

Article



Comparisons of Ramie and Corn Stover Silages: Effects on Chewing Activity, Rumen Fermentation, Microbiota and Methane Emissions in Goats

Xu Tian ^{1,2,†}^(D), Cheng Gao ^{1,2,†}, Zhengping Hou ¹, Rong Wang ², Xiumin Zhang ², Qiushuang Li ², Zhongshan Wei ³, Duanqin Wu ^{1,*}^(D) and Min Wang ^{1,2,*}

- ¹ Institute of Bast Fiber Crops, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Changsha 410205, China
- ² Key Laboratory for Agro-Ecological Processes in Subtropical Region, Hunan Research Center of Livestock & Poultry Sciences, South-Central Experimental Station of Animal Nutrition and Feed Science in Ministry of Agriculture, Institute of Subtropical Agriculture, The Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changsha 410125, China
- ³ Institute of Hunan Animal and Veterinary Science, Changsha 410131, China
- * Correspondence: wuduanqin@caas.cn (D.W.); mwang@isa.ac.cn (M.W.); Tel.: +86-0731-88998578 (D.W.); +86-0731-84615236 (M.W.)
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: The study aimed to investigate the nutritional value of ramie (Boehmeria nivea) silage, and its consequences for chewing activity, rumen fermentation, and enteric methane (CH₄) emissions in goats, by comparing it with corn stover (CS) silage. An in vitro ruminal experiment was firstly performed to investigate the substrate degradation and fermentation of CS and ramie silage. The ramie silage diet was formulated by replacing 60% of CS silage with ramie silage (dry matter (DM) basis). Eight female Xiangdong Black goats (a local breed in Southern China, 1 to 1.2 years of age) with BW of 21.0 ± 1.05 kg were used for this experiment and were randomly assigned to either one of the two dietary treatments in a cross-over design. The ramie silage had higher crude protein (CP) and ash content and lower hemicellulose content, together with decreased (p < 0.05) nutrient degradation and methane production and increased (p < 0.05) acetate molar percentage and acetate to propionate ratio through in vitro ruminal fermentation. Feeding the ramie silage diet did not alter feed intake (p > 0.05), decreased (p < 0.05) nutrient digestibility, and increased (p < 0.05) chewing activity and rumination activity, with reductions (p < 0.05) in eating activity and idle activity. Although feeding the ramie silage diet caused a greater (p < 0.05) molar percentage of acetate and lower molar percentage of propionate, it decreased the rumen-dissolved CH_4 concentration and enteric CH_4 emissions (p < 0.05). Feeding the ramie silage diet did not alter (p > 0.05) the population of bacteria, protozoa, and fungi; it increased the 16S rRNA gene copies of Ruminococcus flavefaciens (p < 0.05). Further 16SrRNA gene amplicon analysis indicated a distinct bacterial composition between the two treatments (p < 0.05). Feeding the ramie silage diet led to a lower abundance of genera Lawsonibacter, Sedimentibacter, Saccharofermentans, Sediminibacterium, and Bifidobacterium (p < 0.05). Ramie can be an alternative forage resource to stimulate chewing activity and reduce CH₄ emissions in ruminants.

Keywords: ramie silage; chewing activity; rumen fermentation; methane; goat

1. Introduction

Forage is an indispensable component in feeds and serves a nutritional and behavioral function for the maintenance and production of ruminants. One important function of dietary forage is to promote chewing activity to stimulate saliva secretion, which is critical in improving rumen buffering capacity and maintaining rumen homeostasis [1]. The decomposition of plant forage into volatile fatty acids (VFA) by ruminal cellulolytic bacteria generates large amounts of hydrogen (H₂) [2], which is used by methanogenic archaea as an energy source, resulting in methane (CH₄) production. Ruminant-derived CH₄



Citation: Tian, X.; Gao, C.; Hou, Z.; Wang, R.; Zhang, X.; Li, Q.; Wei, Z.; Wu, D.; Wang, M. Comparisons of Ramie and Corn Stover Silages: Effects on Chewing Activity, Rumen Fermentation, Microbiota and Methane Emissions in Goats. *Fermentation* **2022**, *8*, 432. https:// doi.org/10.3390/fermentation8090432

Academic Editor: Mengzhi Wang

Received: 19 June 2022 Accepted: 28 August 2022 Published: 1 September 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). emissions account for around one quarter of all anthropogenic CH₄ emissions and are implicated as a driver of global climate change [3,4]. Feeding with a high proportion of dietary forage always causes an increase in enteric CH₄ emissions in ruminants. Due to the current ruminant production and climate issues, contemporary work exploring natural plant forage is needed to alter feeding behavior and reduce enteric CH₄ emissions [5].

Ramie (*Boehmeria nivea*), a hardy perennial herbaceous plant with high quality of bast fiber, has been traditionally grown as a plant textile raw material [6]. Ramie also has high crude protein content (179–211 g/kg, dry matter (DM) basis), and can be cut annually with high biological yields (90.1–94.6 t/hm² a) [7,8]. Replacing less than 67% of alfalfa hay with ramie silage has been reported to have no adverse effects on milk production and blood biochemical parameters in dairy cows [8]. Replacing less than 35% of alfalfa hay with ramie had no negative effects on growth performance and improved the meat quality in goats [9]. However, no studies have been conducted to investigate the effect of ramie supplementation on chewing activity and enteric CH_4 emissions, although ramie is rich in bast fiber and plant secondary metabolites such as tannins, polyphenol, and flavonoids [10,11].

We hypothesized that ramie silage had distinct plant fiber and rumen fermentation characteristics compared to corn stover (CS) silage, which might affect the feed behavior and methanogenesis in ruminants. Goats were employed as experimental animals, while the study was designed to investigate the nutritional value of ramie silage, and its consequences for chewing activity, rumen fermentation, and enteric CH_4 emissions, by comparing it with CS silage. The first experiment aimed to compare fiber degradation, gas production, and rumen fermentation between ramie and CS silage through in vitro batch culture. Then, an in vivo study was conducted to evaluate the effects of replacing 60% of CS silage with ramie silage on fiber digestibility, chewing activity, rumen fermentation, CH_4 emissions, and microbiota in goats.

2. Materials and Methods

All procedures involving animals were approved (No. ISA-W-202001) by the Animal Care Committee, Institute of Subtropical Agriculture, the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changsha, China.

2.1. Preparation of Silages

Ramie silage (*B. Nivea* "Zhongzhu No.1") and CS silage were obtained from Hunan Deren Animal Husbandry Technology Co., Ltd., Changsha, China The fresh ramie was collected at 1.2 m height, while corn stover after fresh corn harvesting was collected with the stem cut at around 15 cm above the ground level. Both forages were chopped to 3–4 cm length with a straw cutter (RC500 Chaffcutter, Qufu Ruicheng Agricultural Machinery Co., Ltd., Qufu, China), and then packed by an automatic silage wrapping machine (model TSW2020C, Shanghai Shidaer Modern Agricultural Machinery Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) to prepare the silage (>60 d). When the experiment started, three fresh samples of around 300 g were collected after opening per silage for in vitro incubation and for detection of DM content and chemical composition (Table 1).

There a *	Sila	ages
Items *	CS	Ramie
DM (g/kg)	364	358
S	ubstrate on a DM basis (g/kg DM	<i>(</i> 1)
ОМ	934	798
CP	95.6	169
NDF	670	560
ADF	389	403
Hemicellulose	281	157
Pectin	55.8	80.6
Starch	95.6	76.6
Ash	66.0	202
Tannin	8.17	3.65
GE (MJ/kg DM)	145	132

Table 1. Ingredients and chemical composition of corn stover (CS) silage and ramie silage.

* DM, dry matter; OM, organic matter; CP, crude protein; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; ADF, acid neutral detergent fiber; GE, gross energy.

