



# Article Microascaceae from the Marine Environment, with Descriptions of Six New Species

Meng-Meng Wang <sup>1,2,3</sup>, Shi-Yu Yang <sup>1</sup>, Qi Li <sup>1,2,3</sup>, Yao Zheng <sup>4</sup>, He-He Ma <sup>4</sup>, Ye-Hui Tu <sup>1</sup>, Wei Li <sup>1,2,3,4,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> College of Science, Shantou University, Shantou 515063, China; mmwang@stu.edu.cn (M.-M.W.); shiyuyang\_stu@126.com (S.-Y.Y.); cheryli@stu.edu.cn (Q.L.); 22yhtu1@stu.edu.cn (Y.-H.T.)
- <sup>2</sup> Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Marine Biotechnology, Shantou University, Shantou 515063, China
- <sup>3</sup> Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Marine Disaster Prediction and Prevention, Shantou University, Shantou 515063, China
- <sup>4</sup> College of Marine Life Sciences, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266005, China; maheouc@163.com (H.-H.M.)
- <sup>5</sup> State Key Laboratory of Mycology, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China
- \* Correspondences: liwei@stu.edu.cn (W.L.); cail@im.ac.cn (L.C.)

**Abstract:** Most reported members of *Microascaceae* that have been reported originate from the terrestrial environment, where they act as saprobes or plant pathogens. However, our understanding of their species diversity and distribution in the marine environment remains vastly limited, with only 22 species in nine genera having been reported so far. A survey of the fungal diversity in intertidal areas of China's mainland has revealed the discovery of several *Microascaceae* strains from 14 marine algae and 15 sediment samples. Based on morphological characteristics and LSU-ITS-*tef1-tub2* multilocus phylogeny using Bayesian inference and maximum likelihood methods, 48 strains were identified as 18 species belonging to six genera. Among these, six new species were discovered: *Gamsia sedimenticola, Microascus algicola, M. gennadii, Scedosporium ellipsosporium, S. shenzhenensis,* and *S. sphaerospermum.* Additionally, the worldwide distribution of the species within this family across various marine habitats was briefly reviewed and discussed. Our study expands the knowledge of species diversity and distribution of *Microascaceae* in the marine environment.

Keywords: marine fungi; new species; Microascaceae; species diversity

## 1. Introduction

The family *Microascaceae* (*Microascales, Sordariomycetes*, and *Ascomycota*) was originally erected in 1951 by Luttrell to accommodate the genus *Microascus*, characterised as having beaked ascocarps with evanescent asci disposed irregularly throughout the centrum [1]. Later in 1970, Malloch reviewed known species, introduced two new species, and then illustrated the new concepts of this family, including ascocarps, ascospores, and complex hyaline to brightly coloured, conidiophores that are phialide bearing [2]. Over the past several decades, advances in morphology and multilocus phylogenetic analyses have led to a better understanding of the taxonomy and species diversity of this family. Species in this family are mainly characterised by their annellidic asexual morphs with dry aseptate conidia, as well as their sexual morphs that form cleistothecial or perithecial, carbonaceous ascomata producing reniform, lunate, or triangular ascospores with or without germ pores [3]. Currently, about 290 species in 23 genera are accepted in *Microascaceae* [4]. The majority of these species are found in terrestrial environments and act as saprobes or pathogens of plants, and also as opportunistic pathogens of humans with some exhibiting intrinsic resistance to antifungal agents [5].

However, there is limited information available on the species diversity and distribution of *Microascaceae* in the marine environment. In 1973, a new species, *Scopulariopsis halophilica* 



Citation: Wang, M.-M.; Yang, S.-Y.; Li, Q.; Zheng, Y.; Ma, H.-H.; Tu, Y.-H.; Li, W.; Cai, L. *Microascaceae* from the Marine Environment, with Descriptions of Six New Species. *J. Fungi* 2024, *10*, 45. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/jof10010045

Academic Editor: Ana Alastruey-Izquierdo

Received: 3 November 2023 Revised: 20 December 2023 Accepted: 23 December 2023 Published: 5 January 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Tubaki, was introduced and described from the macroalgae *Undaria pinnatifida* collected from Japan [6]. This is, to our knowledge, the first microascaceous fungus to be isolated from the marine environment. Since then, several studies using culture-dependent approaches have documented the wide distribution of microascaceous fungi from intertidal zones of European and tropical regions, and marginal seas of China, with a total of 22 species reported in nine genera (*Acaulium, Cephalotrichum, Microascus, Petriella, Pseudallescheria, Pseudoscopulariopsis, Scedosporium, Scopulariopsis,* and *Wardomyces*) [7–10]. Several culture-independent approaches using ITS1 or ITS2 rDNA metabarcoding have uncovered substantial occurrences of this family in the seas surrounding China [11–13]. This is fortified by the identification of 109 microascaceous OTUs (operational taxonomic units), which were annotated into 13 genera, and extracted from intertidal sediments from China's mainland [14]. Five microascaceous OTUs were also detected from the seawater samples collected from the Western Pacific Ocean [15]. These findings indicate the potential global distribution of microascaceous fungi within the ocean.

Marine fungi are capable of producing a variety of secondary metabolites such as those with antimicrobial properties [16]. The *Microascaceae* fungi recovered from the marine environment have demonstrated great potential in industrial and agricultural applications. A new monoterpenoid compound, Scopuquinolone B, was first isolated from a coral-derived *Scopulariospis* sp. isolate LF580 [17]. Proteomic analysis of *Sc. brevicaulis* from marine sponges identified thousands of proteins and diverse biosynthetic enzyme complexes [18]. Several species, e.g., *Micoascus trigonosporus*, displayed a highly lethal effect on agricultural pests and a significant inhibitory effect on pathogens of plant diseases [19]. It is necessary to obtain more *Microascaceae* fungi from the marine environment and to promote more research about the physiology, biochemistry, metabolites, and ecological functions of this group of fungi.

Most of the known culturable fungal species have been found on various substrates collected from the intertidal region, such as seagrasses, seaweeds, mangrove plants, sediments, and driftwood [7–10], indicating that the intertidal region provides an ideal shelter to host numerous and diverse fungi. In a survey of fungal diversity in the intertidal areas of China's mainland, 48 microascaceous strains were isolated from 14 marine algae and 15 sediment samples collected from 22 locations. Our aims for this study are (1) to identify species and clarify the phylogenetic relationships of these fungi, (2) to identify and describe new species of *Microascaceae*, and (3) to review species diversity, host/habitat, and geographic distribution of *Microascaceae* in the marine environment.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Sample Collection and Fungal Isolation

Algae and sediment samples were collected from intertidal zones of Fujian, Guangdong, Jiangsu, Liaoning, Shandong, and Zhejiang provinces, and Tianjin city (Figure 1). For algae, six asymptomatic thalli of each algae species from each site were collected and put into sterilised plastic bottles. Species identification of algae was carried out following morphological descriptions by Zeng [20]. For sediment samples, a 200 g sediment sample was collected from each site and placed into a sterilised sampling bag. The samples were placed into a low-temperature storage box with gel packs and brought back to the laboratory as soon as possible.

Fungi were isolated from algae using the dilution-plate method [21] and tissueisolation method, with some modifications. Each algae sample was washed with sterilised seawater, cut into segments of about  $0.5 \times (0.2-0.5)$  cm, and surface sterilised by dipping in 70% ethanol for 5 s followed by immersion in 4% NaOCl for 60 s and washed with sterile distilled water for 10 s [22]. Using the dilution-plate method, approximately 1 g of the segments were placed in a sterilised mortar and ground to tissue homogenate with 10 mL of sterilised seawater. The suspension was moved into a sterilised tube, diluted to a series of concentrations ( $10^{-1}$ ,  $10^{-2}$ ,  $10^{-3}$ , and  $10^{-4}$ ), and, finally, spread onto isolation media with three replicates. Using the tissue-isolation method, after cutting into segments and surface sterilization, 6–10 algal segments were spread on the isolation media with three replicates. Five types of isolation media, namely Martin medium (MM; each 1 L medium containing peptone 5.0 g, dextrose 10.0 g, monopotassium phosphate 1.0 g, magnesium sulfate 0.5 g, chloramphenicol 0.1 g, rose Bengal 0.033 g, agar 20.0 g, and seawater 1 L), 1/10 potato dextrose agar (1/10 PDA; each 1 L medium containing potato 20.0 g, dextrose 2.0 g, agar 20.0 g, and seawater 1 L), 1/5 malt extract agar (1/5 MEA; each 1 L medium containing malt extract 4.0 g, peptone 0.2 g, dextrose 4.0 g, agar 20.0 g, and seawater 1 L), corn meal agar (CMA; each 1 L medium containing corn meal 30.0 g, agar 20.0 g, and seawater 1 L), and yeast extract peptone glucose agar (YPG; each 1 L medium containing yeast extract 1.25 g, peptone 1.25 g, dextrose 4.0 g, agar 20.0 g, and seawater 1 L) containing ampicillin (500 mg/L) and streptomycin (500 mg/L), were selected and used for fungal isolation in this study.



**Figure 1.** Locations of the 14 marine algae (green dot) and 15 sediments (black dot) samples from the intertidal areas of mainland China (**a**), the thallus of algal hosts of the microascaceous fungi (**b**), and the typical algal habitats and the typical mudflat, sand beach, and gravel beach in the intertidal zones (**c**).

Fungi were isolated from sediments using the dilution-plate method [21] and the direct-isolation method, with some modifications. In the dilution-plate method, 10 g of sediment from each sample were suspended in 90 mL of sterile seawater in a 100 mL triangular glass flask and cultivated by shaking at 150 rpm/min at 25 °C for 20 min. The suspension was diluted to a series of concentrations  $(10^{-1}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, \text{ and } 10^{-4})$  and plated on five types of isolation media with three replicates. Using the direct-isolation method,

approximately 1 g of each sediment sample was spread directly on five types of isolation media with three replicates.

All plates were incubated at room temperature and examined every 2 days using an Olympus SZX7 stereomicroscope for fungal hyphae. Individual colonies were picked up with a sterilised needle and transferred onto fresh PDA plates on the benchtop. All the cultures were incubated at room temperature after 7 days and then were purified using an optimised protocol for single-spore isolation [23]. All isolates examined in this study were deposited in Wei Li's personal culture collection (WL). Type specimens of new species were deposited in the Fungarium of the Institute of Microbiology (HMAS), with the ex-type living cultures in the China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center (CGMCC).

