

## **Supplemental data**

### **Effect of production technique on Pilsner type Non-alcoholic beer (NAB) flavor**

**Nils Rettberg<sup>±\*</sup>, Scott Lafontaine<sup>±\*</sup>, Christian Schubert, Johanna Dennenlöhr, Laura Knoke,  
Patricia Diniz-Fischer, Johannes Fuchs, and Sarah Thörner**

Research Institute for Beer and Beverage Analysis, Versuchs– und Lehranstalt für Brauerei in Berlin  
(VLB) e.V., Seestr. 13, 13353 Berlin, Germany

<sup>±</sup>NR and SL contributed to this work equally

\*Correspondence: NR, n.rettberg@vlb-berlin.org; Tel.: +49 30 45080106;

SL, scott.r.lafontaine@gmail.com; Tel.: +49 176 63636721

#### ***Table of contents:***

Table S1: Additional physiochemical analysis results, Table S2: Concentrations of acetaldehyde, higher alcohols, and esters (mg/L) as well as the concentration of 3-MBT (ng/L), Table S3: Concentration of staling aldehydes and ethyl nicotinate (µg/L), Table S4: Concentrations of hop derived aroma compounds, ethyl esters and DMS (µg/L), Figure S1. Ballot used for modified descriptive analysis., Table S5. Mixed model analysis of variance on the sensory attributes, Table S6: Least square mean values sensory terms sorted by overall harmony.

**Table S1:** Additional nonvolatile analysis results

Samples	Treatment	Fructose	Glucose	Sucrose	Maltose	Maltotriose	Glycerol	Protein	Dextrins	Fermentable Carbohydrates	Foam (SKZ)
		Sug1	Sug2	Sug3	Sug4	Sug5	GLY				
C1	COM	1.08	1.83	0.10	12.00	2.82	1.07	0.51	2.15	1.77	104.00
C2	COM	2.45	6.30	0.10	28.32	7.65	0.07	0.42	2.02	4.43	123.00
C3	COM	2.04	3.88	0.10	21.86	7.82	0.53	0.32	0.70	3.60	111.00
C4	COM	2.37	3.49	0.10	21.29	7.34	0.21	0.51	1.94	3.45	109.00
C5	COM	2.06	2.92	0.10	16.21	6.61	0.19	0.50	2.26	2.78	104.00
C6	COM	2.07	5.14	0.10	23.11	6.84	0.19	0.45	1.86	3.72	121.00
C7	COM	1.68	3.47	0.10	20.55	5.30	0.61	0.30	1.15	3.09	114.00
C8	COM	1.42	3.28	0.10	16.95	5.73	0.78	0.42	1.29	2.74	108.00
C9	COM	2.54	5.14	0.10	28.12	12.79	0.22	0.37	1.08	4.85	106.00
M1	M	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.40	0.20	0.55	0.35	0.94	0.10	105.00
MI1	MI	1.56	1.07	0.10	34.17	8.80	0.23	0.35	1.31	4.50	112.00
MI2	MI	0.47	0.10	2.83	33.11	12.69	0.15	0.30	1.71	4.98	120.00
RF1	RF	1.78	3.31	0.10	24.78	6.57	0.18	0.24	1.72	3.64	101.00
RF2	RF	2.58	6.50	0.10	29.70	7.91	0.19	0.54	1.97	4.69	118.00
RF3	RF	2.46	6.26	0.10	31.87	8.30	0.16	0.48	2.42	4.88	115.00
RF4	RF	2.19	5.11	0.10	29.26	8.65	0.27	0.45	2.34	4.55	113.00
V1	VAC	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.40	0.20	1.48	0.41	2.71	0.10	110.00
V2	VAC	3.21	7.39	0.10	33.24	11.21	0.50	0.44	2.22	5.49	108.00
V3	VAC	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.40	0.40	1.29	0.46	1.20	0.10	102.00
V4	VAC	0.10	0.10	0.10	7.21	4.25	1.67	0.52	1.67	1.16	107.00
%RSD		0.7%	0.9%	3.6%	2.0%	1.5%	1.0%	< 0.5%	< 0.5 <sup>#</sup>	1.1 <sup>%</sup>	1.1 <sup>\$</sup>

The concentration of the individual sugars, glycerol, as well as the sum of fermentable carbohydrates is given in mg/L, while dextrins and proteins are given in g/100 mL. For analytes that were present in concentrations below the LOQ of the respective method of analysis values were by 1/3 of the LOQ prior to running statistical analysis. In this table, these values appear in grey. Concentrations/ values are the calculated means of duplicate measurements and not rounded.

