

Review



Ddpd as Expanded Terpyridine: Dramatic Effects of Symmetry and Electronic Properties in First Row Transition Metal Complexes

Christoph Förster ¹, Matthias Dorn ¹, Thomas Reuter ¹, Sven Otto ^{1,2}, Güllü Davarci ³, Tobias Reich ³, Luca Carrella ¹, Eva Rentschler ¹ and Katja Heinze ^{1,*}

- ¹ Institute of Inorganic Chemistry and Analytical Chemistry, Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz, Duesbergweg 10-14, 55128 Mainz, Germany; cfoerster@uni-mainz.de (C.F.); matthiasdorn@uni-mainz.de (M.D.); t.reuter@uni-mainz.de (T.R.); sven.otto@uni-mainz.de (S.O.); carrella@uni-mainz.de (L.C.); rentschl@uni-mainz.de (E.R.)
- ² Graduate School Materials Science in Mainz, Staudingerweg 9, 55128 Mainz, Germany
- ³ Institute of Nuclear Chemistry, Fritz-Strassmann-Weg 2, 55128 Mainz, Germany; davarci@students.unimainz.de (G.D.); treich@uni-mainz.de (T.R.)
- * Correspondence: katja.heinze@uni-mainz.de; Tel.: +49-6131-39-27277

Received: 26 July 2018; Accepted: 22 August 2018; Published: 27 August 2018

Abstract: The 2,2':6':2"-terpyridine ligand has literally shaped the coordination chemistry of transition metal complexes in a plethora of fields. Expansion of the ligand bite by amine functionalities between the pyridine units in the tridentate N,N'-dimethyl-N,N'-dipyridine-2-yl-pyridine-2,6-diamine ligand (ddpd) modifies the properties of corresponding transition metal complexes, comprising redox chemistry, molecular dynamics, magnetism and luminescence. The origins of these differences between ddpd and tpy complexes will be elucidated and comprehensively summarized with respect to first row transition metal complexes with d^2-d^{10} electron configurations. Emerging applications of these ddpd complexes complementary to those of the well-known terpyridine ligand will be highlighted.

Keywords: tridentate ligands; expanded terpyridine; pincer ligands; ligand bite angle; ligand field splitting; 3d metal ions

1. Introduction

Pincer-type [1,2] terpyridine ligands (2,2':6',2"-terpyridine tpy; pincer ligands are tridentate, 6electron donor ligands that enforce a meridional coordination geometry on the metal centre) and their manifold complexes [3] play a central role in key fields of coordination chemistry with important applications in supramolecular chemistry [4–14], spin-crossover (SCO) phenomena [15–18], homogeneous catalysis [19–21], biomedical applications [22], redox non-innocence [23–27] or photochemistry [6,7,28], to name just a few.

Variations of the prototypical tpy ligand include substitution at the central and outer pyridines, ring annulation at the outer *N*-heterocycles, for example, forming quinolines and substitution of pyridines by other heterocycles such as thiophenes or pyrimidines. Expansion of the tpy scaffold by formally inserting a single-atom bridge between the pyridines has been very successfully employed especially in the field of emissive ruthenium(II) complexes and photosensitizers [28–38].

The effect of tpy ligand expansion in other, especially 3d metal complexes has been only scarcely explored and a systematic description and comparison with the prototypical tpy complexes is lacking. This review summarizes all available data on the expanded ligand ddpd (N,N'-dimethyl-N,N'-dipyridine-2-yl-pyridine-2,6-diamine), its homoleptic metal complexes $[M(ddpd)_2]^{n+}$ covering

 d^2-d^{10} electron configurations of the metal centre and a few heteroleptic complexes MCl_n(ddpd) and [M(dcpp)(ddpd)]²⁺ (dcpp = 2,6-bis(2-carbonylpyridyl)pyridine). A special focus will be placed on the similarities and differences with respect to analogous terpyridine complexes (Scheme 1) in the fields of stereochemical, dynamic, magnetic, redox and photophysical phenomena.



Scheme 1. Homoleptic metal complexes of the tridentate polypyridine ligands tpy and ddpd.

2. Properties of the Ligand ddpd

The famous tridentate ligand tpy and its derivatives are typically prepared via copper or palladium mediated C–C coupling reactions or via Kröhnke condensation reactions [28,39,40]. The related expanded terpyridine ligand ddpd and its derivatives (deuterated, *N*-protonated, *N*-alkylated) can be prepared by three different routes [35,41,42]. The most direct and convenient way to ddpd is by nucleophilic substitution of 2,6-dibromopyridine with deprotonated 2-(methylamino)pyridine (Scheme 2a) [35]. The inverse substitution of 2-bromopyridine with deprotonated 2,6-diaminopyridine first yields the ligand 2,6 bis(2-pyridylamino)pyridine (H2tpda) [41]. This parent ligand is then *N*-alkylated by alkyl iodides such as methyl iodide to give ddpd, *n*-propyl iodide or *n*-hexyl iodide to give R2tpda (R = "Pr, "Hex; Scheme 2b) [43]. For deuterated derivatives of ddpd, starting from [D₅]-pyridine via its [D₅]-*N*-oxide and coupling with 2,6-diaminopyridine proved to be convenient to yield [D₈]-H2tpda. Alkylating [D₈]-H2tpda with CD₃I gives the deuterated ligand [D₁₄]-ddpd (Scheme 2c) [42].



Scheme 2. Syntheses of (**a**) ddpd, (**b**) H₂tpda, R₂tpda (R = n Pr, n Hex) and (**c**) [D₈]-H₂tpda and [D₁₄]ddpd; KHMDS = potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide, *m*-CPBA = *meta*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid, PyBroP = bromotripyrrolidinophosphonium hexafluorophosphate [35,41–43].

Similar to tpy [44], ddpd is not pre-organized for metal complexation and the terminal pyridines are oriented outward (Figure 1a,c). This allows for CH…N hydrogen bonding interactions and avoids repulsion between the pyridine nitrogen lone pairs and between CH groups (Figure 1a). Terpyridine forms 5-membered rings including a CH…N hydrogen bond with the central pyridine nitrogen atom N2 (Figure 1c), while the additional N–Me groups in ddpd enforce 6-membered rings with N2 (Figure 1a).

Although the N–Me group of ddpd should be more basic [45,46], addition of acid protonates one terminal pyridine unit (N1) and both terminal pyridines rearrange to point inward allowing for one short and one medium long N1H1···N2/N3 hydrogen bond with N···N distances in the cavity of 2.51, 2.81 and 3.24 Å (Figure 1b). This hydrogen bonding pattern favours the observed pyridine protonation site similar to pre-organized proton sponges with N···N distances between 2.3 and 2.6 Å [47]. The cavity formed by the three pyridines is somewhat too large for the small proton resulting in two different distorted six-membered chelate rings (Figure 1b). On the other hand, this cavity should perfectly fit to accommodate metal ions. Tpy is protonated at N1 as well (Figure 1d) [48]. However, tpy only realizes a single N1H1···N2 hydrogen bond with an N1···N2 distance of 2.65 Å forming a fivemembered ring, while the second pyridine (N3) remains oriented outward. The [CF₃SO₃]⁻ counterion additionally binds to the pyridinium site N1H1.

The conformations and protonation sites already point to key differences between tpy and ddpd, namely the different available ring sizes and the proton sponge-like behaviour of ddpd due to its electron-richness and flexibility.



Figure 1. Molecular structures of (**a**) ddpd, (**b**) [H-ddpd][CF₃SO₃], (**c**) tpy [44] and (**d**) [H-tpy][CF₃SO₃] [48] in the solid state. Hydrogen bonds indicated by yellow dashed lines. Atom numbering unified for clarity. Ring sizes involving the central pyridine highlighted in yellow.

A computational study of Ni(CO)₃(κ N1-ddpd), Ni(CO)₃(κ N2-ddpd) complexes and other Ni(CO)₃(L) complexes revealed that the net donor strength (σ + π) of ddpd donor atoms ranks between that of trimethylamine and N-heterocyclic carbene ligands and is larger than that of pyridine, as estimated from the Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculated A₁ carbonyl stretching

modes of the Ni(CO)₃ fragments [35]. Obviously, ddpd is a stronger Lewis base and a stronger Brønsted base than tpy.