#### 2.2. Morphological Observation

The isolates studied were incubated on synthetic nutrient-poor agar plates (SNA) [24], PDA, and oatmeal agar (OA). After 7 days of incubation in the dark, culture characteristics, including colony morphology, pigmentation, and odour were observed. Colours were assessed according to the colour charts of Kornerup and Wanscher (1978) [25]. Micromorphological characteristics were examined and photo-documented using water as a mounting medium under an Olympus BX53 microscope with differential interference contrast (DIC) optics. For each species, respectively, 30 conidiophores, 30 conidiogenous cells, 30 chlamydospores, and 50 microconidia were mounted and measured randomly.

#### 2.3. DNA Extraction and Amplification

Genomic DNA was extracted from fungal mycelia grown on PDA, using a modified CTAB protocol as described in Guo et al. (2000) [26]. Four loci, including partial largesubunit ribosomal RNA (LSU), 5.8S nuclear ribosomal RNA gene with the two flanking internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions, partial translation elongation factor (tef1), and partial β-tubulin (*tub2*), were amplified using primer pairs LR0R/LR5 [27], ITS5/ITS4 [28], EF1-983F/EF1-2218R [29], and Bt2a/Bt2b [30], respectively. Amplification reactions were performed in a reaction volume containing 12.5  $\mu$ L of 2  $\times$  Taq PCR Master Mix (Vazyme Biotech Co., Ltd., Nanjing, China), 1  $\mu$ L each of 10  $\mu$ M primers, and 1  $\mu$ L of the undiluted genomic DNA, adjusted to a final volume of 25  $\mu$ L with distilled deionised water (Dongsheng, EDC810, China). PCR parameters were as follows: 94 °C for 10 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 50 (for LSU)/54 (for ITS)/57 (for tef1 and tub2) °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s, and a final elongation step at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were visualised on 1% agarose electrophoresis gel. Sequencing was performed bidirectionally and conducted by the BGI Write Company (Beijing, China). Consensus sequences were obtained using SeqMan of the Lasergene software package v. 14.1 (DNAstar, Madison, WI, USA).

#### 2.4. Phylogenetic Analyses

The sequences of the *Microascaceae* strains examined in this study and the reference strains are listed in Table 1. For each locus, sequences were aligned using MAFFT v. 7 [31], and the alignments were manually adjusted where necessary. The best-fitting nucleotide-substitution models according to the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) were selected using jModelTest v. 2.1.7 [32,33]. Alignments derived from this study were deposited in TreeBASE (submission ID 30581), and taxonomic novelties were deposited in FungalNames.

Species	Isolate and Status	Source	Origin	GenBank Accession Number			
				LSU	ITS	TEF	TUB
Acaulium acremonium	MUCL 8274 = CBS 104.65 <sup>ET</sup>	Wheatfield soil	Schleswig-Holstein, Germany	KY852479	KY852468		
A. album	CBS 539.85 <sup>ET</sup>	Hair in dung in pole cat	The Netherlands	KY852484	KY852473		
Canariomyces arenarius	CBS 507.74 <sup>IT</sup>	Desert soil	Egypt	KM655383	KM655344	KM655474	MK926898
Ca. microsporus	CBS 276.74 <sup>IT</sup>	Desert soil	Egypt	MH872590	MH860852	MN078437	MK926899
Ca. notabilis	CBS 548.83 <sup>T</sup>	Litter of Phoenix canariensis	Egypt	MH873362	MH861648	MN078432	MK926902
Ca. subthermophilus	CBS 509.74 <sup>T</sup>	Desert soil	Egypt	MH872612	MH860876	MN078436	MK926904
Ca. vonarxii	CBS 160.80 = NHL 2831 <sup>T</sup>	Dried flower of Hibiscus	Sudan			MN078435	MK926905
Cephalotrichum asperulum	CBS 582.71 <sup>T</sup>	Soil	Buenos Aires, Argentina	LN851007	LN850960	LN851061	LN851114
Ce. brevistipitatum	CBS 157.57 <sup>T</sup>	Tuber	Wageningen, The Netherlands	LN851031	LN850984	LN851084	LN851138
Ce. columnare	CBS 159.66 <sup>T</sup>	Dung of hare	Johannesburg, South Africa	LN851010	LN850963	LN851064	LN851117
Ce. cylindricum	UAMH 1348 <sup>T</sup>	Seed of sorghum	Kansas, USA	LN851012	LN850965	LN851066	LN851119
Ce. dendrocephalum	CBS 528.85 <sup>T</sup>	Cultivated soil	Basrah, Iraq	LN851013	LN850966	LN851067	LN851120
Ce. gorgonifer	UAMH 3585	Mushroom compost	Alberta, Canada	LN851025	LN850978	LN851078	LN851132
Ce. guizhouense	CGMCC 3.18330 <sup>T</sup>	Air from cave	Guizhou, China	MF419758	MF419788	MF419728	MF434549
Ce. hinnuleum	CBS 289.66 <sup>T</sup>	Dung of deer	Tasmania, Australia	LN851032	LN850985	LN851085	LN851139
Ce. laeve	CGMCC 3.18329 <sup>T</sup>	Limestone from cave	Guizhou, China	MF419778	MF419808	MF419748	MF434569
Ce. microsporum	CBS 523.63 ET	Wheatfield soil	Schleswig-Holstein, Germany	LN851014	LN850967	LN851068	LN851121
	WL00192	Unidentified red algae	Qingdao, Shandong, China	OR339938	OR339892	OR347703	OR338658
	WL00303	Unidentified green algae	Weihai, Shandong, China	OR339939	OR339893	OR347704	OR338659
	WL00305	Unidentified green algae	Weihai, Shandong, China	OR339940	OR339894	OR347705	OR338660
	WL00350	Unidentified brown algae	Weihai, Shandong, China	OR339941	OR339895	OR347706	OR338661
Ce. nanum	CBS 191.61 <sup>ET</sup>	Dung of deer	Surrey, England	LN851016	LN850969	LN851070	LN851123
	WL03115	Intertidal sediment	Ningbo, Zhejiang, China	OR339945	OR339899	OR347710	OR338662
	WL03362	Intertidal sediment	Ningbo, Zhejiang, China	OR339946		OR347711	
Ce. oligotriphicum	CGMCC 3.18328 <sup>T</sup>	Limestone from cave	Guizhou, China	MF419771	MF419801	MF419741	MF434562
Ce. purpureofuscum	UAMH 9209	Indoor air	British Columbia, Canada	LN851018	LN850971	LN851072	LN851125
	WL06350	Sargassum canfusum	Shenzhen, Guangdong, China	OR339942	OR339896	OR347707	OR338664
	WL06351	Sargassum canfusum	Shenzhen, Guangdong, China	OR339943	OR339897	OR347708	OR338665
	WL02022	Sargassum canfusum	Shenzhen, Guangdong, China	OR339950	OR339898	OR347709	OR338663
Ce. stemonitis	CBS 103.19 <sup>N1</sup>	Seed	Wageningen, Netherlands	LN850952	LN850951	LN850953	LN850954
Ce. verrucisporum	CBS 187.78	Sand dune soil	Katijk, The Netherlands	LN851033	LN850986	LN851086	LN851140
Gamsia aggregata	CBS 251.69 ET	Dung of carnivore	USA	LM652500	LM652378		
G. columbina	CBS 233.66 E1	Sandy soil	Giessen, Germany	LN851039	LN850990	LN851092	LN851146

Table 1. Strains examined in this study, with information on the source, origin and GenBank accessions of the sequences.

Tab	le 1.	Cont.
		00.000

Species	Isolate and Status	Source	Origin	GenBank Accession Number			
				LSU	ITS	TEF	TUB
G. dimera	CBS 235.66 = ATCC 18887 = IMI 117371 = MUCL 6388 <sup>T</sup>	Wheatfield soil	Schleswig-Holstein, Germany		MH858785		
G. kooimaniorum	CBS 143185 <sup>T</sup>	Garden soil	The Netherlands		NR_159824		
G. sedimenticola	WL02722 = CGMCC3.25342 <sup>T</sup> WL06358 WL06359	Intertidal sediment Intertidal sediment Intertidal sediment	Qingdao, Shandong, China Qingdao, Shandong, China Oingdao, Shandong, China	OR339947 OR339948 OR339949	OR339900 OR339901 OR339902	OR347712 OR347713 OR347714	OR338666 OR338667 OR338668
G. simplex	CBS 546.69 <sup>IT</sup>	Milled Oryza sativa	Japan	LM652501	LM652379	LN851094	LN851148
Graphium penicillioides	CBS 102632 <sup>T</sup>	Populus nigra	Czech Republic	KY852485	KY852474		
Kernia columnaris	CBS 159.66 = IMI 116691 <sup>T</sup>	Dung of hare	South Africa	KY852486	KY852475	KY852478	KY852477
K. nitida	CBS 282.52 = NBRC 8200	Chrysolina sanguinolenta	France	KY852487	KY852476		
Microascus algicola	WL00347 WL00633 WL01268 = CGMCC3.25343 <sup>T</sup> WL01021	Unidentified brown algae Colpomenia sinuosa Grateloupia filicina Ahnfeltionsis flahelliformis	Weihai, Shandong, China Qingdao, Shandong, China Qingdao, Shandong, China Qingdao, Shandong, China	OR339954 OR339955 OR339962 OR339957	OR339907 OR339908 OR339909 OR339910	OR347719 OR347720 OR347721 OR347722	OR338669 OR338670 OR338672 OR338671
M. campaniformis	CBS 138126 = UTHSC 10-565 = FMR 12343 $^{T}$	Human BAL	USA	HG380495	LM652391	HG380418	LM652606
M. cinereus	FMR 12217 = UTHSC 10-2805 <sup>NT</sup>	Human BAL	USA		LM652397		LM652611
Acaulium acremonium	MUCL 8274 = CBS 104.65 <sup>ET</sup>	Wheatfield soil	Schleswig-Holstein, Germany	KY852479	KY852468		
M. croci	CBS 158.44 = MUCL 9002 <sup>T</sup> WL02042	Crocus sp. Blidingia minima	Lisse, The Netherlands Qingdao, Shandong, China	LM652508 OR339982	LM652407 OR339903	LM652560 OR347715	LM652621 <b>OR338673</b>
M. expansus	CBS 138127 = UTHSC 06-4472 = FMR 12266 <sup>T</sup>	Human sputum	USA	HG380492	LM652410	HG380415	LM652624
M. gennadii	WL02353 = CGMCC3.25344 <sup>T</sup> WL06354 WL06355	Intertidal sediment Intertidal sediment Intertidal sediment	Unknown Unknown Unknown	OR339971 OR339952 OR339953	OR339904 OR339905 OR339906	OR347716 OR347717 OR347718	OR338674 OR338675 OR338676
M. gracilis	CBS 369.70 <sup>IT</sup>	Food	Japan	HG380467	LM652412	HG380390	LM652625
M. hyalinus	CBS 766.70 <sup>IT</sup>	Dung of cow	USA	LM652513	LM652418	LM652564	LM652631

Tabl	o 1	Cont
Idvi	е т.	Com.

