

## Article

# The New ISO 56000 Family of Standards for Innovation Management

Ricardo Fernandez <sup>1</sup> and William Swart <sup>2,\*</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> 20-20 Innovation, Inc., 7154 North University Dr., Suite 100, Tamarac, FL 33321, USA; rick.r.fernandez@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Thomas D. Arthur Graduate School of Business, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC 27858, USA

\* Correspondence: swartw@ecu.edu; Tel.: +1-252-864-0026

## Abstract

Innovation is the engine of today's knowledge economy, providing the primary means by which organizations achieve competitive advantage, adapt to change, and deliver value to stakeholders. While the creative spark of invention is essential, sustainable innovation demands a disciplined process that guides ideas from conception to commercialization and adoption. The ISO 56000 family of standards provides a global framework for systematically managing innovation, culminating in the 2024 publication of ISO 56001, which sets certifiable requirements for innovation management systems. This article explores the evolution, principles, structure, and practical implications of the ISO 56000 family, with a particular focus on the interplay between culture, leadership, digital transformation, and the transformative rise of artificial intelligence (AI).

**Keywords:** invention; innovation; process; management; standards; knowledge economy; artificial intelligence; certification; international standards organization



Academic Editor: Gilberto Santos

Received: 23 September 2025

Revised: 24 October 2025

Accepted: 5 December 2025

Published: 9 December 2025

**Citation:** Fernandez, R.; Swart, W. The New ISO 56000 Family of Standards for Innovation Management. *Standards* **2025**, *5*, 34. <https://doi.org/10.3390/standards5040034>

**Copyright:** © 2025 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

The global economy has shifted from being primarily resource-driven to one centered on knowledge, technology, and innovation. In this landscape, ideas—rather than raw materials or even capital—are the primary commodities [1]. As noted by McKinsey & Company, a remarkable 96% of North American firms have identified innovation as a strategic priority in their business plans, recognizing it as critical for both short-term competitiveness and long-term survival [2].

Innovation is not synonymous with invention. The annals of patent offices are filled with ideas that never realized commercial or societal value. True innovation requires moving beyond the “Eureka moment” to encompass a structured process that shepherds inventions through development, adoption, and diffusion, ultimately yielding measurable value for customers, users, and society at large. The distinction is critical: while invention is necessary, it is insufficient for competitive advantage. Innovation, by contrast, is a sustainable, value-creating process encompassing commercialization, adoption, utilization, and the measurement of success.

Organizations seeking sustained innovation must focus on value creation and develop capabilities to [3]:

- Foster team-based, cross-disciplinary knowledge generation.
- Establish agile structures that enable rapid information exchange and decision-making.
- Cultivate leadership that enables open, deep, and continuous communication.

- Transform individual (tacit) knowledge into organizational learning and action.

Despite its centrality, innovation has often been managed in an ad hoc fashion, reliant on individual heroics or isolated departmental initiatives. This lack of discipline has contributed to high failure rates for new products and services, underutilization of R&D investment, and missed opportunities for strategic alignment. The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) recognized this gap and, in 2013, convened technical experts from over 160 countries to develop universal, non-prescriptive standards for innovation management. The resulting ISO 56000 family aims to provide a common language, structured frameworks, and best practices for organizations of all types and sizes. These standards do not seek to “standardize creativity.” Rather, they provide guidance and requirements for the supporting systems, processes, and cultural conditions that enable innovation to flourish, be measured, and continually improved.

In order to assure the alignment of this new family of management standards with existing management standards such as ISO 9001 (Quality), ISO 14001 (Environment), and 27001 (Information Security), the development of the new standard had to follow ISO’s Harmonized approach for management system standards [4] which provides transparency to the development of ISO management standards and also assures that these standards are properly integrated and hence avoid harmful potential conflicts.

