

Article

Oxidative Stress and Cytotoxicity Induced by Co-Formulants of Glyphosate-Based Herbicides in Human Mononuclear White Blood Cells

Khadija Ramadhan Makame ^{1,2}, Sylvia Nyambeki Masese ¹, Balázs Ádám ³ and Károly Nagy ^{1,*}

¹ Department of Public Health and Epidemiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Debrecen, 4032 Debrecen, Hungary; khadija.makame@med.unideb.hu (K.R.M.)

² Doctoral School of Health Sciences, University of Debrecen, 4032 Debrecen, Hungary

³ Institute of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain P.O. Box 15551, United Arab Emirates; badam@uaeu.ac.ae

* Correspondence: nagy.karoly@med.unideb.hu

Abstract: The use of genetically modified, glyphosate-resistant crops has led to the widespread application of glyphosate-based herbicides (GBHs), making them one of the most widely used herbicide formulations on the market. To enhance the efficacy of the active ingredient, GBHs used in practice often contain other ingredients marked as inert “adjuvants” or “co-formulants”, the toxic properties of which are poorly understood. The objective of this study was to compare the cytotoxic effects of pure glyphosate, three GBHs (Roundup Mega, Fozat 480 and Glyfos) and two co-formulants commonly used in GBHs as assessed via CCK-8 assay, and the extent of their potential oxidative damage as assessed via superoxide dismutase (SOD) assay, in order to reveal the role of adjuvants in the toxicity of the formulations. Our results showed that glyphosate alone did not significantly affect cell viability. In contrast, GBHs and adjuvants induced a pronounced cytotoxic effect from a concentration of 100 μ M. SOD activity of cells treated with GBHs or adjuvants was significantly lower compared to cells treated with glyphosate alone. This suggests that the adjuvants in GBHs are responsible for the cytotoxic effects of the formulations through the induction of oxidative stress.

Keywords: herbicide; glyphosate; formulation; GBH; co-formulant; adjuvant; cytotoxicity; oxidative stress



Citation: Makame, K.R.; Masese, S.N.; Ádám, B.; Nagy, K. Oxidative Stress and Cytotoxicity Induced by Co-Formulants of Glyphosate-Based Herbicides in Human Mononuclear White Blood Cells. *Toxics* **2023**, *11*, 976. <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics11120976>

Academic Editor: Paweł Cyplik

Received: 25 October 2023

Revised: 22 November 2023

Accepted: 29 November 2023

Published: 1 December 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Glyphosate is one of the dominant active ingredients in today’s plant protection products, used primarily in agriculture and residential areas to eradicate unwanted weeds [1]. Agricultural use of glyphosate-based herbicides (GBHs) has significantly increased since introducing genetically engineered and herbicide-tolerant crops in 1996. Globally, the amount of glyphosate applied by farmers has increased approximately 15-fold, from 51 million kilograms in 1995 to 747 million kilograms in 2014 [2], and it has been estimated that around 385,000 km² of agricultural land is now affected by glyphosate contamination [3]. This herbicide’s widespread and large-scale application has led to its contamination in the environment and edible products [4–6]; hence, human exposure is inevitable.

Although glyphosate is the active substance in GBHs, other ingredients, often labeled as “inert”, “co-formulants”, or “adjuvants”, are also added to the formulations to improve the efficacy of the active ingredient. These co-formulants usually vary from one country to another. Unfortunately, the manufacturing industries often consider their identities and exact concentrations as confidential business information, which they are not legally obliged to disclose [7,8]. Consequently, the nature and extent to which these adjuvants contribute to the potentially dangerous effects of GBHs on humans and the environment is often obscured.

The hazards and related risks of GBHs are mainly assessed in terms of the toxicity of the active ingredient, largely neglecting the potential harm of other components and the possible combined toxic effects of mixtures. Most marketed GBHs contain surfactants, including ethoxylated alkylphenols or polyethoxylated tallow amines (POEAs), which increase the solubility of glyphosate by forming micelles and protect it from natural degradation [9]. The presence of co-formulants may promote the penetration of glyphosate into the target plant and the skin of exposed individuals. On the other hand, the supposedly “inert” co-formulants can have biological activity on their own. They may be just as or even more toxic to humans than the active ingredient, or the components might have synergetic effects [7,10]. Previous studies concluded that POEAs or GBHs containing POEAs are more harmful than glyphosate alone and have been reported to significantly increase the acute toxicity of herbicide formulations [8]. Experimental studies indicated that co-formulants, especially POEAs, possess endocrine-disrupting effects and cell membrane damage [10–13]. Therefore, GBHs containing these surfactants are being removed from the market and replaced by new-generation surfactants that are presumably less toxic than POEAs [14,15].

Over the past few decades, numerous researchers have extensively investigated the harmful effects of glyphosate and GBHs on human cells *in vitro*, employing various techniques. Glyphosate, when used as an active ingredient, has been found to cause significant damage to DNA in different types of human cells, including HepG2 cells [16], fibrosarcoma cells (HT1080) [17], buccal epithelial carcinoma cells [18] and lymphocytes [19,20]. Recent research involving Burkitt’s lymphoma (Raji) cells exposed to glyphosate suggested that concentrations exceeding 10 mM were lethal to the cells, while physiologically relevant concentrations ($\leq 100 \mu\text{M}$) had no cytotoxic effect [21]. Additionally, Kwiatkowska et al. observed increased DNA damage in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) at concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 10 mM [17] and chromosomal damage in lymphocytes occurred at concentrations of $\geq 6 \text{ mM}$, as evidenced by sister-chromatid exchange [19].