The ligand ddpd is fluorescent in solution ($\lambda_{max} = 398 \text{ nm}$; $\Phi = 8.0\%$, $\tau = 3.0 \text{ ns}$ in CH₃CN; $\lambda_{max} = 410 \text{ nm}$ in acid-free CH₂Cl₂) [49,50]. In acidic CH₂Cl₂ or in the intentional presence of acid, the emission band shifts to 395 nm ($\tau = 4.0 \text{ ns}$) [49]. In contrast, protonation of tpy in CH₃CN shifts its emission band from 340 nm to 412 nm (25.6 ns) [51].

In line with the electron donating character of the N–Me groups, ddpd is very difficult to reduce to its radical anion ddpd-⁻ (peak potential –3.27 V vs. ferrocene in CH₃CN) [49]. On the other hand, tpy is reversibly reduced to tpy-⁻at –2.55 V versus ferrocene in CH₃CN [52]. The relative ease of reduction causes the typical redox non-innocent behaviour of tpy in many first-row transition metal complexes [23–27]. The electron rich ddpd ligand in contrast should behave essentially redox-innocent in transition metal complexes. Oxidation of ddpd's N–Me groups to the radical cations is irreversible with peak potentials at 0.55 V and 1.06 V versus ferrocene in CH₃CN [49].

Typical synthetic procedures for homoleptic $[M(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ complexes employ the tetrafluoroborate salts of the respective metal ions, such as $Zn[BF_4]_2 \times 6H_2O$ or $Fe[BF_4]_2 \times 6H_2O$ or the respective metal chlorides such as $CrCl_2$ (Scheme 3). Suitable oxidants (oxygen, silver triflate, ceric ammonium nitrate, 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone) oxidize $[M(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ to the +III oxidation state in $[M(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ (Scheme 3).



Scheme 3. General synthetic procedures for $[M(ddpd)_2]^{n+}$ complexes (n = 2, 3) and atom numbering.

The rigid five-membered C₂N₂M chelate rings formed by tpy ligands merely allow for a meridional coordination in homoleptic complexes $[M(tpy)_2]^{n+}$. In contrast, the more flexible sixmembered C₂N₃M chelate rings formed by ddpd ligands enable both meridional and facial coordination modes, that is, a pincer-type [1] or a tripodal topology (Scheme 4a). In fact, *mer* isomers of $[M(ddpd)_2]^{n+}$ complexes appear to be more prevalent but *cis-fac* isomers have been observed as well. The six-membered chelate rings in the ddpd complexes form boat conformations. The metal centre M and the N–Me group (N7, N9) lie above the mean plane of the ring (Scheme 4a).



Scheme 4. (a) Chelate ring size (5 for tpy; 6 for ddpd) and corresponding envelope and boat conformations and the resulting conceivable *mer* and *cis-fac* stereoisomers and (b) space-filling models of *mer* and *cis-fac* stereoisomers of $[M(ddpd)_2]^{n+}$ with the two ligands color-coded red and blue, respectively. For numbering of nitrogen atoms see Scheme 3. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity.

The M–x1–x2 and N7/9–x2–x1 angles vary between 139° and 145°. On the other hand, the chelates formed by tpy are essentially planar with M–x1–x2 angles approaching 180° (Scheme 4a). The N–M–N bite angles of ddpd are significantly larger (80–89°) than that of tpy with 75–82° (Tables 1 and 2). With the tpy ligand and the five-membered chelates being planar, the point group of $[M(tpy)_2]^{n+}$ complexes is C_{2v} . As ddpd is a non-planar ligand in homo- and heteroleptic complexes, the point group of $[M(ddpd)_2]^{n+}$ complexes is only C_2 . Consequently, *mer*- $[M(ddpd)_2]^{n+}$ complexes are chiral with helical chirality (Scheme 4b). Mixed ruthenium(II) complexes $[Ru(ddpd)(tpy-X)]^{2+}$ form diastereomeric ion pairs with chiral enantiopure counterions [33]. Racemic *mer*- $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ complexes can be partially resolved into the corresponding *P* and *M* enantiomers. These seem to be configurationally stable on the HPLC timescale [53]. The isomeric *cis-fac*- $[M(ddpd)_2]^{n+}$ complexes are chiral as well (Scheme 4b) and have been isolated only as racemic mixtures so far. In the following, all *mer*- and *cis-fac*- $[M(ddpd)_2]^{n+}$ complexes are racemic mixtures and this will not be indicated explicitly.

All homoleptic complexes $[M(ddpd)_2]^{n+}$ and $[M(tpy)_2]^{n+}$ share a $[MN_6]$ coordination sphere. The deviation of the experimental coordination geometry from the ideal octahedron can be expressed by a continuous shape measure S(OC-6) as implemented in the program SHAPE 2.1 (free download at http://www.ee.ub.es) [54–58]. For an ideal octahedron, this value will be zero and increases with the structural deviation. The higher flexibility and thus higher adaptability of the six-membered chelate rings of ddpd allow for N–M–N angles closer to 90° and for similar M–N distances and thus enable "more octahedral" coordination geometries. The rigid pincer ligand tpy features smaller N–M–N angles and significantly differing M–N distances to the central and terminal pyridines yielding much more distorted coordination polyhedrons. This is clearly obvious from the significantly larger shape measure S(OC-6) of $[M(tpy)_2]^{n+}$ complexes as compared to analogous $[M(ddpd)_2]^{n+}$ complexes (Tables 1 and 2). Interestingly, the S(OC-6) values increase along the series Fe^{II}/Co^{III} < Cr^{III} < Ni^{II} < Co^{II} < Cu^{II} < Zn^{II} in both ligand series, suggesting that this trend is coded in the d electron configuration of the metal ion (low-spin d⁶, d³, d⁸, high-spin d⁷, d⁹, d¹⁰), especially in the occupation of the eg* orbitals and in the presence of Jahn-Teller effects [59]. [Cr(L)₂]²⁺ complexes feature different electron distributions for L = ddpd and tpy as will be discussed below.

Specific to ddpd are the bridging three-coordinate nitrogen atoms N7/N8 and N9/N10 and their respective degree of planarization as defined by $PL/\% = 100 \times \{[\Sigma (X - N - Y)] - 3 \times 109.5^{\circ}]/[3 \times 120.0^{\circ} - 3 \times 109.5^{\circ}]\}$ [43]. In ddpd as well as in [H-ddpd]⁺, these N atoms are in a planar environment with PL = 100%, while in the homoleptic complexes *mer*-[M(ddpd)₂]ⁿ⁺ the degree of planarization varies between 81% and 93% (Table 1). This indicates that metal chelation induces some strain in the ligand.

Table 1. Relevant metrical data of $[M(ddpd)_2]^{n+}$ ions in the solid state; *mer* isomers if not indicated otherwise; $[BF_4]^-$ salts if not indicated otherwise; distances in Å, *PL* in % and angles in °. Red and blue color code indicates the different ddpd ligands (see Scheme 3).

