

Proceedings

A Textile Based Polypyrrole Chloride Sensor for Agricultural Use [†]

Monika Glanc-Gostkiewicz * and Nick Harris

Department of Electronics and Computer Science, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK

* Correspondence: M.Glanc-Gostkiewicz@soton.ac.uk; Tel.: +44-792-716-9649

[†] Presented at the EuroSensors 2017 Conference, Paris, France, 3–6 September 2017.

Published: 9 August 2017

Abstract: This paper describes the feasibility of developing a novel polypyrrole (PPy) potentiometric sensor. Conductive PPy-based polymers has been grown through a textile scaffold to create a flexible and robust sensing structure that can survive environments such as soil, with the choice of the scaffold materials offering control of the environmental impact. Further work has demonstrated that doped PPy has an electrochemical response that is sensitive to different measurands' concentration and in this case, we look at chloride response. Various chloride sensors were evaluated in varying concentrations of the potassium chloride test solutions in terms of their chloride ion sensitivity, hydration times and usable lifetime. The best performing sensor has shown a near-Nernstian response between 45–48 mV/decade to chloride ions and at least 2 weeks initial lifetime.

Keywords: chloride sensor; potentiometric sensor; conducting polymer; polypyrrole; environment

1. Introduction

Chloride is an essential mineral for many plant functions. Greater than natural chloride concentrations can influence growth, reproduction and survival of species. Therefore, it is important to monitor the impact of chloride on the environmental conditions and detect any fluctuation caused by this pollutant. To detect the contamination of natural water sources and soil a network of early warning systems consisting of in-situ miniaturised electrochemical sensors are required as a simple alternative to the current methods of detection of pollution [1]. This approach enables the localization of pollution sources and better control of the environment but requires larger numbers of miniaturised, rugged and biodegradable devices suitable for field deployment [2,3].

The rapid progress in information technology and microelectronics opens the way for development of new and low cost sensors for continuous environmental monitoring measurements [4]. In 1990s, organic conjugated polymers (conducting polymers) have emerged as potential candidates for electrochemical sensors [5]. It has been known for some time [6] that doped PPy films have an electrochemical response to ions concentration and therefore they might be used as potentiometric sensors.

This paper investigates the feasibility of developing a potentiometric sensor based on conducting polymers around single or multiple fibres integrated into an electronic system [7,8]. The mechanism for this will be to utilize the sensing capabilities of PPy initially to investigate its performance as a chloride ion sensor. The proposed sensor differs from more traditional sensors in that it does not have a separate conduction electrode layer, such as in the silver/silver chloride sensor [9–11]. Instead, the sensing layer is the electrode which does not to be isolated from the solution to be measured.

2. Sensor Fabrication and Testing

A 65%/35% polyester-cotton fabric was chosen as a substrate for the chloride sensor. A 13.8×2.9 cm² strip of the fabric was supported by a graphite plate measuring $4.0 \times 2.5 \times 0.3$ cm³ to allow a thin and homogeneous film growth on the fabric with the electro polymerization technique in 0.4 M Py 1 M KCl solution. This was followed by the printing an insulating barrier layer of FabInk UV-IF 1039, to prevent the solution from wicking up the fabric. The PPy was grown under different conditions, as detailed in Tables 1 and 2 shows the dimensions of the sensors.

Table 1. PPy(Cl[−]) sensors' growing conditions.

| Sensor | Potential (V) | Pyrrole (M) | KCl (M) | Volume (mL) | Duration (h) |
|--------|---------------|-------------|---------|-------------|--------------|
| 1 | 0.85 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 100 | 1 |
| 2 | 0.85 | | | | 2 |
| 3 | 0.75 | | | | 1 |
| 4 | 0.75 | | | | 2 |

Table 2. PPy(Cl[−]) electrodes' sensing area.

| Sensor | Electrode Sides Length (mm) | | | | Total Area (mm ²) | Barrier Area (mm ²) | Sensing Area (mm ²) | |
|--------|-----------------------------|----|----|----|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| | a | b | c | d | b × c | b × d | a × b | b × c |
| 1 | 28 | 8 | 36 | 10 | 288 | 80 | 224 | - |
| 2 | 30 | 7 | 38 | 10 | 266 | 70 | 210 | - |
| 3 | 28 | 13 | 38 | 5 | 494 | 65 | 364 | - |
| 4 | - | 11 | 29 | - | 319 | - | - | 319 |

The three-electrode setup used in the electrolytic cell consisted of a reference electrode (RE), counter electrode (CE) and working electrode (WE). For the reference electrode a VWR 662-1806 Ag/AgCl reference electrode was selected. For the counter electrode two graphite rods measured 10 cm × 0.5 cm³ each were used. A graphite plate operated as a working electrode. The probes connected to each of the three electrodes were attached to a potentiostat (Autolab PGSTAT302N). The software used to set up the potentiostat was NOVA 1.5. Sensor evaluations were undertaken through a series of experiments: Sensitivity (before drift), Drift, and Repeatability (Sensitivity after drift). Four sensors (1, 2, 3 and 4) were immersed into various test solutions and measured with respect to a commercial reference electrode (VWR 662-1806) located centrally within a beaker containing the constantly stirred test solutions. The test solutions were designed to produce decade step changes of chloride ion concentrations. Each sensors electro-potential difference was measured using a Keighley 2000 multimeter and recorded using a RealTerm Serial Capture Program 3.0.0.30.

4. Results and Discussion

Some interesting initial results have been obtained in laboratory trials of the sensor and typical example sensitivity and drift response patterns are shown below. Sensitivity response for each PPy(Cl[−]) sensor with barrier was obtained every 1 min for 1 h. Figure 1 shows a simple graphical representation of the voltages against time of Sensor 2.