GBHs were found to induce DNA strand breaks in HepG2 cells at concentrations as low as 5 ppm (29.6 μM) [22] and in buccal epithelial carcinoma cells at concentrations of 20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ (118.3 μM) [23]. In a more recent study by Woźniak et al. [20] the cyto- and genotoxic effects of a GBH (Roundup 360 PLUS) and its active ingredient glyphosate were assessed in PBMCs across a range of concentrations from 1 to 1000 μM . Their findings indicated that Roundup 360 PLUS caused DNA damage starting at 5 μM , substantially reducing cell viability from 50 μM onward. In contrast, glyphosate induced DNA lesions only at concentrations exceeding 500 μM without causing noticeable cytotoxicity [24].

In mammals, including humans, GBHs primarily exhibit cytotoxic and genotoxic effects, triggers inflammation, and disrupts lymphocyte functions and the immune system [25]. A study conducted by Nagy and their colleagues revealed that glyphosate did not cause significant cytotoxicity or genotoxicity within the concentration range of 1 to 1000 μM when used alone. In contrast, commercially available GBHs started causing substantial cell death at concentrations as low as 0.25 mM, along with a significant increase in DNA damage at concentrations of 0.5 mM for Roundup Mega and Glyphos and 0.75 mM for Fozat 480. As a result, the study concluded that the variations in toxicity between GBH formulations and the active ingredient could be explained by the higher cytotoxic activity of the co-formulants present in GBHs or their interactions with the active ingredient glyphosate [26]. Moreover, a recent systematic review of the scientific literature, which focused on comparative studies assessing the toxicity of pesticide active ingredients and their respective formulations, identified twenty-four studies that reported increased toxicity of different pesticide formulations compared to their active ingredients. GBHs were the subjects of ten of these studies; six concluded that Roundup, the most common glyphosate-based formulation, exhibited more significant toxicity than the active ingredient alone [27].

Due to the wide variety of adjuvants and the lack of information on their toxicity and other undesirable characteristics, there is a growing concern that the analysis of glyphosate as an active ingredient alone or with only an insignificant number of GBHs do not provide

sufficient information on the possible toxic effects of the formulations used in practice. To adequately protect human health, co-formulants' toxicity and the interaction between the components of GBHs should be evaluated more systematically. This study aims to investigate whether adjuvants are responsible for the previously observed cytotoxic effects caused by GBHs and whether they exert this effect through the previously hypothesized oxidative damage.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals and Reagents

Analytic-grade glyphosate (CAS No: 1071-83-6) was purchased from VWR International Kft (Debrecen, Hungary). The three different glyphosate-based herbicides used in this study, which were kindly provided by Hungarian pesticide applicators, are listed below:

Roundup Mega containing 551 g/L or 42% (*w/w*) potassium salt of glyphosate (CAS No: 70901-12-1; equivalent to 450 g/L glyphosate) and 7% (*w/w*) ethoxylated etheralkylamine (CAS No: 68478-96-6) according to its material and safety data sheet;

Fozat 480 containing 480 g/L or 41% (*w/w*) isopropylammonium salt of glyphosate (CAS No: 38641-94-0; equivalent to 360 g/L glyphosate) and <5% (*w/w*) hygroscopic substances (CAS No: 66455-29-6) according to its material and safety data sheet;

Glyfos containing 480 g/L or 42% (*w/w*) isopropylammonium salt of glyphosate (equivalent to 360 g/L glyphosate) and 9% (*w/w*) polyethoxylated tallow amine (CAS No: 61791-26-2) according to its material and safety data sheet.

Alkyl dimethyl betaine (EMPIGEN[®] BB detergent), a co-formulant of Fozat 480, indicated as Adjuvant A in our study, was purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Polyethoxylated tallow amine (ROKAmin SR22), a co-formulant of Glyfos, indicated as Adjuvant B in our study, was kindly provided by PCC Exol SA (Brzeg Dolny, Poland). Cell culture medium and its supplements were obtained from VWR International (Leuven, Belgium). Heparin-containing vacutainers were purchased from B.D. Vacutainer Systems (Plymouth, UK). RIPA Lysis and Extraction Buffer (Cat. number 89900) were obtained from ThermoFisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). Superoxide Dismutase (SOD) Activity Assay kit (Cat. number ab65354) was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8, Cat. number ALX-850-039) was obtained from Enzo Life Sciences (Farmingdale, New York, NY, USA).

2.2. Cell Culture

Human peripheral whole blood samples were obtained via venipuncture and collected in EDTA-containing vacutainer tubes from three non-smoking, healthy volunteers (males aged 35–37 years) without previous contact with pesticides, mutagens, or carcinogens. Informed consent was obtained from all donors involved in the study. Human mononuclear white blood (HMWB) cells were separated from the erythrocytes via density gradient centrifugation over Histopaque-1077 solution. The buffy coat containing the HMWB cells was aspirated and resuspended in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), 2 mM L-Glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, and 250 ng/mL amphotericin. Prior to treatment, the number of cells required for each assay was set up in a Bürker hemocytometer, with each sample containing an equal number of HMWB cells (2×10^6).

