



Article Small Cationic Cysteine-Rich Defensin-Derived Antifungal Peptide Controls White Mold in Soybean

Arnaud Thierry Djami-Tchatchou ⁽¹⁰⁾, Meenakshi Tetorya, James Godwin, Jennette M. Codjoe ⁽¹⁰⁾, Hui Li and Dilip M. Shah *

> Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, St. Louis, MO 63132, USA; adjamitchatchou@danforthcenter.org (A.T.D.-T.); mtetorya@danforthcenter.org (M.T.); gjames@danforthcenter.org (J.G.); jcodjoe@danforthcenter.org (J.M.C.); hli@danforthcenter.org (H.L.) * Correspondence: dshah@danforthcenter.org

Abstract: White mold disease caused by a necrotrophic ascomycete pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* results in serious economic losses of soybean yield in the USA. Lack of effective genetic resistance to this disease in soybean germplasm and increasing pathogen resistance to fungicides makes white mold difficult to manage. Small cysteine-rich antifungal peptides with multi-faceted modes of action possess potential for development as sustainable spray-on bio-fungicides. We have previously reported that GMA4CG_V6 peptide, a 17-amino acid variant of the MtDef4 defensin-derived peptide GMA4CG containing the active γ -core motif, exhibits potent antifungal activity against the gray mold fungal pathogen *Botrytis cinerea* in vitro and in planta. GMA4CG_V6 exhibited antifungal activity against an aggressive field isolate of *S. sclerotiorum* 555 in vitro with an MIC value of 24 μ M. At this concentration, internalization of this peptide into fungal cells occurred prior to discernible membrane permeabilization. GMA4CG_V6 markedly reduced white mold disease symptoms when applied to detached soybean leaves, pods, and stems. Its spray application on soybean plants provided robust control of this disease. GMA4CG_V6 at sub-lethal concentrations reduced sclerotia production. It was also non-phytotoxic to soybean plants. Our results demonstrate that GMA4CG_V6 peptide has potential for development as a bio-fungicide for white mold control in soybean.

Keywords: antifungal peptide; modes of action; bio-fungicide; Sclerotinia sclerotiorum; soybean

1. Introduction

Soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) is the second largest agricultural crop grown in the USA. In 2021, its production reached a value of USD 57.5 billion [1]. However, soybean production is severely impacted by white mold disease that ranks in the top 10 most destructive diseases of soybean [2]. White mold is caused by *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary, a necrotrophic fungal pathogen capable of infecting over 500 plant species worldwide. *S. sclerotiorum* can infect young seedlings, mature plants, and pods at the pre- and post-harvest stage [3,4].

White mold is currently managed through cultural practices, biological control, and chemical fungicides [3,5]. Its control relies mainly on application of fungicides that have single-site mode of action (MoA). However, fungicide resistance in pathogen populations is rising, rendering fungicide applications ineffective [6,7]. There is an urgent need to develop safe and sustainable multi-target bio-fungicides to counter fungicide resistance.

Plants express a large number of diverse, small cysteine-rich antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) that exhibit potent antifungal activity and act as key components of their innate immune system. AMPs provide a first line of defense against invading pathogens [8–10]. Defensins with tetradisulfide arrays comprise a major class of AMPs in the plant kingdom. They share structural similarity but are diverse in their primary sequences. These cationic peptides exhibit broad-spectrum antifungal activity in a low micromolar or submicromolar



Citation: Djami-Tchatchou, A.T.; Tetorya, M.; Godwin, J.; Codjoe, J.M.; Li, H.; Shah, D.M. Small Cationic Cysteine-Rich Defensin-Derived Antifungal Peptide Controls White Mold in Soybean. *J. Fungi* **2023**, *9*, 873. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof9090873

Academic Editor: Gill Diamond

Received: 16 May 2023 Revised: 11 August 2023 Accepted: 22 August 2023 Published: 24 August 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). range and have multi-faceted MoA [11,12]. Major determinants of the antifungal activity of plant defensins reside in their γ -core motif (GXCX₃₋₉C) composed of β 2- β 3 strands and an interposed loop. Artificial defensin-derived peptides containing γ -core motifs possess potent antifungal activity against fungal pathogens and are attractive candidates for commercial development as spray-on bio-fungicides to replace conventional chemical fungicides [13,14].

To date, only one defensin, RsAFP1, has been reported to have antifungal activity in vitro against *S. sclerotiorum* at 20 μ g/mL (3.5 μ M) [15]. In contrast, multiple AMPs with antifungal activity at low micromolar concentrations in vitro against necrotrophic gray mold pathogen *Botrytis cinerea* have been reported [16–18]. Both *S. sclerotiorum* and *B. cinerea* belong to the family *Sclerotiniaceae*, share genome sizes of 38–39 Mb, and have similar genes governing necrotrophy [19].

GMA4CG_V6 is a synthetic peptide with the sequence GGRCKGFRRRWFWTRIC. It is a variant of the GMA4CG peptide comprising the last 17-amino acids of the plant defensin MtDef4 [17]. It contains a functionally active γ -core motif, one C3-C17 disulfide bond, and five amino acid substitutions. It was recently characterized for its in vitro and in planta spray-on fungicidal activity against *B. cinerea* [17]. In the present study, we found that GMA4CG_V6 also has antifungal activity against *S. sclerotiorum* 555. It exhibits fungicidal activity against this pathogen in vitro, on detached soybean leaves, pods, and stems and also when applied topically on tobacco and soybean leaves in planta. At sublethal concentrations, GMA4CG_V6 inhibits sclerotia production. Thus, GMA4CG_V6 has potential for development as a multi-target bio-fungicide for control of white mold.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant and Fungal Materials

Williams 82 soybean plants (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) were used in this study. Plants were grown in soil in a greenhouse with a short-day photoperiod (8 h light/16 h dark) at 21 °C and 75% relative humidity, with a light intensity of ~130 µEinsteins s⁻¹ m⁻². They were inoculated at approximately 3–4 weeks of age. A highly aggressive strain of *S. sclerotiorum* 555 on soybean was used for this study [6]. This strain and strains *S. sclerotiorum* 1902 and *S. sclerotiorum* 1922 were kindly provided by Dr. Sydney Everhart of the University of Nebraska, USA. Fungal cultures were grown on potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium (Difco Laboratories Inc., Detroit, MI, USA) at 23–25 °C.

