

Article



Approximate Analytical Methods for a Fractional-Order Nonlinear System of Jaulent–Miodek Equation with Energy-Dependent Schrödinger Potential

Saleh Alshammari¹, M. Mossa Al-Sawalha^{1,*} and Rasool Shah²

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Ha'il, Ha'il 2440, Saudi Arabia
- ² Department of Mathematics, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, Mardan 23200, Pakistan
- * Correspondence: m.alswalha@uoh.edu.sa

Abstract: In this paper, we study the numerical solution of fractional Jaulent–Miodek equations with the help of two modified methods: coupled fractional variational iteration transformation technique and the Adomian decomposition transformation technique. The Jaulent–Miodek equation has applications in several related fields of physics, including control theory of dynamical systems, anomalous transport, image and signal processing, financial modelings, nanotechnology, viscoelasticity, nanoprecipitate growth in solid solutions, random walk, modeling for shape memory polymers, condensed matter physics, fluid mechanics, optics and plasma physics. The results are presented as a series of quickly converging solutions. Analytical solutions have been performed in absolute error to confirm the proposed methodologies are trustworthy and accurate. The generated solutions are visually illustrated to guarantee the validity and applicability of the taken into consideration algorithm. The study's findings show that, compared to alternative analytical approaches for analyzing fractional non-linear coupled Jaulent–Miodek equations, the Adomian decomposition transform method and the variational iteration transform method are computationally very efficient and accurate

check for **updates**

Citation: Alshammari, S.; Al-Sawalha, M.M.; Shah, R. Approximate Analytical Methods for a Fractional-Order Nonlinear System of Jaulent–Miodek Equation with Energy-Dependent Schrödinger Potential. *Fractal Fract.* 2023, 7, 140. https://doi.org/10.3390/ fractalfract7020140

Academic Editors: Cristina I. Muresan, Clara Ionescu and Isabela Roxana Birs

Received: 20 January 2023 Revised: 25 January 2023 Accepted: 31 January 2023 Published: 2 February 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). **Keywords:** fractional Jaulent–Miodek equations; Caputo operator; variational iteration transform method; Adomian decomposition transform method

1. Introduction

In recent years, fractional differential equations have risen to prominence due to their proved usefulness in a number of seemingly unrelated scientific and engineering fields. For example, the nonlinear oscillations of an earthquake can be characterized by a fractional derivative, and the fractional derivative of the traffic fluid dynamics model can solve the insufficiency resulting from the assumption of continuous traffic flows [1,2]. Numerous chemical processes, mathematical biology, engineering, and scientific problems [3–6] are also modeled with fractional differential problems. Nonlinear partial differential equations (NPDEs) characterize a variety of physical, biological, and chemical phenomena. Current research focuses on developing precise traveling wave solutions for such equations. Exact solution replies help scientists understand the complicated physical phenomena and dynamical processes portrayed by NPDEs [7-9]. In the past four decades, numerous important methodologies for attaining accurate solutions to NPDEs have been proposed [10,11]. The Jaulent-Miodek equation was developed in 1979 by Jaulent and Miodek as an application to energy-dependent potentials [12,13]. The JM equation first came into existence in several related fields of physics, including classical dynamics [14], condensed material physics [15], optics [16], and fluid mechanics [17]. The goal of this study is to investigate the numerical results of the following difficult and anomalous physical model,

$$\frac{\partial^{\omega}\nu}{\partial\tau^{\omega}} + \frac{\partial^{3}\nu}{\partial\psi^{3}} + \frac{3}{2}\varphi\frac{\partial^{3}\varphi}{\partial\psi^{3}} + \frac{9}{2}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\psi}\frac{\partial^{2}\varphi}{\partial\psi^{2}} - 6\nu\frac{\partial\nu}{\partial\psi} - 6\nu\varphi\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\psi} - \frac{3}{2}\frac{\partial\nu}{\partial\psi}\varphi^{2} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial^{\omega}\varphi}{\partial\tau^{\omega}} + \frac{\partial^{3}\varphi}{\partial\psi^{3}} - 6\frac{\partial\nu}{\partial\psi}\varphi - 6\nu\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\psi} - \frac{15}{2}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\psi}\varphi^{2} = 0,$$
(1)

With initial conditions

$$\nu(\psi, 0) = \frac{1}{8}\lambda^2 \left(1 - 4sech^2\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)\right),$$

$$\varphi(\psi, 0) = \lambda sech\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right).$$
(2)

where λ is the arbitrary constant and $0 < \omega \leq 1$. Numerous writers have looked at the system of nonlinear JM equation in the past using various methods, including the Sumudu transformation homotopy perturbation technique (STHPM) [18], Hermite Wavelets and OHAM [19], Invariant subspace technique [20], and many others [21–23]. On the other hand, new methods for explaining nonlinear differential systems with fractional orders have been discovered throughout the past three decades, along with novel computer algorithms and symbolic programming. Fundamental significance is attached to the nonlinear fractional differential equations analytical and numerical solutions. Since nonlinear fractional differential equations are used to mathematically model most complex processes to solve both linear and nonlinear differential equations, Keskin and Oturance [24] devised the Reduced Differential Transform Method (RDTM) in 2009 [25].

2. Basic Preliminaries

In this section, we give some definitions of fractional calculus used in our present work.

Definition 1. The derivative of order fractional in Caputo sense is defined as

$$D^{\omega}_{\tau}\varphi(\omega,\tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k-\omega)} \int_0^{\tau} (\tau-\rho)^{k-\omega-1} \varphi^{(k)}(\omega,\rho) d\rho, \quad k-1 < \omega \le k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(3)

Definition 2. For a function $\varphi(\tau)$, the Yang transform is denoted by $Y{\varphi(\tau)}$ or $\mathcal{M}(u)$ and is defined as

$$Y\{\varphi(\tau)\} = \mathcal{M}(u) = \int_0^\infty e^{\frac{-\tau}{u}} \varphi(\tau) d\tau, \ \tau > 0, \ u \in (-\tau_1, \tau_2).$$

$$\tag{4}$$

and inverse transform is as

$$Y^{-1}\{\mathcal{M}(u)\} = \varphi(\tau).$$
(5)

Laplace–Yang duality Property:

If the Laplace Transform of the function $\varphi(\tau)$ *is* F(u)*, then*

$$F(u) = \mathcal{L}\{\varphi(\tau)\} = \int_0^\infty e^{-u(\tau)} \varphi(\tau) d(\tau)$$

Substitute $(\tau) = \frac{1}{u}$ in the integral on right-hand side we get

$$F(u) = \mathcal{L}\{\varphi(\tau)\} = \frac{1}{u} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{u}\right) d(\tau)$$

We get,

$$F(u) = T\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)$$

Additionally, from the above equations, we get

 $T(u) = F\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)$

Definition 3. The Yang transformation in terms of fractional-order derivative is as

$$Y\{\varphi^{\omega}(\tau)\} = \frac{\mathcal{M}(u)}{u^{\gamma}} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varphi^k(0)}{u^{\omega-(k+1)}}, \quad 0 < \omega \le n.$$
(6)