2.3. Cell Treatment

HMWB cells were treated in the culture medium with increasing concentrations (0.1 µM, 1 µM, 10 µM, 100 µM, 1 mM, 10 mM) of glyphosate alone, the three GBHs (Roundup Mega, Fozat 480, and Glyfos) and the two adjuvants in such a way that the concentrations of glyphosate in GBHs were equivalent, and the concentrations set for the adjuvants were equivalent to their concentrations in the corresponding GBH. The concentrations of GBHs and adjuvants are referred to as glyphosate-equivalent concentrations in this study. Concentrations were chosen based on the results from previous *in vitro* studies

performed on human lymphocytes [20,21]. The stock solutions and dilution series were prepared in RPMI 1640 and adjusted with 1 M NaOH to a pH of 7.2. Various concentrations of glyphosate, GBHs, Adjuvant A and B together with a negative control of RPMI 1640 and a positive control of 100 μ M of H₂O₂ were added to the cell cultures and incubated at 37 °C for 4 h and 20 h, respectively.

2.4. Cytotoxicity Assessment

Cell viability was assessed using the CCK-8 assay. After treatment, cells were centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 2 min. The supernatant was discarded, and 100 μ L of CCK-8 reagent ($\times 100$ dilution using RPMI 1640 medium) was added to the tubes containing the cells. Cell suspensions were then transferred to a 96-well plate and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. The absorbance was measured at 460 nm using an Epoch microplate spectrophotometer purchased from BioTek Instruments, Inc. (Winooski, VT, USA). Relative cell viability was quantified by comparing the absorbance (A) of treated cells with that of untreated cells (negative control) with the following formula:

$$\text{Viability (\%)} = \frac{A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{negative control}}} \times 100$$

2.5. Measurement of Intracellular Oxidative Stress

Superoxide dismutase (SOD) is a vital biological antioxidant enzyme that plays a crucial role in safeguarding cells against oxidative stress. It serves as the primary enzyme responsible for catalyzing chemical reactions aimed at eliminating harmful reactive oxygen species (ROS). One of its essential functions is the dismutation of superoxide radicals into less damaging oxygen and hydrogen peroxide. A decrease in SOD activity is associated with an increase in the production of reactive oxygen species, which can be induced by certain xenobiotics; therefore, SOD activity is a frequently used biochemical index for intracellular oxidative stress assessment after chemical exposures [28–31].

SOD assay was used to evaluate the oxidative stress level in HMWB cells. The assay utilizes a WST-1 substrate (a tetrazolium salt) to detect superoxide anion radicals generated by xanthine oxidase. WST-1 is transformed into a water-soluble formazan dye upon reduction with superoxide anion. This dye's production is directly proportional to the activity of xanthine oxidase and is inhibited by the presence of SOD.

The assay was carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions. In brief, after treatment, cells were centrifuged at 1500 rpm, and the cell pellet was washed with ice-cold PBS. Next, 50 μ L of RIPA lysis and extraction buffer supplemented with phosphate and protease inhibitors was added to each tube and left for 30 min in the ice bath. Lysates were ultracentrifuged at 14,000 $\times g$ for 15 min at 4 °C. The supernatants were then transferred to a 96-well plate, and reagents of the SOD assay were immediately added to the samples. The plate was incubated at 37 °C for 20 min.

The reduction of WST-1 to formazan was quantified following the increase in absorbance at 450 nm using an Epoch microplate spectrophotometer (BioTek Instruments, Inc. (Winooski, VT, USA)). The percentage of reaction inhibition rate indicates SOD activity and was calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{SOD activity (inhibition rate \%)} = \frac{(A_{\text{blank1}} - A_{\text{blank3}}) - (A_{\text{sample}} - A_{\text{blank2}})}{(A_{\text{blank1}} - A_{\text{blank3}})} \times 100$$

where A = Absorbance, and blank1, blank2 and blank3 are assay controls.

2.6. Statistical Analysis

Data were expressed as mean \pm standard error of the means (SEM) of three independently repeated experiments. Cell viability (%) and SOD activity (%) induced by various concentrations of the test agents in repeated experiments were statistically compared to

that of untreated cells via one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test using STATA software version 12.0 (College Station, TX, USA). A statistically significant difference was accepted at a 5% significance level.

3. Results

3.1. Cytotoxicity

The cytotoxicity of the tested substances was evaluated based on the degree of cell survival at each concentration. The viability of cells after treatment with pure glyphosate, GBHs and adjuvants decreased to varying degrees with increasing concentrations and exposure times.

Pure glyphosate exhibited a low level of cytotoxicity (>80% cell viability) after 4 h treatment throughout the tested concentration range. Interestingly, after 20 h of exposure, glyphosate reduced cell viability to 70%.

For GBHs, a statistically significant and marked decrease in cell viability was observed after 4 and 20 h of exposure to the 100 μ M concentration and above. The viability of cells exposed to the co-formulants showed a similar trend to GBHs. The cytotoxic effect induced by Adjuvant B was comparable to that of GBHs. However, 100 μ M and 1 mM concentrations of Adjuvant A caused a lower level of cell death than Adjuvant B at both treatment durations (Figure 1).

