



Costanza Ceccanti ^{1,2,*}, Tiane C. Finimundy ^{2,3} and Lillian Barros ^{2,3}

- Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment, University of Pisa, Via del Borghetto, 80-56124 Pisa, Italy
 Centro de Investigação de Montanha (CIMO), Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Campus de Santa Apolónia,
 5200 252 P
- 5300-253 Bragança, Portugal; tiane@ipb.pt (T.C.F.); lillian@ipb.pt (L.B.)
 ³ Laboratório Associado para a Sustentabilidade e Tecnologia em Regiões de Montanha (SusTEC),
- Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Campus de Santa Apolónia, 5300-253 Bragança, Portugal

* Correspondence: costanza.ceccanti@agr.unipi.it

Abstract: Sanguisorba minor Scop. is a wild edible species distributed in the Mediterranean area and present in numerous traditional food recipes. In the present study, the assessment of nutritional value (ash, carbohydrates, fat, proteins, energy, free sugars, organic acids, tocopherols, fatty acid composition, and minerals) of wild and domesticated S. minor plants was performed. Results showed an increase in ash, protein, fat, organic acid, and α -tocopherol content after the plant's domestication. Retention of free sugars, especially sucrose, was observed from wild plants to domesticated ones. However, the cultivated plants reported a higher content of polyunsaturated fatty acids than saturated molecules, and both wild collection and domestication maintained a low $\omega 6/\omega 3$ ratio, confirming the role of this species in the prevention of oxidative and inflammatory processes. This aspect is also suggested by the high α -tocopherol content, a vitamin known for its ability to scavenge free-radical species. Nevertheless, a high oxalic acid content was found in domesticated plants. However, the management of fertilization in open field cultivation can be robust in terms of organic acid and mineral (e.g., calcium) content. Indeed, the most representative macrominerals found in domesticated plants were Ca and Mg. The present study suggests a possible introduction of S. minor species in the human diet as a functional food or ingredient by virtue of its high nutritional properties and contents. Moreover, the management of fertilization and domestication might be a solution to maintain/enhance the nutritional profile of this wild species.

Keywords: Sanguisorba minor; wild harvest; cultivation; nutritional characteristics

1. Introduction

Sanguisorba minor Scop. is a wild edible species belonging to the Rosaceae family well known for its edibility, its folkloristic and traditional uses, and its nutritional and nutraceutical properties discovered during the last few years [1,2]. S. minor is commonly harvested wild in dry and semi-dry grasslands throughout Europe [3]. In Mediterranean traditional food recipes, wild *S. minor* and other species or sub-species of this genus (e.g., S. minor spp. muricata, S. officinalis) are used as boiled vegetables, in traditional soups, and in "misticanza" salad [4]. Nowadays, the rediscovery of ancient food recipes and culinary and medicinal traditions is improving because of the increase in human dietary deficiencies in the undeveloped world [5]. For these reasons, interest in the nutritional and nutraceutical properties of this wild edible herb is increasing, especially regarding its aerial parts and young shoots, thanks to their edibility [6,7]. Viano et al. [8] reported palmitic (29.1%), linoleic (22.6%), and linolenic (21.4%) acids as the main fatty acids and glutamic and aspartic acids as the main amino acids present in wild-collected S. minor ssp. muricata. Concerning the mineral composition, Pirhofer-Walzl et al. [9] reported a higher concentration of the macrominerals P⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺, and S and the trace elements Zn²⁺ and B⁺ in wild-collected S. minor than in common grasses. Karkanis et al. [7] observed a



Citation: Ceccanti, C.; Finimundy, T.C.; Barros, L. Nutritional Value of Wild and Domesticated *Sanguisorba minor* Scop. Plant. *Horticulturae* 2023, 9, 560. https://doi.org/10.3390/ horticulturae9050560

Academic Editor: Massimiliano Renna

Received: 22 March 2023 Revised: 4 May 2023 Accepted: 6 May 2023 Published: 9 May 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). high amount of α -tocopherol (vitamin E). This vitamin is well known as an inhibitor of cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) involved in inflammatory processes but also as a free-radical scavenger [10–12].

Considering the significant nutritional and nutraceutical properties of *S. minor* species, which are able to diversify the human diet and satisfy the increasing market demands for functional foods as well as enhance nutritional intake, the domestication of *S. minor* plants could be efficient to meet the different requirements of people from different parts of the world [2,7,13]. For this reason, the possibility of domestication of this species is increasingly studied, and the high germination percentage of *S. minor* makes it a promising plant [6]. Therefore, its suitability for different domestications has recently been analyzed in terms of nutritional and bioactive compounds as well as medicinal properties such as antimicrobial or cytotoxic activities [2,7,14,15]. Domestication is usually able to retain chemical compounds present in wild edible species, even though the adopted cultivation technique and the genetic features of the wild edible plants under investigation are the major characteristics affecting the changes in nutritional composition during the domestication [14,16,17].