## 2. The ISO 56000 Family of Standards for Innovation Management

The development of ISO standards is a prescribed process which all stakeholders must follow and is not the subject for research. For example, the development of the ISO 56000 family of standards was initiated by AFNOR, the French Standardization Association. They sought and obtained approval from ISO to proceed, and the ISO TC 279 committee was formed. Its constituents included Technical Advisory Groups (TAG) from 58 member countries and 20 more who chose to be observers of the process. The process itself was rigorous and similar to the Delphi method [5]. The process spanned three years and involved consensus-based collaboration among global experts from TAGs representing diverse stakeholder groups including industry, consumer associations, academia, NGOs, and government to ensure that standards reflect internationally validated best practices rather than arbitrary requirements. This multi-stakeholder consensus approach, which requires approval by two-thirds of participating member bodies with no more than one-quarter negative votes, imbues ISO standards with legitimacy and authority that transcends national boundaries and industry sectors. It should be noted that ISO limits the duration of the process to three years which was achieved for the ISO 56000 standards development. If consensus had not been achieved during that time frame, then there would not have been an ISO standard for innovation management.

Central to the ISO 56000 family of standards for innovation Management are the following foundational principles that underpin effective innovation management [3]:

- **Realization of Value:** Innovation must deliver value, whether financial, social, or environmental. R&D that fails to create value is not innovation.
- **Future-Focused Leadership:** Leaders must look beyond short-term results, cultivating vision and preparedness for future challenges and opportunities.
- **Strategic Alignment:** Innovation initiatives must be aligned with overall business strategy, ensuring resources are directed to areas of greatest impact.
- **Innovation Culture:** A culture supportive of change, risk-taking, collaboration, and continual learning is essential. Leadership must actively foster this environment.
- **Exploitation of Insights:** Organizations must systematically gather and act on insights from inside and outside their boundaries scanning for trends, threats, and new practices.

- **Risk and Uncertainty Management:** Innovation is inherently uncertain. Organizations must be able to take calculated risks and learn from both success and failure.
- **Adaptability and Resilience:** The ability to sense and rapidly respond to change—internally and in the external ecosystems critical for sustained innovation.
- **Systems Approach:** Innovation must be managed as a dynamic system of interrelated elements, not as isolated projects or functions.

These principles are woven throughout the standards, serving as both touchstone and guide for organizations implementing innovation management systems.

### 2.1. Structure and Scope

The ISO 56000 family is structured as a suite of standards and guidance documents, each addressing different aspects of innovation management. These are available at the ANSI webstore (<https://webstore.ansi.org/search/find?in=1&st=iso+56000> accessed on 23 March 2025) or the ISO webstore ([https://www.iso.org/search.html?PROD\\_isoorg\\_en\[query\]=iso%2056000](https://www.iso.org/search.html?PROD_isoorg_en[query]=iso%2056000) accessed on 23 March 2025):

- **ISO 56000:** Fundamentals and vocabulary (definitions and concepts);
- **ISO 56001:** Requirements for an IMS (certifiable standard, published 2024);
- **ISO 56002:** Guidance for establishing, implementing, maintaining, and improving an innovation management system (IMS);
- **ISO 56003:** Guidance for innovation partnerships and collaboration;
- **ISO/TR 56004:** Guidance for innovation management assessment;
- **ISO 56005:** Guidance for intellectual property management in innovation;
- **ISO 56006:** Guidance for strategic intelligence management;
- **ISO 56007:** Tools for managing opportunities and ideas;
- **ISO 56008:** Measurement of innovation operations;
- **ISO/TR 56009** (in development): Case studies for ISO 56008 implementation across sectors;
- **ISO/TS 56010:** Illustrative case studies and examples;
- **ISO/TS 56011:** Innovation Management Competencies (in progress);
- **ISO/TS 56012:** Innovation Ecosystems (in progress);
- **ISO/TS 56013:** Innovation Portfolio Management (in progress).

Each document can be used independently but is designed for holistic integration. The standards are applicable to organizations of all sizes, sectors, and innovation approaches—ranging from incremental improvements to radical, disruptive innovation.

### 2.2. Innovation Management as a System

ISO 56001 explicitly frames innovation management as a system of “interrelated and interacting elements.” The IMS is not a set of isolated processes but a living, holistic framework that operates at three levels:

- **Strategic:** Defining innovation intent and shaping strategy;
- **Tactical:** Establishing objectives and managing portfolios;
- **Operational:** Executing and adapting innovation initiatives.