2.2. In Vitro Ruminal Batch Incubation

As rumen fluid donor animals, 3 healthy adult male fistulated Xiangdong black goats with comparable body weight (average BW 25 ± 2.0 kg) were chosen. The goats were fed a mixed diet of rice straw and concentrate (1:1) containing 137 and 380 g/kg DM of crude protein and neutral detergent fiber, respectively. Rumen contents were randomly collected from 2 fistula sheep before morning feeding (08:00 h), filtered through 4 layers of sterile gauze into preheated thermos flasks, and mixed artificial rumen cultures were created by mixing rumen fluid with artificial saliva [12] at a ratio of 1:4 (v/v). Approximately 1.0 g of the substrate was weighed into a 135 mL fermentation bottle and incubated with 60 mL of buffered rumen fluid under a stream of carbon dioxide (CO₂) at 39.5 °C. Bottles were immediately placed into the fully automatic in vitro batch culture system, as described by Wang et al. [13], with venting pressure set at 10.0 kPa. In vitro rumen fermentation was stopped at 48 h. The gas production was calculated using the method described by Wang et al. [14].

Approximately 2 mL of subsample was centrifuged at $12,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4 °C, and then the supernatants (1.5 mL) were transferred into tubes, acidified with 0.15 mL of 25% (w/v) metaphosphoric acid, and stored at -20 °C overnight for analysis of volatile fatty acids. The remaining solid residues were separated to dry at 105 °C to constant weight. The degradation of the incubated substrates was measured based on the difference in DM and NDF weights (dried at 105 °C) before and after incubation [15].

Three runs of incubation were conducted. Each run contained six culture bottles as a technical repetition per each treatment, conducted with rumen fluid from 1 of 3 donor goats, and repeated 3 times on different days and donor goats so that each treatment had three biological replicates.

2.3. Animals and Experimental Design

Eight female Xiangdong black goats (a local breed in Southern China, 1 to 1.2 years of age) with BW of 21.0 ± 1.05 kg (mean \pm standard deviation) were used for this experiment and were randomly assigned to either one of the two dietary treatments in a cross-over design. The diet was formulated to meet appropriately 1.2 to 1.3 times the energy and protein requirements of growing goats by referring to Zhang and Zhang [16] (Table 2). The ramie diet was formulated by replacing 60% of CS silage and urea with ramie silage (DM basis) to obtain similar CP content between CS and ramie silage diets (Table 2). All goats were kept in individual pens with free access to fresh water and fed individually equal meals at 08:30 and 17:30 h.

Itoms *	D	iet
items –	CS	Ramie
	Ingredient (g/kg DM)	
Corn stover silage	727	286
Ramie silage	0	446
Corn	202	198
Soybean meal	22	21
Wheat bran	12	12
Oil	5	5
Sodium chloride	3	3
Calcium hydrophosphate	2	2
Limestone	5	16
Urea	11	0
Premix	11	11
C	hemical composition (g/kg DM	[)
OM	922	877
СР	145	152
NDF	554	508
ADF	297	312
Hemicellulose	257	196
Starch	233	232
Ash	78	123
GE (MJ/kg)	143	140

Table 2. Ingredients and chemical composition of basal diets (g/kg DM).

* DM, dry matter; OM, organic matter; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; ADF, acid detergent fiber; CP, crude protein; GE, gross energy. The premix (vitamins and microelements) provided (per kilogram of DM) 1,000,000 IU of vitamin A, 200,000 IU of vitamin D, 1250 IU vitamin E, 8000 mg of ZnSO₄, 80 mg of FeSO₄, 120 mg of KI, 2000 mg of FeSO₄, 40 mg of CoSO₄, 2500 mg of MnSO₄, and 2000 mg of CuSO₄. Mean values from the analysis of three samples.

Each experimental period lasted 41 d, with the first 28 d for diet adaptation and the last 13 d for sampling and data collection. During the initial 10 d of adaptation to diets, the feed was offered ad libitum, targeting 5% refusals. The amount of feed allocated daily during the next 18 d of adaptation was adjusted to 100% of the DM intake previously measured to minimize feed selection. The refusals, when present, were collected and analyzed to determine the actual nutrient intakes. The 15 d sampling period included 4 d for measurements of chewing activity, 5 d for total feces collection, 2 d for rumen fluid sampling, and 4 d for measurements of CH₄ and CO₂ emissions (2 d for each goat in respiratory chambers).

2.4. Chewing Activity

A high-definition infrared camera (Haier, WSC-580W) was installed above each goat's cage to continuously monitor the chewing activity from 27 to 30 d. At the same time, the daily feed intake and leftover feed were recorded, and the dry matter intake was calculated. After the test, the eating activity, ruminating activity, non-nutritive oral activity, and idle activity of goats were observed and recorded on a computer. The above behavior definition, observation methods, and recording standards referred to Muhammed et al. [17].

2.5. Nutrient Digestibility

Total-tract nutrient digestibility was measured daily from d 31 to 35. Total feces of each goat were collected, weighed, and mixed daily. Two subsamples (~10% each) were collected for nutrient analysis. A fecal subsample (~1%) was frozen immediately at -20 °C, and another fecal subsample (~1%) was acidified using 10% (w/w) H₂SO₄ to prevent N loss and then frozen immediately at -20 °C. The samples of the diets, feces, and orts were dried at 65 °C for 48 h in a forced-air oven, ground through a 1-mm screen, and then the acidified oven-dried samples were used for total N analysis, whereas non-acidified

oven-dried samples were used for the rest of the chemical analyses. All samples were processed for chemical analysis according to the procedures of Wang et al. [18].

2.6. Rumen Sampling

Rumen sampling was performed over a consecutive 2 d period from d 36 to 37 after measuring total-tract nutrient digestibility. Rumen contents were collected by oral stomach tubing (Anscitech Co., Ltd., Wuhan, China) at 0, 2.5, and 6 h after the morning feeding, according to the method described by Wang et al. [18]. In order to avoid saliva contamination, the first 100 mL of rumen content was discarded, and the second approximately 150 mL of rumen content was rapidly collected for subsequent measurements.

Two subsamples (15 mL each) were immediately frozen at -80 °C in liquid nitrogen for DNA extraction and subsequent quantification of microbial groups. Two other subsamples (35 mL each) were immediately transferred into 50 mL plastic syringes for measuring dissolved hydrogen (dH₂) and dissolved methane (dCH₄) concentrations. Approximately 20 mL of rumen content was used for the immediate measurement of ruminal pH using a portable pH meter (Starter 300; Ohaus Instruments Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). An aliquot of 2.5 mL of rumen content was centrifuged at 15,000× *g* for 10 min at 4 °C, and aliquots of the supernatants (1.5 mL) were transferred into tubes containing 0.15 mL of 25% (*w*/*v*) metaphosphoric acid, vigorously hand-shaken and stored at -20 °C for subsequent determination of VFA and ammonia concentration.

2.7. Measurement of Enteric CH₄ and CO₂ Emissions

Enteric CH₄ and CO₂ emissions were measured over the 48-h period after the collection of rumen contents, according to Wang et al. [19]. As only 4 respiration chambers were available, it took a total of 4 d to measure the CH₄ and CO₂ emissions of the 8 goats. The net volume of each respiration chamber was around 2.0 m³ (i.e., 1.7 m length \times 0.9 m width \times 1.3 m height). The goats were restricted but free to eat feed and drink water. The plexiglass structure of the five respiration chambers permitted goats to see each other, minimizing stress. The airflow was controlled by the air pump and maintained at negative pressure (flow rate = 40 m³/h) to prevent the losses of CH₄ and CO₂ produced by goats. Gas discharged from the respiration chamber and ambient gas were connected to a multiport inlet unit of the gas analyzer (GGA-30P, Los Gatos Institute, Los Gatos, CA, USA) to measure CH₄ and CO₂ concentrations. To minimize the chamber effect, correction factors accounting for respiration chamber differences were estimated by using the methodology described by McGinn et al. [20]. The respiration chambers were opened twice a day at 07:30 and 17:30 to deliver the diets and cleaned before morning feeding.