2.2. In Vitro Antifungal Activity of GMA4CG_V6 against S. sclerotiorum

The in vitro antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 against *S. sclerotiorum* 555, *S. sclerotiorum* 1902, and *S. sclerotiorum* 1922 was determined using a 24-well plate assay. Mycelial plugs (1 mm in diameter) cut from the growing edge of the two-day-old actively growing colony were transferred from PDA media to a series of wells containing 250 μ L of 1× synthetic low-salt fungal medium (SFM) [20] and GMA4CG_V6 at final concentrations of 3, 6, 12, 24, and 48 μ M or water. The plates were incubated at room temperature for 2 days prior to assessment. The diameter of mycelial growth at 2 dpi was measured using Image J software Version 1.53 The inhibition of fungal growth was calculated as a percentage using the formula: IG (%) = (Dc - Dt)/ $Dc \times 100$; where IG is inhibition of growth, Dc is the mycelium diameter (mm) of the control, and Dt is the mycelium diameter (mm) of the treated dishes. These experiments were performed three times.

2.3. Semi-In Planta Antifungal Activity of GMA4CG_V6 against S. sclerotiorum 555

For the semi-in planta antifungal assays, a 1 mm mycelial plug was obtained from the leading edge of a 2-day-old fungal colony growing on PDA media and placed on detached leaves of three to four-week-old Williams 82 plants or pods and stems of approximately six-week-old plants. Then, 40 μ L of GMA4CG_V6 at 6, 12, 24, 48, and 96 μ M or H₂O (control) was applied immediately on the plug. Inoculated leaves, pods, and stems were allowed to incubate under high humidity in the dark for 2–7 days prior to assessment.

Lesions were photographed and the area of fungal lesion areas at 2 dpi were measured using Image J software Version 1.53. The severity of disease lesions on each leaf was assessed using the CropReporter system as described in [18]. The quantification of plant health and stress was carried out using the calculated F_V/F_M (maximum quantum yield of photosystem II) images of the CropReporter system (PhenoVation, Wageningen, The Netherlands), showing the efficiency of photosynthesis in false colors. These experiments were performed three times.

2.4. In Planta Antifungal Activity of GMA4CG_V6 against S. sclerotiorum 555

The preventative antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 against *S. sclerotiorum* 555 was tested in planta using 3-week-old *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants or 3 to 4-week-old Williams 82 soybean plants. Three mycelial plugs of 5 mm obtained from the leading edge of a 2-day-old fungal colony growing on PDA media were transferred into the Eppendorf tube containing 2 mL of PDB and cultured for 2 days in a shaker at 25 °C. Then, the mycelia were ground using a sterile pellet pestle (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). A suspension of ground mycelium (1 mL) in potato dextrose broth (PDB) at optical density (OD) of 0.5 was used to spray leaves followed by a spray of 2 mL of different concentrations of GMA4CG_V6 or water for the mock samples using 10 mL portable refill bulk spray bottle. The pots were incubated under high humidity for 2 days prior to assessment. Fungal lesion area at 2 dpi was measured using Image J software Version 1.53, and high-resolution fluorescence images were obtained using CropReporter (PhenoVation, Wageningen, The Netherlands) and analyzed as described above.

The curative antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 against *S. sclerotiorum* 555 was tested in planta using 3 to 4-week-old Williams 82 soybean plants. A suspension of ground mycelium (1 mL) in PDB at $OD_{0.5}$ was sprayed on leaves at 24 hpi, and leaves were sprayed with 2 mL of GMA4CG_V6 (24 μ M) or water. The pots were incubated under high humidity for two days prior to the assessment and analysis of foliar disease symptoms.

2.5. Effect of GMA4CG_V6 on Sclerotia Production in S. sclerotiorum 555

We explored the effect of GMA4CG_V6, GMA4CG_V6_lin without a disulfide bond, and the GMA4CG_V6_Ala3 variant (Table S1) carrying the substitution of RRRW with AAAA [17] on sclerotial development in *S. sclerotiorum*. A previous study showed that the formation of sclerotia on PDA plates starts on the 4th day of mycelial growth and ends on the 9th day when they have a black coloration and are easy to detach from the culture medium [21]. To assess the effect of GMA4CG_V6 on sclerotia production, mycelial plugs cut from the margin of a 2-day-old colony were transferred onto PDA plates and allowed to grow for one day. Since we found that GMA4CG_V6 was inactive when amended in PDA medium, 500 μ L of 12 μ M GMA4CG_V6 was sprayed on the mycelia on Day 1, Day 3, and Day 5. PDA plates sprayed only with water served as controls. After treatment, the PDA plates were incubated at 25 °C for nine days in the dark and then the production of sclerotia was evaluated. The experiment was performed in quadruplicate for each treatment with similar results.

2.6. Effect of GMA4CG_V6 on the Expression of S. sclerotiorum Genes Related to Sclerotia Production

It has been previously shown that expression of genes involved in sclerotial development calcineurin (cna1) ($SS1G_01788.1$), transcriptional regulatory protein (Pac1) ($SS1G_07335.1$), Sch9-like protein kinase (Pka2) ($SS1G_01124.1$), and MAP kinase (Smk1) ($SS1G_11866.1$)—is significantly reduced in *S. sclerotiorum* when the inhibitory compound cinnamic acid is applied at its EC₅₀ value [22,23]. To monitor the effect of GMA4CG_V6, GMA4CG_V6_lin, and GMA4CG_V6_Ala3 on the expression of these genes (Table S2), mycelial plugs (5 mm) were used to inoculate PDB media containing water (untreated control) or cinnamic acid (25 µg/mL) as our positive control or each peptide (12 µM) in a total volume of 1 mL. Samples were incubated at 26 °C with shaking at 160 rpm for two days. After two days, mycelia were collected from PDB and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen; then, total RNA was extracted using the Nucleospin RNA Isolation Kit (Katara Bio, San Jose, CA, USA). The RNA was reverse transcribed to first strand cDNA using the RevertaidTM cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). qPCR was then used to monitor the expression of these genes using igTM SYBR[®] Green qPCR 2× master mix (Intact Genomics, St. Louis, MO, USA) on a CFX Connect real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. In each experiment, we used three biological replicates with two technical replicates for each. The relative expression was determined according to Pfaffi [24], and the qPCR data were normalized using actin (XM_001589919.1) as reference gene. The actin gene primers used are from the study of [23] and those for β -tubulin (MN296024.1) are from [25] (Table S2).