Definition 4. The Yang transform in terms of nth derivative is as

$$Y\{\varphi^{n}(\tau)\} = \frac{\mathcal{M}(u)}{u^{n}} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varphi^{k}(0)}{u^{n-k-1}}, \quad \forall \ n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$$
(7)

3. The Methodology of Adomian Decomposition Transform Method

In this section, the Adomian decomposition transform method solution system for fractional partial differential fractional equations.

$$D^{\varpi}_{\tau}\nu(\psi,\tau) + \bar{\mathcal{M}}_1(\nu,\varphi) + \mathcal{N}_1(\nu,\varphi) - \mathcal{P}_1(\psi,\tau) = 0, D^{\varpi}_{\tau}\varphi(\psi,\tau) + \bar{\mathcal{G}}_2(\nu,\varphi) + \mathcal{N}_2(\nu,\varphi) - \mathcal{P}_2(\psi,\tau) = 0, \ \kappa - 1 < \varpi \le \kappa,$$
(8)

with initial conditions

.

$$\nu(\psi, 0) = g_1(\psi), \quad \varphi(\psi, 0) = g_2(\psi).$$
 (9)

where $D_{\tau}^{\varpi} = \frac{\partial^{\varpi}}{\partial \tau^{\varpi}}$ the Caputo fractional derivative of order ϖ , $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1$, $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_2$ and \mathcal{N}_1 , \mathcal{N}_2 are linear and non-linear functions, respectively, and \mathcal{P}_1 , \mathcal{P}_2 are source operators.

The Yang transformation is applied to Equation (8), we have

$$Y[D_{\tau}^{\omega}\nu(\psi,\tau)] + Y[\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{1}(\nu,\varphi) + \mathcal{N}_{1}(\nu,\varphi) - \mathcal{P}_{1}(\psi,\tau)] = 0,$$

$$Y[D_{\tau}^{\omega}\varphi(\psi,\tau)] + Y[\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{2}(\nu,\varphi) + \mathcal{N}_{2}(\nu,\varphi) - \mathcal{P}_{2}(\psi,\tau)] = 0.$$
(10)

Using the Yang transform differentiation property, we get

$$Y[\nu(\psi,\tau)] = s^{\omega} \sum_{J=0}^{\kappa-1} \frac{1}{s^{\omega-J-1}} \frac{\partial^{J} \nu(\psi,\tau)}{\partial^{k} \tau}|_{\tau=0} + s^{\omega} Y[\mathcal{P}_{1}(\psi,\tau)] - s^{\omega} Y\{\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{1}(\nu,\varphi) + \mathcal{N}_{1}(\nu,\varphi)\}],$$

$$Y[\varphi(\psi,\tau)] = s^{\omega} \sum_{J=0}^{\kappa-1} \frac{1}{s^{\omega-J-1}} \frac{\partial^{J} \varphi(\psi,\tau)}{\partial^{k} \tau}|_{\tau=0} + s^{\omega} Y[\mathcal{P}_{2}(\psi,\tau)] - s^{\omega} Y\{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{2}(\nu,\varphi) + \mathcal{N}_{2}(\nu,\varphi)\}],$$
(11)

ADTM defines the result of infinite series $v(\psi, \tau)$ and $\varphi(\psi, \tau)$,

$$\nu(\psi,\tau) = \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \nu_{\kappa}(\psi,\tau), \quad \varphi(\psi,\tau) = \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{\kappa}(\psi,\tau)$$
(12)

Adomian polynomials decomposition of nonlinear terms of N_1 and N_2 are described as

$$\mathcal{N}_1(\nu,\varphi) = \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{\kappa}, \quad \mathcal{N}_2(\nu,\varphi) = \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{\kappa}, \tag{13}$$

All forms of nonlinearity the Adomian polynomials can be represented as

$$\mathcal{A}_{\kappa} = \frac{1}{\kappa!} \left[\frac{\partial^{\kappa}}{\partial \lambda^{\kappa}} \left\{ \mathcal{N}_{1} \left(\sum_{J=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{J} \nu_{J}, \sum_{J=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{J} \varphi_{J} \right) \right\} \right]_{\lambda=0},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{\kappa} = \frac{1}{\kappa!} \left[\frac{\partial^{\kappa}}{\partial \lambda^{\kappa}} \left\{ \mathcal{N}_{2} \left(\sum_{J=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{J} \nu_{J}, \sum_{J=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{J} \varphi_{J} \right) \right\} \right]_{\lambda=0}.$$
(14)

Putting Equations (12) and (14) into Equation (11), gives

$$Y\left[\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty}\nu_{\kappa}(\psi,\tau)\right] = s^{\omega}\sum_{J=0}^{\kappa-1}\frac{1}{s^{\omega-J-1}}\frac{\partial^{J}\nu(\psi,\tau)}{\partial^{k}\tau}|_{\tau=0} + s^{\omega}Y\{\mathcal{P}_{1}(\psi,\tau)\}$$
$$-s^{\omega}Y\left\{\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{1}(\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty}\nu_{\kappa},\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty}\varphi_{m}) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{A}_{\kappa}\right\},$$
$$Y\left[\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty}\varphi_{\kappa}(\psi,\tau)\right] = s^{\omega}\sum_{J=0}^{\kappa-1}\frac{1}{s^{\omega-J-1}}\frac{\partial^{J}\varphi(\psi,\tau)}{\partial^{k}\tau}|_{\tau=0} + s^{\omega}Y\{\mathcal{P}_{2}(\psi,\tau)\}$$
$$-s^{\omega}Y\left\{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{2}(\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty}\nu_{\kappa},\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty}\varphi_{\kappa}) + \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}\right\}.$$
(15)

Using the inverse Yang transform of Equation (15), we get

$$\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \nu_{\kappa}(\psi,\tau) = Y^{-1} \left[s^{\omega} \sum_{J=0}^{\kappa-1} \frac{1}{s^{\omega-k-1}} \frac{\partial^{J} \nu(\psi,\tau)}{\partial^{J} \tau} |_{\tau=0} + s^{\omega} Y \{ \mathcal{P}_{1}(\psi,\tau) \} - s^{\omega} Y \left\{ \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{1} \left(\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \nu_{\kappa}, \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{\kappa} \right) + \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{\kappa} \right\} \right],$$

$$\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{\kappa}(\psi,\tau) = Y^{-1} \left[s^{\omega} \sum_{J=0}^{\kappa-1} \frac{1}{s^{\omega-J-1}} \frac{\partial^{k} \varphi(\psi,\tau)}{\partial^{J} \tau} |_{\tau=0} + s^{\omega} Y \{ \mathcal{P}_{2}(\psi,\tau) \} - s^{\omega} Y \left\{ \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{2} \left(\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \nu_{\kappa}, \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{\kappa} \right) + \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{\kappa} \right\} \right],$$
(16)