3.2. Superoxide Dismutase Activity

The action of SOD was decreased in a concentration-dependent manner in the HMWB cells treated with GBHs and co-formulants, especially after 20 h of exposure in the higher concentration range. Glyphosate alone did not substantially affect SOD enzyme activity (>90%). The three GBHs reduced SOD activity to a similar extent, the reduction becoming apparent at concentrations above 100 μ M, especially after 20 h of exposure. The pattern of the reduction in SOD activity caused by Adjuvant B was identical to that of GBHs, but the decrease caused by Adjuvant A was found to be moderate compared to Adjuvant B. These results indicate the ability of GBHs and co-formulants to induce oxidative stress (Figure 2).




Figure 1. Viability of HMWB cells after 4 h (A) and 20 h (B) exposure to various concentrations of glyphosate, three GBHs (Roundup Mega, Fozat 480 and Glyphos) and two co-formulants (Adjuvant A and Adjuvant B) detected via CCK-8 assay. The data points indicate the means \pm standard error of three repeated experiments' means (SEM). Statistically significant decrease (** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$) in cell viability was determined by comparing the values induced by various doses of glyphosate, GBHs or co-formulants to the background level of untreated cells via one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test.




Figure 2. Changes in superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity (%) in HMWB cells induced by 4 h (A) and 20 h (B) exposure to glyphosate, three GBHs (Roundup Mega, Fozat 480 and Glyphos) and two co-formulants (Adjuvant A and Adjuvant B). Data are means \pm standard error of three repeated experiments' means (SEM). Statistically significant (* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$) decrease in SOD activity was determined by comparing the values induced by various doses of glyphosate, GBHs and co-formulants to that of untreated cells via one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test.

4. Discussion

Various adjuvants are added to pesticide formulations, such as to glyphosate-based herbicides, to increase the effectiveness of the active ingredient [32,33]. Several comparative studies suggested that the toxicity of GBHs is not merely determined by the glyphosate as an active ingredient alone but rather by the co-formulants added to the pesticide formulation and/or their interaction with the active ingredient [8,22]. Due to the extensive use of GBHs, it is necessary to investigate whether these co-formulants influence the toxicity of the formulations used in daily practice. This study investigated whether other ingredients of GBHs play a role in the previously observed cytotoxic effect of the formulations and in the mechanism by which this effect may be mediated.

The overall cytotoxic effect of GBHs increased with increasing concentration. In contrast, the toxicity of glyphosate on its own remained relatively low even at higher concentrations. These findings corroborate previous studies reporting much lower cytotoxic action of glyphosate than GBHs. For instance, the investigation by Gasnier and colleagues

reported that the viability of HepG2 human hepatoma cells remained consistently high following acute exposure to glyphosate when compared to GBHs at equivalent concentrations [23]. Similarly, a study comparing the cytotoxicity of pure glyphosate with GBHs showed that the viability of HMWB cells exposed to glyphosate remained consistently above 86% in the 0–1000 μM concentration range [24]. Furthermore, when adult planarians were exposed to glyphosate for a duration of 7 days, lethality was only observed at a relatively high concentration (1000 μM). However, when exposed to GBHs (Roundup[®] Concentrate Plus and Roundup[®] Ready-to-Use Weed), lethality was already observed at a much lower concentration (100 μM) [25].

In contrast to glyphosate's benign effects, all three GBHs reduced cell viability rates below 50% from the concentration of 100 μM . The ability of GBHs to induce higher levels of cytotoxicity than pure glyphosate has been a consistent finding in numerous prior investigations. Nagy and colleagues, for instance, reported that within a four-hour exposure period, GBH formulations resulted in significantly diminished viability of HMWB cells, with rates falling below 23% for Roundup Mega and Glyphos at a concentration of 500 μM . Fozat 480 exhibited similar toxicity but at a concentration of 750 μM [24]. Another GBH, RangerPro, a generic equivalent of Roundup Mega, was found to be ~22 times more cytotoxic than pure glyphosate in the Caco-2 cell line following 24 h exposure [32]. It was also reported that in a study investigating the viability of mouse fibroblast (L929) and human epithelial (Caco2) cells, Roundup Bioflow treatment resulted in lower IC50 than exposure to glyphosate alone [26]. Exposure to Roundup also led to an increased level of cytotoxicity in a human alveolar carcinoma cell line (A549). The proportion of apoptotic cells significantly rose to 72.07% due to 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ Roundup treatment in contrast to 2.45% in the control group [34]. Bednářová and colleagues conducted an *in vivo* study that compared the toxicity of acute and chronic exposure to glyphosate and Roundup. Their observations indicated that the mortality rate of fruit flies (*D. melanogaster*) induced by exposure to 3000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ Roundup was approximately 52%, compared to 28% after treatment with an equivalent dose of glyphosate. This suggests that Roundup causes significantly higher mortality than glyphosate [35].

During the evaluation of the adjuvants' cytotoxicity, it was evident that Adjuvant A exerted a lower level of cytotoxicity compared to Adjuvant B and to the tested GBH formulations. Adjuvant A, with the IUPAC name alkyl dimethyl betaines, serves as the hygroscopic substance in the Fozat 480 herbicide formulation. It is predominantly employed as a surfactant due to its exceptional foaming properties. As documented in the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) registries, alkyl dimethyl betaines (in our study, Adjuvant A) do not exhibit any conclusive evidence of genotoxicity, carcinogenicity or reproductive toxicity in both *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies. The observed effects in humans primarily include skin and eye irritation [36,37]. Nevertheless, in our study we found evidence of a detectable cytotoxic effect above 100 μM .