Species	Isolate and Status	Source	Origin	GenBank Accession Number			
				LSU	ITS	TEF	TUB
M. intricatus	CBS 138128 = UTHSC 07-156 = FMR 12264 <sup>T</sup>	Human BAL	USA	HG380496	LM652419	HG380419	LM652632
M. longirostris	CBS 196.61 = MUCL 9058 <sup>NT</sup>	Wasp's nest	Maine, USA	LM652515	LM652421	LM652566	LM652634
M. murinus	CBS 830.70 = IHEM 18567 $^{\rm T}$	Composed municipal waste	Giessen, Germany	HG380481	LM652424	HG380404	LM652637
	WL05483	Intertidal sediment	Qingdao, Shandong, China	OR339959	OR339912	OR347724	OR338678
	WL03684	Intertidal sediment	Yantai, Shandong, China	OR339958	OR339911	OR347723	OR338677
M. paisii	UTHSC 07-639 = FMR 12263	Human BAL	USA	HG380451	LM652425	HG380374	LM652638
M. pyramidus	CBS 212.65 <sup>IT</sup>	Desert soil	California, USA	HG380435	LM652439	HG380358	LM652652
M. restrictus	CBS 138277 = UTHSC	Human left hallux	USA	HG380494	LM652440	HG380417	LM652653
	$09-2704 = FMR 12227^{T}$						
	WL02392	Intertidal sediment	Huludao, Liaoning, China			OR347725	OR338679
M. senegalensis	CBS 277.74 = IHEM 18561 <sup>T</sup>	Mangrove soil	Senegal	LM652523	LM652441	LM652574	LM652654
M. sparsimycelialis	LC12478 <sup>T</sup>	Animal faeces	Laibin, Guangxi, China			MK336046	MK336124
M. superficialis	LC12597 <sup>T</sup>	Animal faeces	Laibin, Guangxi, China			MK336048	MK336126
M. trigonosporus	CBS 218.31 <sup>T</sup>	na	USA	HG380436	LM652443	HG380359	LM652655
	WL00670	Cladophora sp.	Qingdao, Shandong, China	OR339961	OR339916	OR347729	OR338683
	WL01853	Ulva linza	Qingdao, Shandong, China	OR339963	OR339917	OR347730	OR338684
	WL01882	Gracilaria textorii	Qingdao, Shandong, China	OR339944	OR339918	OR347731	OR338685
	WL02833	Intertidal sediment	Qingdao, Shandong, China	OR339964	OR339919	OR347732	OR338686
M. trigonus	LC12520 <sup>T</sup>	Soil	Guilin, Guangxi, China			MK336052	MK336130
M. verrucosus	CBS 138278 = UTHSC	Human, BAL fluid	USA	HG380493	LM652446	HG380416	LM652658
	$10-2601 = FMR \ 12219 \ T$						
	WL02828	Intertidal sediment	Dongying, Shandong, China	OR339965	OR339920	OR347733	OR338687
<i>Microascus</i> sp.	WL01046	Grateloupia filicina	Qingdao, Shandong, China	OR339956	OR339913	OR347726	OR338680
	WL06352	Grateloupia filicina	Qingdao, Shandong, China		OR339914	OR347727	OR338681
	WL06353	Grateloupia filicina	Qingdao, Shandong, China		OR339915	OR347728	OR338682
Parascedosporium tectonae	CBS 127.84 <sup>T</sup>	Human BAL	USA	EF151332			
Petriella sordida	CBS 124169	Corner of a bathroom	The Netherlands	AY281099	GQ426957		
Pithoascus ater	CBS 400.34 = IHEM 18608 <sup>T</sup>	na	na	LM652526	LM652447	LM652576	LM652659
Pi. exsertus	CBS 819.70 <sup>T</sup>	Megachile willoughbiella	Tastrup, Denmark	LM652528	LM652449	LM652578	LM652661
Pi. intermedius	CBS 217.32 <sup>T</sup>	Root of Fragaria vesca	North Carolina, USA	LM652529	LM652450	LM652579	LM652662
Pi. nidicola	CBS 197.61 <sup>ET</sup>	Dipodomys merriami	Utah, USA	LM652530	LM652451	LM652580	LM652663

S. rarisporum

**Isolate and Status** Source Origin **GenBank Accession Number** Species LSU TEF TUB ITS CBS 176.71 <sup>T</sup> Pi. stoveri Root of Beta vulgaris Ohio, USA LM652532 LM652453 LM652581 LM652664 Pseudoscopulariopsis LC12445<sup>T</sup> Animal faeces Guilin, Guangxi, China ----MK336064 MK336142 asperispora Ps. hibernica UAMH 2643 = ATCC 16690 Soil Ireland LM652533 LM652454 LM652582 LM652665 CBS 435.86 NT *Ps. schumacheri* Soil Puerto de la Quesera, Spain LM652534 LM652455 LM652583 LM652666 Rhinocladium lesnei CBS 108.10 Foot of human France MH866122 MH854594 -----CBS 254.72 ET AJ888414 Scedosporium angustum Sewage half digestion tank Ohio, USA ----AJ889604 FMR 8619 ET AJ889584 S. apiospermum Keratitis of huma Brazil NR\_130664 ----IMI 392886<sup>T</sup> S. aurantiacum Ulcer on ankle of human Spain AJ888440 --AI889597 --S. boydii CBS 101.22 = IMI 015407 = Mycetoma USA EF151320 KT008518 KT069589 KT008455 ICM 7441 = NCPF 2216 = UAMH 3982 T WL02794 Intertidal sediment Qingdao, Shandong, China OR339968 OR339923 OR347736 OR338688 Intertidal sediment Yantai, Shandong, China WL05602 OR339969 OR339924 OR347737 OR338689 WL05951 Intertidal sediment Tianiin, China OR339970 OR339925 OR347738 OR338690 FMR 12996 ET S. cereisporum Wastewater sludge Mûrs-Erignés, France KJ599660 KJ599659 ------CBS 117406 ET Garden soil Barcelona, Spain S. dehoogii KT163400 KT163401 --\_\_\_ CBS 489.72 ET S. desertorum Salt-marsh soil Kuwait AM409106 \_\_\_ -----CBS 418.73 ET S. ellipsoideum Soil Tavikistán AJ888426 AI889595 -----WL02370 = CGMCC3.25345 <sup>T</sup> S. ellipsosporium Intertidal sediment Shenzhen, Guangdong, China OR339973 OR339926 OR347739 OR338692 WL02793 Intertidal sediment Qingdao, Shandong, China OR339972 OR339927 OR347740 OR338693 CBS 106.53 ET S. fusoideum Goat dung Aligarh India AJ888428 AJ889601 ----S. haikouense Green-belt soil CGMCC 3.20468 = GZUIFRHaikou, Hainan, China --MZ469289 ---MZ488563 21.833 <sup>T</sup> S. hainanense CGMCC 3.20469 = GZUIFR Green-belt soil MZ469285 MZ488559 Sanya, Hainan, China ----21.829 <sup>T</sup> WL05931 Intertidal sediment Yancheng, Jiangsu, China OR339967 OR339922 OR347735 OR338691 FMR 4072 ET River sediment Tordera river AJ888384 AJ889592 S. minutisporum Spain \_\_\_ ---S. multisporum CGMCC 3.20470 = GZUIFRGreen-belt soil Huaihua, Hunan, China MZ469286 MZ488560 --

Guigang, Guangxi, China

KX790702

--

---

--

Root soil of *Wodyetia bifurcata* 

Table 1. Cont.