The effectiveness of the IMS relies on the commitment of top management and leaders at all levels, who must promote and model the desired culture, allocate resources, and ensure alignment across the organization. To assure this, ISO 56001 includes a certifiable set of requirements for innovation management systems which are closely modeled on the structure of other ISO management system standards (such as ISO 9001 for quality), organized around the Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) cycle.

### 2.3. Measurement, Auditing, and Continual Improvement

An essential feature of the ISO 56000 family is the emphasis on systematic measurement and continuous improvement. ISO 56008 and the relevant clauses in ISO 56001/56002 direct organizations to establish balanced performance indicators at the initiative, portfolio, and system levels.

This includes:

- **Inputs:** Number of insights, resources allocated, diversity of teams;
- **Throughput:** Speed of experimentation, number of concepts generated and validated, iteration cycles;
- **Outputs:** Number of solutions deployed, adoption rates, time-to-market;
- **Outcomes/Results:** Return on innovation investment, user/customer satisfaction, societal and environmental impact.

Regular internal audits and management reviews are required to ensure conformity, effectiveness, and continued relevance of the IMS. The PDCA cycle drives ongoing learning, adaptation, and improvement.

### 2.4. Intellectual Property, Knowledge Management, and Collaboration

Innovation creates and depends upon intellectual assets. ISO 56005 and ISO 56006 provide guidance for managing intellectual property and strategic intelligence, which is increasingly critical in the digital and AI age.

Organizations must:

- Identify, protect, and leverage intellectual property appropriately;
- Balance openness and collaboration with competitive advantage;
- Monitor and respond to IP risks and opportunities, including those posed by AI-generated content.

AI tools can assist with patent analysis, prior art searches, and competitive intelligence, augmenting traditional IP management practices.

### 2.5. Implementation

ISO 56002, published in 2019, provides detailed guidance on how to establish, implement, maintain, and continually improve an IMS. While not certifiable, ISO 56002 is invaluable for organizations seeking practical advice and best practices

Key Features:

- **Contextual Analysis:** Encourages regular scanning of the external and internal environment, including economic, technological, legal, and cultural factors.
- **Stakeholder Engagement:** Emphasizes understanding, monitoring, and reviewing the needs and expectations of all relevant stakeholders.
- **Culture:** Offers concrete recommendations for fostering a culture of openness, curiosity, risk-taking, diversity, and cross-disciplinary collaboration.
- **Collaboration:** Addresses both internal and external collaboration, highlighting knowledge sharing, trust, and the management of intellectual property in partnerships.
- **Resources and Capabilities:** Guides organizations to assess and develop the necessary people, knowledge, finance, infrastructure, and competence.
- **Process Flexibility:** Recognizes the need for iterative, non-linear processes, adaptable to different types of innovation.
- **Performance Measurement:** Recommends a balanced scorecard of indicators and continuous learning from both successes and failures.

ISO 56002 encourages organizations to tailor the IMS to their unique context, maturity, and ambition, while adhering to the overarching principles of the standard.

## 2.6. Supporting Standards

Beyond the core management system standards, the ISO 56000 family includes vital supporting guidance regarding intellectual property, business intelligence, partnership development and maintenance, and performance metrics. These are:

- **ISO 56005 (IP Management):** Offers best practices for identifying, protecting, leveraging, and monitoring intellectual property throughout the innovation process.
- **ISO 56006 (Strategic Intelligence):** Guides organizations in environmental scanning, trend analysis, and the use of data and analytics to inform innovation decisions.
- **ISO 56003 (Partnerships):** Provides frameworks for effective collaboration, addressing partner selection, trust-building, IP management, and joint value creation.
- **ISO 56007 (Opportunities & Ideas):** Details methods for systematically managing the front end of innovation, from opportunity identification to concept validation.
- **ISO 56008 (Measurement):** Focuses on operational metrics, enabling organizations to track innovation inputs, process effectiveness, outputs, and impacts.