Conversely, Adjuvant B, with the IUPAC name polyethoxylated tallow amines, serves as a surfactant in the Glyphos herbicide formulation. Polyethoxylated tallow amines, or POEAs, are a group of chemical compounds employed across various industrial and commercial sectors. Acting as surfactants, they can reduce the surface tension of liquids, enhancing their wetting, spreading and emulsifying characteristics. Tallow amines are typically derived from animal fats which are ethoxylated by the addition of several ethylene oxide units. These non-ionic surfactants are used in herbicide formulations to heighten the effectiveness of the active ingredients [14,35,38]. Multiple studies have highlighted the toxicity associated with polyethoxylated tallow amines. For instance, Mesnage and colleagues tested the toxicity of POEAs, corresponding to Adjuvant B, and found that POEAs exhibited comparatively higher toxicity than glyphosate and Roundup. The LC50 value was approximately 1–2 ppm, making it 100-fold more toxic than Roundup. This assessment was based on the ability of POEAs to inhibit the action of the mitochondrial succinate dehydrogenase enzyme across HEK 293, HepG2 and JEG3 cell lines [39]. In a study by Hao et al., it was concluded that POEA adjuvants in Roundup influenced the

apoptotic effect of the formulation. POEAs induced apoptosis in 85.53% of the cells, while the level of apoptosis caused by Roundup was 72.07% ($p < 0.01$) [34]. In yet another study, the outcomes were similar: POEAs had an EC50 of 19.3 mg/L, Roundup Mega exhibited an EC50 of 82.7 mg/L and Roundup Classic had an EC50 of 54.7 mg/L [27]. However, differing results were also reported in other studies where Roundup displayed lower LC50 values (male: 587.7 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$; female: 774.4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) in comparison to POEAs (LC50: 1044.9 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ for males and 1322.6 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ for females) when tested with *D. melanogaster* after 72 h of exposure [35]. The disparities in these findings could be attributed to variations in exposure times and the use of higher doses when compared to our study.

The other objective of our study was to elucidate the mechanism of cytotoxicity by assessing the extent of intracellular oxidative stress with superoxide dismutase activity. Our findings demonstrated that glyphosate did not reduce SOD activity to the same extent as GBHs and adjuvants. Specifically, the reduction induced by the GBHs was significantly greater than that of the active ingredient, especially during a 20 h exposure period. The detected SOD activity was also relatively low after treatment with both adjuvants, but slightly higher than in case of the formulations. Notably, Adjuvant A treatment displayed a higher SOD activity at a concentration of 10 mM during a 4 h exposure period, similar to Fozat 480. The decline in SOD activity observed in both GBHs and adjuvants can be attributed to an increased level of superoxide radicals within the samples.

Various studies assessed the mechanism of toxicity of GBHs and co-formulants. Mesnage and colleagues demonstrated that POEA disrupts membrane integrity in human cells even at relatively low concentrations, promoting cellular necrosis and endocrine disruption [39]. Prolonged exposure to pesticides can alter erythrocyte enzyme activity; thus, organophosphate exposure induces oxidative stress and alters the defense mechanism, which is indicated by low levels of SOD activity [40]. A comprehensive review of experimental evidence from research studies suggests that pesticides induce oxidative stress, which is mainly influenced by the induction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) [41]. Oxidative stress has been recognized for its ability to trigger an adaptive response in cells and organisms when encountered at moderate stress levels and low doses. In such conditions, living organisms may activate protective mechanisms to counteract the effects of oxidative stress and maintain cellular and physiological homeostasis. This adaptive response can serve as a defense mechanism against potential harm caused by oxidative stressors. So, moderate stimulations can be beneficial, but high doses can cause inhibitory or toxic effects [11]. The increased cytotoxicity observed in our study, mainly due to exposure to higher concentrations of GBH formulations and adjuvants, may be associated with severe cellular damage caused by oxidative stress due to the induction of reactive oxygen radicals, which may consequently result in the disruption of the cell membrane structure.

Cytotoxicity plays an important role in chemical carcinogenesis, particularly in non-genotoxic mechanisms of carcinogenicity. Non-genotoxic carcinogens do not directly interact with DNA but instead are thought to induce tumor formation by affecting cellular structures and altering the rates of cell proliferation or other processes that heighten the likelihood of genetic errors [42]. Our findings on the role of co-formulants in the cytotoxic effects caused by GBHs may therefore provide valuable input data for the evaluation of the human cancer risk caused by these herbicides.

The general population is exposed to GBHs primarily from food containing residues or the use of household herbicides containing glyphosate, but individuals working in specific occupational environments, such as agriculture or landscaping, where these herbicides are frequently utilized, might face much higher levels of exposure compared to the general population [43]. Despite the widespread use of glyphosate and the rising concerns and debates about its potential adverse health effects in the population, there have been a scarcity of human biomonitoring studies specifically focusing on glyphosate. Research carried out so far has demonstrated that typical exposure levels of GBHs in the general population or in occupational settings do not appear to pose an acute toxicity risk to