21.830 <sup>T</sup> GZUIFR-G79 <sup>T</sup>

Tab	le 1.	Cont.
		001111

Species	Isolate and Status	Source	Origin	GenBank Accession Number			
				LSU	ITS	TEF	TUB
S. shenzhenensis	WL02375 = CGMCC3.25346 <sup>T</sup>	Intertidal sediment	Shenzhen, Guangdong, China	OR339960	OR339928	OR347741	OR338694
	WL06356	Intertidal sediment	Shenzhen, Guangdong, China	OR339974	OR339929	OR347742	OR338695
	WL06357	Intertidal sediment	Shenzhen, Guangdong, China	OR339975	OR339930	OR347743	OR338696
S. sphaerospermum	$WL02796 = CGMCC3.25347^{-1}$	Intertidal sediment	Qingdao, Shandong, China	OR339976	OR339931	OR347744	OR338698
	WL06360	Intertidal sediment	Qingdao, Shandong, China	OR339977	OR339932	OR347745	OR338699
	WL06361	Intertidal sediment	Qingdao, Shandong, China	OR339978	OR339933	OR347746	OR338700
Scedosporium sp.	WL02426	Intertidal sediment	na	OR339966	OR339921	OR347734	OR338697
Scopulariopsis africana	CBS 118736	Mud, salt pan	South Africa	KX924040	KX923954	KX924176	KX924388
Sc. albida	CBS 119.43 <sup>T</sup>	Soil	The Netherlands	LN850849	LN850800	LM652592	LN850897
Sc. alboflavescens	CBS 399.34 <sup>T</sup>	Diseased skin	Austria	LM652539	KX923956	KX924179	JQ434537
Sc. asperula	CBS 853.68	Compost soil	Germany	JQ434669	LM652461	JQ434621	JQ434558
Sc. brevicaulis	MUCL 40726 <sup>T</sup>	Indoor air	Alberta, Canada	HG380440	LM652465	HG380363	LM652672
	WL00657	Ulva pertusa	Qingdao, Shandong, China	OR339979	OR339934	OR347747	OR338701
	WL03882	Intertidal sediment	Ningde, Fujian, China	OR339980	OR339935	OR347748	OR338702
Sc. candida	MUCL 40743 <sup>ET</sup>	Indoor air	Canada	HG380458	LM652484	HG380381	LM652690
Sc. caseicola	CBS 480.62 <sup>T</sup>	Cheese coating	The Netherlands	KX924041	KX924020	KX924247	KX924454
Sc. cordiae	FMR 12338 <sup>T</sup>	Human finger	USA	HG380499	LM652491	HG380422	LM652673
Sc. flava	CBS 207.61 = MUCL 9031 <sup>NT</sup>	Cheese	UK	HG380464	LM652493	HG380387	LM652697
Sc. macurae	CBS 506.66 <sup>T</sup>	Chicken litter	Canada	LN850854	LN850805	KX924250	LN850902
Sc. sexualis	CBS 250.64 <sup>T</sup>	Oryza sativa	Burma	KX924042	KX924024	KX924251	KX924458
Sc. soppii	UAMH 9169 <sup>T</sup>	Wood of Populus tremuloides	Alberta, Canada	LM652552	LM652495	LM652595	LM652698
Wardomyces anomalus	CBS 299.61 ET	Air cell of egg	Ontario, Canada	MH869626	MH858058	LN851095	LN851149
W. giganteus	CBS 746.69 <sup>T</sup>	Insect frass in dead log	Ontario, Canada	MH871180	MH859408	LN851096	LN851150
W. inflatus	CBS 216.61 <sup>IT</sup>	Wood, Acer sp.	Quebec, Canada	LM652553	LM652496	LN851098	
,	WL02318	Intertidal sediment	Huludao, Liaoning, China	OR339951	OR339937	OR347750	OR338704
	WL00510	Intertidal sediment	Weihai, Shandong, China	OR339981	OR339936	OR347749	OR338703
W. ovalis	CBS 234.66 = IMI 117372 =	Wheatfield soil	Schleswig-Holstein, Germany	LN851050	MH858784	LN851101	LN851155
	MUCL 6031 <sup>T</sup>		č ,				
W. pulvinatus	CBS 112.65 <sup>IT</sup>	Salt marsh	Cheshire, England	MH870142	MH858508	LN851102	LN851156
Wardomycopsis dolichi	LC12503 <sup>T</sup>	Soil	Guilin, Guangxi, China	MK329043	MK329138	MK336073	
Wa. fusca	LC12476 <sup>T</sup>	Soil	Guilin, Guangxi, China	MK329047	MK329142	MK336077	MK336148

Species	Isolate and Status	Source	Origin	GenBank Ac	GenBank Accession Number		
				LSU	ITS	TEF	TUB
Wa. humicola	CBS 487.66 <sup>T</sup>	Soil	Ontario, Canada	LM652554	LM652497		
Wa. inopinata	FMR 10305	Soil	Myanmar	LM652555	LM652498		
Wa. litoralis	CBS 119740 <sup>T</sup>	Beach soil	Castellon, Spain	LN851055	LN851000	LN851107	LN851161
Wa. longicatenata	CGMCC 3.17947 <sup>T</sup>	Air	Guizhou, China	KU746756	KU746710	KX855255	KU746801
Yunnania carbonaria	CBS 205.61 <sup>T</sup>	Soil	Panama	HG380462	KX923820	KX924044	KX924254
Y. penicillata	CBS 130296 <sup>T</sup>	Moulded pork sample	China	KY659809	JN831361	KY659808	KY659807
Y. smithii	CBS 855.68 <sup>T</sup>	Garden soil	Germany	KX924028	KX923822	KX924046	KX924256

Table 1. Cont.

Notes: <sup>T</sup> = ex-type, <sup>ET</sup> = epi-type, <sup>IT</sup> = iso-type, <sup>NT</sup> = neo-type. Sequences newly generated in this study are marked in bold.

Phylogenetic analyses of the combined dataset were performed using Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum-likelihood (ML) methods. The BI analyses were conducted using MrBayes v. 3.2.1 [34] following the protocol of Wang et al. (2019) [35], with optimisation of each locus treated as a partition in combined analyses, based on the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach [36]. All characters were equally weighted, and gaps were treated as missing data. The stationarity of the analyses was determined by examining the standard deviation of split frequencies (<0.01) and -ln likelihood plots in AWTY [37]. The ML analyses were conducted using PhyML v. 3.0 [38], with 1000 bootstrap replicates. The general time reversible model was applied with an invariable gamma-distributed rate variation (GTR+I+G).

#### 3. Results

# 3.1. Phylogenetic Analyses

Analyses of the Microascaceae phylogeny were conducted by using a combined LSU (897 bp), ITS (665 bp), tef1 (924 bp) and tub2 (539 bp) dataset. For the BI analysis, the GTR+I+G model was selected for the LSU, ITS, tef1 and tub2 loci. The phylogeny showed that our isolates were clustered into 18 species in six genera of Microascaceae, namely Cephalotrichum (3 species), Gamsia (1), Microascus (7), Scedosporium (5), Scopulariopsis (1), and Wardomyces (1), including six new species (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Cont.



**Figure 2.** Fifty percent majority rule consensus tree from a Bayesian analysis based on a four-locus combined dataset (LSU-ITS-*tef1-tub2*) showing the phylogenetic relationships of genera within the family *Microascaceae*. The Bayesian posterior probabilities (PP > 0.9) and PhyML bootstrap support values (BS > 50%) are displayed at the nodes (PP/BS). The tree was rooted to *Graphium penicillioides* (CBS 102632 T). Ex-type cultures are indicated with "T", epi-type with "ET", iso-type with "IT", and neo-type with "NT". New species introduced in this paper are marked in bold. New records in the marine environment are marked in the blue line and new records in China in the red line. Strains newly isolated in this study are marked in green dots (isolates from algae) and brown dots (isolates from sediment).

#### 3.2. Species List and Taxonomy

Cephalotrichum Link, Mag. Gesell. naturf. Freunde, Berlin 3(1-2): 20 (1809).

*Cephalotrichum microsporum* (Sacc.) P.M. Kirk, in Kirk and Spooner, Kew Bull. 38(4): 578 (1984).

Examined isolates: CHINA, Shandong Province, Qingdao city, from an unidentified red alga collected from a sand beach, April 2010, Y.T. Peng and K.M. Sun (WL00192); Weihai

city, from unidentified green algae, June 2010, K.M. Sun and W. Li (WL00303, WL00305); Weihai city, from an unidentified brown alga, June 2010, K.M. Sun and W. Li (WL00350).

Cephalotrichum nanum (Ehrenb.) S. Hughes, Can. J. Bot. 36: 744 (1958).

Examined isolates: CHINA, Zhejiang Province, Ningbo city (121°46′36.72″ E, 29°58′15.42″ N), from intertidal sediment of a mudflat, July 2014, X.M. Bian and W. Li (WL03115, WL03362).

Cephalotrichum purpureofuscum (S. Hughes) S. Hughes, Can. J. Bot. 36: 744 (1958).

Examined isolates: CHINA, Guangdong Province, Shenzhen city, from *Sargassum canfusum* collected from a gravels beach, May 2014, M.M. Wang and W. Li (WL02022, WL06350, WL06351).

*Gamsia* M. Morelet, Ann. Soc. Sci. Nat. Arch. Toulon et du Var 21: 105 (1969). *Gamsia sedimenticola*M.M. Wang, W. Li and L. Cai sp. nov., Figure 3. FungalNames: FN 571622.

Etymology: named after the habitat of the type specimen, sediment.

Typus: CHINA, Shandong Province, Qingdao city (120°19′09.12″ E, 36°03′38.64″ N), from intertidal sediment of a mudflat, June 2014, X.M. Bian and W. Li (HMAS352501, holo-type designated here, dried culture on SNA; culture ex-type CGMCC3.25342 = WL02722).

Sexual morph not observed. Asexual morph on PDA and OA conidiophores reduced to conidiogenous cells, polyblastic, subcylindrical to cylindrical with a swollen apical part,  $2-2.5 \times 2-3.5 \mu m$ , and hyaline to somewhat darkening at the apex, smooth- and thin-walled, with 1–3 apical conidiogenous loci. Conidia 0–1-septate, ovoid to broadly ellipsoidal, with a rounded-to-pointed apex, flat at the base, pale to dark brown,  $7-9 \times 5-6.5 \mu m$ , smooth and thick walled, and often with a conspicuous longitudinal germ slit.



**Figure 3.** Morphological characters of *Gamsia sedimenticola* (from ex-type WL02722). (**a**–**f**): colonies on PDA, OA and SNA after 7d; (**g**,**h**): Conidiogenous cells; (**i**,**j**): Conidia in chains. Bars: (**g**–**j**) = 10  $\mu$ m.

Culture characteristics—colonies on PDA are 30–40 mm diam in 7 d at 25  $^{\circ}$ C, flat, felty to floccose, and olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin; reverse pale olive green near the centre and white near the margin. Colonies on OA are 30–40 mm diam in 7 d

at 25 °C, margin regular, flat, and dark olive green; the reverse is dark olive green. Colonies on SNA are 30–35 mm diam in 7 d at 25 °C, flat, with scarce aerial mycelia, and pale olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin; reverse pale olive green near the centre, white near the margin.

Other examined isolates: CHINA, Shandong Province, Qingdao city (120°19′09.12″ E, 36°03′38.64″ N), from intertidal sediment of a mudflat, June 2014, X.M. Bian and W. Li (WL06358); ibid. (WL06359).