we define the following terms,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_{0}(\psi,\tau) &= Y^{-1} \left[s^{\omega} \sum_{J=0}^{\kappa-1} \frac{1}{s^{\omega-J-1}} \frac{\partial^{J} \nu(\psi,\tau)}{\partial^{J} \tau} |_{\tau=0} + s^{\omega} Y \{ \mathcal{P}_{1}(\psi,\tau) \} \right], \\
\varphi_{0}(\psi,\tau) &= Y^{-1} \left[s^{\omega} \sum_{J=0}^{\kappa-1} \frac{1}{s^{\omega-J-1}} \frac{\partial^{J} \varphi(\psi,\tau)}{\partial^{J} \tau} |_{\tau=0} + s^{\omega} Y \{ \mathcal{P}_{2}(\psi,\tau) \} \right], \\
\nu_{1}(\psi,\tau) &= -Y^{-1} \left[s^{\omega} Y \{ \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{1}(\nu_{0},\varphi_{0}) + \mathcal{A}_{0} \} \right], \\
\varphi_{1}(\psi,\tau) &= -Y^{-1} \left[s^{\omega} Y \{ \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{2}(\nu_{0},\varphi_{0}) + \mathcal{B}_{0} \} \right],
\end{aligned}$$
(17)

the general for $\kappa \geq 1$, is given by

$$\begin{split} \nu_{\kappa+1}(\psi,\tau) &= -Y^{-1} \big[s^{\omega} Y \{ \bar{\mathcal{M}}_1(\nu_{\kappa},\varphi_{\kappa}) + \mathcal{A}_{\kappa} \} \big], \\ \varphi_{\kappa+1}(\psi,\tau) &= -Y^{-1} \big[s^{\omega} Y \{ \bar{\mathcal{G}}_2(\nu_{\kappa},\varphi_{\kappa}) + \mathcal{B}_{\kappa} \} \big], \end{split}$$

4. The Producer of Yang Variational Iteration Method

In this section explain the Yang variational iteration method the solution of FPDEs.

$$D^{\omega}_{\tau}\nu(\psi,\tau) + \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{1}(\nu,\varphi) + \mathcal{N}_{1}(\nu,\varphi) - \mathcal{P}_{1}(\psi,\tau) = 0,$$

$$D^{\omega}_{\tau}\varphi(\psi,\tau) + \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{2}(\nu,\varphi) + \mathcal{N}_{2}(\nu,\varphi) - \mathcal{P}_{2}(\psi,\tau) = 0, \quad m-1 < \omega \le m,$$
(18)

with initial conditions

$$\nu(\psi, 0) = g_1(\psi), \quad \varphi(\psi, 0) = g_2(\psi).$$
 (19)

where is $D_{\tau}^{\varpi} = \frac{\partial^{\varpi}}{\partial \tau^{\varpi}}$ the Caputo fractional derivative of order ϖ , $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1$, $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_2$ and \mathcal{N}_1 , \mathcal{N}_2 are linear and non-linear functions, respectively, and \mathcal{P}_1 , \mathcal{P}_2 are source operators.

The Yang transformation is applied to Equation (18),

$$Y[D^{\varpi}_{\tau}\nu(\psi,\tau)] + Y[\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{1}(\nu,\varphi) + \mathcal{N}_{1}(\nu,\varphi) - \mathcal{P}_{1}(\psi,\tau)] = 0,$$

$$Y[D^{\varpi}_{\tau}\varphi(\psi,\tau)] + Y[\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{2}(\nu,\varphi) + \mathcal{N}_{2}(\nu,\varphi) - \mathcal{P}_{2}(\psi,\tau)] = 0,$$
(20)

Using the differentiation property Yang transform, we get

$$Y[\nu(\psi,\tau)] - \sum_{\kappa=0}^{J-1} \frac{1}{s^{\omega-J-1}} \frac{\partial^{J} \nu(\psi,\tau)}{\partial^{J} \tau}|_{\tau=0} = -Y[\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{1}(\nu,\varphi) + \mathcal{N}_{1}(\nu,\varphi) - \mathcal{P}_{1}(\psi,\tau)],$$

$$Y[\varphi(\psi,\tau)] - \sum_{\kappa=0}^{J-1} \frac{1}{s^{\omega-J-1}} \frac{\partial^{J} \varphi(\psi,\tau)}{\partial^{J} \tau}|_{\tau=0} = -Y[\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{2}(\nu,\varphi) + \mathcal{N}_{2}(\nu,\varphi) - \mathcal{P}_{2}(\psi,\tau)],$$
(21)

The procedure iteration method is define as

$$Y[\nu_{\kappa+1}(\psi,\tau)] = Y[\nu_{\kappa}(\psi,\tau)] + \lambda(s) \left[s^{\omega} \nu_{\kappa}(\psi,\tau) - \sum_{J=0}^{\kappa-1} \frac{1}{s^{\omega-J-1}} \frac{\partial^{J} \nu(\psi,\tau)}{\partial^{J} \tau} |_{\tau=0} - Y[\mathcal{P}_{1}(\psi,\tau)] - Y\{\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{1}(\nu,\varphi) + \mathcal{N}_{1}(\nu,\varphi)\} \right],$$

$$Y[\varphi_{\kappa+1}(\psi,\tau)] = Y[\varphi_{\kappa}(\psi,\tau)] + \lambda(s) \left[s^{\omega} \varphi_{\kappa}(\psi,\tau) - \sum_{J=0}^{\kappa-1} \frac{1}{s^{\omega-J-1}} \frac{\partial^{J} \varphi(\psi,\tau)}{\partial^{J} \tau} |_{\tau=0} - Y[\mathcal{P}_{2}(\psi,\tau)] - Y\{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{2}(\nu,\varphi) + \mathcal{N}_{2}(\nu,\varphi)\} \right],$$
(22)

A Lagrange multiplier as

$$\lambda(s) = -s^{\mathcal{O}},\tag{23}$$

the inverse Yang transformation L^{-1} , the iteration method Equation (22) can be given as

$$\nu_{\kappa+1}(\psi,\tau) = \nu_{\kappa}(\psi,\tau) - Y^{-1} \left[s^{\omega} \left[\sum_{J=0}^{\kappa-1} \frac{1}{s^{\omega-J-1}} \frac{\partial^{J} \nu(\psi,\tau)}{\partial^{J} \tau} |_{\tau=0} - Y[\mathcal{P}_{1}(\psi,\tau)] - Y\{\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{1}(\nu,\varphi) + \mathcal{N}_{1}(\nu,\varphi)\} \right] \right],$$

$$\varphi_{\kappa+1}(\psi,\tau) = \varphi_{\kappa}(\psi,\tau) - Y^{-1} \left[s^{\omega} \left[\sum_{J=0}^{\kappa-1} \frac{1}{s^{\omega-J-1}} \frac{\partial^{J} \varphi(\psi,\tau)}{\partial^{J} \tau} |_{\tau=0} - Y[\mathcal{P}_{2}(\psi,\tau)] - Y\{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{2}(\nu,\varphi) + \mathcal{N}_{2}(\nu,\varphi)\} \right] \right],$$
(24)

the initial iteration can be find as

$$\nu_{0}(\psi,\tau) = Y^{-1} \left[s^{\omega} \left\{ \sum_{J=0}^{\kappa-1} \frac{1}{s^{\omega-J-1}} \frac{\partial^{J} \nu(\psi,\tau)}{\partial^{J} \tau} |_{\tau=0} \right\} \right],$$

$$\varphi_{0}(\psi,\tau) = Y^{-1} \left[s^{\omega} \left\{ \sum_{J=0}^{\kappa-1} \frac{1}{s^{\omega-J-1}} \frac{\partial^{J} \varphi(\psi,\tau)}{\partial^{J} \tau} |_{\tau=0} \right\} \right].$$
(25)