2.7. Plasma Membrane Permeabilization Assay

The effect of GMA4CG_V6 on the membrane integrity of *S. sclerotiorum* 555 was determined using a modified SYTOX GreenTM (SG) uptake quantification assay [26,27]. Membrane permeabilization of fungal cells was analyzed using confocal microscopy by visualizing the influx of the fluorescent dye SG (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, USA). Fresh germlings mixed 24 μ M GMA4CG_V6 and 1 μ M SG were deposited onto glass-bottom Petri dishes and were imaged using confocal microscopy at an excitation wavelength of 488 nm and an emission wavelength ranging from 520 to 600 nm at specific time intervals. Plates with SG but without GMA4CG_V6 were used as negative controls. A Leica SP8-X confocal microscope was used for all confocal imaging, and Leica LASX (version 3.1.5.) software was used to process these images.

2.8. Uptake of GMA4CG_V6 by S. sclerotiorum 555 Cells

Time-lapse confocal laser scanning microscopy was performed to monitor uptake of the tetramethyl rhodamine (TMR)-labeled peptide as described previously [26]. Labeled GMA4CG_V6 was used at final concentrations of 6, 12, and 24 μ M. The excitation and emission wavelength for TMR were 562 and 580 to 680 nm. The confocal microscope images were captured at 2–5 min after GMA4CG_V6 challenge.

2.9. Assessment of the Effects of GMA4CG_V6 Treatment on Growth of Soybean Plants

To test the effects of GMA4CG_V6 on soybean plants, $48 \ \mu$ M of GMA4CG_V6 or water was sprayed on two-week-old soybean plants, and the plants were grown in the growth chamber. For each treatment, five plants were used. Six weeks post-treatment, above ground portions of the plants were harvested, root systems were collected and washed of soil, and samples were oven dried at 60 °C for 3 days and then weighed.

2.10. Statistical Analysis

Datasets were statistically compared with the statistical analysis software GraphPad Prism 8.0 (GraphPad software, Boston, MA, USA), using one-way analysis of variance, followed by the Tukey's post hoc test or Student's *t*-test. The confidence level of all analyses was set at 95%, and values with p < 0.05 were considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. In Vitro Antifungal Activity of GMA4CG_V6 against S. sclerotiorum 555

We first tested the in vitro antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 against an aggressive isolate of *S. sclerotiorum* strain 555 [6]. To SFM containing various concentrations of GMA4CG_V6, we added 1 mm mycelial plugs of this pathogen. *S. sclerotiorum* 555 grew well in media treated with no peptide. However, mycelial growth of this pathogen was progressively reduced with increasing concentrations of the peptide (Figure 1a). GMA4CG_V6 inhibited the growth of *S. sclerotiorum* 555 in vitro with the half-maximal effective concentration (EC₅₀) value of ~14 μ M and the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of 24 μ M



(Table S3). It also inhibited mycelial growth of two other *S. sclerotiorum* isolates, strains *S. sclerotiorum* 1902 and *S. sclerotiorum* 1922, with similar potency (Table S3).

Figure 1. In vitro and semi-in planta antifungal activities of GMA4CG_V6 against *S. sclerotiorum 555* on soybean leaves. (**a**) Representative pictures showing the antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 against *S. sclerotiorum 555* in SFM media. (**b**) Inhibition of fungal growth at different concentrations of GMA4CG_V6. Each data point represents the average % growth from three replications and error bars represent the standard errors of the means between replicates. (**c**) Representative pictures (under white light and with CropReporter) showing the antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 against *S. sclerotiorum 555* on detached soybean leaves. (**d**) Relative disease lesion area following GMA4CG_V6 application on soybean leaf surface at different concentrations. Each data point represents the average lesion size from five leaves relative to control (no peptide, 0 μ M), with error bars representing the standard errors of the means between replicates. Results were analyzed using ANOVA, followed by a Tukey's post hoc test. * Indicates significant differences between data of the control and the treated samples with *p* < 0.05. This experiment was repeated three times with similar results.

3.2. Semi-In Planta Antifungal Activity of GMA4CG_V6 against S. sclerotiorum 555

Next, we used a detached soybean leaf assay to determine if GMA4CG_V6 also provides antifungal activity in planta. Detached leaves of 3 to 4-week-old Williams 82 soybean plants were infected with 1 mm plugs of *S. sclerotiorum* 555 followed by the application of 40 μ L of GMA4CG_V6 at different concentrations on the plug. At 2 days post-infection (dpi), *S. sclerotiorum* 555 caused large necrotic lesions on leaves treated with water alone. However, external application of GMA4CG_V6 on the leaf surface significantly decreased lesion sizes, with complete inhibition of disease observed at a concentration of 24 μ M (Figure 1c). The lesions caused by *S. sclerotiorum* 555 infection were progressively reduced in size with increasing concentrations of GMA4CG_V6 as compared with lesions generated in absence of the peptide (Figure 1d). Following these results, we extended our investigation of the antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 against *S. sclerotiorum* 555 on soybean pods and stems.