Notes: The genus Gamsia was erected to accommodate the Wardomyces species that form 1-septate annelloconidia [39]. Sandoval-Denis et al. demonstrated that the lack of well-differentiated conidiophores and the conidial arrangement with large apical clusters justifies the separation of *Gamsia* from *Wardomyces* [40]. Five species are currently accepted in Gamsia [39–43], and one new species, G. sedimenticola, was added in this study. Phylogenetically, G. sedimenticola is closely related to G. aggregate (Malloch) Kiffer and M. Morelet, G. columbina (Demelius) Sand.-Den., Guarro and Genéand G. kooimaniorum Sand.-Den. (Figure 2), but differs from G. aggregate and G. kooimaniorum by 29 and 24 bp in the LSU-ITS sequences, and from G. columbina by 34 bp in the LSU-ITS-tef1tub2 dataset, respectively. Morphologically, the four species exhibit marked differences in characters of aerial conidiophores (reduced to conidiogenous cells, polyblastic, subcylindrical to cylindrical with a swollen apical part, 2–2.5  $\times$  2–3.5  $\mu$ m in *G. sedimenticola* vs. borne singly or in small clusters on short branches, flask-shaped,  $10-35 \times 3-4 \mu m$  in G. aggregate, usually unbranched, 0-1-septate,  $3-15 \times 1.5-3 \ \mu m$  in G. columbina and unbranched or rarely laterally branched once, produced abundantly borne laterally and singly on the aerial hyphae, 0-1(-2)-septate,  $12-28 \times 1.5-7 \,\mu\text{m}$  in G. kooimaniorum), and the shape, size, and colour of conidia (7–9  $\times$  5–6.5  $\mu$ m, ovoid to broadly ellipsoidal, pale to dark brown in G. sedimenticala vs.  $8-10.5 \times 3.5-5 \,\mu$ m, ellipsoidal, rounded or apiculate/hyaline in *G. aggregate*, 5–10.5  $\times$  2.5–5.5 µm, oval, smooth/hyaline in *G. columbina* and 5.5–10.5  $\times$  4–7 µm, ovoid to broadly ellipsoidal, and pale to dark brown in G. kooimaniorum) [40–43]. In addition, G. sedimenticola was isolated from intertidal sediment in China, differing from G. aggregate from the dung of a carnivore in the USA, G. columbina from air, soil, decaying wood, and milled rice in Austria, Germany, Japan, and the Netherlands, and G. kooimaniorum from the soil in Netherlands [40–43].

*Microascus*Zukal, Verh. Kaiserl.-Königl. zool.-bot. Ges. Wien 35: 342 (1886). *Microascus algicola*M.M. Wang, W. Li and L. Cai sp. nov., Figure 4.

FungalNames: FN 571623.

Etymology: named after the host of type specimen, algae.

Typus: CHINA, Shandong Province, Qingdao city, from *Grateloupia filicina* collected from a gravels beach, unknown date, M.M. Wang and W. Li (HMAS352502, holotype designated here, dried culture on SNA; culture ex-type CGMCC3.25343 = WL01268).

Sexual morph not observed. As exual morphs on PDA, OA, and SNA conidiophores are simple, straight, septate, branched or rarely unbranched, and hyaline. Conidiogenous cells in whorls of 2–3 on the apex of conidiophores, or rarely solitary on aerial hyphae, lageniform to ampulliform, straight or slightly curved, pale brown, 5–11  $\times$  2.5–4 µm. Conidia in long chains, ellipsoidal, smooth to slightly rough, thick walled, hyaline to pale brown, and 3.5–6  $\times$  3–5 µm.

Culture characteristics—colonies on PDA are 35–45 mm diam in 7 d at 25 °C, flat, felty to floccose, and greyish brown near the centre, with a pale-brown regular margin; the reverse is greyish brown near the centre, white near the margin. Colonies on OA are 35–45 mm diam in 7 d at 25 °C, margin regular, flat, and dark olive green in the centre, with a white regular margin; the reverse is dark olive green near the centre and white near the margin. Colonies on SNA are 30–35 mm diam in 7 d at 25 °C, flat, with scarce aerial mycelia, and pale olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin; the reverse is pale olive green near the centre and with a white regular margin.



**Figure 4.** Morphological characters of *Microascus algicola* (from ex-type WL01268). (**a**–**f**): colonies on PDA, OA and SNA after 7 d; (**g**–**j**): Conidiophores and conidiogenous cells; (**k**): Conidia in chains. Bars: (**g**–**k**) = 10  $\mu$ m. Bars: (**g**–**k**) = 10  $\mu$ m.

Other examined isolates: CHINA, Shandong Province, Weihai city, from an unidentified brown alga collected from a sand beach, June 2010, K.M. Sun and W. Li (WL00347); Qingdao city, from *Colpomenia sinuosa* from a sand beach, October 2011, Y.T. Peng, C.H. Feng, and C.L. Li (WL00633), from *Ahnfeltiopsis flabelliformis* from a sand beach, December 2014, M.M. Wang (WL01021).

Notes: phylogenetically, *Microascus algicola* is most closely related to *M. hyalinus* (Malloch and Cain) Sand.-Den., Gené and Guarro (Figure 2), but differs by 233 bp in the four loci dataset. Morphologically, *M. algicola* could be distinguished in the shape and size of conidia (ellipsoidal,  $3.5-6 \times 3-5 \mu m$ ), while *M. hyalinus* produces ovoid,  $3.5-5 \times 2-3.5 \mu m$  conidia [4]. In addition, currently, all known isolates of *M. algicola* was isolated from marine algae (listed above) in intertidal zones in China, while *M. hyalinus* was isolated from soil and dung in Europe and North America [44,45].

*Microascus croci* (J.F.H. Beyma) Sand.-Den., Gené and Guarro, in Sandoval-Denis, Gené, Sutton, Cano-Lira, de Hoog, Decock and Guarro, Persoonia 36: 17 (2015).

Examined isolates: CHINA, Shandong Province, Qingdao city, from *Blidingia minima* from a gravels beach, May 2014, M.M. Wang and W. Li (WL02042).

Microascus gennadii M.M. Wang, W. Li, and L. Cai sp. nov., Figure 5.

Fungal names: FN 571624.

Etymology: named after the ellipsoidal conidia of this species.

Typus: CHINA, unknown location, from intertidal sediment, May 2014, X.M. Bian and W. Li (HMAS352503, holotype designated here, dried culture on SNA; culture ex-type CGMCC3.25344 = WL02353).

Sexual morph is not observed. Asexual morph on PDA and OA conidiophores are simple, straight, septate, branched or rarely unbranched, and hyaline. Conidiogenous cells

are solitary on aerial hyphae or in whorls of 2–3 on the apex of conidiophores, lageniform to ampulliform, straight or slightly curved, pale brown, and 9–14  $\times$  2–3.5  $\mu$ m. Conidia are in long chains, ellipsoidal, smooth to slightly rough, thick-walled, hyaline, and 4–8  $\times$  3–6  $\mu$ m.

Culture characteristics—colonies on PDA are 35–45 mm diam in 7 d at 25 °C, flat, felty to floccose, and pale grey near the centre, with a pale brown irregular margin; the reverse is pale brown near the centre and white near the margin. Colonies on OA are 35–40 mm diam in 7 d at 25 °C, margin regular, flat, and dark olive green, with a white regular margin; the reverse is dark olive green near the centre and white near the margin. Colonies on SNA are 30–40 mm diam in 7 d at 25 °C, flat, with scarce aerial mycelia, and pale olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin; the reverse is pale olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin; the reverse is pale olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin; the reverse is pale olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin; the reverse is pale olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin; the reverse is pale olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin.



**Figure 5.** Morphological characters of *Microascus gennadii* (from ex-type WL02353). (**a**–**f**): colonies on PDA, OA, and SNA after 7 d; (**g**–**j**): Conidiophores and conidiogenous cells; (**k**): Conidia in chains. Bars: (**g**–**k**) = 10 μm.

Other examined isolates: CHINA, unknown location, from intertidal sediment, May 2014, X.M. Bian and W. Li (WL06354); ibid. (WL06355).

Notes: phylogenetically, *Microascus gennadii* is closely related to *M. murinus* (Samson and Klopotek) Sand.-Den., Gené and Guarro (Figure 2), but differs by 241 bp in the four loci dataset. Morphologically, the two species are distinct in the shape and size of conidia (ellipsoidal,  $4-8 \times 3-6 \mu m$  in *M. gennadii* vs. cylindrical,  $4-6 \times 1.5-2 \mu m$  in *M. murinus*) [5].

*Microascus croci* (Samson and Klopotek) Sand.-Den., Gené and Guarro, in Sandoval-Denis, Gené, Sutton, Cano-Lira, de Hoog, Decock and Guarro, Persoonia 36: 21 (2015).

Examined isolates: CHINA, Shandong Province, Yantai city (120°42′07.50″ E, 37°48′41.22″ N), from the intertidal sediment of a gravel beach, June 2014, X.M. Bian and W. Li (WL03684); Qingdao city (120°11′42.05″ E, 36°3′45.58″ N), from the intertidal sediment of a gravel beach, August 2014, Y. Zheng, H.P. Yang and W. Li (WL05483).

*Microascus restrictus* Sand.-Den., Gené and Deanna A. Sutton, in Sandoval-Denis, Gené, Sutton, Cano-Lira, de Hoog, Decock and Guarro, Persoonia 36: 21 (2015).

Examined isolates: CHINA, Liaoning Province, Huludao city (120°47′33.34″ E, 40°36′14.56″ N), from the intertidal sediment of a sand beach, May 2014, X.M. Bian and W. Li (WL02392).

Microascus trigonosporus C.W. Emmons and B.O. Dodge, Mycologia 23(5): 317 (1931).

Examined isolates: CHINA, Shandong Province, Qingdao city, from *Cladophora* sp. from a sand beach, October 2010, Y.T. Peng, C.H. Feng and C.L. Li (WL00670); ibid., from *Ulva linza* from a sand beach, April 2014, M.M. Wang (WL01853); ibid., from *Gracilaria textorii* from sand beach, June 2014, M.M. Wang (WL01882); ibid., from the intertidal sediment of a sand beach, X.M. Bian and W. Li (WL02833).

*Microascus verrucosus* Sand.-Den., Gené and Cano, in Sandoval-Denis, Gené, Sutton, Cano-Lira, de Hoog, Decock and Guarro, Persoonia 36: 23 (2015).

Examined isolates: CHINA, Shandong Province, Dongying city (119°09'45.18" E, 37°45'34.86" N), from the intertidal sediment of a mudflat, January 2014, M.M. Wang (WL02828).

*Scedosporium* Sacc. ex Castell. and Chalm., Manual of Tropical Medicine (London): 1122 (1919).

*Scedosporium boydii* (Shear) Gilgado, Gené, Cano and Guarro, J. Clin. Microbiol. 46(2): 770 (2008).

Examined isolates: CHINA, Shandong Province, Qingdao city (120°19′06.25″ E, 36°03′34.13″ N), from the intertidal sediment of a sand beach, January 2014, X.M. Bian and W. Li (WL02794); Weihai city (122°10′13″E, 37°30′2″ N), from the intertidal sediment of a sand beach, November 2020, M.M. Wang and Y. Zheng (WL05602); Tianjin city (117°45′38″ E, 39°6′36.64″ N), from the intertidal sediment of a mudflat, April 2021, M.M. Wang and Y. Zheng (WL05951).

*Scedosporium ellipsosporium* M.M. Wang, W. Li and L. Cai sp. nov., Figure 6. FungalNames: FN 571625.