humans [44]. Although acute toxicity risks are not evident at typical exposure levels, long-term and repeated low-level exposure to GBHs can still lead to potential chronic health effects [45]. Human biomonitoring studies reporting on blood levels of glyphosate are almost absent in the literature. Only two studies have measured glyphosate levels in maternal blood and umbilical cord serum, and in the serum of non-pregnant women. The median value of glyphosate in maternal serum was 17.5 µg/L (0.1 µM). In the umbilical cord, the median glyphosate level was 0.2 µg/L (1.18 nM) [46]. The mean glyphosate level in non-pregnant women was 73.6 ± 28.2 µg/L (0.44 ± 0.17 µM) [47]. Measurements of urinary glyphosate or its main metabolite, aminomethylphosphonic acid (AMPA), also serve as valuable biomarkers for identifying exposure [48]. However, there is currently insufficient toxicokinetic data to establish a direct correlation between the amount of these substances detected in urine and the body's overall burden of GBHs or the extent of environmental exposure. Despite their utility in indicating exposure, understanding the precise relationship between urinary glyphosate and/or metabolite levels and the actual extent of exposure or the total body burden of GBHs requires further investigation and more comprehensive studies to establish clearer associations.

5. Conclusions

This study allowed us to compare the cytotoxicity of glyphosate and GBH formulations with their respective declared co-formulants in human mononuclear white blood cells. The lack of cytotoxicity of the active ingredient glyphosate and the marked cytotoxic effects caused by the co-formulants suggest that the adjuvants may be responsible for the overall toxicity of the GBH formulations and that this effect may be exerted through the induction of oxidative stress. This study confirms previous hypotheses that surfactant adjuvants in GBHs can highly influence the toxicity of these herbicide products.

Our results indicate the importance of a full assessment of the toxicity of adjuvants and formulations as a priority in the pre-market hazard identification and risk assessment of glyphosate-based herbicides, with particular attention paid to the evaluation of their potential late toxic effects (e.g., carcinogenicity).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, K.R.M., K.N. and B.Á.; Methodology, K.R.M. and S.N.M.; Software, K.R.M. and K.N.; Validation, K.N.; Formal Analysis, K.R.M.; Investigation, K.R.M. and S.N.M.; Resources, K.R.M. and K.N.; Data Curation, K.R.M.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, K.R.M. and K.N.; Writing—Review and Editing, K.N. and B.Á.; Visualization, K.R.M. and K.N.; Supervision, K.N.; Project Administration, K.N.; Funding Acquisition, K.N. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was supported by the Tempus Public Foundation, Stipendium Hungaricum Scholarship Programme Ph.D. grant and the OTKA Bridging Fund (University of Debrecen, Faculty of Medicine).

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Scientific and Research Ethics Committee of the University of Debrecen and the ethical board of the National Public Health Centre (NPHC) of Hungary on 12 February 2019 (protocol code 147-5/2019/EÜIG).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The original data presented in the study are included in the article; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

1. Perry, E.D.; Ciliberto, F.; Hennessy, D.A.; Moschini, G.C. Genetically Engineered Crops and Pesticide Use in U.S. Maize and Soybeans. *Sci. Adv.* **2016**, *2*, e1600850. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Benbrook, C.M. Trends in Glyphosate Herbicide Use in the United States and Globally. *Environ. Sci. Eur.* **2016**, *28*, 3. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