A deep knowledge concerning the nutritional composition of wild *S. minor* may lead to characterizing the wild nutritional composition of this species and finding an adequate domestication technique able to retain its nutritional composition. Therefore, the aim of this study was to compare and reveal the differences between wild and domesticated *S. minor* plants in terms of nutritional features. In particular, minerals of this species were analyzed for the first time in this experiment in both wild and domesticated *S. minor*, while fatty acids, free sugars, organic acids, and tocopherols were analyzed using proximate analysis for the first time in wild *S. minor* plants.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Material and Experimental Design

Seedlings of *S. minor* were collected in the wild (W) in the Tuscany region (43°43′ N, 10°31′ E, Italy) and, at the same time, Tirrenofruit s.r.l., a wholesaler of vegetable food products, provided domesticated *S. minor* plants cultivated on a local farm (F) located in the same area as the wild collection during the spring of 2019. The growing conditions monitored by a weather station near the experimental parcels were: 22 °C average temperature, 50% humidity, and 71.71 lumens cm⁻² light intensity with 14 h of light. The growth soil was mainly sandy, and 30 kg of manure per ha was used as fertilizer for the soil. *S. minor* young plants were collected by farmers when the shoots were adequate for edibility. Shoots plus leaves were weighed and oven dried at 60 °C until reaching a constant weight, and the dry matter percentage was calculated. Dry samples were used for the analyses described below. All analyses were carried out in triplicate.

2.2. Nutritional Composition Analysis

2.2.1. Proximate Analysis

Samples were analyzed in terms of macronutrients (proteins, fat, carbohydrates, and ash) as reported by the AOAC procedures [18]. The crude protein (N × 6.25) was determined by the Kjeldahl method (978.04) [AOAC, 2005]. The analyte was referred to as "crude" protein because the method determines N, a component of all proteins. In addition, N from sources different from true proteins was also determined. The ash content (AOAC 930.05) was determined by subjecting the sample to incineration at 600 ± 15 °C for 5 h; the crude fat was determined using a Soxhlet apparatus with petroleum ether (AOAC 920.39); and the total carbohydrate content was estimated by difference. The results were expressed in g per 100 g of dry weight (DW). The total energy was calculated using the following equation: energy (kcal) = 4 × (g proteins + g carbohydrates) + 9 × (g fat). Indeed, proteins and carbohydrates provide 4 kcal g⁻¹ energy intake, while fats provide 9 kcal g⁻¹ energy intake. The results for total energy were expressed in kcal per 100 g DW.

2.2.2. Fatty Acids

The authors previously described the determination of fatty acids using gas-liquid chromatography with flame ionization detection (GC/FID) on a capillary column. Fatty acids were methylated using a transesterification procedure, where 5 mL of methanol:sulfuric acid:toluene in a 2:1:1 (*v*:*v*) ratio was added to the samples, and the mixture was allowed to react for at least 12 h in a bath at 50 °C and 160 rpm. Following this, 3 mL of deionized water were added to obtain phase separation. The fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) were recovered by adding 3 mL of diethyl ether and shaking in a vortex. Then, the upper phase was passed through a microcolumn of anhydrous sodium sulfate to remove water. Resulting samples were recovered in a vial with Teflon, and prior to injection, samples were filtered using a 0.2 µm nylon filter from Millipore [18].

Fatty acid identification was carried out as reported by Barros et al. [19] using gas chromatography coupled with a flame ionization detector (GC-FID/capillary column, DANI model GC 1000, Contone, Switzerland) and a split/splitless injector, using a Macherey– Nagel column (30 m × 0.32 mm I.D. × 0.25 μ m d_f). The column temperature in the oven was programmed as follows: starting at 50 °C, it was held for 2 min, then ramped up at a rate of 10 °C per minute to 240 °C and held for 11 min. The carrier gas used was hydrogen, with a flow rate of 4.0 mL/min (0.61 bar), measured at 50 °C. Split injection at a temperature of 250 °C was performed at a ratio of 1:40. For each analysis, 1 μ L of sample was injected into the GC. Fatty acid identification was achieved by comparing the relative retention times of the FAME peaks in the samples with the standard (standard mixture 47885-U; fatty acids C4–C24; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). Results were recorded and processed using CSW 1.7 software (DataApex 1.7; Podohradska, Czech Republic) and expressed as relative percentages of each fatty acid.