Detached soybean pods and stems from 6-week-old plants were infected with 1 mm mycelial plugs of *S. sclerotiorum* 555 followed by the application of GMA4CG_V6 at different concentrations. At 3 dpi, peptide-treated pods and stems exhibited much slower lesion de-

velopment rates compared with the water-treated control pods and stems (Figure 2a–d). The progressively smaller disease lesions around the inoculation site were observed in both tissues with increasing concentrations of GMA4CG_V6 (Figure 2b,d). We observed that application of 48 and 96 μ M GMA4CG_V6 onto stems and pods conferred full fungicidal activity with 100% protection against *S. sclerotiorum 555* at 3 dpi and at 7 dpi (Figure 2e,f). We conclude that external application of 24 to 96 μ M GMA4CG_V6 to detached soybean leaves, pods, and stems significantly reduces disease lesions caused by infection of *S. sclerotiorum 555*.



Figure 2. Semi-in planta antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 against *S. sclerotiorum* 555 on soybean pods and stems. (**a**) Soybean pods detachment assay showing the effect of GMA4CG_V6 on disease development at 3 dpi. Representative pictures (under white light and with CropReporter). (**b**) Relative disease lesion area at 3 dpi following the application of GMA4CG_V6 on the pods. (**c**) Soybean stems detachment assay showing the effect of GMA4CG_V6 on disease development at 3 dpi. (**d**) Relative lesion size following the application of GMA4CG_V6 on the stems surface at 3 dpi. (**d**) Relative lesion size following the application of GMA4CG_V6 on the stems surface at 3 dpi. Antifungal activities at 7 dpi (**e**,**f**). For panel (**b**,**d**), each data point represents the average lesion area/size of five samples relative to control (no peptide, 0 μ M), with error bars representing the standard errors of the means between replicates. Results were analyzed using ANOVA, followed by a Tukey's post hoc test. * Indicates significant differences between data of the control and the treated samples with *p* < 0.05. This experiment was repeated three times with similar results.

3.3. Spray Application of GMA4CG_V6 Protects against White Mold Disease in Soybean and N. benthamiana

To test preventative antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 against *S. sclerotiorum* 555 on *N. benthamiana* and soybean plants, a whole plant assay was developed. Leaves of both species were spray inoculated with a homogenized suspension of *S. sclerotiorum* 555 mycelia followed by a spray application of GMA4CG_V6 at 24 and 48 μ M onto the inoculated leaves. By 2 dpi, *N. benthamiana* leaves treated with GMA4CG_V6 at 24 to 48 μ M concentrations showed much smaller lesions than water-treated plants (Figure 3a,b). Similarly, 24–48 μ M GMA4CG_V6 reduced the size of necrotic lesions in soybean leaves at 2 dpi (Figure 3c,d). Thus, topical application of GMA4CG_V6 efficiently reduced white mold symptoms on both tobacco and soybean plants. This data clearly demonstrated higher potency of GMA4CG_V6 in reducing white mold disease in *N. benthamiana* and soybean plants in a preventative manner.

To test curative antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6, soybeans plants were first spray inoculated with the homogenized suspension of *S. sclerotiorum* 555 mycelia and 24 hpi sprayed with 24 μ M GMA4CG_V6. The spray application of peptide significantly reduced white mold disease symptoms curatively compared with control plants inoculated with pathogen only (Figure 4a,b).



Figure 3. In planta preventative antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 against *S. sclerotiorum* 555. (a) In-pot assay showing the antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 against *S. sclerotiorum* 555 on tobacco leaves sprayed with *S. sclerotiorum* 555 ground mycelia. (b) Representative pictures (CropReporter) showing the antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 against *S. sclerotiorum* 555 on tobacco plants. (c) In-pot assay showing the antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 against *S. sclerotiorum* 555 on soybean leaves sprayed with *S. sclerotiorum* 555 ground mycelia. (d) Representative pictures (CropReporter) showing the antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 against *S. sclerotiorum* 555 on soybean leaves sprayed with *S. sclerotiorum* 555 ground mycelia. (d) Representative pictures (CropReporter) showing the antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 against *S. sclerotiorum* soybean leaves. The mock samples were sprayed only with the 1× SFM without the fungus and the peptide.



Figure 4. In planta curative antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 against S. sclerotiorum 555. A suspension

of ground mycelium at optical density (OD) 0.5 was used to spray soybean leaves followed by the spray of GMA4CG_V6. The mock samples were sprayed only with the 1× SFM without the fungus and the peptide. (a) Representative pictures of in pots assay showing the curative antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 against *S. sclerotiorum* 555 at 48 h post-infection. (b) Representative pictures (under white light and with CropReporter based on the value of potential photosynthetic efficiency F_V/F_M) taken 48 h post-infection showing the curative antifungal activity of Treated leaf.

3.4. GMA4CG_V6 Inhibits Sclerotia Production in S. sclerotiorum 555

Since sclerotia play an important role in the disease cycle of *S. sclerotiorum*, we asked if GMA4CG_V6 affected sclerotia development. For these experiments, we used a sub-lethal concentration (12 µM) of GMA4CG_V6. For comparison, we also examined the effects of GMA4CG_V6_lin, a variant lacking a disulfide bond, and GMA4CG_V6_Ala3, a variant with four-fold less antifungal activity against *B. cinerea* than GMA4CG_V6 (Table S1) [17]. The relative antifungal activity of these peptides against *S. sclerotiorum* 555 was also determined to be similar to that against *B. cinerea* (Figure S1). Cinnamic acid treatment, known to inhibit sclerotia production, was used as a positive control. S. sclerotiorum 555 mycelia growing on PDA plates were sprayed with water, cinnamic acid, or peptide on Day 1, Day 3, and Day 5 of growth. On Day 9, five sclerotia per plate had developed on the plates sprayed with water. However, only 1–2 sclerotia per plate had developed on plates sprayed with 12 µM GMA4CG_V6 and GMA4CG_V6_lin (Figure 5a-c). Interestingly, GMA4C_V6_Ala3, which has much reduced antifungal activity in vitro, failed to inhibit sclerotia production (Figure 5b,c), indicating a causal relationship between the in vitro antifungal activity of this peptide and its ability to inhibit sclerotia production. Spray application of GMA4CG_V6 peptide also significantly reduced sclerotia production on infected soybean pods (Figure 5d,e). Using confocal microscopy, we found that 12 μ M GMA4CG_V6 prevented early development of sclerotia. In the absence of GMA4CG_V6, S. sclerotiorum 555 hyphae initiated the production of new hyphal tips in clusters (sclerotia primordia) after two days of growth. In contrast, no early signs of sclerotia development were observed in the hyphae of S. sclerotiorum 555 grown in the presence of 12 μM GMA4CG_V6 for two days (Figure 5f). Taken together, our results indicate that GMA4CG_V6 can inhibit the development of sclerotia in S. sclerotiorum 555.