5. Implementation of Techniques

Problem 1. Consider the fractional-order non-linear Jaulent–Miodek equation is given as

$$\frac{\partial^{\omega}\nu}{\partial\tau^{\omega}} + \frac{\partial^{3}\nu}{\partial\psi^{3}} + \frac{3}{2}\varphi\frac{\partial^{3}\varphi}{\partial\psi^{3}} + \frac{9}{2}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\psi}\frac{\partial^{2}\varphi}{\partial\psi^{2}} - 6\nu\frac{\partial\nu}{\partial\psi} - 6\nu\varphi\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\psi} - \frac{3}{2}\frac{\partial\nu}{\partial\psi}\varphi^{2} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^{\omega}\nu}{\partial\tau^{\omega}} + \frac{\partial^{3}\varphi}{\partial\psi^{3}} - 6\frac{\partial\nu}{\partial\psi}\varphi - 6\nu\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\psi} - \frac{15}{2}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\psi}\varphi^{2} = 0$$
(26)

With initial conditions

$$\nu(\psi, 0) = \frac{1}{8}\lambda^2 \left(1 - 4sech^2\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)\right),$$

$$\varphi(\psi, 0) = \lambda sech\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right).$$
(27)

Taking Yang transform of (26),

$$\frac{1}{s^{\omega}}Y[\nu(\psi,\tau)] - \frac{1}{s^{\omega-1}}\nu(\psi,0) = -Y\left[\frac{\partial^{3}\nu}{\partial\psi^{3}} + \frac{3}{2}\varphi\frac{\partial^{3}\varphi}{\partial\psi^{3}} + \frac{9}{2}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\psi}\frac{\partial^{2}\varphi}{\partial\psi^{2}} - 6\nu\frac{\partial\nu}{\partial\psi} - 6\nu\varphi\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\psi} - \frac{3}{2}\frac{\partial\nu}{\partial\psi}\varphi^{2}\right],$$
$$\frac{1}{s^{\omega}}Y[\varphi(\psi,\tau)] - \frac{1}{s^{\omega-1}}\varphi(\psi,0) = -Y\left[\frac{\partial^{3}\varphi}{\partial\psi^{3}} - 6\frac{\partial\nu}{\partial\psi}\varphi - 6\nu\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\psi} - \frac{15}{2}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\psi}\varphi^{2}\right].$$

Using inverse Yang transformation, we get

$$\begin{split} \nu(\psi,\tau) &= Y^{-1} \bigg[\frac{\nu(\psi,0)}{s} - \frac{1}{s^{\varpi}} Y \bigg\{ \frac{\partial^3 \nu}{\partial \psi^3} + \frac{3}{2} \varphi \frac{\partial^3 \varphi}{\partial \psi^3} + \frac{9}{2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \psi} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \psi^2} - 6\nu \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \psi} - 6\nu \varphi \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \psi} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \psi} \varphi^2 \bigg\} \bigg],\\ \varphi(\psi,\tau) &= Y^{-1} \bigg[\frac{\varphi(\psi,0)}{s} - \frac{1}{s^{\varpi}} Y \bigg\{ \frac{\partial^3 \varphi}{\partial \psi^3} - 6 \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \psi} \varphi - 6\nu \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \psi} - \frac{15}{2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \psi} \varphi^2 \bigg\} \bigg]. \end{split}$$

Applying the Adomian procedure, we have

$$\begin{split} \nu_0(\psi,\tau) &= Y^{-1} \left[\frac{\nu(\psi,0)}{s} \right] = Y^{-1} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{8}\lambda^2 \left(1 - 4sech^2 \left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2} \right) \right)}{s} \right], \\ \varphi_0(\psi,\tau) &= Y^{-1} \left[\frac{\varphi(\psi,0)}{s} \right] = Y^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda sech \left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2} \right)}{s} \right], \end{split}$$

$$\nu_{0}(\psi,\tau) = \frac{1}{8}\lambda^{2} \left(1 - 4sech^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)\right),$$

$$\varphi_{0}(\psi,\tau) = \lambda sech\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right).$$
(28)

$$\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \nu_{\kappa+1}(\psi,\tau) = -Y^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\omega}} Y \left\{ \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^3 \nu_{\kappa}}{\partial \psi^3} + \frac{3}{2} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} A_{\kappa} + \frac{9}{2} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} B_{\kappa} - 6 \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} C_{\kappa} - 6 \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} D_{\kappa} - \frac{3}{2} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} E_{\kappa} \right\} \right], \quad \kappa = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

$$\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{\kappa+1}(\psi,\tau) = -Y^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\omega}} Y \left\{ \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^3 \varphi_{\kappa}}{\partial \psi^3} - 6 \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} F_{\kappa} - 6 \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} G_{\kappa} - \frac{15}{2} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} H_{\kappa} \right\} \right], \quad \kappa = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

The nonlinear terms find with the help of Adomain polynomials

For $\kappa = 1$

$$\nu_{1}(\psi,\tau) = \frac{-2\lambda^{5}\operatorname{csc}h^{3}(\lambda\psi)\operatorname{sec}h^{4}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)(\tau)^{\varpi}}{\Gamma(\varpi+1)}$$

$$\varphi_{1}(\psi,\tau) = \frac{\lambda^{4}\operatorname{csc}h^{2}(\lambda\psi)\operatorname{sec}h^{3}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)(\tau)^{\varpi}}{\Gamma(\varpi+1)}$$
(29)

for
$$\kappa = 2$$

$$\nu_{2}(\psi,\tau) = -\frac{\lambda^{8}(-2+\cos h(\lambda\psi))\sec h^{4}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)(\tau)^{2\omega}}{16\Gamma(2\omega+1)}$$

$$\varphi_{2}(\psi,\tau) = \frac{\lambda^{7}(-3+\cos h(\lambda\psi))\sec h^{3}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)(\tau)^{2\omega}}{32\Gamma(2\omega+1)}$$
(30)

for $\kappa = 2$

$$\nu_{3}(\psi,\tau) = -\frac{1}{1024(\Gamma(\omega+1))^{2}\Gamma(3\omega+1)}\lambda^{11}\left\{2\left(-165+28\cosh(\lambda\psi)+\cosh(2\lambda\psi)(\Gamma(\omega+1))\right)^{2} + 3\left(43-20\cosh(\lambda\psi)+\cosh(2\lambda\psi)\Gamma(2\omega+1)\sec h^{6}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)\tanh(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})(\tau)^{3\omega}\right)\right)\right\}$$

$$\varphi_{3}(\psi,\tau) = \frac{1}{512(\Gamma(\omega+1))^{2}\Gamma(3\omega+1)}\lambda^{10}\left\{(147-92\cosh(\lambda\psi)+\cosh(2\lambda\psi))(\Gamma(\omega+1))^{2} + 12(-7+3\cosh(\lambda\psi))\Gamma(2\omega+1)\sec h^{5}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)\tanh\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)(\tau)^{3\omega}\right\}$$
(31)