**Figure 6.** Morphological characters of *Scedosporium ellipsosporium* (from ex-type WL02370). (**a**–**f**): colonies on PDA, OA, and SNA after 7 d; (**g**,**h**): Conidiophores and conidiogenous cells; (**i**): Conidia. Bars: (**g**–**i**) = 10  $\mu$ m.

Etymology: referring to the shape of conidia, ellipsoidal.

Typus: CHINA, Guangdong Province, Shenzhen city  $(114^{\circ}20'45.63'' \text{ E}, 22^{\circ}36'01.03'' \text{ N})$ , from intertidal sediment of a gravel beach, May 2014, X.M. Bian and W. Li (HMAS352504, holotype designated here, dried culture on SNA; culture ex-type CGMCC3.25345 = WL02370).

Sexual morph is not observed. As exual morph on PDA and SNA conidiophores are solitary, often reduced to a single conidiogenous cell arising laterally from undifferentiated hypha, or stalked, bearing two or three conidiogenous cells at the top. Conidiogenous cells are annellidic, lateral or terminal, hyaline, smooth- and thin-walled, cylindrical to flask-shaped, and 10–40  $\times$  1.5–2.5 µm, with several, distinct annellations at the top with age. Conidia aseptate, solitary, arranged in slimy masses, hyaline, ellipsoidal, flat at the base, and 5–9  $\times$  3–5 µm.

Culture characteristics—colonies on PDA are 40–55 mm diam in 7 d at 25 °C, flat, felty to floccose, and olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin; the reverse is olive green near the centre, and white near the margin. Colonies on OA are 50–65 mm diam in 7 d at 25 °C, flat, and olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin; the reverse is olive green near the centre, and white near the margin. Colonies on SNA are 30–40 mm diam in 7 d at 25 °C, flat, with scarce aerial mycelia, and pale olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin; the reverse is pale olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin.

Other examined isolates: CHINA, Shandong Province, Qingdao city (120°19′09.12″ E, 36°03′38.64″ N), from intertidal sediment of a mudflat, June 2014, X.M. Bian and W. Li (WL02793).

Notes: phylogenetically, *Scedosporium ellipsosporium* is closely related to *S. dehoogii* Gilgado, Cano, Gené and Guarro (Figure 2), but differs by 42 bp in the ITS-*tub2* sequences. Morphologically, the two species could be distinguished in the colour, shape, and size of conidia (hyaline, ellipsoidal, and  $5-9 \times 3-5 \mu m$  in *S. ellipsosporium* vs. subhyaline or slightly grey, and usually ovate,  $5-8 \times 5-6 \mu m$  in *S. dehoogii* [46].

*Scedosporium hainanense* Zhi Y. Zhang, Y.F. Han and Z.Q. Liang, in Zhang, Shao, Li, Chen, Liang, Han, Huang and Liang, Microbiology Spectrum 9(2): e00867-21, 16 (2021).

Examined isolates: CHINA, Jiangsu Province, Yancheng city (119°52'32" E, 34°27'27" N), from intertidal sediment of a mudflat, April 2021, Z.Q. Zeng and C. Liu (WL05931).

*Scedosporium shenzhenensis* M.M. Wang, W. Li and L. Cai sp. nov., Figure 7. FungalNames: FN 571626.

Etymology: named after the location of the type specimen, Shenzhen.

Typus: CHINA, Guangdong Province, Shenzhen city (113°56′59.08″ E, 22°31′18.51″ N), from intertidal sediment of a gravel beach, May 2014, X.M. Bian and W. Li (HMAS352505, holotype designated here, dried culture on SNA; culture ex-type CGMCC3.25346 = WL02375).

Sexual morph is not observed. Asexual morph on PDA and SNA conidiophores are solitary, often reduced to a single conidiogenous cell arising laterally from undifferentiated hypha, or stalked, bearing two or three conidiogenous cells at the top. Conidiogenous cells are annellidic, lateral or terminal, hyaline, smooth and thin walled, cylindrical to flask-shaped, and 8–30 × 1.5–2.5  $\mu$ m, with several distinct annellations at the top with age. Conidia aseptate, solitary, arranged in slimy masses, hyaline, ellipsoidal, flat at the base, smooth to slightly rough, and 7–16 × 3.5–7  $\mu$ m.

Culture characteristics—colonies on PDA are 40–55 mm diam in 7 d at 25 °C, flat, felty to floccose, and pale olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin; the reverse is pale olive green near the centre and white near the margin. Colonies on OA are 50–65 mm diam in 7 d at 25 °C, flat and pale olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin; the reverse is pale olive green near the centre with white near the margin. Colonies on SNA are 30–40 mm diam in 7 d at 25 °C, flat, with scarce aerial mycelia and are pale olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin; the reverse is pale olive green near the centre are aerial mycelia and are pale olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin; the reverse is pale olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin; the reverse is pale olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin; the reverse is pale olive green near the centre, with a white regular margin.

Other examined isolates: CHINA, Guangdong Province, Shenzhen city (113°56′59.08″ E, 22°31′18.51″ N), from intertidal sediment of a gravels beach, May 2014, X.M. Bian and W. Li (WL06356); ibid. (WL06357).



**Figure 7.** Morphological characters of *Scedosporium shenzhenensis* (from ex-type WL02375). (**a**–**f**): colonies on PDA, OA, and SNA after 7 d; (**g**–**j**): Conidiophores and conidiogenous cells; (**k**): Conidia. Bars: (**g**–**k**) = 10  $\mu$ m.

Notes: Phylogenetically, *Scedosporium shenzhenensis* formed a distinct basal clade in *Scedosporium* (Figure 2). Considering the typical *Scedosporium* morphology of our isolates (Figure 8), we tentatively placed this species in the genus *Scedosporium*. Morphologically, *S. shenzhenensis* is similar to *S. aurantiacum* Gilgado, Cano, Gené and Guarro, *S. dehoogii*, *S. ellipsosporium* (Arx and Fassat.) McGinnis, A.A. Padhye and Ajello and *S. sphaerospermum* in the cylindrical to flask-shaped conidiogenous cells, but differs in the shape and size of conidia (ellipsoidal, 7–16 × 3.5–7 µm in *S. shenzhenensis* vs. obovoid, 6–10 × 3–5 µm in *S. aurantiacum*, obovoid, 5–8 × 5–6 µm in *S. dehoogii*, ellipsoidal, 5–9 × 3–5 µm in *S. ellipsosporium* and globose to ellipsoidal, 6–8 × 4–5 µm in *S. sphaerospermum*) [46–48].

*Scedosporium sphaerospermum* M.M. Wang, W. Li and L. Cai sp. nov., Figure 8. FungalNames: FN 571627.

Etymology: referring to the globose shape of conidia.

Typus: CHINA, Shandong Province, Qingdao city (120°19'09.12" E, 36°03'38.64" N), from intertidal sediment of a mudflat, June 2014, X.M. Bian and W. Li (HMAS352506, holo-type designated here, dried culture on SNA; culture ex-type CGMCC3.25347 = WL02796).

Sexual morph is not observed. As exual morph on PDA and SNA conidiophores are solitary, sometimes reduced to a single conidiogenous cell arising laterally from undifferentiated hyphae. Conidiogenous cells are annellidic, lateral or terminal, hyaline, smooth and thin walled, cylindrical to flask-shaped, and 2–20  $\times$  1.5–2.5 µm, with several, distinct annellations at the top with the age. Conidia as eptate, solitary, arranged in slimy masses, hyaline to pale brown, globose to ellipsoidal, sometimes elliptic to oblong obovate, flat at the base, and 6–8  $\times$  4–5 µm.

Culture characteristics—colonies on PDA are 15–25 mm diam in 7 d at 25  $^{\circ}$ C, flat, felty to floccose, and pale grey near the centre, with a white regular margin; the reverse is

yellowish brown near the centre and white near the margin. Colonies on OA are 20–35 mm diam in 7 d at 25 °C, flat, and pale grey near the centre, with a white regular margin; the reverse is a pale greyish yellow near the centre and white near the margin. Colonies on SNA are 10–20 mm diam in 7 d at 25 °C, flat, with scarce aerial mycelia, and white; the reverse is white.



**Figure 8.** Morphological characters of *Scedosporium sphaerospermum* (from ex-type WL02796). (**a**–**f**): colonies on PDA, OA, and SNA after 7d; (**g**–**i**): Conidiophores and conidiogenous cells; (**j**): Conidia. Bars: (**g**–**j**) = 10  $\mu$ m.

Other examined isolates: CHINA, Shandong Province, Qingdao city (120°19′09.12″ E, 36°03′38.64″ N), from intertidal sediment of a mudflat, June 2014, X.M. Bian and W. Li (WL06360); ibid. (WL06361).

Notes: phylogenetically, *S. sphaerospermum* forms a sister clade to *S. angustum* and *S. fusoideum* (Arx) McGinnis, A.A. Padhye and Ajello in the genus *Scedosporium* (Figure 2), and differs from the latter species by 36 bp and 129 bp in the LSU-ITS-*tef1-tub2* dataset, respectively. Morphologically, the three species could be distinguished by the characters of the sexual morph (absence in *S. sphaerospermum*, ascomata spherical, nonostiolate, dark brown, 100–150  $\mu$ m, with allipsoidal or nearly cylindrical with rounded ends ascospores in *S. angustum* and ascomata submerged or semi-immersed, spherical or nearly so, non-ostiolate, dark brown, 50–100  $\mu$ m, with broadly fusiform, and yellowish or straw-coloured ascospores in *S. fusoideum*), and shape and size of conidia (globose to ellipsoidal, 6–8 × 4–5  $\mu$ m *S. sphaerospermum* vs. clavate or nearly cylindrical, 5–10 × 3–4.5  $\mu$ m in *S. angustum* and clavate, 6–10 × 3.5–5  $\mu$ m in *S. fusoideum*) [49].

Scopulariopsis Bainier, Bull. Soc. mycol. Fr. 23(2): 98 (1907).

*Scopulariopsis brevicaulis* (Sacc.) Bainier [as 'brevicaule'], Bull. Soc. mycol. Fr. 23(2): 99 (1907).

Examined isolates: CHINA, Shandong Province, Qingdao city, from *Ulva pertusa* from a sand beach, October 2011, Y.T. Peng, C.H. Feng, and C.L. Li (WL00657); Fujian Province,

Ningde city (120°03′39.98″ E, 26°52′51.89″ N), from intertidal sediment of a mudflat, June 2014, X.M. Bian and W. Li (WL03882).