		L = ddpd(A)			L = ddpd(B)		
	M-N1/		N1-M-N2/	M-N4/	DI NO/	N4-M-N5/	
Μ	M-N2/	<i>PL</i> N7/	N1-M-N3/	M-N5/	PL N9/	N4-M-N6/	S(OC-6) [g]
	M-N3	PL N8	N2-M-N3	M–N6	<i>PL</i> N10	N5-M-N6	
	2.1427(16)/	001	82.40(4)/	2.1427(16)/	221	82.40(4)/	
Zn ^{II} [49]	2.127(2)/	88/	164.79(8)/	2.127(2)/	88/	164.79(8)/	1.18
	2.1427(16)	88	82.40(4)	2.1427(16)	88	82.40(4)	
	2.149(5)/		83.25(12)/	2.191(4)/		83.51(12)/	
$Cu^{II[a]}[60]$	2.064(5)/	93/	166.5(2)/	1.978(6)/	81/	167.0(2)/	1.11
[]	2.149(5)	93	83.25(12)	2.191(4)	81	83.51(12)	
	2.0256(15)/		86.06(5)/	2.3318(16)/		80.03(4)/	
Cu ^{II [b]} [60]	1.989(3)/	91/	172.12(9)/	2.060(3)/	90/	160.06(8)/	1.60
	2 0256(15)	91	86.06(5)	2 3318(16)	90	80.03(4)	100
	2 ()922(15)/		84 64(4)/	2 (1922(15)/		84 64(4)/	
Ni¤ [61]	2.0922(10)/	88/	169 28(8)/	2.058(2)/	88/	169 28(8)/	0.60
	2.000(2)	88	84 64(4)	2.000(2)	88	84 64(4)	0.00
	2.0922(10)		83 19(5)/	2.0922(10)		83 19(5)/	
Coll [62]	2.1199(19)/	88/	166 38(10)/	2.1199(19)/	88/	166 38(10)/	0.95
C0 [02]	2.090(2)	88	83 19(5)	2.090(2)/	88	83 19(5)	0.95
	2.1199(19)		82.6(8)/	2.1199(19)		81 2(8)/	
	2.20(2)/	97/	03.0(0)/ 04.4(7)/	2.23(2)/	99/	01.3(0)/	0.81
C0 ² [0][03]	2.19(2)/	96	94.4(7)/	2.11(2)/	92	94.3(8)/	0.01
	2.14(2)		05.0(0)	2.19(2)		01.7(0)	
	1.954(3)/	89/	87.66(14)/	1.947(3)/	87/	87.92(15)/	0.10
	1.942(3)/	88	1/6.18(15)/	1.945(3)/	84	1/5.85(16)/	0.10
	1.952(3)		88.54(14)	1.941(3)		87.93(14)	
	1.9938(17)/	89/	87.73(5)/	1.9938(17)/	89/	87.73(5)/	0.40
Fe ⁿ [64]	1.963(2)/	89	175.46(9)/	1.963(2)/	89	175.46(9)/	0.12
	1.9938(17)		87.73(5)	1.9938(17)		87.73(5)	
	1.9939(18)/	89/	88.39(7)/	1.9921(19)/	89/	88.89(8)/	
Fe ^{II [f]} [64]	1.9593(18)/	88	177.01(8)/	1.9661(18)/	84	176.48(7)/	0.08
	1.9922(18)		88.63(7)	1.9855(19)		88.23(8)	
	1.983(3)/	87/	87.90(10)/	1.983(3)/	87/	87.90(10)/	
Fe ^{II} [d,e]	1.964(5)/	87	175.8(2)/	1.964(5)/	87	175.8(2)/	0.10
	1.983(3)	0,	87.90(10)	1.983(3)	0.	87.90(10)	
	2.117(7)/	87/	83.4(2)/	2.089(6)/	88/	84.8(2)/	
Cr ^{II} [d]	2.070(11)/	87	166.7(4)/	2.064(9)/	88	169.7(4)/	0.74
	2.117(7)	0,	83.4(2)	2.089(6)	00	84.8(2)	
	2.0485(18)/	82/	85.13(8)/	2.0446(17)/	87/	85.89(7)/	
Cr ^{III} [50]	2.0393(18)/	88	170.86(8)/	2.0444(18)/	88	170.88(7)/	0.43
	2.0394(19)	00	85.74(7)	2.0485(18)	00	84.99(7)	
CrIII ff	2.041(6)/	81/	85.7(2)/	2.040(7)/	85/	85.4(3)/	
mol A [50]	2.054(7)/	87	172.3(3)/	2.054(7)/	00/	171.0(3)/	0.37
1101.A [50]	2.028(6)	07	86.7(3)	2.048(7)	90	85.6(3)	
C-III ff	2.039(7)/	00/	86.8(3)/	2.040(6)/	047	85.5(3)/	
Cr ^m [1]	2.030(7)/	00/ 01	172.6(3)/	2.046(7)/	04/ 80	173.0(3)/	0.29
пог. в [50]	2.033(7)	04	86.0(3)	2.030(6)	00	87.5(3)	
	2.104(8)/	007	81.3(3)/	2.101(7)/	061	82.7(3)/	
VIII [c,d,f]	2.054(8)/	00/	92.3(3)/	2.036(8)/	86/ 00	95.0(3)/	0.64
A [.,	2.121(9)	94	84.6(4)	2.106(8)	90	84.0(3)	

^[a] At 263 K. ^[b] At 123 K. ^[c] *cis-fac* isomer. ^[d] This work. ^[e] Br⁻ salt. ^[f] [PF₆]⁻ salt. ^[g] Continuous shape measure [54].

Table 2. Relevant metrical data of $[M(tpy)_2]^{n+}$ ions in the solid state; $[BF_4]^-$ salts if not indicated otherwise, distances in Å and angles in °. Red and blue color code indicates the different tpy ligands.

	L = tpy((A)	L = t	py(B)	
	M-N1/	N1-M-N2/	M-N4/	N4-M-N5/	
Μ	M-N2/	N1-M-N3/	M-N5/	N4-M-N6/	S(OC-6) [e]
	M–N3	N2-M-N3	M-N6	N5-M-N6	
	2.1952(15)/	75.16(4)/	2.1882(15)/	75.36(4)/	
Zn ^{II [a]} [65]	2.089(2)/	150.31(8)/	2.089(2)/	150.71(9)/	4.46
	2.1952(15)	75.16(4)	2.1882(15)	75.36(4)	
	2.225(6)/	77.8(2)/	2.207(5)/	76.9(2)/	
Cu ^{II} [66]	2.061(5)/	154.4(2)/	1.971(9)/	153.6(2)/	3.70
	2.232(6)	76.7(2)	2.224(5)	76.8(2)	
	2.110(2)/	78.00(8)/	2.120(2)/	77.40(8)/	
Ni ^{II [c]} [67]	1.999(2)/	155.42(8)/	2.000(2)/	155.37(8)/	3.18
	2.119(2)	77.50(8)	2.117(2)	78.06(8)	
	2.151(3)/	77.11(14)/	2.141(3)/	76.46(12)/	
Co ^{II} [68]	2.013(5)/	154.30(13)/	2.003(4)/	153.34(12)/	3.62
	2.147(3)	77.21(15)	2.153(3)	77.01(12)	
	1.9545(16)/	82.60(7)/	1.9595(16)/	82.51(7)/	
	1.8590(16)/	164.93(7)/	1.8596(16)/	165.08(7)/	1.22
mol A [68]	1.9408(16)	82.46(7)	1.9369(16)	82.66(7)	
	1.9348(15)/	83.06(7)/	1.9457(15)/	82.87(7)/	
	1.8550(15)/	165.54(7)/	1.8555(15)/	165.55(7)/	1.15
mol B [68]	1.9440(15)	82.50(7)	1.9474(16)	82.74(7)	
	1.990(3)/	80.60(13)/	1.988(3)/	80.88(12)/	
Fe ^{II} [c] [69]	1.888(3)/	161.45(12)/	1.887(3)/	161.64(12)/	1.81
	1.990(3)	80.94(12)	1.981(3)	80.87(12)	
	2.269(2)/	72.09(7)/	2.246(2)/	72.68(7)/	
Mn ^{II} [c] [70]	2.196(2)/	144.45(8)/	2.178(2)/	144.70(8)/	6.70
	2.257(2)	72.36(7)	2.249(2)	72.47(7)	
	0 1000(10) /	77.31(5)/	2.1238(13)/	77.31(5)/	
Mn ^{III [b,d]} [70]	2.1238(13)/	155.03(5)/	1.9749(12)/	155.03(5)/	3.42
	1.9749(12)/2.1087(13)	77.93(5)	2.1087(13)	77.93(5)	
"Cr ^{II} " [b]	2.049(4)/	79.28(15)/	2.060(4)/	78.07(14)/	
mol A	1.916(4)/	158.19(15)/	1.997(4)/	156.50(15)/	2.68
[27]	2.033(4)	78.94(15)	2.069(4)	78.43(14)	
"CrII" [b]	2.036(4)/	78.83(15)/	2.073(4)/	78.01(15)/	
mol B	1.919(4)/	157.59(16)/	2.006(4)/	156.12(16)/	2.80
[27]	2.053(4)	78.80(15)	2.062(4)	78.18(14)	
Cr ^{III} [b]	2.054(3)/	78.78(14)/	2.042(3)/	79.00(14)/	
mol A	2.042(3)/	157.06(14)/	1.987(3)/	157.17(14)/	2.73
[71]	2.058(4)	78.34(14)	2.062(4)	78.22(15)	
Cr ^{III} [b]	2.055(3)/	79.01(13)/	2.062(3)/	79.12(13)/	
mol B	1.976(3)/	158.24(13)/	1.989(3)/	157.98(13)/	2.57
[71]	2.057(3)	79.29(14)	2.042(3)	78.97(14)	
Cr ^{III} [b]	2.099(4)/	77.39(15)/	2.063(4)/	78.37(14)/	
mol C	2.003(4)/	156.93(16)/	1.983(3)/	157.19(14)/	2.74
[71]	2.035(4)	79.59(17)	2.049(3)	78.92(14)	
Cr ^{III} [b]	2.039(4)/	78.80(15)/	2.053(4)/	78.43(15)/	
mol D	1.981(4)/	157.98(16)/	1.978(4)/	157.76(15)/	2.63
[71]	2.049(4)	79.41(15)	2.057(4)	79.38(15)	