2.2.3. Free Sugars

Free sugars were determined as previously reported by Barros et al. [19] using the Internal Standard (IS, melezitose, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) method. Dried sample powder (1 g) was extracted in 1 mL of melezitose (5 mg/mL) and 40 mL of 80% (v/v) aqueous ethanol at 80 °C for 1.5 h, shaking every 15 min. The resulting suspension was centrifuged (Centurion K24OR refrigerated centrifuge, West Sussex, UK) at $15,000 \times g$ for 10 min. The supernatant was concentrated at 40 $^\circ$ C under reduced pressure and washed three times with 10 mL of diethyl ether, successively. After concentration at 40 $^{\circ}$ C in the oven, solid residues were dissolved in water to obtain a final volume of 5 mL and filtered through 0.2 μm Whatman nylon filters. After the filtration, the sugar molecules were analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography coupled to a refraction index detector (HPLC-RI, Knauer, Smartline system 1000). The chromatographic separation was achieved with an Eurospher 100-5 NH2 column (4.6 mm imes 250 mm, 5 mm, Knauer) operating at 35 $^{\circ}$ C (7971R Grace oven). The used mobile phase was acetonitrile/deionized water, 7:3 (v/v), at a flow rate of 1 mL/min, and the injection volume was 20 μ L. Results were recorded and processed using Clarity 2.4 software (DataApex, Prague, Czech Republic). Quantification was performed using internal standards (d-(-)-Fructose, d-(+)-Glucose, d-(+)-Sucrose, and d-(+)-Trehalose; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), and free sugar concentrations were further expressed in g/100 g of DW calculated by internal normalization of the chromatographic peak area.

2.2.4. Organic Acids

Organic acids were determined as previously reported by Barros et al. [19]. Dried sample powder (about 1 g) was extracted with 25 mL of metaphosphoric acid, stirring the solution at 150 rpm at 25 °C for 20 min. Extracted samples were filtered through Whatman No. 4 paper and, successively, through 0.2 μ m nylon filters. The Shimadzu 20A series UFLC (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) was used for the analysis. Separation was achieved on a Phenomenex SphereClone reverse-phase C18 column (5 μ m, 250 mm × 4.6 mm I.D.) thermostatically controlled at 35 °C. Elution was carried out using sulfuric acid (3.6 mM)

at a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min. Detection was performed using a PDA at the preferred wavelengths of 215 nm and 245 nm (for ascorbic acid). Detected organic acids were quantified by comparison of the area of their peaks recorded at 215 and 245 nm with calibration curves obtained from commercial standards of each compound: ascorbic acid ($y = 8 \times 10^7 x + 55,079$; $R^2 = 1$); citric acid ($y = 1 \times 10^6 x + 4170.6$; $R^2 = 1$); fumaric acid (y = 172,760x + 52,193; $R^2 = 0.999$); malic acid (y = 952,269x + 17,803; $R^2 = 1$); oxalic acid ($y = 1 \times 10^7 x + 96,178$; $R^2 = 0.999$); and quinic acid (y = 601,768x + 8853.2; $R^2 = 1$); all were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Results were processed using LabSolutions Multi LC-PDA software (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan), and they were expressed in g/100 g DW.

2.2.5. Tocopherols

Tocopherol determination was carried out as previously reported by Barros et al. [19]. The extraction was performed by adding 100 μ L of butyl hydroxy toluene solution in hexane (BHT; 10 mg/mL) and 400 μ L of tocol solution (SI; Matreya (State College, PA, USA)) in hexane as an internal standard (50 µg mL) to dried samples (500 mg). The extracted samples were homogenized, first with methanol (4 mL) by vortex mixing (1 min), then with hexane (4 mL) by vortex mixing (1 min), and finally with saturated NaCl aqueous solution (2 mL) by vortex mixing again. The resulting samples were centrifuged at $4000 \times g$ for 5 min at 10 °C, and the supernatant was transferred to a vial. Samples were re-extracted twice with hexane and then dried under nitrogen steam, dehydrated with anhydrous sodium sulfate, and filtered using 0.2 µm nylon filters from Whatman. Tocopherols were determined using an HPLC system (Knauer, Smartline System 1000, Berlin, Germany) coupled to a fluorescence detector (FP-2020; Jasco, Easton, PA, USA) programmed for excitation at 290 nm and emission at 330 nm. The chromatographic separation was achieved with a Polyamide II normal-phase column (250 mm \times 4.6 mm; YMC Waters) operating at 30 °C. The mobile phase used was a mixture of *n*-hexane and ethyl acetate (70:30, v/v) at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The identification of α -tocopherol was carried out by chromatographic comparison with an authentic standard and quantification by calibration curve obtained from commercial standards (α -, β -, γ -, and δ -isoforms; St. Louis, MO, USA) using the internal standard methodology. Results were recorded and processed using Clarity 2.4 software (DataApex, Prague, Czech Republic). Results were expressed in mg per 100 g DW.