<sup>%</sup>Measurement precision based on relative standard deviation (RSD or %RSD) from 6-fold repeat analysis of sample C8

<sup>\$</sup>Measurement precision based on relative standard deviation (RSD or %RSD) from 6-fold repeat analysis of a reference lager beer with the reported mean as follows: foam (SKZ), 91.

<sup>#</sup>Measurement precision based on relative standard deviation (RSD or %RSD) from 6-fold repeat analysis of a reference solution with the reported mean as follows: dextrins (g/100mL), 0.4.

**Table S2:** Concentrations of acetaldehyde, higher alcohols, and esters (mg/L) as well as the concentration of 3-MBT (ng/L).

Samples	Treatment	Acetaldehyde		Ethyl acetate		1-Propanol		Isobutanol		Isoamyl acetate		2-Methyl-1-Butanol		3-Methyl-1-Butanol		Phenethyl acetate		Phenyl ethanol		3-methyl-2-butene-1-thiol	
		A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	A6	A7	A8	A9	3MBT										
C1	COM	1.75	4.11	0.17	0.33	2.27	3.50	31.80	1.12	19.64	9.80										
C2	COM	0.33	0.17	0.17	0.33	0.17	0.33	1.09	0.03	0.33	7.50										
C3	COM	1.41	0.17	0.17	0.33	0.17	0.33	0.33	0.03	7.39	7.00										
C4	COM	2.32	0.60	2.15	1.18	0.17	0.33	3.71	0.03	1.57	4.50										
C5	COM	1.78	0.43	1.69	1.93	0.17	0.33	3.49	0.03	1.55	4.90										
C6	COM	1.38	1.02	1.64	1.47	0.17	1.70	7.23	0.03	4.32	4.60										
C7	COM	1.37	0.17	0.58	0.33	0.17	0.33	1.13	0.03	10.43	2.30										
C8	COM	1.18	0.55	1.47	0.68	0.17	0.33	3.63	0.03	10.92	3.80										
C9	COM	0.33	0.55	24.29	1.78	0.17	1.32	3.87	0.03	3.55	2.70										
M1	M	0.33	1.21	0.85	2.35	0.17	3.52	18.13	0.16	7.81	4.40										
MI1	MI	1.93	0.46	1.59	1.85	0.17	0.33	5.69	0.03	4.92	4.30										
MI2	MI	0.33	0.17	4.56	1.34	0.17	1.02	5.61	0.03	1.36	5.60										
RF1	RF	1.94	0.51	1.28	0.33	0.17	0.33	5.12	0.03	0.33	5.00										
RF2	RF	1.38	0.17	0.75	0.33	0.17	0.33	1.10	0.03	0.33	12.40										
RF3	RF	2.95	0.65	0.69	0.33	0.17	0.33	1.75	0.03	1.04	3.80										
RF4	RF	1.77	0.17	1.77	0.33	0.17	0.33	4.93	0.03	1.36	2.40										
V1	VAC	1.48	0.17	0.82	0.33	0.17	0.33	0.33	0.11	61.90	8.00										
V2	VAC	0.33	0.17	0.17	0.33	0.17	0.33	0.33	0.03	7.74	4.30										
V3	VAC	0.33	5.01	0.88	0.33	0.61	1.11	5.36	0.03	27.07	23.80										
V4	VAC	3.31	0.79	0.57	0.33	0.17	0.33	1.94	0.03	21.78	4.40										
	%RSD	11.4\$	11.3\$	2.9\$	3.2\$	10.8\$	5.9\$	3.6\$	12.7\$	8.6\$	10.7%										

Analytes that were present below LOQ were replaced by using 1/3 of the LOQ for statistical analysis. In this table, these values appear in grey. Concentrations are the calculated means of duplicate measurements and not rounded.

\$Measurement precision based on relative standard deviation (RSD or %RSD) from 6-fold repeat analysis of a reference lager beer with calculated mean concentrations (mg/L) as follows: Acetaldehyde, 3.25; Ethyl acetate, 10.78; Propanol, 2.93; Isobutanol, 14.45; Isoamylacetate, 0.66; 2-Methyl-1-Butanol, 10.86; 3-Methyl-1-Butanol, 46.80; Phenethyl acetate, 0.32; Phenyl ethanol, 45.06.