The ADTM solution is

$$\nu(\psi,\tau) = \nu_0(\psi,\tau) + \nu_1(\psi,\tau) + \nu_2(\psi,\tau) + \nu_3(\psi,\tau) + \cdots,$$

$$\varphi(\psi,\tau) = \varphi_0(\psi,\tau) + \varphi_1(\psi,\tau) + \varphi_2(\psi,\tau) + \varphi_3(\psi,\tau) + \cdots,$$

$$\begin{split} \nu(\psi,\tau) &= \frac{1}{8}\lambda^2 \left(1 - 4sech^2 \left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)\right) - \frac{2\lambda^5 \csc h^3(\lambda\psi) \sec h^4 \left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)(\tau)^{\varpi}}{\Gamma(\varpi+1)} - \frac{\lambda^8 (-2 + \cos h(\lambda\psi)) \sec h^4 \left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)(\tau)^{2\varpi}}{16\Gamma(2\varpi+1)} \\ &- \frac{1}{1024(\Gamma(\varpi+1))^2\Gamma(3\varpi+1)}\lambda^{11} \left\{ 2 \left(-165 + 28\cosh(\lambda\psi) + \cosh(2\lambda\psi)(\Gamma(\varpi+1)) \right)^2 \right. \\ &+ 3 \left(43 - 20\cosh(\lambda\psi) + \cosh(2\lambda\psi)\Gamma(2\varpi+1) \sec h^6 \left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right) \tanh \left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)(\tau)^{3\varpi} \right) \right) \right\} - \cdots . \\ \varphi(\psi,\tau) &= \lambda sech \left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right) + \frac{\lambda^4 \csc h^2(\lambda\psi) \sec h^3 \left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)(\tau)^{\varpi}}{\Gamma(\varpi+1)} + \frac{\lambda^7 (-3 + \cos h(\lambda\psi)) \sec h^3 \left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)(\tau)^{2\varpi}}{32\Gamma(2\varpi+1)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{512(\Gamma(\varpi+1))^2\Gamma(3\varpi+1)}\lambda^{10} \left\{ (147 - 92\cosh(\lambda\psi) + \cosh(2\lambda\psi))(\Gamma(\varpi+1))^2 \right. \\ &+ 12(-7 + 3\cosh(\lambda\psi))\Gamma(2\varpi+1) \sec h^5 \left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right) \tanh \left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)(\tau)^{3\varpi} \right\} + \cdots \end{split}$$
(32)

The approximate solution by VITM Apply the iteration technique, we have

$$\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \nu_{\kappa+1}(\psi,\tau) = \nu_{\kappa}(\psi,\tau) - Y^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\varpi}} Y \left[\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{3} \nu_{\kappa}}{\partial \psi^{3}} + \frac{3}{2} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{\kappa} \frac{\partial^{3} \varphi_{\kappa}}{\partial \psi^{3}} + \frac{9}{2} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\kappa}}{\partial \psi} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{\kappa}}{\partial \psi^{2}} - 6 \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \nu_{\kappa} \frac{\partial \nu_{\kappa}}{\partial \psi} - \frac{3}{2} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \nu_{\kappa}}{\partial \psi} \varphi_{\kappa}^{2} \right] \right], \quad \kappa = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

$$\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{\kappa+1}(\psi,\tau) = \varphi_{\kappa}(\psi,\tau) - Y^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\varpi}} Y \left[\sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{3} \varphi_{\kappa}}{\partial \psi^{3}} - 6 \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \nu_{\kappa}}{\partial \psi} \varphi_{\kappa} - 6 \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \nu_{\kappa} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\kappa}}{\partial \psi} - \frac{15}{2} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\kappa}}{\partial \psi} \varphi_{\kappa}^{2} \right] \right], \quad (33)$$

where

$$\nu_{0}(\psi,\tau) = \frac{1}{8}\lambda^{2}\left(1 - 4sech^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)\right),$$

$$\varphi_{0}(\psi,\tau) = \lambda sech\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right).$$
(34)

For $\kappa = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$

$$\nu_{1}(\psi,\tau) = \nu_{0}(\psi,\tau) - Y^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\varpi}} Y \left[\frac{\partial^{3} \nu_{0}}{\partial \psi^{3}} + \frac{3}{2} \varphi_{0} \frac{\partial^{3} \varphi_{0}}{\partial \psi^{3}} + \frac{9}{2} \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial \psi} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{0}}{\partial \psi^{2}} - 6\nu_{0} \frac{\partial \nu_{0}}{\partial \psi} - 6\nu_{0} \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial \psi} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial \nu_{0}}{\partial \psi} \varphi_{0}^{2} \right] \right],$$

$$\varphi_{1}(\psi,\tau) = \varphi_{0}(\psi,\tau) - Y^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\varpi}} Y \left[\frac{\partial^{3} \varphi_{0}}{\partial \psi^{3}} - 6 \frac{\partial \nu_{0}}{\partial \psi} \varphi_{0} - 6\nu_{0} \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial \psi} - \frac{15}{2} \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial \psi} \varphi_{0}^{2} \right] \right],$$
(35)

$$\nu_{1}(\psi,\tau) = \frac{1}{8}\lambda^{2}\left(1 - 4\operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)\right) - \frac{2\lambda^{5}\operatorname{csc}h^{3}(\lambda\psi)\operatorname{sec}h^{4}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)(\tau)^{\varpi}}{\Gamma(\varpi+1)}$$

$$\varphi_{1}(\psi,\tau) = \lambda\operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right) + \frac{\lambda^{4}\operatorname{csc}h^{2}(\lambda\psi)\operatorname{sec}h^{3}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)(\tau)^{\varpi}}{\Gamma(\varpi+1)}$$
(36)

$$\nu_{2}(\psi,\tau) = \nu_{1}(\psi,\tau) - Y^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\varpi}} Y \left[\frac{\partial^{3} \nu_{1}}{\partial \psi^{3}} + \frac{3}{2} \varphi_{1} \frac{\partial^{3} \varphi_{1}}{\partial \psi^{3}} + \frac{9}{2} \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial \psi} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{1}}{\partial \psi^{2}} - 6\nu_{1} \frac{\partial \nu_{1}}{\partial \psi} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial \nu_{1}}{\partial \psi} \varphi_{1}^{2} \right] \right],$$

$$\varphi_{2}(\psi,\tau) = \varphi_{1}(\psi,\tau) - Y^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\varpi}} Y \left[\frac{\partial^{3} \varphi_{1}}{\partial \psi^{3}} - 6 \frac{\partial \nu_{1}}{\partial \psi} \varphi_{1} - 6\nu_{1} \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial \psi} - \frac{15}{2} \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial \psi} \varphi_{1}^{2} \right] \right],$$
(37)

$$\nu_{2}(\psi,\tau) = \frac{1}{8}\lambda^{2}\left(1 - 4\operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)\right) - \frac{2\lambda^{5}\operatorname{csc}h^{3}(\lambda\psi)\operatorname{sec}h^{4}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)(\tau)^{\omega}}{\Gamma(\omega+1)} - \frac{\lambda^{8}(-2 + \cos h(\lambda\psi))\operatorname{sec}h^{4}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)(\tau)^{2\omega}}{16\Gamma(2\omega+1)}$$