2.8. Sample Analyses

2.8.1. Chemical Composition

All samples of feeds, feed refusals, and feces were dried at 65 °C for 48 h and ground through a 1-mm screen for chemical analysis. The DM (method 945.15), OM (method 942.05), CP (method 954.01), and EE (method 920.39) were determined according to AOAC methodologies [21]. The GE content was measured using an isothermal automatic calorimeter (5EAC8018; Changsha Kaiyuan Instruments Co., Ltd., Changsha, China). Neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent fiber (ADF) were assayed and expressed inclusive of residual ash using the methods of Van Soest et al. [22], and NDF was assayed with the addition of a heat-stable amylase and sodium sulfite. Hemicellulose was calculated according to NDF and ADF content. Starch was analyzed following pre-extraction with ethanol (80%), according to the procedure of Kartchner and Theurer [23]. Dissolved CH₄ and dH₂ in rumen fluid were measured using the procedures described by Wang et al. [24].

2.8.2. Fermentation End Products

Frozen rumen samples were thawed and centrifuged at $12,000 \times g$ for 10 min at 4 °C, and the supernatants were used for subsequent analysis of VFA and ammonia

concentration. Individual VFA concentrations were analyzed by gas chromatography (GC, Agilent 7890A, and Agilent Inc., PaloAlto, CA, USA) according to Wang et al. [25], assuming equal fractional rates of individual VFA absorption.

2.8.3. DNA Extraction and Microbial Analysis

The rumen contents obtained at 0, 2.5, and 6 h were mixed and freeze-dried for microbial analysis. The microbial DNA was extracted by using a modified RBB + C methodology [26] with sand beating, according to Ma et al. [27]. The quantity of the DNA extracts was assessed based on absorbance at 260 and 280 nm using a ND-2000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA). Total bacteria, protozoa, fungi, and methanogens, and selected groups of bacteria (*Ruminococcus albus, Ruminococcus flavefaciens, Fibrobacter succinogenes, Selenomonas ruminantium, Prevotella ruminicola, Prevotella, Ruminobacter amylophilus*, and *Butyrivibrio fibrisolven*), were quantified by qPCR using primers listed in Table S1. Quantitative PCR was performed according to Jiao et al. [28]. Final absolute amounts of the target group or species were estimated by relating the cycle threshold (C_T) value to the standard curves and expressed as log10 copies/g DM rumen content. The abundance of these microorganisms was calculated as copy number per g DM rumen content and was further converted to log10 for further statistical analysis.

The DNA sequencing of rumen fluid was performed according to our previous study [29]. Briefly, the primer pair of 341F: 5'-CCTAYGGGRBGCASCAG-3' and 806R: 5'-GGACTACNNGGGTATCTAAT-3' was used to amplify the V3–V4 region [30]. All amplicon libraries' preparation and sequencing were performed on the MiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) at Shanghai Biozeron Biological Technology Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China. A total of 611,382 high-quality reads were generated, with an average of 38,211 \pm 574 reads per sample, for the assignment of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) by DADA2 [31]. Taxonomy was annotated using Silva (release 138.1, http://www.arb-silva.de (accessed on 4 August 2022)). Alpha diversity of samples, mainly including Chao1, Shannon, Evenness, and Coverage indices, was evaluated using MOTHUR v.1.39. [32]. The principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of the ruminal bacterial community was performed based on the unweighted UniFrac dissimilarity matrix at the taxon (ASV) level. For linear discriminant analysis (LDA), the statistical differences between different treatments with LDA scores greater than 3 were performed.

2.9. Statistical Analysis

Data were subjected to analysis using the SPSS 22.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The in vitro data were analyzed using the procedure of the generalized linear model (GLM), which included types of silage (n = 2) as fixed effects, and incubation run (j = 3) as random effects. The in vivo data were analyzed using the linear mixed model, which included treatment as a fixed effect (n = 2), and goat (n = 4) and period (n = 2) as random effects. When sampling time was included, the model included treatment (n = 2) and the interaction between treatment and sampling time as a fixed effect, sampling time (n = 3) as a repeated measurement, and goat (n = 4) and period (n = 2) as random effects. The covariance structure was heterogeneous autoregressive (ARH1), which had low Akaike's information criterion values to optimize the statistical analysis. Relative abundances of bacteria were analyzed using the Wilcoxon rank sum tests in the JMP Pro software (JMP Pro version 13.2.1, SAS Institute Inc. SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Differences at *p* < 0.05 were considered significant.

3. Results

The CS silage had higher in vitro digestibility of OM (p < 0.01) and NDF (p < 0.01), total gas (p < 0.01) and CH₄ volume (p < 0.01), and lower H₂ volume (p < 0.01), in comparison with ramie silage (Table 3). The ramie silage had greater (p < 0.01) molar percentages of acetate, isobutyrate, valerate, and isovalerate, and a lower (p < 0.01) total VFA concentration

and molar percentage of propionate than CS silage (Table 3). The fermentation kinetics during the incubation is represented in Figure S1.

T	Sub	strates		<i>n</i> Value	
Items *	CS	Ramie	SEM	<i>p</i> -Value	
S	ubstrate degr	adation (g/kg)			
DM	397	281	29.0	0.02	
OM	411	326	22.0	0.03	
NDF	428	226	13.1	< 0.01	
	Total gas p	production			
mL/g DM degraded	553	453	34.1	0.06	
mL/g OM degraded	532	395	29.4	0.01	
Hydrogen production					
uL/mL Total gas	11.4	127	17.0	0.02	
$\mu L/g$ DM degraded	74.5	709	103	< 0.01	
$\mu L/g$ OM degraded	71.7	608	59.1	< 0.01	
mmol/mol Total VFA	0.20	1.68	0.30	0.01	
Methane production					
ml/L Total gas	168	62	0.96	< 0.01	
mL/g DM degraded	85.6	50.1	4.90	< 0.01	
mL/g OM degraded	82.4	44.2	4.06	< 0.01	
mol/mol Total VFA	0.24	0.11	0.02	< 0.01	
Total VFA concentration (mM)	94.2	76.6	1.70	< 0.01	
Molar perce	ntage of indiv	vidual VFA (mol/1	100 mol)		
Acetate	61.1	65.4	0.18	< 0.01	
Propionate	27.4	22.9	0.45	< 0.01	
Butyrate	8.69	7.76	0.34	0.09	
Isobutyrate	0.78	1.17	0.02	< 0.01	
Valerate	0.81	1.02	0.01	< 0.01	
Isovalerate	1.14	1.67	0.03	< 0.01	

Table 3. In vitro fermentation characteristics of corn stover (CS) silage and ramie silage after 48-h in vitro ruminal batch incubation (n = 3).

* OM, organic matter; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; VFA, volatile fatty acids.

Goats fed the ramie silage diet had lower OM (-7.66%; p = 0.02) and GE (-7.20%; p = 0.03) intake and total-tract digestibility of DM (-10.1%; p < 0.01), OM (-7.72%; p < 0.01), CP (-12.2%; p < 0.01), NDF (-12.4%; p < 0.01), starch (-1.6%; p = < 0.01), and GE (-4.1%; p < 0.01) than those fed the CS silage diet (Table 4). Feeding with the ramie silage diet decreased enteric CH₄ emissions expressed as g/day (-24.1%; p < 0.01), g/kg DM intake (-18.7%; p = 0.01), and g/kg OM digested (-18.5%; p = 0.01) (Table 5), and enteric CO₂ emissions expressed as g/day (-17.3%; p < 0.01) and g/kg DM intake (-11.3%; p = 0.03) (Table 4).