Wardomyces F.T. Brooks and Hansf., Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 8(3): 137 (1923).

Wardomyces inflatus (Marchal) Hennebert, Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 51(5): 755 (1968).

Examined isolates: CHINA, Shandong Province, Weihai city, from intertidal sediment of a sand beach, June 2010, K.M. Sun and W. Li (WL00510); Liaoning Province, Huludao city (120°47′33.34″ E, 40°36′14.56″ N), from intertidal sediment of a sand beach, May 2014, X.M. Bian and W. Li (WL02318).

#### 4. Discussion

A systemic survey of fungal resources in Chinese intertidal areas has been implemented by us since 2007, and more than 3000 fungal strains were successfully isolated from thousands of marine algae and sediment samples. Based on morphological and phylogenetic analyses, approximately 600 species have been identified from these fungal strains (unpublished data). Using brine shrimp, agricultural pests, and plant pathogens as targets, we have screened the bioactivities of 181 fungal strains and found more than half of them displayed marked insecticidal and antifungal activities [19]. These results are consistent with the notion that the intertidal zone is an ideal shelter for hosting numerous fungi that can produce diverse metabolic activities [19,50].

Most of the microascaceous fungi have been previously reported as saprobes in seawater and sediments, and on mangrove plants and marine algae [8–10]. They can also act as endophytes on marine algae and seaweeds or be isolated from the inner tissue of marine animals such as marine sponges [7–9,51] (Table 2). In this study, 18 microascaceous species (48 isolates) were retrieved from Chinese intertidal areas. According to the catalogue shown in Table 2, the number of marine microascaceous species increased from 22 to 33 under our efforts. The newly increased species include six new species (*Gamisa sedimenticola, Microascus algicola, M. gennadii, Scedosporium ellipsosporium, S. shenzhenensis,* and *S. sphaerospermum*) and five new records for the marine environment (*M. murinus, M. restrictus, M. verrucosus, S. hainanense,* and *Wardomyces inflatus*).

Although only a small proportion of microascaceous fungi were recovered (~3% of the total fungal species recovered), we observed a relatively wide distribution of these fungi across different geographic regions. This distribution ranges from the higher-latitude regions, such as the Liaoning and Hebei provinces, to the lower regions, such as Fujian and Guangdong provinces. Furthermore, diverse substrates were also found to host microascaceous fungi in this study, such as several marine algae and sediments from mudflats, sandy beaches, and gravel beaches. These findings are consistent with our previous investigation using a molecular approach [14] and research based on culturable methods [8,52–55], This also suggests that microascaceous fungi probably possess a wide geographical distribution, diverse habitats/hosts, and multiple ecological functions in the ocean.

Altogether, our results broaden the information related to the diversity, distribution, and habitat of microascaceous fungi in the marine environment. However, the potential ecological roles and bioactivities of these microascaceous fungi are currently unknown and need further investigation.

Known Habitats/Hosts Species Country/Region/Location **Recorded Database/Reference** Acaulium acremonium na na MF unidentified brown algae, green Cephalotrichum microsporum China; Europe WoRMS; this study algae, and red algae WoRMS; this study China; Europe Ce. nanum Intertidal sediment Ce. purpureofuscum Marine algae Sargassum canfusum This study China MF and WoRMS Ce. stemonitis Europe na Gamsia sedimenticola \* China Intertidal sediment This study

Table 2. Records of Microascaceae in the marine environment.

Sc. Halophilica

Wardomyces anomalus

Yunnania carbonaria

Sc. Hibernica

W. inflatus+

na

na

na

China

Hawaiian

Species	Country/Region/Location	Known Habitats/Hosts	Recorded Database/Reference
Microascus algicola *	China	Unidentified brown algae; marine algae Ahnfeltiopsis flabelliformis, Colpomenia sinuosa, Grateloupia filicina	This study
M. croci#	China; Hawaiian, Line, and Phoenix Islands	Marine algae <i>Blidingia minima;</i> coastal sands	[52]; This study
M. gennadii *	China	Intertidal sediment	This study
M. murinus +#	China	Intertidal sediment	This study
M. paisii	na	na	MF
M. restrictus +#	China	Intertidal sediment	This study
M. senegalensis	Senegal	Mangrove soil	[53]
M. trigonosporus	China, Europe; Hawaiian, Line, and Phoenix Islands	Marine algae <i>Cladophora</i> sp., <i>Ulva linza, Gracilaria textorii;</i> intertidal sediment; coastal sand	MF and WoRMS; [52]
M. verrucosus +#	China	Intertidal sediment	This study
Petriella sordida	na	na	MF
Scedosporium boydii	China	Intertidal sediment	MF; this study
S. dehoogii	China	Coastal sediment	[8]
S. ellipsosporium *	China	Intertidal sediment	This study
S. hainanense +	China	Intertidal sediment	This study
S. marinum	India	Decaying woody stem of Suaeda monoica	[54]
S. shenzhenensis *	China	Intertidal sediment	This study
S. sphaerospermum *	China	Intertidal sediment	This study
Scopulariopsis brevicaulis	China, Europe, Croatia;	Marine sponge	MF and WoRMS; [52]; This study
	Hawaiian, Line, and	<i>Tethya aurantium;</i> marine	
	Phoenix Islands	algae <i>Ulva pertusa;</i> intertidal sediment; coastal sands	
Sc. Brumptii	Hawaiian, Line, and Phoenix Islands	Coastal sands	MF; [52]
Sc. Candida	Egypt	Salt marshes	MF; [55]
Sc. Coprophila	Hawaiian, Line, and Phoenix Islands	Coastal seawater and sands	[52]
Sc. Fusca	Europe	na	WoRMS

## Table 2. Cont.

Notes: new species described in this study are marked as "\*", new records for the marine environment with "+", and new records for China with "#". MS = the Marine Fungi database (https://www.marinefungi.org/ (accessed on 30 October 2023)), WoRMS = the World Register of Marine Species database (https://www.marinespecies.org/ (accessed on 30 October 2023)), na = no data available.

MF and WoRMS

MF

MF

[52]

This study

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.-M.W., W.L. and L.C.; methodology, M.-M.W., S.-Y.Y., Q.L. and Y.-H.T.; software, M.-M.W.; investigation, M.-M.W., Y.Z. and H.-H.M.; resources, M.-M.W., Y.Z. and H.-H.M.; data curation, M.-M.W.; writing—original draft preparation, M.-M.W.; writing—review and editing, W.L. and L.C.; visualization, M.-M.W.; supervision, W.L. and L.C.; project administration, M.-M.W.; funding acquisition, W.L. and L.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the Science and Technology Fundamental Resources Investigation Program (Grant No. 2019FY100700), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32100005), and the STU Scientific Research Initiation Grant (NTF23002, NTF23018T).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