## 3. Innovation Management Certification

The development and certification of ISO standards serve as powerful instruments for enhancing credibility and establishing trust among businesses, professionals, and their stakeholders. When an organization achieves ISO 56000 certification for innovation management, it transmits a compelling message to customers, partners, investors, and other stakeholders that the organization operates with systematic focus on innovation excellence and stakeholder value creation. The certification serves as independently verified evidence that the organization has implemented an effective innovation management system conforming to international standards developed through ISO's rigorous development process. This systematic validation process significantly enhances the organization's reputation and instills confidence among customers and stakeholders, leading to increased credibility and trust in the organization's innovation capabilities and commitment to continuous improvement.

### 3.1. Organizational Certification

ISO 56001 specifies verifiable requirements for an organization to demonstrate to its stakeholders that it:

- Seeks to improve its products and processes through a systematic management of their innovation activities
- Continually expands its innovation capability
- Realizes value for users, customers, and other stakeholders

These requirements are generic and can be tailored to any organization, regardless of size, sector, or type of innovation pursued. They are structured into seven main clauses, each with specific requirements. These are:

- **Context of the Organization:** Organizations must analyze both internal and external factors, including culture, market trends, technological shifts, regulatory changes, and stakeholder needs. Climate change, societal expectations, and ethical considerations are explicitly included.
- **Leadership:** Top management must demonstrate commitment, accountability, and vision, ensuring that innovation is integrated into the organization's strategic direction. Leadership must also drive the development of a supportive culture.
- **Planning:** Organizations are required to identify risks and opportunities, set measurable objectives, and establish innovation portfolios aligned with strategy. Planning includes criteria for evaluating and prioritizing initiatives.

- **Support:** Adequate resources (people, time, finance, infrastructure), knowledge, intellectual property management, and tools/methods must be provided. Diversity, competence development, and incentives are emphasized.
- **Operation:** Organizations must plan and control initiatives, processes, and portfolios, ensuring flexibility, adaptability, and learning from both success and failure. Innovation processes include identifying opportunities, creating concepts, validating concepts, developing, and deploying solutions.
- **Performance Evaluation:** Systematic measurement, analysis, and evaluation are required. Organizations must use a balanced set of input, throughput, output, and result indicators, conduct internal audits, and hold regular management reviews.
- **Improvement:** Organizations must continually improve the suitability, adequacy, and effectiveness of their IMS, responding to nonconformities and adapting to change.

### 3.2. Professional Certification

The professionalization of innovation management has gained significant momentum in recent years, paralleling the development of international standards. ISO has been instrumental in establishing a common framework for innovation management through the ISO 56000 series [6]. According to the ISO 56000:2020 standard, innovation management encompasses “the vocabulary, fundamental concepts and principles of innovation management and its systematic implementation” applicable to organizations of all types, sizes, and maturity levels. This standardization effort reflects a broader recognition that innovation, while inherently creative and often unpredictable, benefits substantially from systematic management approaches that provide structure without stifling creativity.

The ISO 56000 family of standards provides comprehensive guidelines for managing innovation, with ISO 56001 establishing requirements for innovation management systems and ISO 56002 offering guidance for implementation. The journey toward standardizing innovation management has not been without controversy. Some practitioners initially questioned whether innovation—often associated with creativity, spontaneity, and disruption—could or should be standardized. However, as Innovation360 [7] notes, the standards do not seek to standardize the creative process itself but rather to establish best practices for managing innovation systematically. The distinction is crucial: while the generation of novel ideas may resist standardization, the processes for identifying opportunities, evaluating concepts, allocating resources, and scaling successful innovations can indeed benefit from structured approaches.

### 3.3. The IMS PROFESSIONALS Competency Framework

Approximately three years ago, IMS PROFESSIONALS [8] developed a comprehensive competency framework consisting of five progressive certification levels. Drawing inspiration from the Six Sigma belt system popularized by organizations such as the American Society for Quality (ASQ), this framework employs a martial arts belt color designation system to signify increasing levels of expertise and responsibility. This approach has proven effective in other quality management disciplines and translates well to the innovation management context, providing clear visual markers of competency progression that are easily understood across organizational and cultural boundaries.