Itoma *	D	iet	SEM	n-Value			
Items *	CS	CS Ramie		p-value			
Intake (g/day)							
DM	538	532	12.3	0.74			
OM	496	458	10.5	0.02			
СР	79.3	81.6	1.47	0.28			
NDF	251	222	7.68	0.20			
ADF	123	124	4.84	0.85			
Starch	179	181	1.08	0.32			
GE (MJ/day)	7.91	7.34	0.17	0.03			
Apparent total-tract digestibility (g/kg)							
DM	712	640	10.5	< 0.01			
OM	737	680	9.28	< 0.01			
СР	781	686	10.4	< 0.01			
NDF	659	577	10.2	< 0.01			
ADF	593	546	16.9	0.07			
Starch	942	926	3.41	< 0.01			
GE	686	611	11.6	< 0.01			
	Meth	nane emissions					
g/day	13.3	10.1	0.58	< 0.01			
g/kg DM intake	24.0	19.5	1.10	0.01			
g/kg OM intake	26.1	22.6	1.22	0.06			
g/kg DM digested	18.4	15.5	0.83	0.02			
g/kg OM digested	17.8	14.5	0.80	0.01			
Carbon dioxide emissions							
g/day	497	411	17.0	<0.01			
g/kg DM intake	895	790	32.5	0.03			

Table 4. Effects of replacing corn stover (CS) silage with ramie silage on feed intake, total-tract nutrient digestibility, enteric methane (CH_4), and carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions of goats (n = 8).

* DM, dry matter; OM, organic matter; CP, crude protein; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; ADF, acid neutral detergent fiber; GE, gross energy.

Goats fed the ramie silage diet had greater (p < 0.05) rumination activity expressed as min/day, number/day, and s/g DM intake, greater chewing activity expressed as min/day and s/g DM intake, and lower (p < 0.05) eating activity expressed as min/day and s/g DM intake and idle activity as min/day, in comparison with those fed the CS silage diet (Table 5).

Goats fed the ramie silage diet had lower dCH₄ (-22.5%, p = 0.01), in comparison with those fed the CS diet (Table 6). Feeding with the ramie silage diet caused a greater total VFA concentration (+24.8%, p < 0.01), together with a greater molar percentage of acetate (p < 0.01) and isobutyrate (p < 0.01) and acetate to propionate ratio (p < 0.01), and lower molar percentage of propionate (p < 0.01) and isovalerate (p < 0.01) (Table 6). The time of sampling had a significant effect (p < 0.01) on rumen parameters, except dCH₄ and molar percentage of butyrate (p > 0.05). Significant diet × time interactions (p < 0.01) were observed for the molar percentage of acetate and propionate. Goats fed the ramie silage diet had a greater (p < 0.05) molar percentage of acetate at 2.5 and 6 h after feeding and a lower (p < 0.05) molar percentage of propionate at 2.5 and 6 h after feeding, in comparison with those fed with the CS diet (Figure S2).

T1	D	Diet	CEM	a Value				
Items •	CS	Ramie	SEM	<i>p</i> -value				
Eating activity								
min/day	225	174	8.03	< 0.01				
number/day	20.3	17.1	1.16	0.06				
s/g DM intake	25.2	18.8	0.97	< 0.01				
Rumination activity								
min/day	184	288	12.0	< 0.01				
number/day	16.3	21.3	1.19	0.01				
s/g DM intake	20.3	31.3	1.21	< 0.01				
Chewing activity								
min/day	407	460	12.6	0.01				
s/g DM intake	45.5	50.1	1.16	0.01				
Idle activity								
min/day	979	936	12.3	0.02				

Table 5. Effects of replacing corn stover (CS) silage with ramie silage on chewing activity of goats (n = 8).

* DM, dry matter; chewing activity, the sum of eating and ruminating time; idle activity, time without total chewing activity and other oral activities.

Table 6. Effect of replacing corn stover (CS) silage with ramie silage on dissolved gases and fermentation end-products in the rumen of goats (n = 8).

Items *	Diet			Time, h		CEM	<i>p</i> -Value		
	CS	Ramie	0	2.5	6	SEIVI -	Diet	Time	$\mathbf{Diet} \times \mathbf{Time}$
Dissolved gasses									
dH ₂ , (μM)	0.63	0.66	0.30	0.98	0.65	0.08	0.28	< 0.01	0.40
dCH ₄ , (mM)	1.02	0.79	0.87	1.01	0.85	0.11	0.01	0.25	0.43
Rumen pH	6.69	6.72	6.86	6.61	6.64	0.04	0.34	< 0.01	0.62
Total VFA concentration (mM)	38.6	48.2	38.1	47.9	44.2	2.22	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.42
	Мо	lar percent	age of ind	dividual V	/FA (mol/	/100 mol)			
Acetate	68.1	69.1	69.9	66.7	69.1	0.38	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.02
Propionate	17.9	16.7	15.1	18.9	17.9	0.29	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01
Butyrate	10.4	10.5	10.6	10.7	10.0	0.18	0.82	0.15	0.48
Isobutyrate	1.12	1.29	1.45	1.16	1.00	0.04	0.03	< 0.01	0.32
Valerate	0.83	0.82	0.80	0.95	0.72	0.02	0.37	< 0.01	0.07
Isovalerate	1.63	1.26	2.02	1.21	1.11	0.07	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.12
Acetate to propionate ratio	3.85	4.25	4.65	3.58	3.93	0.08	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.06

* dH₂, dissolved hydrogen; dCH₄, dissolved methane; VFA, volatile fatty acids.

Goats fed the ramie silage diet had a greater (p = 0.01) 16S rRNA gene copy number of *Ruminococcus flavefaciens* than those fed the CS silage diet (Table 7). Although feeding with ramie silage did not alter (p > 0.05) alpha diversity (Table 8), it led to a distinct composition of the bacterial community ($R^2 = 0.11$, p < 0.01) in those fed the CS silage diet based on unweighted UniFrac dissimilarity (Figure 1). At the phylum level, goats fed the ramie silage diet had a lower abundance of genera *Firmicutes*, *Actinobacteria*, *Candidatus Saccharibacteria*, and *Tenericutes* and higher abundance of genera *Tenericutes* than those fed the CS silage diet (p < 0.05) (Table 8). At the genus level, feeding with the ramie silage diet led to a greater abundance of genera *Lawsonibacter*, *Sedimentibacter*, *Saccharofermentans*, *Sediminibacterium*, and *Bifidobacterium* and lower abundance of genus *Anaeroplasma* (p < 0.05) (Table 8). The line difference discriminant analysis (LDA) indicated that the ramie silage treatment enriched

phylum *Tenericutes* and genera *Lentimicrobium*, *Anaeroplasma*, and *Butyrivibrio* (LDA > 3). The CS silage treatment enriched phylum *Actinobacteria* and genus *Saccharofermentans* (LDA > 3).

Diet *p*-Value Items * SEM CS Ramie Bacteria 11.3 0.07 0.37 11.4 Protozoa 7.50 7.66 0.07 0.26 8.09 8.27 0.08 0.12 Fungi Methanogens 9.33 9.51 0.11 0.22 Selected groups of bacteria Ruminococcus albus 9.06 9.14 0.10 0.98 Ruminococcus flavefaciens 7.45 8.03 0.14 0.01 *Fibrobacter succinogenes* 9.76 10.0 0.08 0.10 Selenomonas ruminantium 9.55 9.42 0.19 0.64 Prevotella 10.7 10.9 0.08 0.12 Prevotella ruminnicola 9.43 8.97 0.24 0.19 Ruminobacter amylophilus 7.21 7.38 0.09 0.17

Table 7. Effects of replacing corn stover (CS) silage with ramie silage on selected groups of microorganisms (log10 copies/g DM rumen content) in the rumen of goats (n = 8).

Table 8. Effects of replacing corn stover (CS) silage with ramie silage on the alpha diversity indexes, bacterial phyla, and genera (>1% abundance) by 16S rRNA gene sequencing in the rumen of goats (n = 8).