^[a] [NO₃]⁻ salt. ^[b] [PF₆]⁻ salt. ^[c] [ClO₄]⁻ salt. ^[d] 4'-(*p*-Tol)-2,2':6':2"-terpyridine. ^[e] Continuous shape measure [54].

In the solid state, $[M(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ complexes exhibit characteristic edge-to-face (*ef*) and offset-face-to-face (*off*) interactions between the outer pyridine rings of the essentially planar aromatic tridentate ligands (terpyridine embrace, Figure 2a) [68,72]. Similarly, $[M(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ cations pack in the solid state displaying characteristic *ef–off–ef* interactions between the (non-planar) ddpd ligands of neighbouring complexes. The *off* interaction occurs between two outer pyridine rings and the two *ef* interactions between central and outer pyridines (Figure 2b).



Figure 2. General motifs of phenyl embraces of (a) [M(tpy)₂]²⁺ and (b) [M(ddpd)₂]²⁺ complexes.

In essence, the main differences between tpy and ddpd are the stronger electron donating and weaker electron accepting character of ddpd in addition to its higher flexibility and larger N–M–N bite angles. In the following chapters, the translation of these key differences of the tridentate oligopyridine ligands into different properties of first row transition metal ddpd and tpy complexes will be elaborated in more detail.

3. mer-[Zn(ddpd)2]2+ and ZnCl2(ddpd) [d10]

The $[Zn(L)_2]^{2+}$ complexes are conveniently prepared from $Zn[BF_4]_2 \times 6H_2O$ or $Zn[ClO_4]_2 \times 6H_2O$ and the respective tridentate ligand (Scheme 3) [49,73]. Ligand protons experience a coordination shift of the ¹H NMR resonances upon complexation to a metal centre. In diamagnetic complexes of ddpd and tpy, this effect is strongest for the α protons of the terminal pyridines. These α protons are directed toward the metal ion in a region of electron density of the metal t_{2g} electrons resulting in additional shielding of the nuclear spin and a corresponding upfield shift. This effect is strong in lowspin d⁶-[Co^{III}(L)₂]³⁺ and d⁶-[Fe^{II}(L)₂]²⁺ complexes but less pronounced in the d¹⁰-[Zn^{II}(L)₂]²⁺ complexes (L = ddpd, tpy; Table 3). In both diamagnetic series of complexes (L = ddpd, tpy; M = Zn^{II}, Co^{III}, Fe^{II}), the coordination shift correlates roughly with the M···H^{α} distances estimated from single crystal Xray diffraction (Table 3). Furthermore, the α protons experience the ring current of pyridines of the second tridentate ligand with H···(pyridine centre) distances of around 3.2 Å (tpy) and 3.3–3.4 Å (ddpd). This effect could account for the slightly less pronounced coordination shift in the ddpd complexes (Table 3).

Table 3. Coordination shift $\Delta \delta = \delta$ (complex) – δ (ligand) of ¹ H NMR resonances of H ^{α} in diamagnetic	ic
$[M(L)_2]^{n+}$ complexes (L = ddpd, tpy; M = Zn ^{II} , Co ^{III} , Fe ^{II}).	

	L =	ddpd	L	= tpy
Μ	Δδ(H ^α)/ppm ^[a]	M…H ^α from XRD/Å	Δδ(H ^α)/ppm ^[b]	M…H ^α from XRD/Å
Zn ^{II}	-0.56 [49]	3.06 [49]	-0.95 [74]	3.18-3.28 ^[d]
Co ^{III}	-1.39 [62]	2.92–2.94 ^[c]	-1.46 [68]	3.07 ^[e]
FeII	-1.21 [64]	2.99 [64]	-1.33 [f]	3.01-3.12 [g]

^[a] Relative to $\delta(H^{\alpha}(ddpd)) = 8.29 \text{ ppm (CD}_{3}CN)$ [35]. ^[b] Relative to $\delta(H^{\alpha}(tpy)) = 8.69 \text{ ppm (CD}_{13})$ [75]. ^[c] This work. ^[d] from [Zn(4'-thienyl-tpy)₂][ClO₄]₂ complexes [73]. ^[e] from [Co(4'-*p*-Tol-tpy)₂][PF₆]₃ [24]. ^[f] [Fe(tpy)₂]Br₂ in D₂O [76]. ^[g] from three different [Fe(4'-R-tpy)₂][PF₆]₂ complexes [77].

The $[Zn(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ complex can be reversibly reduced twice at the tpy ligands (Table 4) [20]. In contrast, $[Zn(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ is only irreversibly reduced analogous to the ddpd ligand itself (Table 4). Due to the positive charge of the complex, these potentials are less negative than in the non-coordinated

ddpd or tpy ligands (Table 4). Oxidation of the ddpd ligands in [Zn(ddpd)₂]²⁺ is shifted anodically, as compared to ddpd due to its positive charge (Table 4) [49].

	L =	- ddpd	L = tpy		
М	Oxidation Processes	Reduction Processes	Oxidation Processes	Reduction Processes	
L only	+0.55 (irrev.), +1.06 (irrev.) ^[a]	-3.27 (irrev.) ^[a]	not reported	–2.55 (rev.) ^[b]	
Zn ^{II}	+1.17, (irrev.), +1.34, (irrev.), +1.67 (irrev.) ^[a]	-2.38 (irrev.) ^[a]	not reported	-1.68, -1.81 (rev.) ^[c]	
Cu ^{II}	+0.95 (irrev.), +1.53 (irrev.), +1.75 (irrev.), +2.26 (irrev.) ^[d]	-0.91 (qrev. Cu ^{II} /Cu ^I), -3.10 (irrev.) ^[d]	not reported	–0.79 (irrev. Cu ^{II} /Cu ^I) ^[e]	
Ni ^{II}	+1.22 (qrev.), +1.71(irrev.) ^[f]	-2.03 (irrev.) ^[f]	+1.29 (qrev.) ^[g]	-1.62 (qrev.) ^[g] -1.62 (qrev.) -1.88 (qrev.) ^[c]	
Co ^{II}	-0.17 (rev.) ^[h]	-1.80 (irrev.) ^[h]	–0.11 (rev.) ^[i]	-1.16 (rev.) ^[i] -1.17 (rev.), -2.03 (qrev.) ^[c]	
Fe ^{II}	+0.33 (rev.), +1.63 (irrev.) ^[j]	-2.33 (irrev.) ^[j]	+0.71 (rev.) ^[k]	-1.64 (rev.), -1.80 (rev.) ^[k]	
Mn ^π	-	-	+0.86 (rev.) ^[1]	-1.52 (rev.), -1.86 (rev.), -2.37 (rev.) ^[1]	
Cr ^{III}	+1.71 (irrev.) ^[m]	-1.11 (rev. Cr ^{III} /Cr ^{II}), -1.94 (irrev.) ^[m]	not reported	-0.53 (rev.), -0.95 (rev.) ^[n]	
V ^{II}	-	-	–0.09 (qrev.) ^[0]	-1.25 (rev.), -1.40 (rev.), -1.93 (rev.) ^[0]	

Table 4. Electrochemical data of $[M(L)_2]^{n+}$ complexes (L = ddpd, tpy; M = Zn^{II}, Cu^{II}, Ni^{II}, Co^{II}, Fe^{II}, Mn^{II}, Cr^{III}, V^{II}) in V vs. ferrocene/ferrocenium in CH₃CN.

