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supratak et al. [11]</td>
<td>SleepEDE, 20 recordings</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsinalis et al. [10]</td>
<td>SleepEDE, 39 recordings</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsinalis et al. [17]</td>
<td>SleepEDE, 39 recordings</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>SHHS, 500 recordings</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are promising and the approach should be easily extended to other PSG sources. Moreover, if we could have PSG acquired in different conditions during the training phase, regularisation should improve.

As future work, we need to understand how and why the model makes the decisions instead of treating it as a black box.
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