$$\varphi_{2}(\psi,\tau) = \lambda\operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right) + \frac{\lambda^{4}\operatorname{csc}h^{2}(\lambda\psi)\operatorname{sec}h^{3}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)(\tau)^{\omega}}{\Gamma(\omega+1)} + \frac{\lambda^{7}(-3 + \cos h(\lambda\psi))\operatorname{sec}h^{3}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)(\tau)^{2\omega}}{32\Gamma(2\omega+1)}$$
(38)

$$\nu_{3}(\psi,\tau) = \nu_{2}(\psi,\tau) - Y^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\omega}} Y \left[\frac{\partial^{3} \nu_{2}}{\partial \psi^{3}} + \frac{3}{2} \varphi_{2} \frac{\partial^{3} \varphi_{2}}{\partial \psi^{3}} + \frac{9}{2} \frac{\partial \varphi_{2}}{\partial \psi} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{2}}{\partial \psi^{2}} - 6\nu_{2} \frac{\partial \varphi_{2}}{\partial \psi} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial \nu_{2}}{\partial \psi} \varphi_{2}^{2} \right] \right],$$

$$\varphi_{3}(\psi,\tau) = \varphi_{2}(\psi,\tau) - Y^{-1} \left[\frac{1}{s^{\omega}} Y \left[\frac{\partial^{3} \varphi_{2}}{\partial \psi^{3}} - 6 \frac{\partial \nu_{2}}{\partial \psi} \varphi_{2} - 6\nu_{2} \frac{\partial \varphi_{2}}{\partial \psi} - \frac{15}{2} \frac{\partial \varphi_{2}}{\partial \psi} \varphi_{2}^{2} \right] \right],$$
(39)

$$\begin{split} \nu_{3}(\psi,\tau) &= \frac{1}{8}\lambda^{2}\Big(1 - 4\operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right)\Big) - \frac{2\lambda^{5}\operatorname{csch}^{3}(\lambda\psi)\operatorname{sech}^{4}(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})(\tau)^{\varpi}}{\Gamma(\varpi+1)} - \frac{\lambda^{8}(-2 + \operatorname{csch}(\lambda\psi))\operatorname{sech}^{4}(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})(\tau)^{2\varpi}}{16\Gamma(2\omega+1)} \\ &- \frac{1}{1024(\Gamma(\omega+1))^{2}\Gamma(3\omega+1)}\lambda^{11}\Big\{2\Big(-165 + 28\cosh(\lambda\psi) + \cosh(2\lambda\psi)(\Gamma(\omega+1))\Big)^{2} \\ &+ 3\Big(43 - 20\cosh(\lambda\psi) + \cosh(2\lambda\psi)\Gamma(2\omega+1)\operatorname{sech}^{6}(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})\tanh(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})(\tau)^{3\omega}\Big)\Big)\Big\}. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{3}(\psi,\tau) &= \lambda\operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\right) + \frac{\lambda^{4}\operatorname{csch}^{2}(\lambda\psi)\operatorname{sech}^{3}(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})(\tau)^{\varpi}}{\Gamma(\omega+1)} + \frac{\lambda^{7}(-3 + \cos h(\lambda\psi))\operatorname{sech}^{3}(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})(\tau)^{2\omega}}{32\Gamma(2\omega+1)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{512(\Gamma(\omega+1))^{2}\Gamma(3\omega+1)}\lambda^{10}\Big\{(147 - 92\cosh(\lambda\psi) + \cosh(2\lambda\psi))(\Gamma(\omega+1))^{2} \\ &+ 12(-7 + 3\cosh(\lambda\psi))\Gamma(2\omega+1)\operatorname{sech}^{5}(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})\tanh(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})(\tau)^{\varpi} \\ &- \frac{1}{1024(\Gamma(\omega+1))^{2}\Gamma(3\omega+1)}\lambda^{11}\Big\{2\Big(-165 + 28\cosh(\lambda\psi) + \cosh(2\lambda\psi)(\Gamma(\omega+1))\Big)^{2} \\ &+ 3\Big(43 - 20\cosh(\lambda\psi) + \cosh(2\lambda\psi)\Gamma(2\omega+1)\operatorname{sech}^{6}(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})\tanh(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})(\tau)^{3\omega}\Big)\Big\} \\ &+ 3\Big(43 - 20\cosh(\lambda\psi) + \cosh(2\lambda\psi)\Gamma(2\omega+1)\operatorname{sech}^{6}(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})\tanh(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})(\tau)^{3\omega}\Big)\Big\} \\ &- \frac{1}{512(\Gamma(\omega+1))^{2}\Gamma(3\omega+1)}\lambda^{11}\Big\{2\Big(-165 + 28\cosh(\lambda\psi) + \cosh(2\lambda\psi)(\Gamma(\omega+1))\Big)^{2} \\ &+ 3\Big(43 - 20\cosh(\lambda\psi) + \cosh(2\lambda\psi)\Gamma(2\omega+1)\operatorname{sech}^{6}(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})\tanh(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})(\tau)^{3\omega}\Big)\Big)\Big\} - \cdots . \\ &\varphi(\psi,\tau) &= \lambda\operatorname{sech}\Big(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2}\Big) + \frac{\lambda^{4}\operatorname{csch}^{2}(\lambda\psi)\operatorname{sech}^{3}(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})(\tau)^{\omega}}{\Gamma(\omega+1)} + \frac{\lambda^{7}(-3 + \cosh(\lambda\psi))\operatorname{sech}^{3}(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})(\tau)^{2\omega}}{32\Gamma(2\omega+1)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{512(\Gamma(\omega+1))^{2}\Gamma(3\omega+1)}\lambda^{10}\Big\{(147 - 92\cosh(\lambda\psi) + \cosh(2\lambda\psi))(\Gamma(\omega+1))^{2} \\ &+ 12(-7 + 3\cosh(\lambda\psi))\Gamma(2\omega+1)\operatorname{sech}^{5}(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})\tanh(\frac{\lambda\psi}{2})(\tau)^{3\omega}\Big\} + \cdots \\ &The \operatorname{exact} \operatorname{solution of Equation (8) at } \omega = 1, \end{split}$$

$$\nu(\psi,\tau) = \frac{1}{8}\lambda^2 \left(1 - 4sech^2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\psi + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \tau \right) \right) \right),$$

$$\varphi(\psi,\tau) = \lambda sech \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\psi + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \tau \right) \right).$$
(42)

Figure 1, exact and analytical solution of $v(\psi, \tau)$ at $\omega = 1$ and 0.8 and Figure 2, analytical solutions of $v(\psi, \tau)$ at $\omega = 0.6$ and 0.4. Figure 3, the different fractional-order of ω of $v(\psi, \tau)$. In Tables 1 and 2 the different fractional-order of ω of $v(\psi, \tau)$ and $\varphi(\psi, \tau)$ of Problem 1.