The belt system offers several advantages for professional development. First, it creates clear milestones that professionals can work toward, providing motivation and direction for career advancement. Second, it enables organizations to quickly assess the competency level of innovation professionals, facilitating team composition and resource allocation decisions. Third, it establishes a common language for discussing innovation management capabilities, both within organizations and across the broader professional

community. Finally, the progressive nature of the system acknowledges that innovation management expertise develops over time through a combination of education, experience, and demonstrated success.

#### **Level 1—IMS Foundations (Yellow Belt): Building the Foundation**

At the foundational level, professionals are introduced to the essential concepts, terminology, and common language of innovation management. This level focuses on developing the skills necessary to become an effective participant in an innovation team. The curriculum addresses fundamental questions: What is innovation? How does it differ from invention? What types of innovation exist? How do innovation processes work? Similarly to the Innovation Associate level described by the Global Innovation Management Institute [9], participants at this stage “learn the fundamentals of innovation, the tools you will need to successfully develop quality innovation ideas, and the skills you will need to achieve meaningful innovation results.”

The curriculum at this level typically encompasses fundamental innovation concepts, basic innovation techniques, and an introduction to the breakthrough innovation process. Research indicates that establishing a common vocabulary is critical for organizational innovation success. As noted in training materials from The Knowledge Academy [10], professionals at this level should “understand the different terms related to organization and innovation initiative” and “become familiar with the concepts and innovation management principles of ISO 56000.” This foundational knowledge enables team members to contribute meaningfully to innovation initiatives and communicate effectively with colleagues across the organization.

Beyond vocabulary, the Yellow Belt level emphasizes developing practical skills for innovation participation. This includes techniques for creative thinking, methods for identifying customer needs and market opportunities, approaches to collaborative ideation, and frameworks for evaluating innovation concepts. Participants learn to distinguish between incremental and radical innovation, understand the innovation funnel, and recognize the different stages of the innovation process from opportunity identification through commercialization. The goal is not to create innovation experts but rather to ensure that all team members can contribute effectively to innovation initiatives, regardless of their functional background or technical expertise.

Equally important at this foundational level is developing an innovation mindset. This includes cultivating curiosity, embracing ambiguity, learning from failure, and challenging assumptions. As CertiProf [1] emphasizes in their Innovation Management Professional Certification, professionals must develop “multiple intelligences that allow you to perceive, experiment, question, collaborate and associate differently.” These cognitive and behavioral capabilities are as important as technical knowledge, as they enable professionals to navigate the inherent uncertainty of innovation work and maintain motivation in the face of setbacks.

#### **Level 2—IMS Practitioner (Orange Belt): Leading Innovation Teams**

Progressing to the practitioner level requires professionals to develop a comprehensive understanding of the Innovation Management System (IMS) while acquiring the leadership skills necessary to guide an innovation team. This level represents a significant step beyond participation, requiring individuals to take responsibility for team dynamics, project execution, and outcome delivery. The transition from participant to leader demands new competencies in areas such as facilitation, conflict resolution, stakeholder management, and project planning. According to CertiProf [11], professionals at this level should be capable of generating “ideas of greater impact that make your business grow” and developing “multiple intelligences that allow you to perceive, experiment, question, collaborate and associate differently to develop innovative processes that achieve economic results and social

acceptance.” The practitioner must balance creative ideation with practical implementation, ensuring that innovation initiatives align with organizational objectives while maintaining team engagement and momentum. This balancing act requires both analytical rigor and interpersonal sensitivity.

The role encompasses understanding innovation strategy, managing innovation capacity, and maintaining innovation discipline within the team context. This aligns with the broader industry recognition that innovation management requires both technical knowledge and interpersonal leadership capabilities. The practitioner serves as the critical link between strategic innovation objectives and tactical execution, translating high-level goals into actionable team activities. This translation function is essential because strategic objectives are often abstract and aspirational, while team members need concrete, achievable tasks that contribute to those larger goals.