Figure 5. Effect of GMA4CG_V6 on sclerotia production by S. sclerotiorum 555. (a) Representative

pictures showing the effect of various GMA4CG_V6 variants against sclerotia production in vitro after 14-day treatment. Arrows point to sclerotia. (b) Picture showing the total number of sclerotia produced 30 days following peptide treatment. Six plates were used for each treatment. (c) Average number of sclerotia per plate. Each data point represents the average of six plates, with error bars representing the standard errors of the means between replicates. Results were analyzed using ANOVA, followed by a Tukey's post hoc test. * Indicates significant differences between data of the control and the treated samples with p < 0.05. (d) Representative pictures showing the antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 against sclerotia production on soybean pods fourteen days post-treatment. Arrows point to sclerotia. (e) Average number of sclerotia per pod. Each data point represents the average of five pods, with error bars representing the standard errors of the means between replicates. Results were analyzed using ANOVA, followed by a Tukey's post hoc test. * Indicates significant differences between data of the control and the treated samples with p < 0.05. (f) Confocal microscopy images showing the inhibition of sclerotia development by GMA4CG_V6 after two days of growth in vitro. Arrows showing the early development of sclerotia-growth of hyphal tips and dichotomous branching. The scale bar = $10 \,\mu m$. (g) Effect of different GMA4CG_V6 variants on the expression level of genes involved in sclerotia production in S. sclerotiorum 555. Results were analyzed using ANOVA, followed by a Tukey's post hoc test. * Indicates significant differences between data of the control and the treated samples with p < 0.05.

3.5. Effect of GMA4CG_V6 on the Expression of S. sclerotiorum Genes Related to Sclerotia Production

We examined whether GMA4CG_V6 inhibits the expression of genes known to be essential for development of sclerotia [23] in *S. sclerotiorum* 555. Expression of *Cna1*, *Pac1*, *Pka2*, and *Smk1* genes was significantly reduced in mycelia sprayed with GMA4CG_V6 and GMA4CG_V6_lin (Figure 5g). Similar downregulation of the *Pac1* and *Smk1* gene expression was previously observed in mycelia treated with cinnamic acid [23]. We conclude that GMA4CG_V6 inhibited the development of sclerotia by downregulating the expression of key genes involved in their development. Surprisingly, expression of *Cna1*, *Pka2*, and *Smk1* was significantly upregulated in mycelia sprayed with GMA4CG_V6_Ala3 peptide when compared with the expression of these genes in water-sprayed mycelia (Figure 5g).

3.6. GMA4CG_V6 Is Rapidly Internalized into the Cells of S. sclerotiorum Prior to Permeabilizing Their Plasma Membrane

GMA4CG_V6 permeabilizes the plasma membrane and enters the cells of *B. cinerea* [17]. Since *B. cinerea* is far more sensitive to the antifungal activity of this peptide, we wondered if this peptide was also capable of permeabilizing the plasma membrane and traveling to the interior of the cells of *S. sclerotiorum* 555. The SG uptake assay was used to test for plasma membrane integrity. SG uptake was visible weakly in hyphal cells 30 min after challenge with a dose of 24 μ M peptide (Figures 6a and S2a), indicating weak membrane permeabilization caused by the antifungal action of GMA4CG_V6. In contrast, TMR-labeled GMA4CG_V6 peptide at a concentration of 24 μ M was taken up almost immediately by the cells of *S. sclerotiorum* 555. Within 2–5 min of peptide challenge, the peptide was internalized into cells of fungal hyphae (Figures 6b and S2b). It is noteworthy that no internalization of the peptide was observed at a concentration of 6 μ M (Figure S2b). These observations suggest that internalization of the peptide and its presumed interactions with intracellular targets plays a more prominent role in the antifungal action of GMA4CG_V6 than the induction of membrane permeabilization by this peptide.



Figure 6. Membrane permeabilization activity and uptake of sub-lethal concentrations of GMA4CG_V6 by fungal cells. (**a**) Confocal microscopy images and corresponding bright field images of SYTOX Green uptake in *S. sclerotiorum* 555 hyphae treated with 24 μ M GMA4CG_V6 for 30 min. (**b**) The intracellular localization of 12 μ M TMR-labeled GMA4CG_V6 in *S. sclerotiorum* 555. The confocal microscope images were captured 2–5 min after GMA4CG_V6 challenge. The scale bar = 10 μ m.

3.7. GMA4CG_V6 Has No Negative Effect on the Growth of Soybean Plants

Six weeks after GMA4CG_V6 treatment, we found no difference in the appearance of the leaves or the aerial growth and development of treated plants compared to control plants sprayed only with water (Figure 7). The root biomass and aerial biomass of the treated plants were similar to those of the control plants (Figure 7). Thus, GMA4CG_V6 has no discernible phytotoxicity.



Figure 7. Effect of topical application of GMA4CG_V6 on the growth of soybean plants. (a) Representative picture showing no toxicity of GMA4CG_V6 treatment on soybean plants at 45 days post-treatment. (b) Above-ground and root biomass of plants treated with water or GMA4CG_V6 at 45-day post-treatment. Each data point represents the average mass of five plants, with error bars representing the standard errors of the means between replicates.