Figure 4, exact and analytical solution of $\varphi(\psi, \tau)$ *at* $\varpi = 1$ *and* 0.8 *and Figure 5, the analytical solutions of* $\varphi(\psi, \tau)$ *at* $\varpi = 0.6$ *and* 0.4. *Figure* 6, *the different fractional-order of* ϖ *of* $\varphi(\psi, \tau)$.

x	(au)	$\wp = 0.6$	$\wp=0.75$	$\wp = 0.9$	$\wp = 1$
0.2	0.2	$1.14864 imes 10^{-4}$	$6.02179 imes 10^{-5}$	$2.03492 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.24335 imes 10^{-10}$
	0.4	$1.49003 imes 10^{-4}$	8.38441×10^{-5}	3.00661×10^{-5}	$1.98709 imes 10^{-9}$
	0.6	$1.61589 imes 10^{-4}$	$9.58441 imes 10^{-5}$	$3.59113 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.00477 imes 10^{-8}$
	0.8	$1.58816 imes 10^{-4}$	$9.90276 imes 10^{-5}$	$3.86768 imes 10^{-5}$	$3.17168 imes 10^{-8}$
	1	$1.43066 imes 10^{-4}$	$9.43676 imes 10^{-5}$	$3.85778 imes 10^{-5}$	$7.73351 imes 10^{-8}$
0.4	0.2	$2.00217 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.07699 imes 10^{-4}$	$3.71407 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.16347 imes 10^{-10}$
	0.4	$2.40806 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.39099 imes 10^{-4}$	$5.10803 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.85733 imes 10^{-9}$
	0.6	$2.43904 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.48381 imes 10^{-4}$	$5.69845 imes 10^{-5}$	$9.38090 imes 10^{-9}$
	0.8	$2.23097 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.42794 imes 10^{-4}$	$5.72312 imes 10^{-5}$	$2.95781 imes 10^{-8}$
	1	$1.83773 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.25233 imes 10^{-4}$	$5.27649 imes 10^{-5}$	$7.20380 imes 10^{-8}$
0.6	0.2	$2.81663 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.53074 imes 10^{-4}$	$5.32052 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.03760 imes 10^{-10}$
	0.4	$3.27938 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.91648 imes 10^{-4}$	$7.10983 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.65425 imes 10^{-9}$
	0.6	$3.21523 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.98048 imes 10^{-4}$	$7.69518 imes 10^{-5}$	$8.34447 imes 10^{-9}$
	0.8	$2.83130 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.83823 imes 10^{-4}$	$7.46824 imes 10^{-5}$	$2.62762 imes 10^{-8}$
	1	$2.21041 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.53730 imes 10^{-4}$	$6.59448 imes 10^{-5}$	$6.39134 imes 10^{-8}$
0.8	0.2	$3.57726 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.95516 imes 10^{-4}$	$6.82488 imes 10^{-5}$	$8.74434 imes 10^{-11}$
	0.4	$4.08852 imes 10^{-4}$	$2.40549 imes 10^{-4}$	$8.97594 imes 10^{-5}$	$1.39192 imes 10^{-9}$
	0.6	$3.93110 imes 10^{-4}$	$2.43979 imes 10^{-4}$	$9.54607 imes 10^{-5}$	$7.01008 imes 10^{-9}$
	0.8	$3.37931 imes 10^{-4}$	$2.21425 imes 10^{-4}$	$9.07319 imes 10^{-5}$	$2.20392 imes 10^{-8}$
	1	$2.54330 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.79417 imes 10^{-4}$	$7.79054 imes 10^{-5}$	$5.35217 imes 10^{-8}$

Table 1. The different fractional-order of \wp at of $\nu(\psi, \tau)$ of Problem 1.

Table 2. The different fractional-order of \wp at $\varphi(\psi, \tau)$ Problem 1.

<i>x</i>	(τ)	$\wp = 0.6$	$\wp = 0.75$	$\wp = 0.9$	$\wp = 1$
0.2	0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1	$\begin{array}{c} 2.30416 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.99561 \times 10^{-4} \\ 3.25817 \times 10^{-4} \\ 3.21531 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.91426 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.20720\times 10^{-4}\\ 1.68382\times 10^{-4}\\ 1.92979\times 10^{-4}\\ 2.00136\times 10^{-4}\\ 1.91790\times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.07827\times10^{-5}\\ 6.03594\times10^{-5}\\ 7.22983\times10^{-5}\\ 7.82094\times10^{-5}\\ 7.85409\times10^{-5}\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.21689 \times 10^{-9} \\ 4.29844 \times 10^{-8} \\ 1.49281 \times 10^{-7} \\ 3.63810 \times 10^{-7} \\ 7.29980 \times 10^{-7} \end{array}$
0.4	0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1	$\begin{array}{c} 4.03498 \times 10^{-4} \\ 4.86882 \times 10^{-4} \\ 4.95394 \times 10^{-4} \\ 4.56224 \times 10^{-4} \\ 3.80107 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.16852 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.80810 \times 10^{-4} \\ 3.00730 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.91168 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.57923 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.47504\times10^{-5}\\ 1.03056\times10^{-4}\\ 1.15446\times10^{-4}\\ 1.16744\times10^{-4}\\ 1.08885\times10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.01172\times10^{-8}\\ 8.21274\times10^{-8}\\ 2.81182\times10^{-7}\\ 6.75959\times10^{-7}\\ 1.33863\times10^{-6} \end{array}$
0.6	0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1	$\begin{array}{c} 5.71624 \times 10^{-4} \\ 6.68234 \times 10^{-4} \\ 6.58907 \times 10^{-4} \\ 5.85337 \times 10^{-4} \\ 4.64139 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.10320 \times 10^{-4} \\ 3.89793 \times 10^{-4} \\ 4.04795 \times 10^{-4} \\ 3.78632 \times 10^{-4} \\ 3.20891 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.07799 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.44487 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.57175 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.53839 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.37879 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.47159\times10^{-8}\\ 1.18823\times10^{-7}\\ 4.04709\times10^{-7}\\ 9.67991\times10^{-7}\\ 1.90747\times10^{-6} \end{array}$
0.8	0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1	$\begin{array}{c} 7.32846 \times 10^{-4} \\ 8.41543 \times 10^{-4} \\ 8.14513 \times 10^{-4} \\ 7.07446 \times 10^{-4} \\ 5.42633 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.00036 \times 10^{-4} \\ 4.94077 \times 10^{-4} \\ 5.03993 \times 10^{-4} \\ 4.61552 \times 10^{-4} \\ 3.80010 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.39542 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.84172 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.97007 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.89076 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.65197 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.88855 \times 10^{-8} \\ 1.52057 \times 10^{-7} \\ 5.16457 \times 10^{-7} \\ 1.23187 \times 10^{-6} \\ 2.42089 \times 10^{-6} \end{array}$
1	0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1	$\begin{array}{c} 8.85417 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.00496 \times 10^{-3} \\ 9.60595 \times 10^{-4} \\ 8.21325 \times 10^{-4} \\ 6.14855 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.85021\times10^{-4}\\ 5.92545\times10^{-4}\\ 5.97282\times10^{-4}\\ 5.39082\times10^{-4}\\ 4.34707\times10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.69634 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.21684 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.34519 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.22087 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.90563 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.25214 \times 10^{-8} \\ 1.80999 \times 10^{-7} \\ 6.13643 \times 10^{-7} \\ 1.46106 \times 10^{-6} \\ 2.86622 \times 10^{-6} \end{array}$



Figure 1. Exact and analytical solution of $\nu(\psi, \tau)$ at $\omega = 1$ and 0.8.