^[a] ref [49]. ^[b] ref [52]. ^[c] in DMF/H₂O (9:1 v/v) ref [20]. ^[d] ref [60]. ^[e] L = 5,5"-dimethyl-2,2':6',2"-terpyridine [12]. ^[f] ref [61]. ^[g] ref [78]. ^[h] ref [62]. ^[i] ref [79]. ^[j] ref [64]. ^[k] ref 25. ^[I] ref 26. ^[m] ref [50]. ^[n] ref [27]. ^[o] ref [80].

In the solid state, the coordination geometry of $[Zn(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ deviates appreciably from an idealized octahedron with S(OC-6) = 1.18 when compared to other $[M(ddpd)_2]^{n+}$ complexes (Figure 3a, Table 1). However, the distortion of $[Zn(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ is dramatically larger with S(OC-6) = 4.45, which can be accounted for by the very small N–Zn–N bite angles of 75° (Table 2).

a)

b)



CI1

6

N7

Figure 3. (a) Molecular structures of *mer*-[Zn(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂ [49], (b) ZnCl₂(ddpd) from the *mer*-[Zn(ddpd)₂][ZnCl₄]/Zn(ddpd)Cl₂ co-crystal [49] and (c) ZnCl₂(H₂tpda) [41] in the solid state. Carbonbound hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules omitted. Atom numbering unified for clarity. Chelate ring sizes highlighted in yellow.

CI1

6

N7

Coordination of Zn²⁺ to ddpd shifts the π – π^* absorption maxima to higher energy from 274/333 nm to 248/308 nm. In the colourless homoleptic complex, these bands are assigned to ligand-centred transitions essentially from the electron-rich N–Me groups to the pyridine's π^* orbitals. Fluorescence is observed at 373 nm in (acid-free) CH₂Cl₂ with 6.5% quantum yield and 0.6 ns lifetime [49]. The diminished fluorescence lifetime as compared to ddpd is likely due to the heavy-atom effect of Zn²⁺, enabling intersystem crossing (ISC) to the triplet state. The tpy-based fluorescence of [Zn(tpy)₂]²⁺ is found at higher energy with significantly higher quantum yield ($\lambda_{em} = 353$ nm, $\Phi = 65\%$) [81]. The N–Me donor groups of ddpd are likely responsible for the slightly lower emission energy of [Zn(ddpd)₂]²⁺. The flexibility of the six-membered chelates possibly allow for enhanced non-radiative relaxation in [Zn(ddpd)₂]²⁺.

With coordinating counter ions such as chloride present, heteroleptic complexes form. ZnCl₂ and terpyridine yield the neutral complex ZnCl₂(tpy) [82]. With ddpd and ZnCl₂ an equilibrium between [Zn(ddpd)₂][ZnCl₄] and Zn(ddpd)Cl₂ is established with a 1:1.8 ratio in CD₃CN solution at room temperature according to ¹H NMR spectroscopy. From this mixture, co-crystals of [Zn(ddpd)₂][ZnCl₄]/Zn(ddpd)Cl₂ with the complexes in a 1:1 ratio are obtained (Figure 3b) [49]. These findings clearly underscore that the d¹⁰ ion zinc(II) is labile and very flexible with respect to coordination numbers (4–6) and geometries (tetrahedral, trigonal-bipyramidal, octahedral). From the CH₃CN solution of the equilibrating [Zn(ddpd)₂][ZnCl₄]/Zn(ddpd)Cl₂ mixture, only the emission of the [Zn(ddpd)₂]²⁺ ion is observed and Zn(ddpd)Cl₂ appears to be essentially non-emissive.

Interestingly, the analogous five-coordinate complex $Zn(H_2tpda)Cl_2$ has been reported (Figure 3c) with a fluorescence emission band peaking at 392 nm in MeOH [41]. The reported UV/Vis absorption spectrum of $Zn(H_2tpda)Cl_2$ in MeOH with a strong 311 nm absorption band [41], however, strongly resembles that of $[Zn(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ ions [49]. Hence, an analogous equilibrium

 $[Zn(H_2tpda)_2][ZnCl_4]/Zn(H_2tpda)Cl_2$ might be present under these conditions as well and the observed fluorescence might be better assigned to the $[Zn(H_2tpda)_2]^{2+}$ ion instead to that of the mixed-ligand $Zn(H_2tpda)Cl_2$ complex. No photophysical data are reported for $ZnCl_2(tpy)$ and consequently a comparison with $Zn(ddpd)Cl_2$ and $Zn(H_2tpda)Cl_2$ is unfeasible.

The diamagnetic d^{10} -[ZnL₂]²⁺ complexes with L = ddpd and tpy are distorted, substitutionally labile, optically transparent in the visible spectral region and fluoresce only in the UV spectral region. The tpy complex shows reversible ligand-centred reductions, while the ddpd complex is basically redox-inert between -2.3 V and +1.1 V.

4. mer-[Cu(ddpd)2]2+ [d9]

The green and dark green d⁹-copper(II) complexes $[Cu(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ and $[Cu(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ are $S = \frac{1}{2}$ paramagnets (Table 5). As expected for copper(II) ions, the spin-only value of $\chi T = 0.375$ cm³ K mol⁻¹ is clearly surpassed [83]. Both copper(II) complexes can be reduced to copper(I) species. However, this process is quasireversible for $[Cu(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ and irreversible for $[Cu(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ at room temperature (Table 4). This behaviour suggests a reduction of the coordination number in both cases [12]. This phenomenon had been elegantly exploited by Sauvage and co-workers in the design of redox-controlled motions in catenates using redox-active $[Cu(tpy)_2]^{2+/+}$ units [12-14]. Further irreversible oxidations and reductions are similar to the ones observed for $[Zn(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ and assigned to ddpd-centred processes (Table 4).

Table 5. Room temperature magnetic susceptibility and g_{iso} data (from SQUID or EPR measurements) of open-shell $[M(ddpd)_2]^{n+}$ and $[M(tpy)_2]^{n+}$ complexes (M = Cu^{II}, Ni^{II}, Co^{II}, Mn^{II}, Mn^{III}, Cr^{II}, Cr^{III}, V^{II}).

	L = ddpd		L = tpy		Spin-Only Value [a]
М	χT/ cm³ K mol-1	Z iso	χT/ cm³ K mol-1	giso	χT/ cm³ K mol-1
Cu ^{II}	0.443 ^[b]	2.122 (EPR) [b]	0.475 [c]	2.135 (EPR) [d]	0.375
Ni ^{II}	1.11 [e]	2.12 (from χT) ^[e]	1.20 [f]	2.19 (from χT) ^[f]	1.000
CoII	2.53 [g]	2.32 (from χT) ^[g]	2.31-3.00 [h]	2.22–2.58 (from χT) ^[h]	1.875
Mn ^{II}	-	-	4.62 ^[i]	-	4.375
Mn ^Ⅲ	-	-	2.68 [j]	1.89 (from χT) [j]	3.000
Cr ^{II}	2.67	1.89 (from χT)	0.938 [k]	1.94 (from χT) ^[k]	3.000
CrIII	1.838 [1]	1.990 (EPR, 77 K) [1]	1.921 ^[k]	1.95 (EPR, 150 K) ^[m]	1.875
VII	-	-	1.721 ^[n]	1.92 (from χT) ^[n]	1.875

^[a] ref [83]. ^[b] ref [60]. ^[c] ref [84]. ^[d] ref [85]. ^[e] ref [61]. ^[f] for [Ni(tpy)₂]Br₂ [86]. ^[g] ref [62]. ^[h] for [Co(tpy)₂]Cl₂, [Co(tpy)₂]Br₂, [Co(tpy)₂][ClO₄]₂ [86]. ^[i] ref [87]. ^[j] ref [70]. ^[k] This "chromium(II)" complex is correctly described as $[Cr^{III}(tpy\cdot)(tpy)]^{2+}$ with a *S* = 1 ground state requiring $\chi T(spin-only) = 1.000 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}$; ref. 27, similar to $[Cr^{III}(bpy\cdot)(bpy)^2]^{2+}$; ref. [88]. ^[I] ref [50] and Figure S1. ^[m] ref [89]. ^[n] for [V(tpy)₂]I₂ [90].