2.2.6. Minerals

Dried sample powders (0.2 g) were mineralized at 220 °C for 90 min using a solution of HNO₃:HClO₄ (2.5:1 v/v) and an atomic absorption spectrometer (Varian AA 24FS, Australia) was used for the determination of Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Cu²⁺, Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, and Zn²⁺. Results were expressed as mg per g fresh weight (FW) for Na, K, Ca, and Mg and µg per g FW for Cu, Mn, Fe, and Zn.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Differences between wild (W) and domesticated (F) *S. minor* plants were calculated by the Student's *t*-test (p < 0.05) with GraphPad Software (GraphPad, La Jolla, San Diego, CA, USA). The data are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation (\pm SD) of three replicates.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Nutritional Value

Table 1 reports results of nutritional composition in terms of moisture, ash, proteins, fat, carbohydrates, and total energy of W and F *S. minor* plants. The highest content of ash, proteins, and fat was recorded in cultivated plants, whereas the same plants showed the lowest moisture and carbohydrate content than W plants.

Proximate Composition					
	W	F	<i>t</i> -Student <i>p</i> -Value		
Moisture (g/100 g)	75.02 ± 0.04	71.20 ± 1.00	0.0054		
Ash $(g/100 g)$	7.80 ± 0.60	10.10 ± 0.40	0.0491		
Proteins $(g/100 g)$	18.80 ± 0.40	23.10 ± 0.30	0.0063		
Fat $(g/100 g)$	2.72 ± 0.04	4.00 ± 0.30	0.0213		
Carbohydrates $(g/100 g)$	70.70 ± 0.20	62.79 ± 0.40	0.0018		
Energy (kcal/100 g)	382.00 ± 3.00	379.20 ± 0.50	0.2507		

Table 1. Proximate composition of wild (W) and domesticated (F) *Sanguisorba minor* plants expressed in dry weight (mean \pm SD). Data were analyzed following the Student's *t*-test (*p* < 0.05) between W and F plants.

No significant differences were found in terms of caloric energy provided between W and F S. minor plants. However, for future steps in the experimentation of the nutritional value of S. minor species, the content of soluble and insoluble dietary fibers, consisting of non-starch polysaccharides and other plant components such as cellulose, resistant starch, resistant dextrins, inulin, lignins, pectins, beta-glucans, and oligosaccharides, could be useful to more correctly calculate the caloric value of this species because the energy conversion factor for soluble sugars is 3.75–4.0 kcal/g, whereas that of commonly eaten foods containing a mixture of fermentable and nonfermentable fibers is 0-1.9 kcal/g, according to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). Moreover, other authors analyzed the compositional analysis of Sanguisorba spp. since this plant is considered promising in terms of nutritional and nutraceutical value. Interestingly, Viano et al. [8], analyzing S. minor spp. muricata collected as wild in a French park near Marseille, reported a lower content of fat (1.51%) when compared with W or F S. minor plants analyzed in the present experiment. However, Tsugkiev et al. [20], analyzing S. officinalis collected as wild at different sea levels, observed lower ash and protein content and higher fat content when compared with W and F plants of the present experiment, even though these authors found many differences between plants collected from different sea levels (e.g., ash content between 5.8 and 7.6 g/100 g, dependent on sea level increase). Thus, different sea levels as well as all other growth environmental parameters might be the reason for different plant chemical compositions. Differences might also be attributed to pedo-climatic growth conditions and genetic features. Moreover, Karkanis et al. [7], analyzing S. minor plants whose seeds had been found on wild plants, observed a similar fat and carbohydrate content to the wild or domesticated plants of the present experiment when cultivated with only peat. In this case, the cultivation with only peat when compared with the cultivation with peat and perlite (independently of the percentage of added perlite) resulted more similar to the cultivation in open field or to wild collection, likely due to the presence of perlite, which can lead to the increase of macro- and micropores of plant growth substrate, enhancing the plant nutrient uptake present in the manure used as fertilizer of growth soil, and consequently modify the chemical composition of plant aerial parts [21].

3.2. Sugar Content

The most abundant free sugar identified in both W and F *S. minor* plants was sucrose (Table 2). Wild plants were not significantly affected by domestication in terms of free sugars. Sucrose and raffinose contents were not significantly different in W and F *S. minor* plants, while fructose and glucose in W plants were slightly higher than those in F plants.

Sugar Content (g/100 g)					
	W	F	t-Student p-Value		
Fructose	$0.50 \pm < 0.01$	0.30 ± 0.10	0.0492		
Glucose	1.00 ± 0.10	0.30 ± 0.10	0.0182		
Sucrose	3.61 ± 0.04	4.10 ± 0.20	0.0825		
Raffinose	0.31 ± 0.01	0.28 ± 0.02	0.3491		
Total sugars	5.42 ± 0.03	4.98 ± 0.20	0.1068		

Table 2. Sugar content of wild (W) and domesticated (F) *Sanguisorba minor* plants expressed in dry weight (mean \pm SD). Data were analyzed following the Student's *t*-test (*p* < 0.05) between W and F plants.

Differently, Karkanis et al. [7] showed that fructose and glucose were the major identified sugars in domesticated *S. minor* plants. In general, the sugar content found in the present experiment was lower than that found by Karkanis et al. [7]. Conversely, Viano et al. [8] reported a lower content of total free sugars in *S. minor* spp. *muricata* collected as wild than that of the samples under investigation, independent of cultivation or wild collection. These results confirm that free sugar content is obviously affected by plant growth conditions in the environment. However, the *S. minor* plants of the present experiment might also be considered a rich source of carbohydrates when cultivated, making them an important parameter for the introduction of a new functional food or ingredient in the human diet, even though a crude fiber determination is necessary to confirm this statement.