The traces on Figure 2 show the drift of Sensors 1–3 which were recorded every 1 min in 0.1 MKCl solution. Sensitivity, repeatability and drift results are summarised in Table 3 below. Sensor 4 failed very early on and so is not reported here.




Figure 1. Sensitivity and repeatability tests of Sensor 2.




Figure 2. Drift test of Sensors 1, 2, 3 with layer barrier.

Table 3. Sensitivity and repeatability tests' results.

| | | PPy(Cl ⁻) Sensor | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------|------------------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| | | 1 | | 2 | | 3 | |
| | | Solution Conc. | | Solution Conc. | | Solution Conc. | |
| Sensitivity Tests | | 0.1 M–0.01 M | 0.01 M–1 M | 1 M–0.1 M | 0.1 M–0.01 M | 1 M–0.1 M | 0.1 M–0.01 M |
| Before drift | Test 1 | 47.3 | 107.5 | 45.0 | 38.1 | 23.2 | 271.7 |
| (mv/decade) | Test 2 | 27.9 | 80.4 | 47.6 | 45.2 | not recorded | |
| After drift | Test 3 | 25.4 | 18.1 | 33.1 | 41.3 | 38.2 | 30.3 |
| (mv/decade) | | | | | | | |

The Nernst equation predicts a change of -59 mV/decade of chloride ion concentration. The best performing PPy(Cl⁻) Sensor 2 showed a sensitivity between 45 and 48 mV/decade of [Cl⁻] with the most stable drift potentials over 13 days (Table 4).

There was no direct control of the temperature of the test solutions. The ambient temperature within the laboratory varied by ± 3 °C from the set temperature of 21 °C. The temperature changes governed the level of solution in the beaker. Through the course of investigation, the solution was topped up with de-ionised water when necessary to leave the sensors immersed at a constant depth, as shown by the graph traces on Figure 2.

Table 4. Drift test results.

| PPy(Cl [−]) Sensor | Drift Duration | Barrier Layer | Initial Potential | Final Potential | Difference |
|------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|
| 1 | 8 days | Yes | 455.7 | 147.5 | 308.2 |
| 2 | 13 days | | 326.7 | 299.7 | 27.0 |
| 3 | 15 days | | 326.6 | 93.6 | 232.4 |
| 4 | 25 min | No | 439.3 | 179.2 | 260.1 |

5. Conclusions and Future Plan

In this study, a novel substrate was employed for the potentiometric chloride sensor based on doped PPy. It was shown that PPy can be electrochemically grown on/through an insulating substrate by means of a tight contact between the working electrode and the substrate. Normally the conducting surface becomes an integral part of the structure, but in this case the material can be separated from the conducting surface, bringing the PPy with it, leaving the PPy layer combined with the scaffold material. The construction is advantageous because it is grown around the fibres (rather than printed on the top). It uses the fibres as a support matrix and in principle could make individual fibres into sensing elements. The results show that this process is repeatable. The dynamic potentiometric response of sensor showed reproducibility and near-Nernstian behavior. So far, measurements have been performed in chloride solutions, but we intend to start growing the sensor in nitrate solutions to emphasise its nitrate response.

Acknowledgments: We acknowledge EPSRC financial support as part of the ‘Novel manufacturing methods for functional electronic textiles’ project (EP/M015149/1), and also thank FabInks Ltd for the interface material.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Guth, U.; Vonau, W.; Zosel, J. Recent developments in electrochemical sensor application and technology—A review. *Meas. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *20*, 1–14.
- Klaus, J.; Smettem, K.; Pfister, L.; Harris, N. Solute transport in streams of varying morphology inferred from a high resolution network of potentiometric wireless chloride sensors. In Proceedings of the EGU 2017, Vienna, Austria, 23–28 April 2017.
- Harris, N.; Cranny, A.; Rivers, M.; Smettem, K.; Barrett-Lennard, E.G. Application of distributed wireless chloride sensors to environmental monitoring: Initial results. *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.* **2016**, *65*, 736–743.
- Cranny, A.; Harris, N.; White, N. Screen-printable porous glass: A new material for electrochemical sensors. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* **2015**, *26*, 4557–4564.
- Rahman, M. A.; Kumar, P.; Park, D.S.; Shim, Y.B. Electrochemical Sensors Based on Organic Conjugated Polymers. *Sensors* **2008**, *8*, 118–141.
- Álvarez-Romero, G.A.; Palomar-Pardavé, M.E.; Ramirez-Silva, M.T. Development of a novel nitrate-selective composite sensor based on doped polypyrrole. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2007**, *387*, 1533–1541.
- Maity, S.; Chatterjee, A. Polypyrrole based electro-conductive cotton yarn. *J. Text. Sci. Eng.* **2014**, *4*, 1–4.
- Najar, S.S.; Kaynak, V.; Foitzik, C.R. Conductive wool yarns by continuous vapour phase polymerization of pyrrole. *Synth. Met.* **2007**, *157*, 1–4.
- Cranny, A.; Harris, N.R.; Nie, M.; Wharton, J.A.; Wood, R.J.; Stokes, K.R. Screen-printed potentiometric Ag/AgCl chloride sensors: Lifetime performance and their use in soil salt measurements. *Sens. Actuators A Phys.* **2011**, *169*, 288–294.
- Glanc, M.; Sophocleous, M.; Atkinson, J.K.; Garcia-Breijo, E. The effect on performance of fabrication parameter variations of thick-film screen printed silver/silver chloride potentiometric reference electrodes. *Sens. Actuators A Phys.* **2013**, *197*, 1–8.
- Glanc-Gostkiewicz, M. An investigation of thick-film electrodes for environmental monitoring. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK, December 2016.