3. Maggi, F.; la Cecilia, D.; Tang, F.H.M.; McBratney, A. The Global Environmental Hazard of Glyphosate Use. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *717*, 137167. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Tudi, M.; Ruan, H.D.; Wang, L.; Lyu, J.; Sadler, R.; Connell, D.; Chu, C.; Phung, D.T. Agriculture Development, Pesticide Application and Its Impact on the Environment. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 1112. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Tudi, M.; Li, H.; Li, H.; Wang, L.; Lyu, J.; Yang, L.; Tong, S.; Yu, Q.J.; Ruan, H.D.; Atabila, A.; et al. Exposure Routes and Health Risks Associated with Pesticide Application. *Toxics* **2022**, *10*, 335. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Damalas, C.A.; Eleftherohorinos, I.G. Pesticide Exposure, Safety Issues, and Risk Assessment Indicators. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2011**, *8*, 1402–1419. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Cox, C.; Surgan, M. Unidentified Inert Ingredients in Pesticides: Implications for Human and Environmental Health. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2006**, *114*, 1803–1806. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Gandhi, K.; Khan, S.; Patrikar, M.; Markad, A.; Kumar, N.; Choudhari, A.; Sagar, P.; Indurkar, S. Exposure Risk and Environmental Impacts of Glyphosate: Highlights on the Toxicity of Herbicide Co-Formulants. *Environ. Chall.* **2021**, *4*, 100149. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Cserhati, T. Alkyl Ethoxylated and Alkylphenol Ethoxylated Nonionic Surfactants: Interaction with Bioactive Compounds and Biological Effects. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **1995**, *103*, 358–364. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Defarge, N.; Takács, E.; Lozano, V.L.; Mesnage, R.; de Vendômois, J.S.; Séralini, G.E.; Székács, A. Co-Formulants in Glyphosate-Based Herbicides Disrupt Aromatase Activity in Human Cells below Toxic Levels. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2016**, *13*, 264. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Mesnage, R.; Teixeira, M.; Mandrioli, D.; Falcioni, L.; Ibragim, M.; Ducarmon, Q.R.; Zwitter, R.D.; Amiel, C.; Panoff, J.M.; Bourne, E.; et al. Multi-Omics Phenotyping of the Gut-Liver Axis Reveals Metabolic Perturbations from a Low-Dose Pesticide Mixture in Rats. *Commun. Biol.* **2021**, *4*, 471. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Webster, T.M.U.; Santos, E.M. Global Transcriptomic Profiling Demonstrates Induction of Oxidative Stress and of Compensatory Cellular Stress Responses in Brown Trout Exposed to Glyphosate and Roundup. *BMC Genomics* **2015**, *16*, 32. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Martins-Gomes, C.; Silva, T.L.; Andreani, T.; Silva, A.M. Glyphosate vs. Glyphosate-Based Herbicides Exposure: A Review on Their Toxicity. *J. Xenobiot.* **2022**, *12*, 21–40. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Mesnage, R.; Ferguson, S.; Brandsma, I.; Moelijker, N.; Zhang, G.; Mazzacuva, F.; Caldwell, A.; Halket, J.; Antoniou, M.N. The Surfactant Co-Formulant POEA in the Glyphosate-Based Herbicide RangerPro but Not Glyphosate Alone Causes Necrosis in Caco-2 and HepG2 Human Cell Lines and ER Stress in the ToxTracker Assay. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2022**, *168*, 113380. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Székács, A.; Darvas, B. Re-Registration Challenges of Glyphosate in the European Union. *Front. Environ. Sci.* **2018**, *6*, 1–35. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Mañas, F.; Peralta, L.; Raviolo, J.; Ovando, H.G.; Weyers, A.; Ugnia, L.; Cid, M.G.; Larripa, I.; Gorla, N. Genotoxicity of Glyphosate Assessed by the Comet Assay and Cytogenetic Tests. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* **2009**, *28*, 37–41. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Kwiatkowska, M.; Reszka, E.; Woźniak, K.; Jabłońska, E.; Michałowicz, J.; Bukowska, B. DNA Damage and Methylation Induced by Glyphosate in Human Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells (In Vitro Study). *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2017**, *105*, 93–98. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Koller, V.J.; Fürhacker, M.; Nersesyan, A.; Mišák, M.; Eisenbauer, M.; Knasmueller, S. Cytotoxic and DNA-Damaging Properties of Glyphosate and Roundup in Human-Derived Buccal Epithelial Cells. *Arch. Toxicol.* **2012**, *86*, 805–813. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
19. Luaces, J.P.; Rossi, L.F.; Chirino, M.G.; Browne, M.; Merani, M.S.; Mudry, M.D. Genotoxic Effects of Roundup Full II[®] on Lymphocytes of *Chaetophractus villosus* (Xenarthra, Mammalia): In Vitro Studies. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0182911. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
20. Woźniak, E.; Sicińska, P.; Michałowicz, J.; Woźniak, K.; Reszka, E.; Huras, B.; Zakrzewski, J.; Bukowska, B. The Mechanism of DNA Damage Induced by Roundup 360 PLUS, Glyphosate and AMPA in Human Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells—Genotoxic Risk Assessment. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2018**, *120*, 510–522. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Mladinic, M.; Berend, S.; Vrdoljak, A.L.; Kopjar, N.; Radic, B.; Zeljezic, D. Evaluation of Genome Damage and Its Relation to Oxidative Stress Induced by Glyphosate in Human Lymphocytes in Vitro. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* **2009**, *50*, 800–807. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
22. Mesnage, R.; Antoniou, M.N. Ignoring Adjuvant Toxicity Falsifies the Safety Profile of Commercial Pesticides. *Front. Public Health* **2018**, *5*, 361. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Gasnier, C.; Dumont, C.; Benachour, N.; Clair, E.; Chagnon, M.C.; Séralini, G.E. Glyphosate-Based Herbicides Are Toxic and Endocrine Disruptors in Human Cell Lines. *Toxicology* **2009**, *262*, 184–191. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Nagy, K.; Tessema, R.A.; Budnik, L.T.; Ádám, B. Comparative Cyto- and Genotoxicity Assessment of Glyphosate and Glyphosate-Based Herbicides in Human Peripheral White Blood Cells. *Environ. Res.* **2019**, *179*, 108851. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Fuselier, S.G.; Ireland, D.; Fu, N.; Rabeler, C.; Collins, E.S. Comparative Toxicity Assessment of Glyphosate and Two Commercial Formulations in the planarian *Dugesia japonica*. *Front. Toxicol.* **2023**, *5*, 1200881. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
26. Truzzi, F.; Mandrioli, D.; Gnudi, F.; Scheepers, P.T.J.; Silbergeld, E.K.; Belpoggi, F.; Dinelli, G. Comparative Evaluation of the Cytotoxicity of Glyphosate-Based Herbicides and Glycine in L929 and Caco2 Cells. *Front. Public Health* **2021**, *9*, 643898. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
27. Tóth, G.; Háhn, J.; Radó, J.; Szalai, D.A.; Kriszt, B.; Szoboszlai, S. Cytotoxicity and Hormonal Activity of Glyphosate-Based Herbicides. *Environ. Pollut.* **2020**, *265*, 115027. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
28. Bukowska, B.; Michałowicz, J.; Pieniazek, D.; Sicińska, P.; Duda, W. Superoxide Dismutases and Their Inhibitors—the Role in Some Diseases. *Curr. Enzym. Inhib.* **2006**, *2*, 379–397. [[CrossRef](#)]