3.3. Organic Acid and Tocopherol Content

Organic acid content was reported in Table 3. Oxalic acid was found to be the most representative organic acid in the F *S. minor* plants, followed by citric acid. Organic acid content was significantly affected by domestication. The domesticated plants showed a significantly higher content of all organic acids than W *S. minor* plants, except for malic acid.

Table 3. Organic acid and tocopherol content of wild (W) and domesticated (F) *Sanguisorba minor* plants expressed in dry weight (mean \pm SD). Data were analyzed following the Student's *t*-test (*p* < 0.05) between W and F plants.

Organic Acid Content (g/100 g)				
	W	F	<i>t</i> -Student <i>p</i> -Value	
Oxalic acid	2.64 ± 0.05	11.50 ± 0.70	0.0028	
Quinic acid	0.16 ± 0.01	1.70 ± 0.10	0.0007	
Malic acid	1.14 ± 0.02	0.79 ± 0.01	0.0027	
Citric acid	$1.76 \pm < 0.01$	5.94 ± 0.01	< 0.0001	
Fumaric acid	$0.11 \pm < 0.01$	0.50 ± 0.03	0.00256	
Total organic acids	5.82 ± 0.06	20.43 ± 0.70	0.0013	
Tocopherols (mg/100 g)				
	W	F	<i>t</i> -Student <i>p</i> -value	
α-Tocopherol (vitamin E)	0.50 ± 0.10	11.00 ± 1.00	0.0001	

Other authors confirmed that domestication increased the organic acid content, especially that of oxalic and citric acids [7]. Unfortunately, the higher content of oxalic acid in cultivated plants than that of wild plants is a negative aspect since the high intake of this organic acid in the form of oxalate in the human diet can bind with calcium in the body, forming calcium oxalate crystals and leading to kidney stones in human beings [22]. Moreover, high levels of oxalates in the body can interfere with the absorption of certain minerals, such as calcium and iron, leading to mineral deficiencies [23]. However, the management of the domestication and the fertilization (by manure in the present experiment) might be useful to decrease the content of oxalates in *S. minor* edible parts. Boiling, steaming, or other cooking technologies could also be very useful to reduce oxalic acid content since it is well known that this organic acid is very sensitive to high temperatures [24].

Regarding to copherol content (Table 3), α -to copherol was the only isoform observed in both F and W S. minor samples. Results reported a higher significant content in F S. minor plants when compared with W plants, suggesting the possible role of domestication in enhancing α -tocopherol content. The presence of the only α -tocopherol isoform in *S. minor* species was confirmed by Karkanis et al. [7]. Further studies are necessary to understand the biological mechanism that affects the α -tocopherol content during domestication. A speculation could be performed in terms of oxidative stress induced by domestication and the role of α -tocopherol as a scavenger in the light reactions of the photosynthetic process [25]. The oxidative stress could not be present in the wild collected plants due to the adaptation of plants to wild conditions since the collected plants could be a regrowth of the plant. However, the increase in α -tocopherol content during the cultivation of *S. minor* plants enhances the nutraceutical value of this species as a food or ingredient. Indeed, it has been well known for several years that in the diet, α -tocopherol is a vitamin with excellent biological activity as a free-radical scavenger and that it can serve as a therapeutic drug against free-radical-involved diseases as well as an inhibitor of COX-2 involved in the inflammatory process [10–12].

3.4. Fatty Acids

A few significant differences between W and F S. minor plants were found in fatty acid content (Table 4). Specifically, lauric (C12:0), pentadecanoic (C15:0), palmitic (C16:0), stearic (C18:0), and lignoceric (C24:0) acids resulted significantly higher in W S. minor plants when compared with domesticated ones, while oleic (C18:1n9) and linoleic (C18:2n6) acids reported higher content in domesticated plants than those collected as wild. However, the main fatty acid identified in the aerial part of *S. minor* was α -linolenic acid (36.28–37.50%), followed by palmitic (25.06–22.03%) and linoleic (11.33–13.40%) acids. Similarly, Karkanis et al. [7] observed α -linolenic acid as the most abundant fatty acid (49.4%) in the aerial part of S. minor plants, followed by palmitic (14.6–15.6%) and linoleic (12.9–13.1%) acids. In addition, Viano et al. [8] reported α -linolenic, palmitic, and linoleic acids as the most abundant fatty acids in the aerial part of S. minor spp. muricata plants collected as wild, confirming our findings despite the different species utilized in both experiments. The differences in terms of fatty acid content can be attributed to many factors, such as different environmental growth conditions, cultivation techniques, or harvest periods [14]. However, the similarities in terms of fatty acid varieties with other experiments suggest that the fatty acid composition is also determined by the plant genus.