[Cu(ddpd)₂]²⁺ exhibits two ligand field bands at 653 nm ($\varepsilon = 25 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and 1254 nm ($\varepsilon = 5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) in CH₃CN [60]. The latter band arises from the Jahn-Teller [59] distortion of the d⁹ complex with (t_{2g})⁶(e_g)³ electron configuration and the resulting splitting of the ²E ground state (term symbol based on idealized *O* symmetry). From the two bands, the ligand field splitting Δ_0 and the Jahn-Teller splitting 4 δ_1 are estimated as 11,325 cm⁻¹ and 7975 cm⁻¹, respectively [60]. For analogous tpy complexes [Cu(tpy)₂]²⁺ with varying counter ions, both Δ_0 (11,200–11,300 cm⁻¹) and 4 δ_1 (5900–6500 cm⁻¹) are smaller [91]. This can be traced back to the stronger σ interaction of ddpd with the copper d orbitals and consequently a slightly larger ligand field splitting and furthermore a significantly larger Jahn-Teller [59] splitting. The larger Jahn-Teller splitting is furthermore favoured by the higher flexibility of ddpd.

Both copper(II) complexes are fluxional at room temperature. The dynamics slow down at lower temperature. "Freezing-in" occurs below 77 K for the tpy complex and at ≈ 100 K for the ddpd complex [60]. This suggests a larger Jahn-Teller barrier for [Cu(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂ between the two degenerate Jahn-Teller isomers (Figure 4b). In the solid state at 123 K, the [CuN₆] coordination sphere of [Cu(ddpd)₂]²⁺ corresponds to an elongated octahedron with the Cu–N2/N5 distances (1.989/2.060

12 of 37

Å) fixed due to the chelate ligand, short Cu-N1/N3 (2.0256/2.0256 Å) and long Cu-N4/N6 distances (2.3318/2.3318 Å) [60]. At 263 K, the two possible elongated octahedrons (along x and along y) are dynamically averaged with fixed Cu-N2/N5 distances (2.064/1.979 Å) along z and averaged Cu-N1/N3 (2.149/2.149 Å) and Cu–N4/N6 distances (2.191/2.191 Å) [60]. The combined action of dynamic Jahn-Teller distortion (x/y) and ligand strain (Cu–N2/N5 fixed along z) results in an apparent compressed octahedron. This apparent change from elongated to compressed octahedral upon warming is easily followed in the temperature dependent X-band EPR spectra of [Cu(ddpd)2][BF4]2 (powder), $[Cu_{0.875}Fe_{0.125}(ddpd)_2][BF_4]_2$ (powder) (Figure 4) or $[Cu(ddpd)_2][BF_4]_2$ (dissolved in water/glycerol) [60]. For example, copper(II) doped into the diamagnetic [Fe^{II}(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂ host (see section 7) gives $g_x = 2.228$, $g_y = g_z = 2.063$ and $A_x(^{63/65}Cu) = 146$ G at 103 K, indicating an axially elongated octahedron. At 293 K, $g_x = g_y = 2.156$, $g_z = 2.058$ and $A_x = A_y(^{63/65}Cu) = 79$ G are found, suggesting an axially compressed octahedron (Figure 4). In the intermediate temperature range, the EPR spectra are weighted superpositions of the low- and high-temperature spectra [60]. As this temperaturedependent dynamic is essentially independent of the environment (pure copper(II) crystal, diamagnetic host crystal, frozen solution), cooperative effects are absent and the Jahn-Teller barrier is an intrinsic feature of the [Cu(ddpd)₂]²⁺ cation.

Compared to $[Cu(tpy)_2]^{2+}$, $[Cu(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ is more difficult to reduce to copper(I), features a larger ligand field and Jahn-Teller splitting and a higher barrier for the Jahn-Teller dynamics. All these phenomena can be accounted for by the stronger σ -donating character of ddpd.



Figure 4. (a) X-band powder EPR spectrum of [Cu_{0.875}Fe_{0.125}(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂ at 103 K, simulation in red and illustration of the static elongated octahedron. (b) X-band powder EPR spectrum of [Cu_{0.875}Fe_{0.125}(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂ at 293 K, simulation in red and illustration of the dynamically elongated octahedrons [60].

5. mer-[Ni(ddpd)₂]²⁺ [d⁸]

The d electron configuration of nickel(II) in an octahedral ligand field is $(t_{2g})^6(e_g)^2$, leading to a ³A₂ ground state. The magnetic susceptibility data of $\chi T = 1.20$ and $1.11 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}$ for $[\text{Ni}(\text{tpy})_2]^{2+}$ and $[\text{Ni}(\text{dpd})_2]^{2+}$ confirm the triplet ground states (Table 5). At low temperature, zero-field splitting is apparent leading to a drop of the χT values in both complexes [61,92]. The ligand-dictated octahedral compression of $[\text{Ni}(\text{tpy})_2]^{2+}$ is much more pronounced (S(OC-6) = 3.18; d(Ni-N2)/d(Ni-N1) = 0.94) than that of $[\text{Ni}(\text{dpd})_2]^{2+}$ (S(OC-6) = 0.60; d(Ni-N2)/d(Ni-N1) = 0.98) (Tables 1 and 2).

The UV/Vis/NIR absorption spectra of red [Ni(tpy)₂]²⁺ and pink [Ni(ddpd)₂]²⁺ are dominated by the two spin-allowed ${}^{3}A_{2} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{1}$ and ${}^{3}A_{2} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{2}$ ligand field transitions at 18,500/12,350 and 19,200/12,700 cm⁻¹, respectively (Figure 5) [24,61,91]. The transitions at lowest energy correspond directly to the respective ligand field splittings $\Delta_{0} = 12,350$ and 12,700 cm⁻¹, demonstrating that ddpd induces a stronger ligand field by 350 cm⁻¹. Nickel(II) polypyridine complexes display the spinforbidden ${}^{3}A_{2} \rightarrow {}^{1}E$ transition close to the low-energy spin-allowed ${}^{3}A_{2} \rightarrow {}^{3}T_{2}$ transition indicating a comparably strong ligand field in all cases. The intensity of the spin-flip band is rather high due to an intensity borrowing mechanism, which scales directly with the square of the spin-orbit coupling parameter λ and inversely with the square of the energy difference ΔE (Figure 5; shoulder at 11,300 cm⁻¹ for [Ni(ddpd)₂]²⁺) [93]. The energy differences between the ${}^{1}E$ and ${}^{3}T_{2}$ spectroscopic terms are quite small and consequently luminescence from the spin-flip ${}^{1}E$ states is not observed [61].



Figure 5. UV/Vis/NIR spectrum of [Ni(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂ in CH₃CN; ligand field bands and the spin-flip band indicated by blue arrows; photograph of crystals of [Ni(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂ [61].

Reduction of $[Ni(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ is ligand-based yielding $[Ni^{II}(tpy)(tpy^-)]^+$, which slowly releases a tpy ligand [20,94]. The resulting proposed $[Ni^{II}(tpy^-)]^+$ species exhibits selectivity for electrochemical CO₂ reduction over proton reduction [20]. Oxidation of $[Ni(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ to nickel(III) has been reported at 1.29 V [78]. Similarly, a quasireversible oxidation of $[Ni(dpd)_2]^{2+}$ to $[Ni(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ occurs at 1.22 V [61]. Reduction of $[Ni(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ is irreversible (-2.03 V). Presumably, the irreversible reduction is associated with the formation of $[Ni^{II}(ddpd)]^+$ species after ligand loss. In the presence of CO₂/acetic acid a catalytic current with an onset potential of ca. -1.1 V versus ferrocene is observed (Figure S2). A detailed comparison of this behaviour and that proposed for $[Ni(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ will be subject to future studies [20].

In summary, the magnetic, optical and redox properties of $[Ni(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ are very similar to those of $[Ni(tpy)_2]^{2+}$, with the ligand field splitting induced by ddpd being larger by 350 cm⁻¹.