4. Discussion

Small cysteine-rich antifungal peptides with multi-site MoA have strong potential for development as safe and sustainable bio-fungicides. In this study, we investigated a small 17-amino acid antifungal peptide, GMA4CG_V6, for its potential as a peptide-based bio-fungicide for management of white mold disease in soybean.

GMA4CG_V6 is a variant of the GMA4CG peptide derived from the C-terminal sequence of an antifungal defensin, MtDef4. It is a pseudo-cyclic peptide with a disulfide bond connecting Cys4 and Cys17 and carries a net charge of +6 [17]. We recently reported that GMA4CG_V6 peptide exhibits both preventative and curative antifungal activity against *B. cinerea* when sprayed on tobacco and tomato plants [17]. In this study, we determined that GMA4CG_V6 reduced white mold symptoms when applied at the site of fungal inoculation on detached soybean leaves, pods, and stem after 2–3 dpi. No

further white mold symptoms developed on pods and stems treated with 48 μ M peptide even at 7 dpi. The lack of any symptoms on pods and stems suggests that this peptide has fungicidal activity against *S. sclerotiorum* 555. The pot-grown young soybean and tobacco plants sprayed with GMA4CG_V6 also showed marked reduction of the white mold symptoms when challenged with mycelial fragments of *S. sclerotiorum* 555, clearly demonstrating the potential of this peptide for development as a bio-fungicide. Our study suggests that other peptides containing the active γ -core motif from several other wellcharacterized defensins could be exploited in future for management of white mold in soybean and other crops.

White mold is extremely difficult to manage for soybean growers. Only partially resistant varieties are available to the growers [28,29]. White mold can persist for years in the field due to the long-term survival of hard resting structures called sclerotia, formed by the aggregation of fungal hyphae [30]. The sclerotia can survive in soil for many years and are the main propagules for dispersal of *S. sclerotiorum*. They play a major role in the disease cycle and infectivity of this pathogen in soybean [3,31,32]. The inhibition of sclerotial development by an antifungal agent could have a major positive impact on disrupting the disease cycle of this pathogen by reducing the sclerotial load in the soil. We observed that GMA4CG_V6 at sub-lethal concentrations was effective in inhibiting sclerotial development when sprayed on the mycelium of this fungus growing on Petri plates as well as on detached soybean pods. The peptide was also able to reduce expression of a few genes known to be important for development of sclerotia [23]. Transcriptome analysis of the peptide-challenged mycelium will need to be performed to better understand the underlying mechanism of the peptide-induced inhibition of sclerotia development. To our knowledge, GMA4CG_V6 is the first example of an antifungal peptide known to inhibit sclerotia development. Similar inhibition of fungal growth, sclerotia development, and gene expression was also reported for a natural product cinnamic acid against S. sclerotiorum [23].

GMA4CG_V6 exhibits stronger antifungal activity against *B. cinerea* with an MIC of only 1.5 μ M [17]. In contrast, we found that this peptide was inhibitory against *S. sclerotiorum* 555 only at a concentration of 24 μ M. Antifungal potency of this peptide against two other *S. sclerotiorum* isolates was also similar. This difference in the response of *B. cinerea* and *S. sclerotiorum* to GMA4CG_V6 is surprising given that these pathogens are taxonomically closely related belonging to the same family *Sclerotiniaceae* [19]. It is not clear what makes *S. sclerotiorum* much more tolerant to this antifungal peptide. Indepth MoA studies are needed to determine if *S. sclerotiorum* cell wall lacks high affinity binding sites for this peptide at a low concentration of 1.5 μ M. It is also important to determine if significant differences in the mechanisms of internalization of this peptide and its intracellular targets exist between these two fungal pathogens. It is noteworthy that GMA4CG_V6 is internalized very rapidly into the cells of *S. sclerotiorum* long before membrane permeabilization is observed. It is likely that interaction of this peptide with as-yet-unidentified intracellular targets is a major event governing the antifungal activity of this peptide against this pathogen.

Defensins comprise a large family of antifungal peptides expressed in plants. Several of these peptides have been reported to exhibit broad-spectrum antifungal activity against economically important fungal pathogens of crops [11,12,16,33,34]. However, only one plant defensin, RsAFP1, has been reported to be active against this pathogen at 20 μ g/mL (3.5 μ M) [15]. In addition, the potential of RsAFP1 to provide control of this pathogen in planta remains unclear as it was shown to reduce white mold symptoms in *B. napus* only in presence of a fungal chitinase [35].

Short cationic antifungal peptides are attractive alternatives to conventional fungicides [14,36,37]. A few defensin-derived γ -core peptides have been already characterized for antifungal activity against plant fungal pathogens [13]. Given the large repertoire of as-yet-uncharacterized defensins in the plant kingdom, it will be important to search for defensin-derived γ -core peptides that exhibit potent inhibitory activity against *S. sclerotio-rum*.

Collectively, data presented in this study suggest that GMA4CG_V6 is effective at controlling *S. sclerotiorum* 555 at multiple steps of the disease cycle, i.e., mycelial growth and sclerotial development, in its life cycle. Additionally, GMA4CG_V6 is likely a multi-site fungicide, as it likely binds to multiple intracellular targets in *S. sclerotiorum* and causes disruption of the plasma membrane (Figure 8).



Figure 8. A proposed model illustrating the MoA of GMA4CG_V6 against *S. sclerotiorum* 555. GMA4CG_V6 sprayed on leaves infected with *S. sclerotiorum* 555 first binds to the pathogen's cell walls, and the peptide starts to permeabilize the fungal plasma membrane. GMA4CG_V6 within the fungus can repress the expression of fungal genes involved in sclerotia development. The surface application of GMA4CG_V6 efficiently inhibits fungal growth and significantly reduces sclerotia development and white mold symptoms. Finally, soybean treatment with GMA4CG_V6 does not affect above- or below-ground biomass.