#### **Level 3—IMS Designer Implementer (Black Belt): Architecting Innovation Systems**

The Designer Implementer represents a substantial advancement in responsibility and capability. Professionals at this level must possess the expertise to conduct comprehensive gap analyses and design, implement, and maintain an Innovation Management System from inception through maturity. This role typically involves guiding multiple IMS Practitioners as they execute innovation initiatives, managing the innovation portfolio, and providing regular progress reports to senior management. The scope of responsibility expands from individual projects to the entire innovation system.

The competencies required at this level are extensive and multifaceted. As described by IMS PROFESSIONALS [8], the Designer Implementer must understand what is necessary for the organization, how to design the system architecture, how to implement that system effectively, how to manage the change process, and how to ensure that all organizational systems interrelate and coordinate effectively. According to the Global Innovation Management Institute [9], professionals at the manager level should possess “a clear understanding of innovation management techniques needed for success” and be capable of driving and managing innovation within the organization.

#### **Level 4—IMS Lead Auditor (Black Belt): Ensuring System Integrity and Maturity**

The IMS Lead Auditor certification requires proficiency in all previous levels plus specialized expertise in auditing Innovation Management Systems. Importantly, auditing an IMS differs fundamentally from auditing traditional management systems. Rather than employing a simple checklist approach, IMS auditing assesses maturity levels across various performance areas, recognizing that innovation capability develops progressively over time. As noted by the IKE Institute [12], effective innovation assessment must evaluate multiple dimensions including “capability, competence, culture, leadership, and structure.”

#### **Level 5—IMS Master (Master Black Belt): Demonstrating Excellence Through Practice**

The IMS Master certification represents the pinnacle of professional achievement in innovation management. This certification requires candidates to have earned both Black Belt level certifications (Designer Implementer and Lead Auditor), demonstrating comprehensive theoretical knowledge and practical capability across the full spectrum of innovation management competencies. Candidates must document successful completion of three substantial innovation-related efforts, which may include leading innovation initiatives, managing an innovation portfolio, implementing an innovation ecosystem, or any combination thereof.

#### **Level 6—IMS Executive Champion (Silver Belt): Strategic Leadership for Innovation**

The Executive Champion certification addresses a critical but often overlooked aspect of innovation management: the role of senior leadership in creating conditions for innovation success. This certification is designed for high-level executives, vice presidents, and

chief executive officers who may not be directly involved in managing innovation initiatives but whose support and leadership are essential for organizational innovation success.

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. *The Central Role of Culture and Leadership*

Decades of research and recent industry surveys confirm that organizational culture is the single most important determinant of innovation success. A strong innovation culture is characterized by:

- Openness to new ideas and external perspectives;
- Willingness to take calculated risks and learn from failure;
- Collaboration across functions, disciplines, and organizational boundaries;
- Intrinsic motivation driven by purpose and societal impact, not just financial gain;
- Leadership that models curiosity, courage, and resilience.

The ISO standards repeatedly stress that culture cannot be left to chance. Leadership at all levels must intentionally cultivate and reinforce the desired values, behaviors, and practices.

### 4.2. *The Role of Academia*

While businesses are naming innovation as a top priority and are adopting the ISO 56000 family of standards, very few universities are represented in the US Technical Advisory Group (TAG) ISO TC 279—innovation management, which is currently housed under the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). This could be because of academics being generally unaware of their existence and therefore of how knowledge of the standards could be helpful to the student as they go into the world of work where they will be faced with companies who are focused on innovation as their top priority. Institutions whose graduates are likely to be employed by firms in the knowledge industry have a responsibility to equip their graduates with knowledge management skills such as those contained in ISO 56000. Given the general lack of knowledge in academia about ISO 56000, a first step is to support interested faculty in developing their ISO 56000 knowledge which can be accomplished by supporting their live or virtual attendance at one of the ISO conferences and/or workshops (<https://www.iso.org/home.html> accessed on 23 March 2025). The faculty member can then be given time to modify their existing course to include ISO material or to develop an innovation management course that includes this material [13].