%Measurement precision based on relative standard deviation (RSD or %RSD) from 6-fold repeat analysis of sample C8

**Table S3:** Concentration of staling aldehydes and ethyl nicotinate ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )

Samples	Treatment	2-Methylpropanal	Ethyl nicotinate	2-Methylbutanal	3-Methylbutanal	Pentanal	Hexanal	2-Furfural	Heptanal	Methional	Octanal	Benzaldehyde	Phenylacetaldehyde	Nonanal	E-2-Nonenal	Decanal	E,E-2,4-Decadienal
		SAI1	SAI2	SAI3	SAI4	SAI5	SAI6	SAI7	SAI8	SAI9	SAI10	SAI11	SAI12	SAI13	SAI14	SAI15	SAI16
C1	COM	35.64	5.26	5.08	62.32	0.36	0.74	70.53	0.16	4.29	0.10	0.96	12.82	0.25	0.05	0.34	0.02
C2	COM	31.11	0.08	6.79	17.60	0.26	0.50	78.73	0.11	22.42	0.05	0.70	16.75	0.10	0.06	0.16	0.02
C3	COM	21.48	0.78	4.71	9.84	0.26	0.59	94.34	0.12	3.71	0.07	0.66	9.17	0.17	0.07	0.17	0.02
C4	COM	25.93	2.21	11.58	30.88	0.30	0.84	100.00	0.28	4.50	0.13	1.50	22.87	0.61	0.32	0.42	0.05
C5	COM	14.91	1.09	6.73	16.69	0.14	0.29	60.48	0.25	2.72	0.13	1.12	15.97	0.66	0.19	0.33	0.02
C6	COM	15.20	1.46	4.65	12.89	0.21	0.52	101.77	0.12	6.88	0.12	2.45	23.06	0.37	0.20	0.56	0.03
C7	COM	24.87	1.22	8.45	21.86	0.42	1.04	101.26	0.21	9.95	0.24	2.79	31.14	0.55	0.25	0.26	0.03
C8	COM	24.21	2.59	4.41	13.84	0.22	0.49	73.07	0.09	6.43	0.09	1.87	23.41	0.29	0.09	0.31	0.02
C9	COM	54.34	0.90	7.02	10.26	0.44	0.75	89.71	0.40	16.94	0.18	5.79	13.03	0.65	0.08	0.81	0.01
M1	M	50.05	1.94	21.61	55.32	0.63	1.32	250.93	0.42	69.97	0.06	2.02	62.98	0.15	0.06	0.17	0.02
MI1	MI	53.66	1.76	4.62	9.59	0.18	0.40	121.00	0.11	5.15	0.12	1.95	29.25	0.31	0.12	0.20	0.02
MI2	MI	48.02	2.35	17.72	25.63	0.42	0.71	84.67	0.27	15.92	0.12	1.56	25.11	0.43	0.05	0.37	0.01
RF1	RF	14.08	0.42	6.85	15.97	0.15	0.27	42.72	0.15	5.83	0.05	1.21	12.53	0.17	0.05	0.23	0.01
RF2	RF	47.96	0.26	18.49	50.89	0.59	1.47	33.52	0.19	29.43	0.07	1.60	20.68	0.22	0.09	0.27	0.02
RF3	RF	40.84	2.29	15.22	29.35	0.57	1.34	64.40	0.14	20.58	0.05	4.15	40.11	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.02
RF4	RF	34.30	1.04	14.90	48.77	0.36	0.77	173.49	0.17	14.21	0.14	2.18	49.72	0.45	0.37	0.31	0.03
V1	VAC	20.35	3.03	2.92	9.53	0.21	0.38	74.69	0.12	2.04	0.09	0.70	7.14	0.22	0.05	0.21	0.01
V2	VAC	36.55	0.38	11.71	22.75	0.44	0.99	35.22	0.13	24.50	0.05	1.48	23.77	0.13	0.08	0.17	0.02
V3	VAC	24.13	3.85	4.26	12.98	0.25	0.55	149.69	0.18	4.24	0.11	2.33	22.39	0.35	0.23	0.49	0.03
V4	VAC	71.16	5.07	4.90	19.37	0.20	0.42	31.31	0.14	4.74	0.09	1.00	15.60	0.22	0.04	0.29	0.01
%RSD		2.4*	9.2\$	2.3*	2.3*	4.5*	4.0*	5.5*	3.9*	9.2*	4.9*	4.9*	1.6*	7.0*	5.1*	8.3*	2.6*

Concentrations are the calculated means of duplicate measurements and not rounded.