5. Conclusions

For the first time, we have identified a small 17-amino acid defensin-derived γ -core peptide GMA4CG_V6 that is capable of inhibiting the growth of an aggressive isolate of *S. sclerotiorum*. We demonstrated that the topical application of this peptide protects soybean plants from an economically important white mold disease and does not cause phytotoxicity. In addition, GMA4CG_V6 inhibits the production of sclerotia, major propagules for spread of this disease in soybean fields. This peptide gains entry into cells of this pathogen and then permeabilizes its plasma membrane indicating its multi-target MoA. This study paves the way for the design and development of defensin-derived γ -core peptides as bio-fungicides.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/jof9090873/s1, Table S1. Amino acid sequences of GMA4CG_V6 and its variants. Table S2. *S. sclerotiorum* gene-specific primers used for qPCR analysis of gene expression. Table S3. EC₅₀ and MIC values of GMA4CG_V6 for *S. sclerotiorum* 555. Figure S1. In vitro antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6 variants against *S. sclerotiorum* 555. Representative pictures showing the antifungal activity of GMA4CG_V6, GMA4CG_V6_lin and GMA4CG_V6_Al3 against *S. sclerotiorum* 555, 1902 and 1922 in SFM media. Figure S2. Membrane permeabilization activity and uptake of sub-lethal concentrations of GMA4CG_V6 by fungal cells. (a) Confocal microscopy images and corresponding bright field images of SG uptake in *S. sclerotiorum* 555 hyphae treated with 24 μ M GMA4CG_V6 within 30 min. (Scale bar, 10 μ M). (b) The intracellular localization of 6, 12 and 24 μ M TMR-labeled GMA4CG_V6 in *S. sclerotiorum* 555. The confocal microscope images were captured 2-5 min after TMR-GMA4CG_V6 challenge.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.M.S.; Methodology, A.T.D.-T., J.G., M.T., J.M.C. and H.L.; Formal analysis, A.T.D.-T.; Investigation, A.T.D.-T.; Writing—original draft, A.T.D.-T.; Writing—review & editing, A.T.D.-T., M.T., J.G., J.M.C., H.L. and D.M.S.; Supervision, D.M.S.; Funding acquisition, D.M.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received funding from the National Sclerotinia Initiative (Grant 58-3060-1-026).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No new additional data were created or analyzed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the National Sclerotinia Initiative grant 58-3060-1-026 awarded to D.S. We are very grateful to Sydney E. Everhart of the University of Nebraska for providing the *S. sclerotiorum* isolates used in this study. We thank Raviraj Kalunke for his help with HPLC during peptide purification. We acknowledge confocal microscopy support and guidance from the Advanced Bioimaging Laboratory (RRID:SCR_018951) at the Donald Danforth Plant Science Center. The Leica SP8-X confocal microscope used in this study was acquired through an NSF Major Research Instrumentation grant (DBI-1337680).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funding agency played no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- Shahbandeh, M. Production Value of Soybeans in the U.S. 2000–2021. 2022. Available online: www.statista.com/statistics/192071 /production-value-of-soybeans-for-beans-in-the-us-since-2000 (accessed on 25 April 2023).
- Allen, T.W.; Bradley, C.A.; Sisson, A.J.; Byamukama, E.; Chilvers, M.I.; Coker, C.M. Soybean yield loss estimates due to diseases in the United States and Ontario, Canada from 2010 to 2014. *Plant Health Prog.* 2017, 18, 19–27. [CrossRef]
- O'Sullivan, C.A.; Belt, K.; Thatcher, L.F. Tackling control of a cosmopolitan phytopathogen: Sclerotinia. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2021, 12, 707509. [CrossRef]
- Bolton, M.D.; Thomma, B.P.; Nelson, B.D. Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Lib.) de Bary: Biology and molecular traits of a cosmopolitan pathogen. Mol. Plant Pathol. 2006, 7, 1–16. [CrossRef]
- 5. Derbyshire, M.C.; Newman, T.E.; Khentry, Y.; Owolabi Taiwo, A. The evolutionary and molecular features of the broad-host-range plant pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **2022**, *23*, 1075–1090. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gambhir, N.; Kamvar, Z.N.; Higgins, R.; Amaradasa, B.S.; Everhart, S.E. Spontaneous and fungicide-induced genomic variation in *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Phytopathology* 2021, 111, 160–169. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, S.; Fu, L.; Chen, J.; Wang, S.; Liu, J.; Jiang, J.; Che, Z.; Tian, Y.; Chen, G. Baseline sensitivity of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* to metconazole and the analysis of cross-resistance with carbendazim, dimethachlone, boscalid, fluazinam, and fludioxonil. *Phytoparasitica* 2021, 49, 123–130. [CrossRef]
- Goyal, R.K.; Mattoo, A.K. Multitasking antimicrobial peptides in plant development and host defense against biotic/abiotic stress. *Plant Sci.* 2014, 228, 135–149. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tavormina, P.; De Coninck, B.; Nikonorova, N.; De Smet, I.; Cammue, B.P. The plant peptidome: An expanding repertoire of structural features and biological functions. *Plant Cell* 2015, 27, 2095–2118. [CrossRef]
- van der Weerden, N.L.; Bleackley, M.R.; Anderson, M.A. Properties and mechanisms of action of naturally occurring antifungal peptides. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 2013, 70, 3545–3570. [CrossRef]
- 11. Cools, T.L.; Struyfs, C.; Cammue, B.P.; Thevissen, K. Antifungal plant defensins: Increased insight in their mode of action as a basis for their use to combat fungal infections. *Future Microbiol.* **2017**, *12*, 441–454. [CrossRef]
- 12. Parisi, K.; Shafee, T.M.A.; Quimbar, P.; van der Weerden, N.L.; Bleackley, M.R.; Anderson, M.A. The evolution, function and mechanisms of action for plant defensins. *Semin Cell Dev. Biol.* **2019**, *88*, 107–118. [CrossRef]
- 13. Slezina, M.P.; Istomina, E.A.; Korostyleva, T.V.; Odintsova, T.I. The gamma-core motif peptides of plant AMPs as novel antimicrobials for medicine and agriculture. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *24*, 483. [CrossRef]