While the approach outlined above is reasonable, perhaps the greatest opportunity for academia to contribute to the development innovation management knowledge and adoption is through their institution's Master of Business Administration (MBA) programs. The MBA is the most popular graduate degree in the United States with 202,344 earned in the 2020–2021 academic year [14]. It is valuable to those individuals who are interested in working in a wide range of business management areas or to prospective entrepreneurs. According to the Harvard Business Review, individuals seeking an MBA will gain a larger professional network, career opportunities, and a bigger salary; however, there are costs including time, tuition, and effort [15].

### 4.3. *AI and Digital Transformation: Innovation in the Age of Generative AI*

The advent of generative AI and advanced analytics has fundamentally changed the innovation landscape. According to a 2023 McKinsey survey of over 1000 senior executives [2], organizations with mature, innovative cultures are dramatically outpacing their peers in deploying and deriving value from generative AI. Top innovators are six times more likely than laggards to have deployed generative AI at scale in R&D and innovation functions. This acceleration is not just a matter of technology, but of culture,

strategy, and operating model. McKinsey's research identifies these five practices that distinguish leading innovators in the AI era:

- **Action-Oriented AI Deployment:** Leaders move decisively from experimentation to scaled deployment, using AI to accelerate R&D and identify new growth opportunities.
- **Integrated, Agile Operating Models:** They break down silos, form cross-functional teams, and empower agile, iterative development cycles—enabling rapid experimentation and learning.
- **Proprietary Data and Learning:** Top performers design processes and customer interactions to continually capture high-value data, improving AI models and insights over time.
- **Organizational Learning and “Fail Fast” Mentality:** They encourage rapid testing, embrace failure as a source of learning, and adapt quickly.
- **Touchless, Automated Workflows:** By leveraging AI for “no human touch” workflows, they move ideas from conception to execution at unprecedented speed.

#### 4.4. AI and the ISO Innovation Management System

The ISO 56000 family is technology-agnostic but fully compatible with digital transformation and AI-driven innovation. AI can be integrated at every stage of the innovation management process:

- **Insight Generation:** AI rapidly scans and synthesizes vast data sets, enabling organizations to identify trends, threats, and opportunities faster than competitors.
- **Ideation and Concept Development:** Generative AI tools support brainstorming, scenario planning, and rapid prototyping, expanding the range and diversity of ideas.
- **Validation and Experimentation:** AI enables simulation, virtual prototyping, and predictive analytics, reducing time, cost, and risk in testing new concepts.
- **Solution Development and Deployment:** Automated code generation, design optimization, and intelligent workflow management increase speed and scalability.
- **Continuous Improvement:** Real-time feedback, analytics, and machine learning support ongoing measurement, learning, and adaptation of innovation processes.

The net effect: firms that combine a strong innovation culture, disciplined processes, and aggressive AI adoption are pulling away from the pack, achieving faster product cycles, higher returns, and greater resilience.

## 5. Conclusions

The ISO 56000 family emerges at a time of unprecedented uncertainty and opportunity. Global challenges such as climate change, geopolitical shifts, and technological disruption demand that organizations not only innovate faster but also more responsibly and inclusively.

ISO 56001 and 56002 are designed to harmonize with other management system standards (e.g., ISO 9001 for quality, ISO 14001 for environment, ISO 27001 for information security). This enables organizations to integrate innovation management with broader governance, risk, and compliance frameworks, ensuring coherence and efficiency.

Modern innovation is not solely about profit. Increasingly, stakeholders—including investors, regulators, employees, and customers—demand that organizations address environmental and social impact. The ISO 56000 family encourages consideration of sustainability, ethics, and societal value in all innovation activities.

Innovation no longer happens in isolation. The most successful organizations actively participate in innovation ecosystems—collaborating with startups, universities, suppliers, customers, and even competitors. ISO 56003 provides guidance on forming and managing such partnerships, with attention to trust, intellectual property, and shared value creation.