6. mer-[Co(ddpd)2]2+, cis-fac-[Co(ddpd)2]2+ [d7] and mer-[Co(ddpd)2]3+ [d6]

Reaction of Co(BF4)₂×6H₂O with ddpd in ethanol (Scheme 3) yields a precipitate, which contains two phases according to powder X-ray diffraction and SEM images [63]. Paramagnetic NMR spectroscopy in acetonitrile solution indicates the presence of only a single metal complex isomer [63]. Re-crystallization from CH₃CN yields crystals of *mer*-[Co(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂×2CH₃CN (Figure 6a) [62]. The initial precipitate contains a further microcrystalline phase in addition to crystals of *mer*-[Co(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂×2CH₃CN. With the aid of electron diffraction tomography, these crystals are identified as *cis-fac*-[Co(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂ with the ddpd ligand coordinating in a facial tripodal manner (Figure 6b) [63]. In both stereoisomers, the cobalt(II) centres are in their high-spin state [63]. The *cisfac* isomer is the kinetic product, while the *mer* isomer is the thermodynamically stable one. In contrast, only the *mer* isomers of [Co(tpy)₂]²⁺ are available due to the rigid nature of tpy.





Figure 6. Molecular structures of (**a**) *mer*-[Co(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂×2CH₃CN [62], (**b**) *cis-fac*-[Co(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂ [63] and (**c**) *mer*-[Co(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₃×3CH₃CN in the solid state; hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules omitted. Atom numbering unified for clarity.

For the d⁷ electron configuration, the ground state can be either low-spin ((t_{2g})⁶(e_g)¹; ²E; S = 1/2) or high-spin ((t_{2g})⁵(e_g)²; ⁴T₁; S = 3/2) [15,16]. In fact, the terpyridine complexes [Co^{II}(tpy)₂]X₂×*n*H₂O (X = halide, pseudohalide, NO₃⁻⁻, ClO₄⁻⁻; n = 0-5) exhibit incomplete and gradual SCO behaviour [95,96]. On the other hand, [Co(tpy)₂][PF₆]₂ remains in the high-spin state down to low temperatures [16]. The entropy change during the SCO due to the spin change amounts to $\Delta S_{spin} = R[ln (2S + 1)_{hs} - ln(2S + 1)_{hs}] = 5.8 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$. The ΔS_{spin} of cobalt(II) is smaller than those of d⁶-iron(II) (13.4 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹) or d⁵iron(III) (9.1 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹) SCO complexes. Therefore, the SCO phenomenon can be induced in cobalt(II) compounds by smaller external stimuli such as confinement in host lattices (e.g., [Fe0.83CO0.17(tpy)₂][PF₆]₂ displays cobalt-based SCO) [16] or phase transitions enabled by interchain interactions of alkyl substituted tpy ligands 4'-R-tpy [97]. Furthermore, cobalt(II) complexes with certain 4'-substituted terpyridine ligands R-tpy with R = OC₁₄H₂₉, OC₁₆H₃₃ display "reverse SCO" with the low-spin state populated at higher temperature instead of the conventional temperature dependence [97,98].

[Co(tpy)2][BF4]2 has been characterized crystallographically between 30 K and 375 K. The XRD data reveal a Jahn-Teller [59] distorted low-spin complex at 30 K with distances to two terminal pyridines of one tpy ligand elongated and a high-spin fraction >87% at 375 K [99]. Similar to [Co(tpy)2][PF6]2, *mer*-[Co(ddpd)2][BF4]2×1.5H2O remains in the high-spin state down to low temperature [62]. The crystallographic data of *mer*-[Co(ddpd)2][BF4]2×2CH3CN at 193 K show no sign for a Jahn-Teller distortion, which would be expected for a low-spin d⁷ system (Table 1, Figure 6a). The magnetic susceptibility of *mer*-[Co(ddpd)2][BF4]2×1.5H2O at 300 K amounts to $\chi T = 2.53$ cm³ K mol⁻¹ (Table 5), similar to [Co(tpy)2][ClO4]2 at 300 K [95]. *mer*-[Co(ddpd)2][BF4]2×1.5H2O displays a typical χT versus *T* profile for a high-spin cobalt(II) ion with spin-orbit coupling in a distorted environment at lower temperature without any sign for SCO [62]. In mixed crystals of [Fe0.83CO0.17(tpy)2][PF6]2 the iron(II) host lattice destabilizes the high-spin state of cobalt(II) to such an

extent that the low-spin state prevails up to 250 K and SCO sets in close to room temperature [16]. Furthermore, $[Co(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ appears as a rapidly equilibrating mixture of low- and high-spin species in solution (acetonitrile, methanol, water) [100,101]. Both $[Co(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ and $[Co(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ exhibit characteristic paramagnetically shifted but sharp ¹H NMR spectra [62,68]. In addition, $[Co(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ displays sharp ¹³C NMR resonances between $\delta = +602$ ppm and $\delta = -216$ ppm in CD₃CN at room temperature [62].

The electronic spectrum of $[Co(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ in CH₃CN displays prominent $\pi - \pi^*$ and charge transfer bands of the ddpd ligands at 24,330 (980 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), 32,365 (31,000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) and 40,325 (32,000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) cm⁻¹ [62]. In addition, four weak ligand field bands of the cobalt(II) centre appear at 11,045 (5 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), 15,195 (2 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), 18,425 (9 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, sh) and 21,090 cm⁻¹ (40 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹; superimposed on a $\pi - \pi^*$ band) (Figure 7) [62]. Two spin-allowed ${}^4T_1 \rightarrow {}^4T_2$ and ${}^4T_1 \rightarrow {}^4T_1(P)$ ligand-field bands are expected in *O* symmetry. The lower tetragonal symmetry of $[Co(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ (compressed octahedron along *z*, Table 1) splits the t_{2g} and eg levels enabling four transitions. The observed bands can then be interpreted as dx2/yz \rightarrow dx2-y2, dxy \rightarrow dx2-y2, dx2/yz \rightarrow dz2 and dxy \rightarrow dz2 excitations leading to averaged tetragonal splittings of the t_{2g} and eg levels of 3410 and 6635 cm⁻¹, respectively and an averaged ligand field splitting Δ_0 of 16,440 cm⁻¹. This value of Δ_0 is quite high and should enable SCO under appropriate conditions. In single crystals of $[Co(tpy)_2][PF_6]_2$ (high-spin) two ligand field bands are discernible at 9200 and 11,600 cm⁻¹, assigned to the split components of the ⁴T₁ \rightarrow ⁴T₂ transition in the distorted octahedron. For $[Co(bpy)_3][NaRh(ox)_3]$ a band at 11,500 cm⁻¹ has been assigned to the ⁴T₁ \rightarrow ⁴T₂ transition (without addressing the trigonal splitting). Further transitions of tpy and bpy complexes are masked by MLCT transitions [16].



Figure 7. UV/Vis/NIR spectra of [Co(ddpd)₂]²⁺ (black) and [Co(ddpd)₂]³⁺ (red, scaled by 0.1) in CH₃CN; ligand field bands indicated by arrows [62].

Both cobalt(II) complexes undergo a reversible oxidation to the cobalt(III) complexes at low potential (Table 4). $[Co(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ is easier to oxidize than $[Co(tpy)_2]^{2+}$, likely due to the more electron-rich nature of ddpd (Table 4). Chemical oxidation of $[Co(tpy)_2][PF_6]_2$ with bromine and of $[Co(ddpd)_2][BF_4]_2$ with silver triflate, silver tetrafluoroborate or 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (and counter ion exchange) yields the corresponding diamagnetic low-spin cobalt(III) complexes $[Co(tpy)_2]^{3+}$ and $[Co(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$, respectively (Table 3) [62,68,100,102,103]. $[Co(tpy)_2]^{3+}$ displays the lowest value S(OC-6) = 1.22 of the terpyridine series and $[Co(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ is almost perfectly octahedral with S(OC-6) = 0.10 (Tables 1 and 2; Figure 6c), underscoring the high electronic symmetry of the $(t_{2g})^6$ electron configuration.