29. Weydert, C.J.; Cullen, J.J. Measurement of Superoxide Dismutase, Catalase and Glutathione Peroxidase in Cultured Cells and Tissue. *Nat. Protoc.* **2010**, *5*, 51–66. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Landis, G.N.; Tower, J. Superoxide Dismutase Evolution and Life Span Regulation. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* **2005**, *126*, 365–379. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Munné-Bosch, S.; Pintó-Marijuan, M. Free Radicals, Oxidative Stress and Antioxidants. *Encycl. Appl. Plant Sci.* **2016**, *1*, 16–19. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Mesnage, R.; Ferguson, S.; Mazzacuva, F.; Caldwell, A.; Halket, J.; Antoniou, M.N. Cytotoxicity Mechanisms and Composition of the Glyphosate Formulated Herbicide RangerPro. *bioRxiv* **2021**, 2021.11.18.469091. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Maldonado-Reina, A.J.; López-Ruiz, R.; Romero-González, R.; Martínez Vidal, J.L.; Garrido-Frenich, A. Assessment of Co-Formulants in Marketed Plant Protection Products by LC-Q-Orbitrap-MS: Application of a Hybrid Data Treatment Strategy Combining Suspect Screening and Unknown Analysis. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2022**, *70*, 7302–7313. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Hao, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Cheng, J.; Xu, W.; Xu, Z.; Gao, J.; Tao, L. Adjuvant Contributes Roundup's Unexpected Effects on A549 Cells. *Environ. Res.* **2020**, *184*, 109306. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Bednářová, A.; Kropf, M.; Krishnan, N. The Surfactant Polyethoxylated Tallowamine (POEA) Reduces Lifespan and Inhibits Fecundity in *Drosophila Melanogaster*- In Vivo and in Vitro Study. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2020**, *188*, 109883. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. OECD. Screening Information Dataset (SIDS) Initial Assessment Profile (SIAP). October 2006. Available online: <https://hpvchemicals.oecd.org/ui/handler.axd?id=8e660767-927e-40d7-ae67-d04c7a9e786a> (accessed on 17 October 2023).
37. European Chemical Agency (ECHA). Chemical Registration Dossier. Available online: <https://echa.europa.eu/de/registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/14910/11/?documentUUID=6b474032-0399-439f-a86b-c66c63a88f82> (accessed on 25 September 2023).
38. Brausch, J.M.; Smith, P.N. Toxicity of Three Polyethoxylated Tallowamine Surfactant Formulations to Laboratory and Field Collected Fairy Shrimp, *Thamnocephalus Platyurus*. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* **2007**, *52*, 217–221. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
39. Mesnage, R.; Bernay, B.; Séralini, G.E. Ethoxylated Adjuvants of Glyphosate-Based Herbicides Are Active Principles of Human Cell Toxicity. *Toxicology* **2013**, *313*, 122–128. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Lopez, O.; Hernandez, A.; Rodrigo, L.; Gil, F.; Pena, G.; Serrano, J.; Parron, T.; Villanueva, E.; Pla, A. Changes in Antioxidant Enzymes in Humans with Long-Term Exposure to Pesticides. *Toxicol. Lett.* **2007**, *171*, 146–153. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Sule, R.O.; Condon, L.; Gomes, A.V. A Common Feature of Pesticides: Oxidative Stress—The Role of Oxidative Stress in Pesticide-Induced Toxicity. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* **2022**, *2022*, 5563759. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Hayashi, Y. Overview of Genotoxic Carcinogens and Non-Genotoxic Carcinogens. *Exp. Toxicol. Pathol.* **1992**, *44*, 465–471. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
43. Gillezeau, C.; Van Gerwen, M.; Shaffer, R.M.; Rana, I.; Zhang, L.; Sheppard, L.; Taioli, E. The Evidence of Human Exposure to Glyphosate: A Review. *Environ. Health Glob. Access Sci. Source* **2019**, *18*, 2. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Alvarez, F.; Arena, M.; Auteri, D.; Binaglia, M.; Castoldi, A.F.; Chiusolo, A.; Colagiorgi, A.; Colas, M.; Crivellente, F.; De Lentdecker, C.; et al. Peer Review of the Pesticide Risk Assessment of the Active Substance Fat Distillation Residues. *EFSA J.* **2023**, *21*, 7811. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Van Bruggen, A.H.C.; He, M.M.; Shin, K.; Mai, V.; Jeong, K.C.; Finckh, M.R.; Morris, J.G. Environmental and Health Effects of the Herbicide Glyphosate. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2018**, *616–617*, 255–268. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Kongtip, P.; Nankongnab, N.; Phupancharoensuk, R.; Palarach, C.; Sujirarat, D.; Sangprasert, S.; Sermasuk, M.; Sawattrakool, N.; Woskie, S.R. Glyphosate and Paraquat in Maternal and Fetal Serums in Thai Women. *J. Agromed.* **2017**, *22*, 282–289. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Aris, A.; Leblanc, S. Maternal and Fetal Exposure to Pesticides Associated to Genetically Modified Foods in Eastern Townships of Quebec, Canada. *Reprod. Toxicol.* **2011**, *31*, 528–533. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
48. Connolly, A.; Coggins, M.A.; Koch, H.M. Human Biomonitoring of Glyphosate Exposures: State-of-the-Art and Future Research Challenges. *Toxics* **2020**, *8*, 60. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.