Tt	D	liet	CEM	<i>p</i> -Value				
items "	CS	Ramie	SEM					
Alpha diversity indexes								
Chao1	392	393	43.3	0.88				
Shannon	6.84	6.98	0.36	0.40				
Evenness	0.79	0.81	0.03	0.20				
Bacterial phyla, and genera								
p_Firmicutes	41.6	30.9	2.48	0.03				
g_Lawsonibacter	3.17	1.79	0.34	0.03				
g_Sedimentibacter	3.75	1.14	0.63	0.01				
g_Saccharofermentans	1.25	0.61	0.16	0.03				
p_Bacteroidetes	30.8	29.7	2.80	0.17				
g_Sediminibacterium	1.08	0.67	0.08	0.02				
p_Proteobacteria	22.3	20.3	1.64	0.67				
p_Actinobacteria	3.42	1.38	0.41	0.01				
g_Bifidobacterium	2.35	0.78	0.36	0.01				
p_Candidatus Saccharibacteria	2.24	1.04	0.22	0.01				
p_Tenericutes	0.05	2.41	0.53	0.01				
g_Anaeroplasma	0.02	2.32	0.44	0.02				

* p = phylum, g = genus.



Figure 1. (**A**) The principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) profile of ruminal bacterial community based on unweighted UniFrac dissimilarity matrix at the taxon (ASV) level (p < 0.01, $R^2 = 0.11$), the circle means the sample in the treatment has a 95% probability of falling in this area. (**B**) Bacterial taxa with linear discriminant analysis (LDA) that scored greater than three in rumen microbiota under different treatments.

4. Discussion

The main characteristic of ramie silage is its higher CP (169 g/kg) and ash content and lower hemicellulose content when compared with CS silage. Such results were consistent with previous studies, which reported that the content of CP and ash in ramie silage is 161–174 g/kg and 141–155 g/kg, respectively [33,34]. Furthermore, ramie silage exhibited lower in vitro OM and NDF degradation and greater molar percentages of acetate, indicating that ramie was more difficult to degrade by the ruminal microbiota and facilitated acetate production, which agrees with our previous study [35]. However, the ramie silage led to lower CH₄ production with greater H₂ accumulation, which indicated that ramie might have a function of inhibiting ruminal methanogenesis in ruminants.

Replacing 60% of CS silage with ramie silage did not alter the feed intake in goats, although feeding with the ramie silage diet led to lower OM intake. Such a reduction in OM intake could be caused by the higher ash content in the ramie silage diet. Wu et al. [8] reported that using ramie to replace other forages, such as alfalfa, did not significantly alter the feed intake in dairy cows. However, feeding with ramie silage may cause a reduction in the nutrient digestibility due to its high ash content (141–155 g/kg) and distinct fiber structure [34,36]. Tang et al. [37] reported that feeding a diet with greater than 25% of ramie decreases nutrient digestibility in goats. In our study, feeding a diet with 44% of ramie silage led to lower apparent OM, CP, and NDF digestibility than those fed the CS silage diet, indicating lower nutrient utilization in goats. Although feeding a diet with a higher proportion of ramie might not alter feed intake, it could cause the inhibition of nutrient digestibility.

Chewing activity has an important biological function in breaking down the particle size of ingested feed and promoting saliva secretion, which is critical to maintaining rumen health and growth performance in ruminants [38]. It has been widely reported that ramie has a very distinct fiber structure compared to other forages [11,36]. There are many colloids between the ramie fibers, which are attached to the fiber bundle [39], while fiber bundles on the surface of the ramie are unevenly distributed and have a grid structure [40]. Such a unique structure of ramie fiber can alter the chewing activity in ruminant animals. In our study, goats fed the ramie silage diet increased the time spent on rumination activity and decreased the time spent on eating activity and idling activity. These results indicated that goats fed a ramie silage diet need more time to break down the intake of feed into smaller particles. However, promoting chewing activity can also have some benefits for maintaining rumen function in ruminant animals, as enhancing oral saliva secretion stimulated by chewing activity can regulate the rumen pH [41]. Unfortunately, we did

not find a difference in rumen pH between the two treatments in the current study, which could be due to the high forage content in the diets. Such results were in agreement with the literature, which reported that feeding with forage diets leads to an optimum ruminal pH with a range of 6.5 to 7.0 [42,43].

Volatile fatty acids are mainly produced during carbohydrate fermentation by the rumen microbiota and can be absorbed via the rumen wall to meet up to 70% of a ruminant's energy requirements [43,44]. Ruminal VFA concentration can be influenced by many factors, which include nutrient digestibility, saliva secretion, rumen epithelial absorption, and emptying rate [45]. Feeding the ramie silage diet increased the VFA concentration in vivo, although ramie silage has lower degradability and VFA concentration through in vitro ruminal incubation. Such inconsistent results are also observed in other studies and may be caused by the decreased rumen epithelial absorption [29,46]. A high-forage diet rich in cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin favors acetate and butyrate production, whereas a high-grain diet rich in starch favors propionate production [47,48]. Soluble sugars tend to stimulate greater proportions of butyrate. Although ramie silage had lower hemicellulose and higher pectin content than CS silage, replacing CS silage with ramie silage resulted in a higher molar percentage of acetate and lower molar percentage of propionate in the rumen of goats. A previous study also indicates that increasing the proportion of dietary ramie linearly increases the acetate molar percentage and decreases the propionate molar percentage in vitro and in vivo [49]. It seems that ramie has a distinct rumen fermentation profile when compared with other forages [50,51]. Such facilitation of acetate production could be partially caused by the slightly increased pectin content and is not consistent with the lower hemicellulose content in the ramie silage diet, which needs further investigation.

Hydrogen is produced during the fermentation of carbohydrates to VFA and is mainly consumed by methanogenic archaea for methanogenesis in the rumen [52]. The formation of acetate and butyrate causes net H₂ production, while the formation of propionate on the other hand acts as net H_2 utilization and is accompanied by a reduction in methanogenesis [20]. In our study, feeding with the ramie silage diet decreased the ruminal dCH_4 concentration and enteric CH₄ emissions, together with an increased acetate molar percentage and acetate to propionate ratio. Such results were inconsistent with the widely accepted positive relationship between CH_4 and acetate produced in the rumen [53,54]. Many studies report that reductive acetogenic bacteria widely exist in the rumen microbial ecosystem and may compete with methanogens for H_2 , producing acetate as the end-product through " $^{2}CO_{2} + 4H_{2} \rightarrow CH_{3}COOH + 2H_{2}O$ " [55,56]. We speculated that the increased acetate molar percentage in the ramie silage treatment might be caused by the promotion of the CO_2 assimilation pathway for acetate production, leading to a reduction in methanogenesis. Ramie can also increase tannins [10,57], which show a function in CH₄ inhibition [5,58]. However, this was not the case in our study, as tannin content was not enriched in our ramie silage.

The rumen microbial ecosystem comprises a diverse symbiotic population of anaerobic bacteria, ciliated protozoa, fungi, and archaeal methanogens [59]. Rumen fungi and protozoa are thought to play a role in the initial degradation of large fragments, which is helpful for the subsequent anaerobic bacterial colonization and decomposition into plant cell-wall polysaccharides [48,60]. Although feeding the ramie silage diet did not alter the population of bacteria, protozoa, and fungi, it increased the 16S rRNA gene copies of *R. flavefaciens*. It seems that feeding the ramie silage diet favored the colonization and growth of *R. flavefaciens*, which could be primarily involved in the degradation of plant cell walls in the rumen [61]. However, such an increase in the *R. flavefaciens* population did not lead to an increase in fiber digestibility in goats fed the ramie silage diet. Furthermore, feeding the ramie silage diet did not alter 16S rRNA gene copies of methanogens, which is not consistent with the reduction in ruminal dCH₄ and enteric CH₄ emissions. Many published studies also report that the inhibition of CH₄ production may not be always associated with a reduction in the abundance of the methanogen population [50,62]. Such a reduction in CH₄ production might be caused by the decreased activities of methanogens. The 16SrRNA gene sequencing was further employed to investigate changes in bacterial community composition. Although replacing 60% of CS silage with ramie silage did not alter the alpha diversity indexes, the PCoA results indicated that the dietary treatment drove marked changes in the microbial community composition, which was consistent with the results reported by Sun et al. [63]. Feeding with the ramie silage diet decreased the abundance of the predominant phyla *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes*, although it increased the relative abundance of phylum *Tenericutes*. Among these, genera *Lawsonibacter, Sedimentibacter, Saccharofermentans, Sediminibacterium*, and *Bifidobacterium*, having the function of degrading various soluble and insoluble polysaccharides, such as starch, pectin, and cellulose in the rumen [64–68], were greatly decreased under the ramie silage treatment. Such results were consistent with the decreased fiber digestibility in goats.