Saturated fatty acids (SFA) were mainly found in W *S. minor* plants, while polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) were the predominant fatty acid class present in F *S. minor* plants. Clearly, this result affected the PUFA/SFA ratio. Indeed, the wild plants reported a higher PUFA/SFA ratio when compared with domesticated plants. Due to these differences in fatty acid composition, F plants had a higher nutritional value than W plants, as the PUFA/SFA ratio was higher than 1.0, indicating a predominance of PUFA. In the latter case, both analyzed samples had $\omega 6/\omega 3$ ratios less than 0.45, highlighting a predominance of the $\omega 3$ family over the $\omega 6$. Guil et al. [26] and Simopoulos [27] reported the importance of both of these ratios since they are associated with the beneficial effects of *S. minor* on the cardiovascular system. Indeed, a very high $\omega 6/\omega 3$ ratio promotes the pathogenesis of many diseases, including cardiovascular diseases, cancer, and inflammatory and autoimmune diseases, while increased levels of $\omega 3$ PUFA exert suppressive effects [27].

Fatty Acids (%)					
	W	F	t-Student p-Value		
C8:0	0.25 ± 0.02	0.16 ± 0.03	0.0691		
C10:0	0.46 ± 0.04	0.37 ± 0.02	0.1027		
C12:0	1.24 ± 0.04	0.74 ± 0.04	0.0062		
C13:0	nd	1.10 ± 0.04	-		
C14:0	3.00 ± 0.10	nd	-		
C15:0	0.49 ± 0.02	0.35 ± 0.03	0.0212		
C16:0	25.10 ± 0.30	22.23 ± 0.05	0.0069		
C16:1	2.60 ± 0.20	2.00 ± 0.40	0.2196		
C17:0	0.87 ± 0.03	0.60 ± 0.10	0.1447		
C18:0	6.30 ± 0.10	5.00 ± 0.10	0.0048		
C18:1n9	5.40 ± 0.10	11.40 ± 0.10	0.0003		
C18:2n6	11.33 ± 0.03	13.40 ± 0.10	0.0009		
C18:3n3	36.30 ± 0.30	37.50 ± 0.40	0.0739		
C22:0	1.90 ± 0.40	1.03 ± 0.04	0.087		
C24:0	1.79 ± 0.03	0.96 ± 0.01	0.0004		
SFA	41.40 ± 0.10	34.50 ± 0.10	0.0003		
MUFA	7.95 ± 0.10	13.40 ± 0.30	0.0017		
PUFA	47.61 ± 0.30	50.90 ± 0.50	0.0136		
PUFA/SFA	$1.15\pm {<}0.01$	1.47 ± 0.02	< 0.0001		
SFA/MUFA	5.21 ± 0.003	2.58 ± 0.002	< 0.0001		
w6/w3	$0.31 \pm < 0.01$	0.36 ±< 0.01	0.0037		

Table 4. Fatty acids of wild (W) and domesticated (F) *Sanguisorba minor* plants expressed in dry weight (mean \pm SD). Data were analyzed following the Student's *t*-test (*p* < 0.05) between W and F plants.

nd: not detected. Caprylic acid (C8:0); Capric acid (C10:0); Lauric acid (C12:0); Tridecyclic acid (C13:0); Myristic acid (C14:0); Pentadecanoic acid (C15:0); Palmitic acid (C16:0); Palmitoleic acid (C16:1); Heptadecanoic acid (C17:0); Stearic acid (C18:0); Oleic acid (C18:1n9); Linoleic acid (C18:2n6); α -Linolenic acid (C18:3n3); Behenic acid (C22:0); Lignoceric acid (C24:0). SFA—Saturated fatty acids; MUFA—Monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA/Polyunsaturated fatty acids; PUFA/SFA is the ratio between PUFA and SFA values; $\omega 6/\omega 3$ is the ratio between C18:2n6 and C18:3n3.

3.5. Macromineral and Trace Element Content

Macrominerals and trace elements useful in the diet found in W and F *S. minor* plants were reported in Table 5. Many significant differences were observed between the F and W plants. Among macrominerals, Na⁺ and K⁺ were higher in W plants, whereas Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ were higher in F plants. Among trace elements, the highest contents were observed in W plants. The most representative trace element was Fe.

Table 5. Macromineral and trace element composition of *Sanguisorba minor*, wild-collected (W) and provided by a local farm (F). Each value is the mean (\pm SD) of three replicates. Macrominerals (Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺) were expressed in mg/g fresh weight, while trace elements (Cu⁺, Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, and Zn²⁺) were expressed in µg/g fresh weight. Data were analyzed following the Student's *t*-test (*p* < 0.05) between W and F plants.

