





Proceedings

# Characterization of Acoustic Sources by Optical Feedback Interferometry †

Fernando Urgiles 1,2, Julien Perchoux 1,\* and Thierry Bosch 1

- <sup>1</sup> Laboratory for Analysis and Architecture of Systems(LAAS-CNRS), Université de Toulouse, CNRS, INP, Toulouse, France; patriciofernando.urgilesortiz@enseeiht.fr (F.U.); thierry.bosch@laas.fr (T.B.)
- <sup>2</sup> Universidad Politécnica Salesiana, Cuenca, Ecuador
- \* Correspondence: julien.perchoux@laas.fr; Tel.: +33-5-3432-2560
- † Presented at the Eurosensors 2017 Conference, Paris, France, 3–6 September 2017.

Published: 23 August 2017

**Abstract:** Sound can be described as the propagation of pressure variations in compressible media that involves compression and expansion and induces a change in the density of the medium. This change in acoustic pressure as it induces a change of the refractive index can be measured by optical methods, the most recent being the optical feedback interferometry. With this technique, a laser diode is beaming on a reflective surface thus creating a cavity where the acoustic wave propagates. This paper presents anovel experimental technique to measure radiation pattern of acoustic sources based on optical feedback interferometry in a laser diode.

Keywords: optical feedbackinterferometry; acoustic; ultrasound; radiation pattern

## 1. Introduction

In the present research, we have elaborated a novel system to measure the sound pressure level emitted by an acoustic source. The measurement principle stands on the impact of the acoustic pressure on the transparent medium refractive index [1–5]. The experimental arrangement is as follows: the laser and the reflective surface are set in a fixed position while the acoustic wave crosses the laser beam and the change in refractive index induces changes of the optical path of the cavity (Figure 1). The variation of the laser emitted power  $P_F$  is given by [2]:


$$P_F = P_0[1 + m\cos(\omega_F \tau)] \tag{1}$$

where  $P_0$  is the laser emitted power without optical feedback, m is the modulation index that depends mostly on the reflectivity of the cavity and  $\omega_F$  is the angular frequency of the laser electric field. Eventually,  $\tau$  is the round-trip time of flight in the external cavity. In the present situation  $\tau = \tau_0 + \delta \tau$ , where  $\tau_0$  is the round-trip time in absence of pressure variations that is equal to  $2n_0L/c$  with Lthe length of the laser-target cavity, c is the velocity of light in vacuum and  $n_0$  is the refractive index of the external cavity medium. The variation induced by the medium compression  $\delta \tau$  can be defined by

$$\delta \tau = \int_0^L \frac{2\delta n(z)}{c} dz \tag{2}$$

where  $\delta n(z)$  is the change of the refractive index along the Z axis where the laser propagates.


Proceedings 2017, 1, 348 2 of 4



**Figure 1.**Principle of acoustic pressure sensing using optical feedback interferometry. The distance between the laser and the mirror is fixed; the laser diode and the monitoring photodiode are in the same package.

### 2. Experimental Setup

The acoustic source is an ultrasonic piezoelectric transmitter (MA40B8S) driven by a sinusoidal voltage at 40 kHz. It is mounted on a rotating stage controlled by a step motor. The optical feedback interferometer consists in a laser diode ML725B11F emitting at 1310 nm and a microspheres reflective tape glued on the target. The distance between the laser and the target is 200 mm and the sound wave propagates in a perpendicular plane to the laser propagation axis (Figure 2a). The distance between the laser beam and the acoustic source is set to 100mm.



**Figure 2.** (a) Side view of the setup that includes an ultrasonic piezoelectric transmitter (MA40B8S) driven by a sinusoidal voltage at 40kHz, 10 V and the optical feedback interferometer consisting in a laser diode ML725B11F emitting at 1310 nm associated to a microspheres reflective tape; (b) Top view of the setup, showing the rotating stage position from 0° to +180° with clockwise and counter clockwise movement.

Laser power variations are acquired through the monitoring photodiode included in the laser package, then using an acquisition card (NI-USB-6251) with a 1MHz sampling frequency. A Labview program controls the acquisition as well as the rotating stage position from 0° to +180° with a step of 1 degree. At each angular position 4096 samples of theamplified photodiode current are acquired then Fast Fourier Transform is performed (Figure 3).

Proceedings 2017, 1, 348 3 of 4





Figure 3. System of measure the sound pressure level of the sound emitted by an acoustic source.

#### 3. Results

Figure 4a presents the OFI sensor signal for  $0^{\circ}$ ,  $90^{\circ}$  and  $180^{\circ}$  and it can be seen that as expected the acoustic pressure is much stronger in the normal direction of propagation ( $90^{\circ}$ ) than on the lateral directions. Figure 4b is the FFT of the sensor signal when the speaker emits towards the laser and a peak at the speaker excitation frequency can clearly be observed that rises by 30 dB from the noise floor. The amplitude of the 40 kHz peak in the FFT is stored and a polar plot is computed that represents the radiation pattern of the source. Figure4c shows the normalized radiation pattern that was measured for the MA40B8S ultrasonic source.



**Figure 4.** Measurement results. (a)Time domain signals at 0° (blue dashedline), 90° (black line) and 180° (red line); (b) Frequency domain signal when the sound propagation is done towards the laser beam (corresponding to 90°); (c)Normalized acoustic pressure radiation pattern of the MA40B8S ultrasonic piezoelectric transmitter.

## 4. Conclusions

In this work, we have proposed and demonstrated a simple and reliable instrument that can be used for characterization of acoustic sources such as loudspeakers. The measurement principle based on the optical feedback in a laser diode allows for a nonintrusive measurement of acoustic radiation patterns with a very simple arrangement. In particular, no vibration controlled is required

Proceedings 2017, 1, 348 4 of 4

and all measurements have been performed on standard boards. Experimental results have been obtained with a piezoelectric transducer but the system can easily be extended to any type of acoustic source over a large frequency range only constrained by the electronic bandwidth.

**Acknowledgments:** This work was supported by the Instituto de Fomento al TalentoHumano of the Ecuadorian Government.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. Bertling, K.;Perchoux, J.; Taimre, T.; Malkin, R.; Robert, D.; Rakić, A.D.; Bosch, T. Imaging of acoustic fields using optical feedback interferometry. *Opt. Express* **2014**, 22, 30346–30356.
- 2. Taimre, T.; Nikolić, M.; Bertling, K.; Lim, Y.L.; Bosch, T.; Rakić, A.D. Laser feedback interferometry: a tutorial on the self-mixing effect for coherent sensing. *Adv. Opt. Photonics* **2015**, *7*, 570–631.
- 3. Malkin, R.; Todd, T.; Robert, D. A simple method for quantitative imaging of 2D acoustic fields using refracto-vibrometry. *J. Sound Vib.* **2014**, 333, 4473–4482.
- 4. Nakamura, K.; Hirayama, M.; Ueha, S. Measurements of air-borne ultrasound by detecting the modulation in optical refractive index of air. In Proceedings of the 2002 IEEE Ultrasonics Symposium, Munich, Germany, 8–11 October 2002; Volume 1, pp. 609–612.
- 5. Hargather, M.J.; Settles, G.S.; Madalis, M.J. Schlieren imaging of loud sounds and weak shock waves in air near the limit of visibility. *Shock Waves* **2010**, 20, 9–17.



© 2017 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).