5. Conclusions

Ramie silage had characteristics of higher CP and ash content and lower in vitro CH_4 production, in comparison with CS silage. Replacing 60% of CS silage with ramie silage did not alter feed intake in goats, but it increased chewing activity and decreased nutrient digestibility, which could be caused by the lengthy and tough plant fiber in ramie. Feeding the ramie silage diet altered the rumen fermentation pathway by facilitating acetate production; it decreased the enteric CH_4 emissions with a decreased ruminal dCH_4 concentration. Feeding with the ramie silage diet led to marked changes in the microbial community composition and decreased the abundance of some fiber-decomposed bacteria. Ramie can be an alternative forage resource to stimulate chewing activity and reduce CH_4 emissions in ruminants. However, the mechanism underlying CH_4 inhibition is still unclear, and further investigation is still needed.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/fermentation8090432/s1, Table S1: The primers (forward and reverse) of the selected microbial groups for qPCR [69–73]; Figure S1: In vitro fermentation total gas production of corn stover (CS) silage or ramie silage after 48-h in vitro ruminal batch incubation (n = 3); Figure S2: Acetate and propionate molar concentration in rumen fluid collected at 0, 2.5, and 6 h after morning feeding in goats fed with corn stover (CS) silage or ramie silage diets (n = 8). Means with different letters differ ($p \le 0.05$). Error bars = SEM.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.W. and M.W.; methodology, D.W. and M.W.; validation, R.W., X.Z. and Q.L.; formal analysis, R.W., C.G. and Z.H.; investigation, D.W. and M.W.; resources, X.Z., Z.W. and M.W.; data curation, R.W. and C.G.; writing—original draft preparation, X.T. and C.G.; writing—review and editing, D.W. and M.W.; supervision, D.W. and M.W.; project administration, D.W.; funding acquisition, D.W., M.W., R.W., X.Z. and Z.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDA26040203), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31760676), and the Agricultural Science and Technology Innovation Program (ASTIP), Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was approved by the Animal Care Committee (approval number ISA-W-202001), Institute of Subtropical Agriculture, the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changsha, China.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All data are available in the main text or the in Supplementary Materials. Raw reads of 16S rRNA gene sequencing of ruminal microbiota are available at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI, project number PRJNA868533).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Zebeli, Q.; Tafaj, M.; Weber, I.; Dijkstra, J.; Steingass, H.; Drochner, W. Effects of varying dietary forage particle size in two concentrate levels on chewing activity, ruminal mat characteristics, and passage in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 2007, 90, 1929–1942. [CrossRef]
- 2. Janssen, P.H. Influence of hydrogen on rumen methane formation and fermentation balances through microbial growth kinetics and fermentation thermodynamics. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *160*, 1–22. [CrossRef]
- 3. Wang, R.; Bai, Z.; Chang, J.; Li, Q.; Hristov, A.N.; Smith, P.; Yin, Y.; Tan, Z.; Wang, M. China's low-emission pathways toward climate-neutral livestock production for animal-derived foods. *Innovation* **2022**, *23*, 100220. [CrossRef]
- 4. Wang, F.; Harindintwali, J.D.; Yuan, Z.; Wang, M.; Wang, F.; Li, S.; Yin, Z.; Huang, L.; Fu, Y.; Li, L.; et al. Technologies and perspectives for achieving carbon neutrality. *Innovation* **2021**, *30*, 100180. [CrossRef]
- 5. Bodas, R.; Prieto, N.; Garcia-Gonzalez, R.; Andres, S.; Giraldez, F.J.; Lopez, S. Manipulation of rumen fermentation and methane production with plant secondary metabolites. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *176*, 78–93. [CrossRef]
- Kipriotis, E.; Heping, X.; Vafeiadakis, T.; Kiprioti, M.; Alexopoulou, E. Ramie and kenaf as feed crops. Ind. Crops Prod. 2015, 68, 126–130. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, T.T. Comparative Research on the Feeding Value of Ramie and Forages in South China. Master's Thesis, Chinese Academy of Agriucltural Sciences, Beijing, China, 2014.
- 8. Wu, D.; Wei, Z.; Gao, S.; Li, Z.; Zeng, G.; Hou, Z.; Wang, Y.; Dai, Q. Effects of replacing different partial alfalfa hay with ramie silage on performance, milk composition and serum parameters of dairy cows. *Chin. J. Anim. Nutr.* **2017**, *29*, 1645–1651.
- 9. Tang, S.; He, Y.; Zhang, P.; Kang, J.; Yan, Q.; Han, X.; Tan, Z.; Wang, H.; Wu, D.; Yu, L.; et al. Substitution of ramie (*Boehmeria nivea*) for alfalfa in improving the carcass and meat quality of Liuyang Black goats. *Anim. Nutr.* **2021**, *7*, 688–694. [CrossRef]
- 10. Lee, Y.; Nho, J.; Hwang, I.; Kim, W.; Lee, Y.; Jeong, H.S. Chemical composition and antioxidant activity of ramie leaf (*Boehmeria nivea* L.). *Food Sci. Biotechnol.* **2009**, *18*, 1096–1099. [CrossRef]
- 11. Rehman, M.; Gang, D.; Liu, Q.; Chen, Y.; Wang, B.; Peng, D.; Liu, L. Ramie, a multipurpose crop: Potential applications, constraints and improvement strategies. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2019**, *137*, 300–307. [CrossRef]
- 12. Menke, K.H.; Raab, L.; Salewski, A.; Steingass, H.; Fritz, D.; Schneider, W. The estimation of the digestibility and metabolizable energy content of ruminant feedingstuffs from the gas production when they are incubated with rumen liquor in vitro. *J. Agric. Sci.* **1979**, *93*, 217–222. [CrossRef]
- 13. Wang, M.; Wang, R.; Tang, S.; Tan, Z.; Zhou, C.; Han, X.; Kang, J. Comparisons of manual and automated incubation systems: Effects of venting procedures on in vitro ruminal fermentation. *Livest. Sci.* **2015**, *184*, 41–45. [CrossRef]
- 14. Wang, M.; Janssen, P.; Sun, X.; Muetzel, S.; Tavendale, M.; Tan, Z.; Pacheco, D. A mathematical model to describe in vitro kinetics of H₂ gas accumulation. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *184*, 1–16. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, X.M.; Wang, M.; Yu, Q.; Ma, Z.Y.; Beauchemin, K.A.; Wang, R.; Wen, J.N.; Lukuyu, B.A.; Tan, Z.L. Liquid hot water treatment of rice straw enhances anaerobic degradation and inhibits methane production during in vitro ruminal fermentation. *J. Dairy Sci.* 2020, 103, 4252–4261. [CrossRef]
- 16. Zhang, H.F.; Zhang, Z.Y. Animal Nutrition Parameters and Feeding Standard; China Agricultural Press: Beijing, China, 1998.
- Arowolo, M.A.; Yang, S.; Wang, M.; He, J.H.; Wang, C.; Wang, R.; Wen, J.N.; Ma, Z.Y.; Tan, Z.L. The effect of forage theoretical cut lengths on chewing activity, rumen fermentation, dissolved gases, and methane emissions in goats. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 2020, 263, 114454. [CrossRef]
- 18. Wang, M.; Wang, R.; Janssen, P.H.; Zhang, X.M.; Sun, X.Z.; Pacheco, D.; Tan, Z.L. Sampling procedure for the measurement of dissolved hydrogen and volatile fatty acids in the rumen of dairy cows. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2016**, *94*, 1159–1169. [CrossRef]
- Wang, M.; Wang, R.; Liu, M.; Beauchemin, K.A.; Sun, X.; Tang, S.X.; Jiao, J.Z.; Tan, Z.L.; He, Z.X. Dietary starch and rhubarb supplement increase ruminal dissolved hydrogen without altering rumen fermentation and methane emissions in goats. *Animal* 2019, 13, 975–982. [CrossRef]
- 20. McGinn, S.M.; Beauchemin, K.A.; Coates, T.; Colombatto, D. Methane emissions from beef cattle: Effects of monensin, sunflower oil, enzymes, yeast, and fumaric acid. J. Anim. Sci. 2004, 82, 3346–3356. [CrossRef]
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC). Official Methods of Analysis, 18th ed.; AOAC International: Gaithersburg, MD, USA, 2005.
- 22. Soest, P.; Robertson, J.B.; Lewis, B.A. Symposium: Carbohydrate methodology, metabolism, and nutritional implications in dairy cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* **1991**, *74*, 3583–3597.
- Kartchner, R.J.; Theurer, B. Comparison of hydrolysis methods used in feed, digesta, and fecal starch analysis. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1981, 29, 8–11. [CrossRef]
- 24. Wang, M.; Ungerfeld, E.; Wang, R.; Zhou, C.S.; Basang, Z.Z.; Ao, S.M.; Tan, Z.L. Supersaturation of Dissolved Hydrogen and Methane in Rumen of Tibetan Sheep. *Front. Microbiol.* **2016**, *7*, 850. [CrossRef]
- Wang, M.; Sun, X.; Janssen, P.; Tang, S.; Tan, Z. Responses of methane production and fermentation pathways to the increased dissolved hydrogen concentration generated by eight substrates in in vitro ruminal cultures. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 2014, 194, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- 26. Yu, Z.; Morrison, M. Improved extraction of PCR-quality community DNA from digesta and fecal samples. *Biotechniques* **2004**, *36*, 808–812. [CrossRef]