	Na	К	Ca	Mg	Cu	Mn	Fe	Zn
W	1.48 ± 0.13	8.30 ± 0.45	1.59 ± 0.03	0.51 ± 0.02	7.09 ± 0.33	37.06 ± 1.90	56.33 ± 3.42	16.97 ± 0.17
F	0.34 ± 0.02	5.51 ± 0.16	4.52 ± 0.26	1.44 ± 0.07	4.55 ± 0.38	13.23 ± 1.59	39.21 ± 5.68	16.39 ± 0.31
<i>t-</i> Student <i>p-</i> value	< 0.0001	0.0005	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.0010	< 0.0001	0.0107	0.0469

The results of the present experiment were confirmed by Pirhofer-Walzl et al. [9], who reported the high content of K in *S. minor* plants collected in the wild. In addition, Lenzi et al. [28] reported similar results for Ca⁺, Mg²⁺, Fe²⁺, Cu²⁺, Mn²⁺, and Zn²⁺ in domesticated *S. minor* plants, confirming our findings.

The lower amount of trace elements in F plants when compared with the W ones suggested the inefficiency of domestication to maintain the trace element content of the wild plants and, consequently, to consider domestication and the management of fertilization as methods to contribute as new sources of macrominerals in the diet of undeveloped countries.

4. Conclusions

The affect of domestication demonstrated positive results, with an increase in some organic acids, α -tocopherol, and macrominerals.

The present work provides valuable results about the characterization of a little-known plant called *Sanguisorba minor*, which could represent a food plant in the future. For this reason, the use of this species in the human diet could be an introduction to a pool of nutritional elements to be considered, especially by people at risk, in the prevention of cardiovascular and chronic diseases. Given its high nutritional value and its edibility, further research about the use of this species as a food or ingredient in the human diet might be needed.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.C. and T.C.F.; methodology, C.C. and T.C.F.; formal analysis, C.C. and T.C.F.; investigation, C.C. and T.C.F.; writing—original draft preparation, C.C. and T.C.F.; writing—review and editing, L.B.; supervision, L.B.; project administration, L.B.; funding acquisition, L.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The authors are grateful to the Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT, Portugal) for financial support to CIMO (UIDB/00690/2020 and UIDP/00690/2020) and SusTEC (LA/P/0007/2021). National funding by FCT, P.I., through the individual scientific employment program-contract for the contracts of L. Barros (CEECIND/01011/2018).

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Ceccanti, C.; Landi, M.; Rocchetti, G.; Moreno, M.B.M.; Lucini, L.; Incrocci, L.; Pardossi, A.; Guidi, L. Hydroponically grown Sanguisorba minor scop.: Effects of cut and storage on fresh-cut produce. Antioxidants 2019, 8, 631. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Finimundy, T.C.; Karkanis, A.; Fernandes, Â.; Petropoulos, S.A.; Calhelha, R.; Petrović, J.; Soković, M.; Rosa, E.; Barros, L.; Ferreira, I.C.F.R. Bioactive properties of *Sanguisorba minor* L. cultivated in central Greece under different fertilization regimes. *Food Chem.* 2020, 327, 127043. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tausch, S.; Leipold, M.; Poschlod, P.; Reisch, C. Molecular markers provide evidence for a broad-fronted recolonisation of the widespread calcareous grassland species *Sanguisorba minor* from southern and cryptic northern refugia. *Plant Biol.* 2017, 19, 562–570. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Guarrera, P.M.; Savo, V. Wild food plants used in traditional vegetable mixtures in Italy. J. Ethnopharmacol. 2016, 185, 202–234. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Flyman, M.V.; Afolayan, A.J. The suitability of wild vegetables for alleviating human dietary deficiencies. *S. Afr. J. Bot.* 2006, 72, 492–497. [CrossRef]
- Ceccanti, C.; Landi, M.; Benvenuti, S.; Pardossi, A.; Guidi, L. Mediterranean Wild Edible Plants: Weeds or "New Functional Crops"? *Molecules* 2018, 23, 2299. [CrossRef]
- Karkanis, A.C.; Fernandes, Â.; Vaz, J.; Petropoulos, S.; Georgiou, E.; Ciric, A.; Sokovic, M.; Oludemi, T.; Barros, L.; Ferreira, I.C.F.R. Chemical composition and bioactive properties of *Sanguisorba minor* Scop. under Mediterranean growing conditions. *Food Funct.* 2019, 10, 1340–1351. [CrossRef]
- Viano, J.; Masotti, V.; Gaydou, E.M. Nutritional value of Mediterranean sheep's burnet (*Sanguisorba minor ssp. muricata*). J. Agric. Food Chem. 1999, 47, 4645–4648. [CrossRef]
- Pirhofer-Walzl, K.; Søegaard, K.; Høgh-Jensen, H.; Eriksen, J.; Sanderson, M.A.; Rasmussen, J.; Rasmussen, J. Forage herbs improve mineral composition of grassland herbage. *Grass Forage Sci.* 2011, 66, 415–423. [CrossRef]
- 10. Mallet, J.F.; Cerrati, C.; Ucciani, E.; Gamisans, J.; Gruber, M. Antioxidant activity of plant leaves in relation to their alpha-tocopherol content. *Food Chem.* **1994**, *49*, 61–65. [CrossRef]
- 11. Engin, K.N. Alpha-tocopherol: Looking beyond an antioxidant. Mol. Vis. 2009, 15, 855. [PubMed]
- 12. O'Leary, K.A.; De Pascual-Tereasa, S.; Needs, P.W.; Bao, Y.P.; O'Brien, N.M.; Williamson, G. Effect of flavonoids and Vitamin E on cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) transcription. *Mutat. Res.-Fundam. Mol. Mech. Mutagen.* **2004**, 551, 245–254. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Welch, R.M.; Graham, R.D. A new paradigm for world agriculture: Meeting human needs. Productive, sustainable, nutritious. *Field Crops Res.* **1999**, *60*, 1–10. [CrossRef]