It is imperative that academia take an active part in developing a talent base of innovation management professionals. While many institutions may shy away from offering professional certification programs, the enrollment cliff and fierce competition for students may make the offering of certificate programs in innovation management a compelling recruitment argument for increasing enrollment; it will also enhance the attractiveness of its graduates to potential employers.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, R.F. and W.S.; methodology, R.F. and W.S.; software, R.F. and W.S.; validation, R.F. and W.S.; formal analysis, R.F. and W.S.; investigation, R.F. and W.S.; resources, R.F. and W.S.; data curation, R.F. and W.S.; writing—original draft preparation, R.F. and W.S.; writing—review and editing, R.F. and W.S.; visualization, R.F. and W.S.; supervision, R.F. and W.S.; project administration, R.F. and W.S.; funding acquisition, R.F. and W.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** No new data were created or analyzed in this study.

**Conflicts of Interest:** Author Ricardo Fernandez was employed by the company 20-20 Innovation Inc. The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## References

1. Zapp, M. Revisiting the Global Economy: The Worldwide Expansion of Research and Development Personnel. *Minerva* **2022**, *60*, 181–208. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. McKinsey & Company. Companies with Innovative Cultures Have a Big Edge with Generative AI. 2023. Available online: <https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/strategy-and-corporate-finance/our-insights/companies-with-innovative-cultures-have-a-big-edge-with-generative-ai> (accessed on 23 March 2025).
3. Fernandez, R.; Swart, W. Bringing Discipline into Transdisciplinary Communications—The ISO 56000 Family of Innovation Standards. *J. Syst. Cybern. Inform.* **2024**, *22*, 33–39. [CrossRef]
4. ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1, Consolidated ISO Supplement Annex SL (2021). Harmonized Approach for Management System Standards. Available online: <https://www.iso.org/management-system-standards-list.html> (accessed on 21 July 2025).
5. Khodyakov, D.; Grant, S.; Kroger, J.; Bauman, M. RAND Methodological Guide for Conducting and Critically Appraising Delphi Panels, RAND Manual. 2023. Available online: <https://www.rand.org/pubs/tools/TLA3082-1.html> (accessed on 21 July 2025).
6. ISO 56000:2025; Innovation Management—Fundamentals and Vocabulary. ISO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2025.
7. Innovation360. ISO 56000 Guidelines on Innovation Management: Updates and the Road Ahead. 2019. Available online: <https://innovation360.com/iso-56000/> (accessed on 29 November 2025).
8. IMS PROFESSIONALS. Innovation Management System Professional Certifications. Available online: <https://imsprofessionals.com/https://imsprofessionals.com/> (accessed on 29 November 2025).
9. Global Innovation Institute. Certified Innovation Professional—CInP. 2024. Available online: <https://gini.org/certification/professional-certification/certified-innovation-professional-cinp> (accessed on 29 November 2025).
10. Knowledge Academy. ISO 56000 Innovation Management Training Course—United States. 2024. Available online: <https://www.theknowledgeacademy.com/us/courses/iso-training/iso-56000-innovation-management-training/> (accessed on 29 November 2025).
11. CertiProf. Innovation Management Professional Certification—IMPC. 2024. Available online: <https://certiprof.com/pages/innovation-management-professional-certification-impc> (accessed on 29 November 2025).
12. IKE Institute. Investor in Innovations Standard Aligned to ISO 56002 Innovation Management System. 2024. Available online: [https://www.ikeinstitute.org/publication/investor\\_in\\_innovations\\_standard\\_aligned\\_to\\_iso\\_56002\\_innovation\\_management\\_system](https://www.ikeinstitute.org/publication/investor_in_innovations_standard_aligned_to_iso_56002_innovation_management_system) (accessed on 29 November 2025).
13. Swart, W.; MacLeod, K.; Fernandez, R. Talent Development for the Knowledge Economy. *Int. J. Inf. Oper. Manag. Educ.* **2024**, *7*, 254–266. [CrossRef]

14. Statista. Available online: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/185343/number-of-masters-degrees-by-field-of-research/> (accessed on 17 January 2024).
15. Kirkpatrick, L. Is an MBA Degree Really Worth It? Harvard Business Review, 7 December 2020. Available online: <https://hbr.org/2020/12/is-an-mba-degree-really-worth-it> (accessed on 17 January 2024).

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.