\*Measurement precision based on relative standard deviation (RSD or %RSD) from 6-fold repeat analysis as previously published (<https://doi.org/10.1080/03610470.2020.1795478>).\$Measurement precision based on relative standard deviation (RSD or %RSD) from 6-fold repeat analysis of a reference lager beer with calculated mean concentrations ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) as follows: Ethyl nicotinate, 7.99.

**Table S4:** Concentrations of hop derived aroma compounds, ethyl esters and DMS ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )

Samples	Treatment	$\alpha$ -Pinene	Myrcene	2-Methylbutyl isobutyrate	Limonene	cis-Linalool oxide	trans-Linalool oxide	Linalool	Terpineol	Citronellol	Nerol	Geraniol	Humulene	Ethyl butyrate	Ethyl hexanoate	Ethyl octanoate	DMS	
		Hop1	Hop2	Hop3	Hop4	Hop5	Hop6	Hop7	Hop8	Hop9	Hop10	Hop11	Hop12	EST1	EST2	EST3	DMS	
C1	COM	0.33	2.21	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	14.75	61.59	14.07	10.00	
C2	COM	0.33	3.75	0.33	0.33	1.14	0.33	4.57	2.53	1.10	0.33	1.47	0.33	1.67	1.67	12.92	39.00	
C3	COM	0.33	2.54	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	1.29	0.33	1.95	0.33	1.17	0.33	1.67	1.67	7.16	29.00
C4	COM	0.33	56.61	116.46	4.32	0.33	0.33	37.37	11.99	5.80	7.86	20.85	0.33	1.67	5.42	10.87	22.00	
C5	COM	0.33	48.17	307.31	6.30	1.21	1.05	48.21	66.66	6.81	5.59	17.60	0.33	1.67	5.52	10.26	25.00	
C6	COM	0.33	3.79	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	6.13	2.44	1.44	0.33	1.70	0.33	1.67	13.63	27.13	37.00	
C7	COM	0.33	3.63	0.33	1.13	0.33	0.33	3.82	1.67	1.06	0.33	0.33	0.33	1.67	1.67	7.38	30.00	
C8	COM	0.33	2.25	0.33	1.15	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	1.67	5.63	12.45	10.00	
C9	COM	0.33	104.55	64.30	25.71	75.90	65.83	540.45	55.10	3.98	21.95	132.74	1.64	1.67	1.67	8.96	31.00	
M1	M	5.05	4.04	0.33	1.88	0.33	0.33	2.30	1.33	1.86	0.33	2.46	0.33	18.90	26.33	23.13	29.00	
MI1	MI	0.33	2.73	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	1.71	2.38	0.33	1.00	0.33	0.33	1.67	1.67	7.34	26.00	
MI2	MI	0.33	124.99	89.67	21.84	7.55	6.72	260.71	40.95	38.87	45.62	312.81	1.82	1.67	1.67	9.12	26.00	
RF1	RF	0.33	5.44	33.98	2.07	1.11	0.33	23.20	25.50	6.51	6.94	7.14	0.33	1.67	1.67	8.39	54.00	
RF2	RF	0.33	10.03	1.62	2.06	1.32	0.99	32.69	10.66	2.17	3.97	14.95	0.33	1.67	1.67	8.52	34.00	
RF3	RF	0.33	2.41	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	1.05	0.33	1.51	0.33	0.33	0.33	1.67	6.96	6.94	31.00	
RF4	RF	0.33	7.89	1.90	1.31	1.30	0.98	25.53	6.02	1.57	2.07	3.25	0.33	1.67	1.67	8.35	33.00	
V1	VAC	0.33	2.22	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	1.67	1.67	26.81	10.00	
V2	VAC	0.33	2.13	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	1.67	1.67	6.63	10.00	
V3	VAC	0.33	2.29	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	32.51	75.42	60.10	10.00	
V4	VAC	0.33	2.28	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	1.67	6.89	19.57	10.00	
%RSD		5.6*	5.3*	7.3*	6.6*	5.4*	7.5*	1.7*	5.2*	3.4*	5.4*	5.4*	8.1*	3.5\$	3.5\$	3.4\$	3.4\$	

Analytes that were present below LOQ were replace by using 1/3 of the LOQ for statistical analysis. In this table, these values appear in grey. Concentrations are the calculated means of duplicate measurements and not rounded.

\*Measurement precision based on relative standard deviation (RSD or %RSD) from 6-fold repeat analysis as previously published (<https://doi.org/10.1080/03610470.2019.1668223>).