- 14. Rosa, S.; Pesaresi, P.; Mizzotti, C.; Bulone, V.; Mezzetti, B.; Baraldi, E.; Masiero, S. Game-changing alternatives to conventional fungicides: Small RNAs and short peptides. *Trends Biotechnol.* **2022**, *40*, 320–337. [CrossRef]
- Terras, F.R.; Schoofs, H.M.; De Bolle, M.F.; Van Leuven, F.; Rees, S.B.; Vanderleyden, J.; Cammue, B.P.; Broekaert, W.F. Analysis of two novel classes of plant antifungal proteins from radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) seeds. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1992, 267, 15301–15309. [CrossRef]
- 16. Kovaleva, V.; Bukhteeva, I.; Kit, O.Y.; Nesmelova, I.V. Plant defensins from a structural perspective. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 5307. [CrossRef]
- 17. Tetorya, M.; Li, H.; Djami-Tchatchou, A.T.; Buchko, G.W.; Czymmek, C.J.; Shah, D.M. Plant defensin MtDef4-derived antifungal peptide with multiple modes of action and potential as a bioinspired fungicide. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **2023**, *24*, 896–913. [CrossRef]
- Velivelli, S.L.S.; Czymmek, K.J.; Li, H.; Shaw, J.B.; Buchko, G.W.; Shah, D.M. Antifungal symbiotic peptide NCR044 exhibits unique structure and multifaceted mechanisms of action that confer plant protection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2020, 117, 16043–16054. [CrossRef]
- Amselem, J.; Cuomo, C.A.; van Kan, J.A.; Viaud, M.; Benito, E.P.; Couloux, A.; Coutinho, P.M.; de Vries, R.P.; Dyer, P.S.; Fillinger, S.; et al. Genomic analysis of the necrotrophic fungal pathogens *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and *Botrytis cinerea*. *PLoS Genet.* 2011, 7, e1002230. [CrossRef]
- Liang, J.; Shah, D.M.; Wu, Y.; Rosenberger, C.A.; Hakimi, S.M. Antifungal Polypeptide from Alfalfa and Methods for Controlling Plant Pathogenic Fungi. U.S. Patent 6,316,407 B1, 13 November 2001.
- 21. Ordóñez-Valencia, C.; Ferrera-Cerrato, R.; Quintanar-Zúñiga, R.E. Morphological development of sclerotia by *Sclerotinia sclerotio-rum*: A view from light and scanning electron microscopy. *Ann. Microbiol.* **2015**, *65*, 765–770. [CrossRef]
- 22. Chen, C.; Harel, A.; Gorovoits, R.; Yarden, O.; Dickman, M.B. MAPK regulation of sclerotial development in *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* is linked with pH and cAMP sensing. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **2004**, *17*, 404–413. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Y.; Sun, Y.; Wang, J.; Zhou, M.; Wang, M.; Feng, J. Antifungal activity and action mechanism of the natural product cinnamic acid against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Plant Dis.* 2019, 103, 944–950. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Pfaffl, M.W. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. Nucleic Acids Res. 2001, 29, e45. [CrossRef]
- Harel, A.; Bercovich, S.; Yarden, O. Calcineurin is required for sclerotial development and pathogenicity of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in an oxalic acid-independent manner. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 2006, 19, 682–693. [CrossRef]
- 26. Islam, K.T.; Velivelli, S.L.S.; Berg, R.H.; Oakley, B.; Shah, D.M. A novel bi-domain plant defensin MtDef5 with potent broadspectrum antifungal activity binds to multiple phospholipids and forms oligomers. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 16157. [CrossRef]
- 27. Li, H.; Velivelli, S.L.; Shah, D.M. Antifungal potency and modes of action of a novel olive tree defensin against closely related ascomycete fungal pathogens. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **2019**, *32*, 164901664. [CrossRef]
- McCaghey, M.; Willbur, J.; Ranjan, A.; Grau, C.R.; Chapman, S.; Diers, B.; Groves, C.; Kabbage, M.; Smith, D.L. Development and Evaluation of *Glycine max* Germplasm Lines with Quantitative Resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2017, *8*, 1495. [CrossRef]
- McCaghey, M.; Willbur, J.; Smith, D.L.; Kabbage, M. The complexity of the Sclerotinia sclerotiorum pathosystem in soybean: Virulence factors, resistance mechanisms, and their exploitation to control *Sclerotinia* stem rot. *Trop. Plant Pathol.* 2019, 44, 12–22. [CrossRef]
- 30. Smith, M.E.; Henkel, T.W.; Rollins, J.A. How many fungi make sclerotia? Fungal Ecol. 2015, 13, 211–220. [CrossRef]
- 31. Rollins, J.A.; Dickman, M.B. Increase in endogenous and exogenous Cyclic AMP levels inhibits sclerotial development in *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1998**, *64*, 2539–2544. [CrossRef]
- Smolinska, U.; Kowalska, B. Biological control of the soil-borne fungal pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*—A review. *J. Plant Pathol.* 2018, 100, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- 33. De Coninck, B.M.; Cammue, B.P.A.; Thevissen, K. Modes of antifungal action and *in planta* functions of plant defensins and defensin-like peptides. *Fungal Biol. Rev.* 2013, *26*, 109–120. [CrossRef]
- Kaur, J.; Sagaram, U.S.; Shah, D.M. Can plant defensins be used to engineer durable commercially useful fungal resistance in crop plants? *Fungal Biol. Rev.* 2011, 25, 128–135. [CrossRef]
- 35. Zarinpanjeh, N.; Motallebi, M.; Zamani, M.R.; Ziaei, M. Enhanced resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in *Brassica napus* by co-expression of defensin and chimeric chitinase genes. *J. Appl. Genet.* **2016**, *57*, 417–425. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Luo, X.; Wu, W.; Feng, L.; Treves, H.; Ren, M. Short peptides make a big difference: The role of botany-derived AMPs in disease control and protection of human health. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 11363. [CrossRef]
- 37. Lobo, F.; Boto, A. Host-defense peptides as new generation phytosanitaries: Low toxicity and low induction of antimicrobial resistance. *Agronomy* **2022**, *12*, 1614. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.