- 27. Ma, Z.Y.; Zhang, X.M.; Wang, R.; Wang, M.; Liu, T.; Tan, Z.L. Effects of Chemical and Mechanical Lysis on Microbial DNA Yield, Integrity, and Downstream Amplicon Sequencing of Rumen Bacteria and Protozoa. *Front. Microbiol.* **2020**, *11*, 581227. [CrossRef]
- Jiao, J.; Wang, P.; He, Z.; Tang, S.; Zhou, C.; Han, X.; Wang, M.; Wu, D.; Kang, J.; Tan, Z. In vitro evaluation on neutral detergent fiber and cellulose digestion by post-ruminal microorganisms in goats. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2014, 94, 1745–1752. [CrossRef]
- 29. Li, Q.S.; Wang, R.; Ma, Z.Y.; Zhang, X.M.; Jiao, J.Z.; Zhang, Z.G.; Ungerfeld, E.M.; Le Yi, K.; Zhang, B.Z.; Long, L.; et al. Dietary selection of metabolically distinct microorganisms drives hydrogen metabolism in ruminants. *ISME J.* 2022, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- Zakrzewski, M.; Goesmann, A.; Jaenicke, S.; Jünemann, S.; Eikmeyer, F.; Szczepanowski, R.; Abu Al-Soud, W.; Sørensen, S.; Pühler, A.; Schlüter, A. Profiling of the metabolically active community from a production-scale biogas plant by means of high-throughput metatranscriptome sequencing. J. Biotechnol. 2012, 158, 248–258. [CrossRef]
- Callahan, B.J.; Mcmurdie, P.J.; Rosen, M.J.; Han, A.W.; Johnson, A.J.A.; Holmes, S.P. DADA₂: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods* 2016, *13*, 581–583. [CrossRef]
- Schloss, P.D.; Westcott, S.L.; Ryabin, T.; Hall, J.R.; Hartmann, M.; Hollister, E.B.; Lesniewski, R.A.; Oakley, B.B.; Parks, D.H.; Robinson, C.J.; et al. Introducing mothur: Open-Source, Platform-Independent, Community-Supported Software for Describing and Comparing Microbial Communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2009, 75, 7537–7541. [CrossRef]
- 33. Wang, H.; Dai, Q.; Hou, Z.; Wang, Y.; Wu, D. Feeding ramie: Silage characteristics and comparison of nutrient composition and feeding value before and after silage. *Chin. J. Anim. Nutr.* **2018**, *30*, 293–298. [CrossRef]
- Chen, J.; Dong, G.; Yu, C.; Chen, P.; Gao, G.; Chen, K.; Wang, X.; Zhu, A. Evaluation of the silage quality of ramie mixed with rice and/or maize straws. *Pratac. Sci.* 2020, 37, 583–591.
- 35. Tian, X.; Hou, Z.; Yu, X.; Zhang, X.; Long, Y.; Long, L.; Wang, R.; Wang, M.; Wu, D. Effects of ramie and alfalfa combination on rumen fermentation parameters in vitro. *Chin. J. Anim. Nutr.* **2022**, *34*, 3153–3162. [CrossRef]
- 36. Sen, T.; Reddy, H. Various industrial applications of hemp, kinaf, flax and ramie natural fibres. *Int. J. Innov. Technol.* **2016**, *2*, 192–198. [CrossRef]
- Tang, S.; He, Y.; Zhang, P.; Jiao, J.; Han, X.; Yan, Q.; Tan, Z.; Wang, H.; Wu, D.; Yu, L.; et al. Nutrient digestion, rumen fermentation and performance as ramie (Boehmeria nivea) is increased in the diets of goats. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 2019, 247, 15–22. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, F.; Lin, X.; Yan, Z.; Hu, Z.; Liu, G.; Sun, Y.; Liu, X.; Wang, Z. Effect of dietary roughage level on chewing activity, ruminal pH, and saliva secretion in lactating Holstein cows. J. Dairy Sci. 2017, 100, 2660–2671. [CrossRef]
- Wang, H.; Zhang, F.; Shu, T.; Li, P.; Wu, Y.; Yu, T.; Fu, C.; Yu, L. Application of two Bacillus sp. strains in composite degumming of ramie fibers. *Microbiol. China* 2021, 48, 2512–2523. [CrossRef]
- 40. Brühlmann, F.; Leupin, M.; Erismann, K.H.; Fiechter, A. Enzymatic degumming of ramie bast fibers. J. Biotechnol. 2000, 76, 43–50. [CrossRef]
- Leonardi, C.; Shinners, K.; Armentano, L. Effect of Different Dietary Geometric Mean Particle Length and Particle Size Distribution of Oat Silage on Feeding Behavior and Productive Performance of Dairy Cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 2005, 88, 698–710. [CrossRef]
- 42. Wanapat, M.; Pilajun, R.; Kongmun, P. Ruminal ecology of swamp buffalo as influenced by dietary sources. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *151*, 205–214. [CrossRef]
- Wachirapakorn, C.; Pilachai, K.; Wanapat, M.; Pakdee, P.; Cherdthong, A. Effect of ground corn cobs as a fiber source in total mixed ration on feed intake, milk yield and milk composition in tropical lactating crossbred Holstein cows. *Anim. Nutr.* 2016, 2, 334–338. [CrossRef]
- Kang, S.; Wanapat, M.; Pakdee, P.; Pilajun, R.; Cherdthong, A. Effects of energy level and Leucaena leucocephala leaf meal as a protein source on rumen fermentation efficiency and digestibility in swamp buffalo. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 2012, 174, 131–139. [CrossRef]
- Dijkstra, J.; Ellis, J.; Kebreab, E.; Strathe, A.; López, S.; France, J.; Bannink, A. Ruminal pH regulation and nutritional consequences of low pH. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 2012, 172, 22–33. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, M.; Wang, R.; Wu, T.; Yang, Y.; He, Z.; Ma, Z.; Tan, Z.; Lin, B.; Wang, M. Comparisons of Corn Stover Silages after Fresh- or Ripe-Corn Harvested: Effects on Digestibility and Rumen Fermentation in Growing Beef Cattle. *Animals* 2022, 12, 1248. [CrossRef]
- Li, W.; Khalid, H.; Zhu, Z.; Zhang, R.; Liu, G.; Chen, C.; Thorin, E.; Mälardalens, H.; Akademin För Ekonomi, S.O.T.; Framtidens, E. Methane production through anaerobic digestion: Participation and digestion characteristics of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. *Appl. Energy* 2018, 226, 1219–1228. [CrossRef]
- 48. Puniya, A.K.; Kamra, D.N.; Singh, R. Rumen Microbiology: From Evolution to Revolution; Springer: New Delhi, India, 2015.
- 49. Du, E.; Guo, W.; Chen, F.; Fan, Q.; Zhao, N.; Zhang, W.; Huang, S.; Wei, J. Effects of ramie at various levels on ruminal fermentation and rumen microbiota of goats. *Food Sci. Nutr.* **2020**, *8*, 1628–1635. [CrossRef]
- Montoya-Flores, M.D.; Molina-Botero, I.C.; Arango, J.; Romano-Muñoz, J.L.; Solorio-Sánchez, F.J.; Aguilar-Pérez, C.F.; Ku-Vera, J.C. Effect of Dried Leaves of Leucaena leucocephala on Rumen Fermentation, Rumen Microbial Population, and Enteric Methane Production in Crossbred Heifers. *Animals* 2020, 10, 300. [CrossRef]
- 51. Medjekal, S.; Bodas, R.; Bousseboua, H.; López, S. Evaluation of three medicinal plants for methane production potential, fiber digestion and rumen fermentation in vitro. *Energy Procedia* 2017, *119*, 632–641. [CrossRef]
- 52. Wolin, M.J. A Theoretical Rumen Fermentation Balance. J. Dairy Sci. 1960, 43, 1452–1459. [CrossRef]