%Measurement precision based on relative standard deviation (RSD or %RSD) from 6-fold repeat analysis of sample C8

\$Measurement precision based on relative standard deviation (RSD or %RSD) from 6-fold repeat analysis of reference lager beers with calculated mean concentrations ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) as follows: ethyl butyrate, 61.63; ethyl hexanoate, 100.72; ethyl octanoate, 196.47; dimethyl sulfide (DMS), 32.3.

**Sample:**

**Date:**

**Name:**

Subcategory	Intensity									Deskriptoren (bitte unterschreiben)					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9						
Quality of the smell	<input type="checkbox"/>	very poor	weak	satisfactory	good	very good	Diacetyl, oxidation, metallic, DMS, sulfurous, solvent, dull, cheesy, etc.								
Quality of taste	<input type="checkbox"/>	very poor	weak	satisfactory	good	very good	Diacetyl, oxidation, metallic, DMS, sulfurous, solvent, dull, cheesy, etc.								
Hop aroma	<input type="checkbox"/>	not present	slightly present	present	pronounced	very pronounced	Citrus, floral, spices/herbs, tropical, pine/resinous, grassy								
Sweetness	<input type="checkbox"/>	dry	semi-dry	medium	sweet	very sweet									
Sourness	<input type="checkbox"/>	none	light	moderate	pronounced	strong									
Bitterness Intensity	<input type="checkbox"/>	very low	low	moderate	pronounced	very high									
Bitterness Quality	<input type="checkbox"/>	very poor	weak	satisfactory	good	very good	astringent, lingering untypical								
Ester	<input type="checkbox"/>	not present	slightly present	present	pronounced	very pronounced	Ethyl acetate, isoamyl acetate, Acetaldehyde, Ethylhexanoate								
Worty	<input type="checkbox"/>	not present	slightly present	present	pronounced	very pronounced									
Mouthfeel	<input type="checkbox"/>	watery	empty	light body	round body	heavy body									
Aftertaste duration	<input type="checkbox"/>	none	fast	moderate	slightly persistent	persistent									
Overall Harmony	<input type="checkbox"/>	very poor	weak	satisfactory	good	very good									
Extra comments															
Description of the odor:						positive					negative				
Description of the taste:						positive					negative				

**Figure S1.** Ballot used for modified descriptive analysis.

**Table S5.** Mixed model analysis of variance on the sensory attributes

Source	Type	DF	Quality of smell		Quality of taste		Sweet		Sour		Bitterness Intensity		Bitterness Quality	
			F	P-value	F	P-value	F	P-value	F	P-value	F	P-value	F	P-value
Product	Fixed	19	1.690	<b>0.047</b>	1.967	<b>0.015</b>	6.024	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>	3.068	<b>0.000</b>	1.701	<b>0.045</b>	1.796	<b>0.031</b>
Panelist	Random	11	1.320	0.222	1.575	0.115	0.980	0.469	2.459	<b>0.008</b>	3.668	<b>0.000</b>	2.318	<b>0.013</b>
			Hop aroma		Estery		Worty		Mouthfeel		Aftertaste duration		Overall Harmony	
Source	Type	DF	F	P-value	F	P-value	F	P-value	F	P-value	F	P-value	F	P-value
Product	Fixed	19	2.908	<b>0.000</b>	1.789	<b>0.032</b>	6.047	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>	4.386	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>	1.339	0.172	2.427	<b>0.002</b>
Panelist	Random	11	5.510	<b>&lt;0.0001</b>	3.153	<b>0.001</b>	1.548	0.123	2.271	<b>0.015</b>	1.644	0.095	2.054	<b>0.029</b>