Figure 2. The analytical solutions of $\nu(\psi, \tau)$ at $\omega = 0.6$ and 0.4.



Figure 3. The different fractional-order of ω of $\nu(\psi, \tau)$.



Figure 4. Exact and analytical solution of $\varphi(\psi, \tau)$ at $\omega = 1$ and 0.8.



Figure 5. The analytical solutions of $\varphi(\psi, \tau)$ at $\omega = 0.6$ and 0.4.



Figure 6. The different fractional-order of ω of $\varphi(\psi, \tau)$.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, we have successfully applied two modified methods to investigate the numerical results of fractional system Jaulent–Miodek equations connected with energy dependent Schrodinger potential. Accord between the obtained numerical solution by ADTM and VITM with exact results appears very appreciable by way of illustrative solutions. The suggested algorithms are simple to implement, efficient for achieving the solutions of nonlinear coupled JM equations of fractional order. In addition, both the ADTM and the VITM yield convergent series solutions with easily determinable components without using perturbation, linearization, or limiting assumptions. We can draw a final conclusion that the suggested methods are extremely analytical and more reliable, and that they can be used to analyze nonlinear issues that develop in complicated processes.

Author Contributions: Methodology, S.A. and M.M.A.-S.; Software, S.A.; Validation, R.S.; Investigation, M.M.A.-S. and R.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research has been funded by Deputy for Research & Innovation, Ministry of Education through Initiative of Institutional Funding at University of Ha'il-Saudi Arabia through project number IFP-22 020.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Said, L.A.; Radwan, A.G.; Madian, A.H.; Soliman, A.M. Three fractional-order-capacitors-based oscillators with controllable phase and frequency. J. Circuits Syst. Comput. 2017 26, 1750160. [CrossRef]
- Said, L.A.; Madian, A.H.; Radwan, A.G.; Soliman, A.M. Fractional order oscillator with independent control of phase and frequency. In 2014 2nd International Conference on Electronic Design (ICED). In Proceedings of the 2014 2nd International Conference on Electronic Design (ICED), Penang, Malaysia, 19–21 Augus 2014; pp. 224–229.
- Machado, J.T.; Kiryakova, V.; Mainardi, F. Recent history of fractional calculus. Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 2011, 16, 1140–1153. [CrossRef]
- 4. Sabatier, J.A.T.M.J.; Agrawal, O.P.; Machado, J.T. Advances in Fractional Calculus; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2007; p. 9.
- 5. Baleanu, D.; Diethelm, K.; Scalas, E.; Trujillo, J.J. *Fractional Calculus: Models and Numerical Method*; World Scientific: Singapore, 2012; Volume 3.
- Debnath, L. Recent applications of fractional calculus to science and engineering. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2003 54, 3413–3442. [CrossRef]
- Al-Sawalha, M.M.; Alshehry, A.S.; Nonlaopon, K.; Shah, R.; Ababneh, O.Y. Fractional view analysis of delay differential equations via numerical method. *AIMS Math.* 2022, 7, 20510–20523. [CrossRef]
- 8. Mukhtar, S.; Shah, R.; Noor, S. The Numerical Investigation of a Fractional-Order Multi-Dimensional Model of Navier-Stokes Equation via Novel Techniques. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 1102. [CrossRef]
- 9. Al-Sawalha, M.M.; Alshehry, A.S.; Nonlaopon, K.; Shah, R.; Ababneh, O.Y. Approximate analytical solution of time-fractional vibration equation via reliable numerical algorithm. *AIMS Math.* **2022**, *7*, 19739–19757. [CrossRef]
- 10. Al-Sawalha, M.M.; Khan, A.; Ababneh, O.Y.; Botmart, T. Fractional view analysis of Kersten-Krasil'shchik coupled KdV-mKdV systems with non-singular kernel derivatives. *AIMS Math.* **2022**, *7*, 18334–18359. [CrossRef]
- 11. Kai, Y.; Chen, S.; Zhang, K.; Yin, Z. Exact solutions and dynamic properties of a nonlinear fourth-order time-fractional partial differential equation. *Waves Random Complex Media* **2022**, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- Jaulent, M.; Miodek, I. Nonlinear evolution equations associated with energy-dependent Schrodinger potentials. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 1976, 1, 243–250. [CrossRef]
- 13. Jaulent, M. Inverse scattering problems in absorbing media. J. Math. Phys. 1976, 17, 1351–1360. [CrossRef]
- 14. Hong, T.; Wang, Y.Z.; Huo, Y.S. Bogoliubov quasiparticles carried by dark solitonic excitations in non-uniform Bose-Einstein condensates. *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **1998**, *15*, 550–552. [CrossRef]
- 15. Ma, W.X.; Li, C.X.; He, J. A second Wronskian formulation of the Boussinesq equation. *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl.* **2009**, 70, 4245–4258. [CrossRef]
- 16. Jie-Fang, Z. Multiple soliton solutions of the dispersive long-wave equations. Chin. Phys. Lett. 1999, 16, 4.
- Das, G.C.; Sarma, J.; Uberoi, C. Explosion of a soliton in a multicomponent plasma. *Phys. Plasmas* 1997, 4, 2095–2100. [CrossRef]
 Atangana, A.; Baleanu, D. Nonlinear Fractional Jaulent-Miodek and Whitham-Broer-Kaup Equations within Sumudu Transform. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2013, 2013, 160681. [CrossRef]
- 19. Gupta, A.K.; Ray, S.S. An investigation with Hermite Wavelets for accurate solution of fractional Jaulent-Miodek equation associated with energy-dependent Schrodinger potential. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **2015**, 270, 458–471. [CrossRef]
- Majlesi, A.; Ghehsareha, H.R.; Zaghian, A. On the fractional Jaulent-Miodek equation associated with energy-dependent Schrodinger potential: Lie symmetry reductions, explicit exact solutions and conservation laws. *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* 2015 132, 1–13. [CrossRef]
- Yildirim, A.; Kelleci, A. Numerical simulation of the Jaulent-Miode equation by He's homotopy perturbation method. World Appl. Sci. J. 2009, 7, 84–89.
- 22. He, J.H.; Zhang, L.N. Generalized solitary solution and compacton-like solution of the Jaulent- Miodek equations using the Exp-function method. *Phys. Lett. A* 2008, 372, 1044–1047. [CrossRef]
- 23. Rashidi, M.M.; Domairry, G.; Dinarvand, S. The homotopy analysis method for explicit analytical solutions of Jaulent-Miodek equations. *Numer. Meth. Partial Differ. Equ.* **2009**, 25, 430–439. [CrossRef]
- Keskin, Y.; Oturanc, G. Reduced differential transform method for partial differential equations. *Int. J. Nonlienar Sci. Numer. Simul.* 2009, 10, 741–749. [CrossRef]
- 25. Saravanan, A.; Magesh, N. An efficient computational technique for solving the Fokker-Planck equation with space and time fractional derivatives. *J. King Saud Univ.-Sci.* 2016, *28*, 160–166. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.