na

na

na

Intertidal sediment

Coastal seawater

**Data Availability Statement:** All sequences generated in this study were submitted to GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov, accessed on 25 December 2023).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- 1. Luttrell, E.S. Taxonomy of the Pyrenomycetes. Univ. Mo. Stud. 1951, 24, 1–121.
- 2. Malloch, D. New concepts in the Microascaceae illustrated by two new species. Mycologia 1970, 62, 727–740. [CrossRef]
- 3. Zhang, Z.F.; Zhou, S.Y.; Eurwilaichitr, L.; Ingsriswang, S.; Raza, M.; Chen, Q.; Zhao, P.; Liu, F.; Cai, L. Culturable mycobiota from Karst caves in China II, with descriptions of 33 new species. *Fungal Divers* **2021**, *106*, 29–126. [CrossRef]
- 4. Wijayawardene, N.N.; Hyde, K.D.; Dai, D.Q.; Sánchez-García, M.; Goto, B.; Saxena, R.; Erdoğdu, M.; Selçuk, F.; Rajeshkumar, K.; Aptroot, A.; et al. Outline of Fungi and fungus-like taxa—2021. *Mycosphere* **2022**, *13*, 53–453. [CrossRef]
- Sandoval-Denis, M.; Guarro, J.; Cano-Lira, J.F.; Sutton, D.; Wiederhold, N.; de Hoog, G.; Abbott, S.; Decock, C.; Sigler, L.; Gené, J. Phylogeny and taxonomic revision of *Microascaceae* with emphasis on synnematous fungi. *Stud. Mycol* 2016, *83*, 193–233. [CrossRef]
- 6. Tubaki, K. An undescribed halophilic species of Scopulariopsis. Trans Mycol Soc Jpn. 1973, 14, 367–369.
- 7. Clipson, N.; Landy, E.; Otte, M. European register of marine species: A check-list of the marine species in Europe and a bibliography of guides to their identification. *Collect. Patrim. Nat.* **2001**, *50*, 15–19.
- 8. Feng, C.H.; Li, C.L.; Peng, Y.T.; Sun, K.; Li, W. A preliminary report of hyphomycetes from sediment of southern Yellow Sea. *Mycosystema* **2013**, *32*, 35–41.
- 9. Jones, E.B.G.; Suetrong, S.; Sakayaroj, J.; Bahkali, A.H.; Abdel-Wahab, M.A.; Boekhout, T.; Pang, K.-L. Classification of marine *Ascomycota, Basidiomycota, Blastocladiomycota* and *Chytridiomycota. Fungal Divers* **2015**, *73*, 1–72. [CrossRef]
- 10. Jones, E.B.G.; Pang, K.L.; Abdel-Wahab, M.A.; Scholz, B.; Hyde, K.D.; Boekhout, T.; Ebel, R.; Rateb, M.E.; Henderson, L.; Sakayaroj, J.; et al. An online resource for marine fungi. *Fungal Divers* **2019**, *96*, 347–433. [CrossRef]
- 11. Li, W.; Wang, M.M.; Wang, X.G.; Cheng, X.L.; Guo, J.J.; Bian, X.M.; Cai, L. Fungal communities in sediments of subtropical Chinese seas as estimated by DNA metabarcoding. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 26528. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, W.; Wang, M.M.; Pan, H.Q.; Burgaud, G.; Liang, S.; Guo, J.; Luo, T.; Li, Z.; Zhang, S.; Cai, L. Highlighting patterns of fungal diversity and composition shaped by ocean currents using the East China Sea as a model. *Mol. Ecol.* 2018, 27, 564–576. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Wang, M.M.; Ma, Y.Y.; Cai, L.; Tedersoo, L.; Bahram, M.; Burgaud, G.; Long, X.; Zhang, S.; Li, W. Seasonal dynamics of mycoplankton in the Yellow Sea reflect the combined effect of riverine inputs and hydrographic conditions. *Mol. Ecol.* **2021**, *30*, 3624–3637. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Li, W.; Wang, M.M.; Bian, X.M.; Guo, J.; Cai, L. A high-level fungal diversity in the intertidal sediment of Chinese Seas presents the spatial variation of community composition. *Front. Microbiol.* **2016**, *7*, 2098. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, W.; Wang, M.M.; Burgaud, G.; Yu, H.; Cai, L. Fungal community composition and potential depth-related driving factors impacting distribution pattern and trophic modes from epi- to abyssopelagic zones of the Western Pacific Ocean. *Microb. Ecol.* 2019, 78, 820–831. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wong Chin, J.M.; Puchooa, D.; Bahorun, T.; Jeewon, R. Antimicrobial properties of marine fungi from sponges and brown algae of Mauritius. *Mycology* 2021, 22, 231–244. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Mou, X.F.; Liu, X.; Xu, R.F.; Wei, M.Y.; Fang, Y.W.; Shao, C.L. Scopuquinolone B, a new monoterpenoid dihydroquinolin-2(1H)-one isolated from the coral-derived *Scopulariopsis* sp. Fungus. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2018**, *32*, 773–776. [CrossRef]
- 18. Kramer, A.; Beck, H.C.; Kumar, A.; Kristensen, L.P.; Imhoff, J.F.; Labes, A. Proteomic analysis of anti-cancerous Scopularide production by a marine *Microascus brevicaulis* strain and its UV mutant. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0140047. [CrossRef]
- 19. Wang, X.F.; Ji, G.X.; Cun, J.F.; Xu, P.J.; Wang, X.W.; Ren, G.W.; Li, W. Screening of insecticidal and antifungal activities of the culturable fungi isolated from the intertidal zones of Qingdao, China. *J. Fungi* **2022**, *8*, 1240. [CrossRef]
- 20. Zeng, C.K. Seaweeds in Yellow Sea and Bohai Sea of China; Science Press: Beijing, China, 2009; 453p.
- 21. Zhang, Z.F.; Liu, F.; Zhou, X.; Liu, X.; Liu, S.; Cai, L. Culturable mycobiota from Karst caves in China, with descriptions of 20 new species. *Persoonia* **2017**, *39*, 1–31. [CrossRef]
- Suryanarayanan, T.S.; Venkatachalam, A.; Thirunavukkarasu, N.; Ravishankar, J.P.; Doble, M.; Geetha, V. Internal mycobiota of marine macroalgae from the Tamilnadu coast: Distribution, diversity and biotechnological potential. *Bot. Mar.* 2010, *53*, 457–468. [CrossRef]
- 23. Zhang, K.; Su, Y.Y.; Cai, L. An optimized protocol of single spore isolation for fungi. *Cryptogam. Mycol.* **2013**, *34*, 349–356. [CrossRef]
- 24. Nirenberg, H.I. Untersuchungen über die morphologische und biologische differenzierung in der *Fusarium*-Sektion Liseola. *Mitteilungen Biol. Bundesanst. Land Forstwirtsch.* **1976**, *169*, 1–117.
- 25. Kornerup, A.; Wanscher, J.H. Methuen Handbook of Colour, 3rd ed.; Eyre Methuen: London, UK, 1978.
- Guo, L.D.; Hyde, K.D.; Liew, E.C.Y. Identification of endophytic fungi from *Livistona chinensis* based on morphology and rDNA sequences. *New Phytol.* 2000, 147, 617–630. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 27. Vilgalys, R.; Hester, M. Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically amplified ribosomal DNA from several *Cryptococcus* species. *J. Bacteriol.* **1990**, 172, 4238–4246. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- White, T.J.; Bruns, T.; Lee, S.; Taylor, J. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In PCR Protocols: A Guide to the Methods and Applications; Innis, M.A., Gelfand, D.H., Sninsky, J.J., White, T.J., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA, 1990; pp. 315–322.
- 29. Rehner, S.A.; Buckley, E.A. *Beauveria* phylogeny inferred from nuclear ITS and *EF1-α* sequences: Evidence for cryptic diversification and links to *Cordyceps* teleomorphs. *Mycologia* **2005**, *97*, 84–98. [CrossRef]
- 30. Glass, N.L.; Donaldson, G.C. Development of primer sets designed for use with the PCR to amplify conserved genes from filamentous ascomycetes. *Appl. Env. Microbiol* **1995**, *61*, 1323–1330. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. Katoh, K.; Rozewicki, J.; Yamada, K.D. MAFFT online service: Multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Brief Bioinform.* **2017**, *20*, 1160–1166. [CrossRef]
- 32. Posada, D. jModelTest: Phylogenetic ModelAveraging. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2008, 25, 1253-1256. [CrossRef]
- 33. Darriba, D.; Taboada, G.L.; Doallo, R.; Posada, D. jModelTest 2: More models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nat Methods* **2012**, *9*, 772. [CrossRef]
- 34. Huelsenbeck, J.P.; Ronquist, F. MrBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 2001, 17, 754–755. [CrossRef]
- 35. Wang, M.M.; Chen, Q.; Diao, Y.Z.; Duan, W.; Cai, L. *Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti* complex from China. *Persoonia* **2019**, *43*, 70–89. [CrossRef]
- Ronquist, F.; Teslenko, M.; van der Mark, P.; Ayres, D.L.; Darling, A.; Höhna, S.; Larget, B.; Liu, L.; Suchard, M.A.; Huelsenbeck, J.P. MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Syst. Biol.* 2012, 61, 539–542. [CrossRef]
- 37. Nylander, J.A.A.; Wilgenbusch, J.C.; Warren, D.L.; Swofford, D.L. AWTY (are we there yet?): A system for graphical exploration of MCMC convergence in Bayesian phylogenetics. *Bioinformatics* **2008**, *24*, 581–583. [CrossRef]
- Guindon, S.; Dufayard, J.F.; Lefort, V.; Anisimova, M.; Hordijk, W.; Gascuel, O. New algorithms and methods to estimate Maximum-Likelihood phylogenies: Assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst. Biol. 2010, 59, 307–321. [CrossRef]
- 39. Morelet, M. Micromycètes du var et d'ailleurs (2me Note). Ann. Société Sci. Nat. D'archéologie Toulon Var. 1969, 21, 104–106.
- 40. Sandoval-Denis, M.; Gené, J.; Sutton, D.A.; Cano-Lira, J.; de Hoog, G.; Decock, C.; Wiederhold, N.; Guarro, J. Redefining *Microascus, Scopulariopsis* and allied genera. *Persoonia* **2016**, *36*, 1–36. [CrossRef]
- 41. von Arx, J.A. The Genera of Fungi Sporulating in Pure Culture, 3rd ed.; V.J. Cramer: Vaduz, Liechtenstein, 1981; pp. 1–424. [CrossRef]
- 42. Crous, P.W.; Wingfield, M.J.; Burgess, T.I.; Carnegie, A.; Hardy, G.; Smith, D.; Summerell, B.; Cano-Lira, J.; Guarro, J.; Houbraken, J.; et al. Fungal Planet description sheets: 625-715. *Persoonia* 2017, *39*, 270–467. [CrossRef]
- Malloch, D. Wardomyces aggregatus sp. nov. and its possible relationship to Gymnodochium fimicolum. Can. J. Bot. 1970, 48, 883–885. [CrossRef]
- 44. Malloch, D.; Cain, R.F. The genus Kernia. Can. J. Bot. 1971, 49, 855–867. [CrossRef]
- 45. Guarro, J.; Gené, J.; Stchigel, A.M.; Figueras, M.J. Atlas of soil ascomycetes. In *CBS Biodiversity Series*; CBS-KNAW Fungal Biodiversity Centre: Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2012.
- Gilgado, F.; Cano, J.; Gené, J.; Sutton, D.A.; Guarro, J. Molecular and phenotypic data supporting distinct species statuses for Scedosporium apiospermum and Pseudallescheria boydii and the proposed new species Scedosporium dehoogii. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2008, 46, 766–771. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Gilgado, F.; Cano, J.; Gené, J.; Guarro, J. Molecular phylogeny of the *Pseudallescheria boydii* species complex: Proposal of two new species. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2005, 43, 4930–4942. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Ramsperger, M.; Duan, S.Y.; Sorrell, T.C.; Meyer, W.; Chen, S.C.A. The genus *Scedosporium* and *Pseudallescheria*: Current challenges in laboratory diagnosis. *Curr. Clin. Micro. Rpt.* **2014**, *1*, 27–36. [CrossRef]
- 49. von Arx, J.A. The genera Petriellidium and Pithoascus (Microascaceae). Persoonia 1973, 7, 367–375.
- 50. Burgaud, G.; Edgcomb, V.P.; Hassett, B.T.; Kumar, A.; Li, W.; Mara, P.; Peng, X.; Philippe, A.; Phule, P.; Prado, S.; et al. Marine fungi. In *The Marine Microbiome*; Stal, L.J., Cretoiu, M.S., Eds.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2022; Volume 2, pp. 243–295.
- Yu, Z.G.; Lang, G.; Kajahn, I.; Schmaljohann, R.; Imhoff, J.F. Scopularides A and B, cyclodepsipeptides from a marine spongederived fungus, *Scopulariopsis brevicaulis*. J. Nat. Prod. 2008, 71, 1052–1054. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Steele, C.W. Fungus populations in marine waters and coastal sands of the Hawaiian Line, and Phenix Islands. *Pac. Sci.* **1967**, *21*, 317–331.
- 53. von Arx, J.A. Revision of *Microascus* with the description of a new species. *Persoonia* 1975, 8, 191–197.
- Jayawardena, R.S.; Hyde, K.D.; Wang, S.; Sun, Y.-R.; Suwannarach, N.; Sysouphanthong, P.; Abdel-Wahab, M.A.; Abdel-Aziz, F.A.; Abeywickrama, P.D.; Abreu, V.P.; et al. Fungal diversity notes 1512–1610: Taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions on genera and species of fungal taxa. *Fungal Divers* 2022, *117*, 1–272. [CrossRef]
- Abdel-Fattah, H.M.; Moubasher, A.H.; Abdel-Hafez, S.I. Studies on mycoflora of salt marshes in Egypt. I. Sugar Fungi. Mycopathologia 1977, 61, 19–26. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.