

Supplementary Material

Table S1. Scoring system of clinical evaluation

| CLINICAL EVALUATION  | Score 0   | Score 1           | Score 2            | Score 3   |
|--|---|-------------------|--------------------|---|
| Skin thickening  | measured with a tuberculin skin testing ruler at the central part of the incision, in mm              |                   |                    |   |
| Erythema   | width of skin redness at the central part of the incision, measured with an electronic caliper, in mm |                   |                    |   |
| Scar width   | measured with an electronic caliper, in the central part of the incision, in mm                       |                   |                    |   |
| Abscessation or inflammation   | absence   | mild inflammation | 1-2 microabscesses | intense inflammation, or more than 3 microabscesses |
| Exudate  | absence   | serosanguineous   | seropurulent       | purulent  |
| Comedones  | absence   | 1-3               | 4-6                | more than 7   |
| Hyperpigmentation of the wound area, compared with the adjacent skin color | absence   | mild              | moderate           | intense   |
| Lack of hair regrowth  | none  | light             | mild               | severe  |
| Suture loss  | number of sutures removed   |                   |                    |   |
| Wound dehiscence   | Length in cm  |                   |                    |   |
| Suture marks   | absence   | 1-2 marks         | 3-6 marks          | more than 7   |

**Table T2.** Scoring system of histological evaluation

| HISTOLOGICAL EVALUATION               | Score 0  | Score 1   | Score 2   | Score 3   |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Necrosis [1]                          | none identified  | few scattered areas   | multiple focal dense areas  | necrosis present throughout the slide           |
| Epithelial gap [2]                    |  | mm  |   |   |
| Oedema [4]                            | absence of oedema, normal,   | slight separation of cells and collagen from each other in the wound tissue by non-stained or poorly stained acellular material | separation of approximately 30 to 50 µm by this acellular material, | separation of > 50 µm)                          |
| Inflammation [3]                      | <3 cells/field evaluated by scoring neutrophils, eosinophils, macrophages, lymphocytes, plasma cells, and mast cells detected in 10 high power fields (HPF) (400x) | 3-10 cells/field  | 11-30 cells/field   | >31 cells/field                                 |
| Presence of suture [2]                | absence of suture material   | presence of a small part of the suture material,  | presence of large part of the suture material,                      | presence of the entire suture material          |
| Tissue reaction around the suture [3] | 0-2 cell layers around suture tract  | 3-5 cell layers around suture tract   | 6-10 cell layers around suture tract                                | >10 cell layers around suture tract             |
| Epithelial thickness [3]              | as a percent proportion of normal epithelial thickness as was measured at the edge of the sample   |   |   |   |
| Scar width [2]                        |  | mm  |   |   |
| Collagen synthesis [1, 4]             | no collagen  | scant collagen bundles slightly separating fibroblasts  | dense accumulations of collagen between fibroblasts                 | extensive separation of fibroblasts by collagen |
| Presence of fibroblasts [1, 4]        | <3 fibroblasts/field 400x  | 3-10 fibroblasts/field 400x   | 11-30 fibroblasts/field 400x  | >31 fibroblasts/field 400x                      |
| Angiogenesis [1,4]                    | <3 capillary buds/field 400x   | 3-10 capillary buds/field 400x  | 11-30 capillary buds/field 400x                                     | >31 capillary buds/field 400x                   |

[1] Winkler, J.T.; Swaim, S.F.; Sartin, E.A.; Henderson, R.A.; Welch, J.A. The effect of a porcine-derived small intestinal submucosa product on wounds with exposed bone in dogs. *Vet Surg* **2002**, *31*, 541-551, doi:10.1053/jvet.2002.34669.

[2] Gouletsou, P.G.; Prassinos, N.N.; Papazoglou, L.G.; Kostoulas, P.; Galatos, A.D. Comparison of continuous intradermal with simple interrupted suture pattern: an experimental study in dogs. *Top Companion Anim Med* **2020**, *41*, 100454, doi:10.1016/j.tcam.2020.100454.

[3] Kirpensteijn, J.; Maarschalkerweerd, R.J.; Koeman, J.P.; Kooistra, H.S.; van Sluijs, F.J. Comparison of two suture materials for intradermal skin closure in dogs. *Vet Q* **1997**, *19*, 20-22, doi:10.1080/01652176.1997.9694732.

[4] Gillette, R.L.; Swaim, S.F.; Sartin, E.A.; Bradley, D.M.; Coolman, S.L. Effects of a bioactive glass on healing of closed skin wounds in dogs. *Am J Vet Res* **2001**, *62*, 1149-1153, doi:10.2460/ajvr.2001.62.1149.