- Wang, M.; Wang, R.; Xie, T.Y.; Janssen, P.H.; Sun, X.Z.; Beauchemin, K.A.; Tan, Z.L.; Gao, M. Shifts in Rumen Fermentation and Microbiota Are Associated with Dissolved Ruminal Hydrogen Concentrations in Lactating Dairy Cows Fed Different Types of Carbohydrates. J. Nutr. 2016, 146, 1714–1721. [CrossRef]
- Wang, R.; Wang, M.; Lin, B.; Ma, Z.Y.; Ungerfeld, E.M.; Wu, T.T.; Wen, J.N.; Zhang, X.M.; Deng, J.P.; Tan, Z.L. Association of fibre degradation with ruminal dissolved hydrogen in growing beef bulls fed with two types of forages. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2021, 125, 601–610. [CrossRef]
- 55. Lan, W.; Yang, C. Ruminal methane production: Associated microorganisms and the potential of applying hydrogen-utilizing bacteria for mitigation. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, *654*, 1270–1283. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Karekar, S.; Stefanini, R.; Ahring, B. Homo-Acetogens: Their Metabolism and Competitive Relationship with Hydrogenotrophic Methanogens. *Microorganisms* 2022, 10, 397. [CrossRef]
- 57. Wei, J.; Guo, W.; Yang, X.; Chen, F.; Fan, Q.; Wang, H.; Zhang, N.; Diao, Q. Effects of dietary ramie level on growth performance, serum biochemical indices, and meat quality of Boer goats. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* **2019**, *51*, 1935–1941. [CrossRef]
- 58. Jayanegara, A.; Leiber, F.; Kreuzer, M. Meta-analysis of the relationship between dietary tannin level and methane formation in ruminants from in vivo and in vitro experiments. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* **2012**, *96*, 365–375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rey, M.; Enjalbert, F.; Combes, S.; Cauquil, L.; Bouchez, O.; Monteils, V. Establishment of ruminal bacterial community in dairy calves from birth to weaning is sequential. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 2014, 116, 245–257. [CrossRef]
- Gruninger, R.J.; Nguyen, T.T.M.; Reid, I.D.; Yanke, J.L.; Wang, P.; Abbott, D.W.; Tsang, A.; McAllister, T. Application of Transcriptomics to Compare the Carbohydrate Active Enzymes That Are Expressed by Diverse Genera of Anaerobic Fungi to Degrade Plant Cell Wall Carbohydrates. *Front. Microbiol.* 2018, *9*, 1581. [CrossRef]
- Forsberg, C.; Cheng, K.-J. Molecular Strategies to Optimize Forage and Cereal Digestion by Ruminants. *Biotechnol. Nutr.* 1992, 109–147. [CrossRef]
- 62. Rira, M.; Morgavi, D.; Archimède, H.; Marie-Magdeleine, C.; Popova, M.; Bousseboua, H.; Doreau, M. Potential of tannin-rich plants for modulating ruminal microbes and ruminal fermentation in sheep. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2015**, *93*, 334–347. [CrossRef]
- 63. Sun, S.; Hou, Z.; Dai, Q.; Wu, D. Effects of the Forage Type and Chop Length of Ramie Silage on the Composition of Ruminal Microbiota in Black Goats. *Animals* 2019, *9*, 177. [CrossRef]
- 64. Sadet-Bourgeteau, S.; Martin, C.; Morgavi, D.P. Bacterial diversity dynamics in rumen epithelium of wethers fed forage and mixed concentrate forage diets. *Vet. Microbiol.* **2010**, *146*, 98–104. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Reigstad, C.S.; Kashyap, P.C. Beyond phylotyping: Understanding the impact of gut microbiota on host biology. *Neurogastroenterol. Motil.* 2013, 25, 358–372. [CrossRef]
- 66. Fu, X.; Liu, Z.; Zhu, C.; Mou, H.; Kong, Q. Nondigestible carbohydrates, butyrate, and butyrate-producing bacteria. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2019**, *59*, S130–S152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kandylis, P.; Bekatorou, A.; Pissaridi, K.; Lappa, K.; Dima, A.; Kanellaki, M.; Koutinas, A.A. Acidogenesis of cellulosic hydrolysates for new generation biofuels. *Biomass Bioenergy* 2016, *91*, 210–216. [CrossRef]
- 68. Chen, S.; Niu, L.; Zhang, Y. Saccharofermentans acetigenes gen. nov., sp. nov., an anaerobic bacterium isolated from sludge treating brewery wastewater. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **2010**, *60*, 2735–2738. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Denman, S.E.; McSweeney, C.S. Development of a real-time PCR assay for monitoring anaerobic fungal and cellulolytic bacterial populations within the rumen. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **2006**, *58*, 572–582. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 70. Sylvester, J.T.; Karnati, S.K.R.; Yu, Z.; Morrison, M.; Firkins, J.L. Development of an assay to quantify rumen ciliate protozoal biomass in cows using real-time PCR. *J. Nutr.* 2004, *134*, 3378–3384. [CrossRef]
- 71. Hook, S.E.; Wright, A.G.; McBride, B.W.; Hensel, R. Methanogens: Methane producers of the rumen and mitigation strategies. *Archaea* 2010, 2010, 945785. [CrossRef]
- 72. Koike, S.; Kobayashi, Y. Development and use of competitive PCR assays for the rumen cellulolytic bacteria: Fibrobacter succinogenes, Ruminococcus albus and Ruminococcus flavefaciens. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **2001**, 204, 361–366. [CrossRef]
- 73. Stevenson, D.M.; Weimer, P.J. Dominance of Prevotella and low abundance of classical ruminal bacterial species in the bovine rumen revealed by relative quantification real-time PCR. *Appl. Microbiol. Biot.* **2007**, *75*, 165–174. [CrossRef]