- 14. Ceccanti, C.; Landi, M.; Incrocci, L.; Pardossi, A.; Venturi, F.; Taglieri, I.; Ferroni, G.; Guidi, L. Comparison of three domestications and wild-harvested plants for nutraceutical properties and sensory profiles in five wild edible herbs: Is domestication possible? *Foods* **2020**, *9*, 1065. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ceccanti, C.; Finimundy, T.C.; Heleno, S.A.; Pires, T.C.; Calhelha, R.C.; Guidi, L.; Ferreira, I.C.F.R.; Barros, L. Differences in the phenolic composition and nutraceutical properties of freeze dried and oven-dried wild and domesticated samples of *Sanguisorba minor* Scop. *LWT* 2021, *145*, 111335. [CrossRef]
- Ceccanti, C.; Brizzi, A.; Landi, M.; Incrocci, L.; Pardossi, A.; Guidi, L. Evaluation of major minerals and trace elements in wild and domesticated edible herbs traditionally used in the Mediterranean area. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 2021, 199, 3553–3561. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Guarrera, P.M.; Savo, V. Perceived health properties of wild and cultivated food plants in local and popular traditions of Italy: A review. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2013**, *146*, 659–680. [CrossRef]
- 18. Horwitz, W.; Latimer, G.W., Jr. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International, 18th ed.AOAC International: Rockville, MD, USA, 2005.
- 19. Barros, L.; Pereira, E.; Calhelha, R.C.; Dueñas, M.; Carvalho, A.M.; Santos-Buelga, C.; Ferreira, I.C.F.R. Bioactivity and chemical characterization in hydrophilic and lipophilic compounds of *Chenopodium ambrosioides* L. *J. Funct. Foods* **2013**, *5*, 1732–1740. [CrossRef]
- Tsugkiev, B.; Gagieva, L.; Cherniavskih, V.; Dumacheva, E.; Korolkova, S. Dynamics of the Accumulation of the Biologically Active Substances *Sanguisorba officinalis* L. Depending on Vertical Zonal. In Proceedings of the 1st International Symposium Innovations in Life Sciences (ISILS 2019), Belgorod, Russia, 10–11 October 2019; Atlantis Press: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2019; pp. 60–64.
- 21. Agarwal, P.; Saha, S.; Hariprasad, P. Agro-industrial-residues as potting media: Physicochemical and biological characters and their influence on plant growth. *Biomass Convers. Biorefin.* **2021**, 1–24. [CrossRef]
- 22. Trinchieri, A. Diet and renal stone formation. *Minerva Med.* 2013, 104, 41–54.
- Gemede, H.F.; Haki, G.D.; Beyene, F.; Woldegiorgis, A.Z.; Rakshit, S.K. Proximate, mineral, and antinutrient compositions of indigenous Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) pod accessions: Implications for mineral bioavailability. *Food Sci. Nutr.* 2016, 4, 223–233. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chai, W.; Liebman, M. Effect of different cooking methods on vegetable oxalate content. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2005, 53, 3027–3030. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Trebst, A.; Depka, B.; Holländer-Czytko, H. A specific role for tocopherol and of chemical singlet oxygen quenchers in the maintenance of photosystem II structure and function in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. FEBS Lett. **2002**, 516, 156–160. [CrossRef]
- Guil, J.L.; Torija, M.E.; Giménez, J.J.; Rodríguez, I. Identification of fatty acids in edible wild plants by gas chromatography. J. Chromatogr. A 1996, 719, 229–235. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Simopoulos, A.P. The importance of the omega-6/omega-3 fatty acid ratio in cardiovascular disease and other chronic diseases. *Exp. Biol. Med.* **2008**, 233, 674–688. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Lenzi, A.; Orlandini, A.; Bulgari, R.; Ferrante, A.; Bruschi, P. Antioxidant and mineral composition of three wild leafy species: A comparison between microgreens and baby greens. *Foods* **2019**, *8*, 487. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.