Values in bold indicate p-value &lt; 0.05

**Table S6:** Least square mean values sensory terms sorted by overall harmony.

	Overall Harmony	Aftertaste	Mouthfeel	Worty*	Estery/ fruity*	Bitterness (quality)	Bitterness (Intensity)*	Sour*	Sweet*	Hop aroma*	Quality of Aroma	Quality of Taste
V1	4.14 g	6.00 bcd	4.00 g	2.57 i	2.29 cd	4.86 ef	7.00 a	5.57 a	2.14 h	3.00 fgh	4.14 f	4.29 g
RF3	4.43 g	6.57 abc	5.71 cde	7.43 a	2.00 d	5.50 abcdef	3.57 hi	2.71 d	5.14 bc	2.29 h	4.86 ef	5.29 bcdefg
RF1	4.57 fg	7.14 a	6.43 abcd	5.71 bcd	2.29 cd	4.14 f	6.86 ab	3.71 cd	4.43 cde	3.71 efgh	6.40 abcde	6.57 ab
V4	4.57 fg	5.86 bcd	4.71 efg	3.00 hi	2.57 cd	5.14 def	6.29 abcd	5.00 ab	3 fgh	3.00 fgh	5.14 def	4.43 fg
M1	4.67 efg	4.67 e	4.17 fg	3.33 ghi	3.50 abc	6.17 abcde	3.50 i	5.97 a	2.67 gh	3.17 fgh	5.50 bcdef	5.33 bcdefg
V2	4.86 efg	5.86 bcd	7.14 a	6.43 abc	2.57 cd	4.71 ef	5.00 defgh	2.86 d	7.14 a	2.57 gh	5.29 cdef	5.86 bcdef
RF2	4.89 efg	5.89 bcd	6.00 bcd	5.22 cdef	2.78 cd	5.33 cdef	6.44 abcd	3.00 d	5.33 bc	5.33 cd	5.25 cdef	4.78 efg
MI1	5.00 defg	5.43 de	5.29 def	4.14 efg	2.71 cd	5.86 abcde	4.71 efghi	3.43 d	4.43 cde	3.86 efg	5.33 cdef	4.86 defg
V3	5.00 defg	5.29 de	4.29 fg	2.57 i	2.71 cd	6.00 abcde	6.43 abcd	4.71 abc	2.86 fgh	3.43 fgh	5.57 bcdef	5.29 bcdefg
C1	5.29 cdefg	5.29 de	4.71 efg	2.57 i	4.57 a	6.00 abcde	4.14 fghi	3.29 d	3.71 efg	3.33 fgh	5.29 cdef	5.00 cdefg
C2	5.43 bcdefg	6.00 bcd	7.00 ab	6.86 ab	2.43 cd	5.14 def	5.50 bcdef	2.71 d	5.57 b	2.71 gh	6.00 bcde	5.71 bcdefg
C7	5.44 bcdefg	5.56 cde	6.44 abc	5.89 bcd	3.11 bcd	6.56 abcd	5.67 abcde	2.89 d	5.33 bc	3.67 efgh	6.25 abcde	6.33 abc
C3	5.86 bcdef	5.43 de	6.14 abcd	5.57 bcde	2.57 cd	5.43 bcdef	5.00 defgh	3.14 d	5.00 bc	3.29 fgh	5.86 bcde	5.43 bcdefg
RF4	6.00 bcde	5.44 de	4.56 fg	4.67 defg	2.89 cd	6.00 abcde	5.00 defgh	2.78 d	5.00 bc	4.33 def	5.78 bcde	5.75 bcdef
C5	6.14 abcde	5.14 de	6.33 abcd	3.86 fghi	3.14 abcd	6.86 ab	6.29 abcd	3.86 bcd	4.86 bcd	5.86 bc	6.43 abcd	5.57 bcdefg
C9	6.22 abcd	5.67 cde	6.00 bcd	3.44 ghi	4.56 a	5.78 abcde	5.78 abcde	5.13 a	5.22 bc	7.00 b	6.44 abcd	6.11 bcd
C8	6.29 abcd	5.43 de	6.57 abc	5.43 bcde	2.71 cd	6.43 abcd	4.00 ghi	3.57 cd	5.29 bc	3.29 fgh	6.71 abc	6.71 ab
C6	6.57 abc	5.43 de	6.14 abcd	3.29 hi	2.86 cd	6.57 abcd	5.14 cdefg	2.71 d	3.00 fgh	3.50 efgh	7.00 ab	6.00 bcde
C4	6.71 ab	5.86 bcd	6.57 abc	3.29 hi	3.57 abc	6.71 abc	5.71 abcde	3.43 d	3.86 def	5.00 cde	6.67 abcd	6.43 abc
MI2	7.44 a	6.89 ab	6.56 abc	3.22 hi	4.33 ab	6.89 a	6.56 abc	3.33 d	5.33 bc	8.67 a	7.44 a	7.44 a
LSD-value:	1.26	1.02	1.02	1.28	1.3	1.36	1.33	1.06	0.92	1.34	1.34	1.3

\* The scaling for the attributes relates to Figure S1

Letters represent the Fisher Least Significant Difference (LSD) means comparison results within each attribute (i.e. column) p-value < 0.05