The low-spin d⁶ cobalt(III) complex $[Co(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ displays two absorption bands at 21,040 and 25,245 cm⁻¹ (superimposed onto $\pi-\pi^*$ and charge transfer bands; maxima fit by Gaussian bands, Figure 7) which can be assigned to the spin-allowed ligand field transitions ${}^{1}A_{1} \rightarrow {}^{1}T_{1}$ and ${}^{1}A_{1} \rightarrow {}^{1}T_{2}$, respectively [104]. The lower energy corresponds to the ligand field splitting $\Delta_0 = 21,040$ cm⁻¹. The ligand field bands of $[Co(phen)_3]^{3+}$ have been reported as 29,240–28,985 cm⁻¹ and 21,786–21,882 cm⁻¹, respectively [105]. The ligand field splitting induced by ddpd is hence smaller than that of phen in the cobalt(III) complexes.

The second order rate constant for the self-exchange reaction $[Co(tpy)_2]^{3+/2+}$ has been determined as $k_{\text{ex}} = 2820-3000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (in H₂O) between low-spin Co^{III} and low-spin Co^{III} [106,107]. Much lower rate constants of $k_{\text{ex}} = 41.7$ and $17.5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ have been reported for the $[\text{Co}(\text{phen})_3]^{3+/2+}$ and $[\text{Co}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+/2+}$ pairs, respectively [106,107]. This can be traced back to the different spin states of [Co(tpy)₂]²⁺ (mainly low-spin) and [Co(phen)₃]²⁺/[Co(bpy)₃]²⁺ (high-spin) at room temperature in solution. In any case, self-exchange is comparably slow on the ¹H NMR timescale and this holds for the [Co(ddpd)₂]^{3+/2+} couple as well [62]. In addition to the spin-barrier for the ddpd complexes, the Co–N bond lengths Co-N2 and Co-N1/3 decrease by 0.148 and 0.166 Å upon oxidation (Table 1). Similarly, the averaged Co–N2 and Co–N1/N3 bond lengths of the tpy complexes shrink by 0.149 and 0.200 Å, respectively (Table 2). Obviously, the large reorganization energies (irrespective of the Co^{II} spin state) mainly account for the comparably slow electron transfer rates. Even smaller self-exchange rate constants are found for Co^{III/II} complexes of aliphatic amines, such as [Co(en)₃]^{3+/2+} with $k_{ex} = 2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ [108]. This has been ascribed to the innocent nature of ethylene diamine in contrast to the redox-activity of by or phen ligands. The latter enable a strong electronic coupling via π^* orbitals of the ligands in the electron transfer step, for example via the ubiquitous "phenyl embraces" of polypyridine complexes (Figure 2) [72]. As ddpd is a poor electron acceptor, electron transfer to [Co(ddpd)₂]³⁺ is retarded by the high-lying π^* orbitals of ddpd.

The slow electron transfer kinetics of the reduction of [Co(ddpd)₂]³⁺ can be beneficial for applications in dye-sensitized solar cells, retarding the undesired back-electron transfer (recombination) at the TiO₂ electrode [102]. However, dye-regeneration by [Co(ddpd)₂]²⁺ is also slow [103]. Compared to the standard iodide/triiodide electrolyte, the overall performance of outer sphere cobalt(III/II) electrolytes in dye-sensitized solar cells depends on the dye and the electrode surface treatment among other factors [102,103,109,110].

Reduction of $[Co^{II}(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ leads to $[Co^{II}(tpy)_2]^+$ and then to $[Co(tpy)_2]^0$ (Table 4) [20]. The latter neutral species has been described either as $[Co^{II}(tpy \cdot -)(tpy)]^0$ [20] or as $[Co^{II}(tpy \cdot -)_2]^0$ [24]. After the loss of one tpy ligand, the resulting species catalyses the electroreduction of CO₂ to CO and of protons to H₂ [20]. The ddpd complex $[Co^{II}(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ is irreversibly reduced at -1.80 V. This reduction occurs at a potential 0.58 V less negative than the ligand-centred reduction of the $[Zn^{II}(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ complex, suggesting that the cobalt(II) ion is reduced to cobalt(I) similar to the $[Co(tpy)_2]^{2+/+}$ reduction process (Table 4). The $[Co^{II}(tpy)_2]^+$ monocation features some π back-donation into the π^* orbitals of tpy [24]. However, the strongly electron donating character of ddpd is incompatible with back-donation from a d⁸-cobalt(I) centre and consequently the $[Co^{II/I}(ddpd)_2]^{2+/+}$ process becomes irreversible, likely caused by partial or full dissociation of ddpd.

The *mer-* and *cis-fac* isomers of $[Co(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ constitute the first structurally confirmed example for the ability of ddpd to coordinate as pincer and as tripodal ligand. The $[Co(L)_2]^{3+/2+}$ and $[Co(L)_2]^{2+/+}$ redox couples are metal centred for both ligands, yet $[Co(ddpd)_2]^+$ is unstable. Electron transfer involving $[Co(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ or $[Co(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ is slow. Spin-crossover behaviour is found for several $[Co(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ salts but not yet for $[Co(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ salts $(X = BF_4^-)$.

7. mer-[Fe(ddpd)2]2+ [d6] and mer-[Fe(ddpd)2]3+ [d5]

[Fe(ddpd)₂]²⁺ salts are conveniently prepared from Fe[BF₄]_{2×6H₂O and ddpd or from FeBr₂/ddpd followed by anion exchange with [NH₄][PF₆] (Scheme 3) [64], similar to the well-known [Fe(tpy)₂]²⁺ complexes [25,111]. Both d⁶ iron(II) complexes [Fe(tpy)₂]²⁺ and [Fe(ddpd)₂]²⁺ are diamagnetic low-spin complexes with (t_{2g})⁶ electron configuration at room temperature. Both complex cations deliver sharp and well-resolved NMR spectra (Tables 3 and 6). Coordination of iron(II) to tpy and ddpd results in a significant ¹⁵N shielding effect of the pyridine nitrogen nuclei [112]. The effect is more pronounced for the terminal pyridines (N1) than for the inner one (N2) in both cases (Table 6). Yet, the relative ¹⁵N coordination shift difference between N1 and N2 is much larger for the tpy complex (49 ppm) than for the ddpd derivative (23 ppm), possibly arising from the different symmetry (Tables 1 and 2). Interestingly, the coordination shift does not correlate with the M–N distances in the solid state (Tables 1 and 2) [112]. Expectedly, the ¹⁵N chemical shift of the bridging N–Me groups of ddpd is hardly affected by the iron(II) coordination (Table 6).}

Table 6. Coordination shift $\delta = \delta(\text{complex}) - \delta(\text{ligand})$ of ¹⁵N resonances of diamagnetic [Fe(L)₂]²⁺ complexes in CD₃CN (L = ddpd, tpy).

	L = ddpd ^[a]	L = tpy ^[b]
Δδ (N1)/ppm	-56.2 [64,113]	-61.6 ^[c]
$\Delta\delta(N2)/ppm$	-33.2 [64,113]	-12.4 ^[c]
$\Delta\delta(N7)/ppm$	+3.2 [64,113]	-

^[a] Relative to $\delta(N1(ddpd)) = -84.8$ ppm; $\delta(N2(ddpd)) = -124.1$ ppm; $\delta(N7(ddpd)) = -283.1$ ppm (CD₃CN) [35,113]. ^[b] Relative to $\delta(N1(tpy)) = 73.0$ ppm; $\delta(N2(tpy)) = 82.8$ ppm ([D]₆-DMSO) [112]. ^[c] [Fe(tpy)₂]Cl₂ in D₂O [112].

In the Mößbauer spectra of the tpy and ddpd iron(II) complexes, the quadrupole doublets appear at $\delta = 0.28$ mm s⁻¹ and $\delta = 0.39$ mm s⁻¹, respectively [25,64]. The quadrupole splitting of $\Delta E_Q = 1.05$ mm s⁻¹ of the tpy complex surpasses that of the ddpd complex with $\Delta E_Q = 0.40$ mm s⁻¹ suggesting a much higher symmetry of the [Fe(ddpd)₂]²⁺ complex ion [25,64]. This is also reflected in the stronger deviation of the tpy complex from octahedral symmetry (Tables 1 and 2).

Refluxing a solution of $[Fe(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ in CH₃CN does not lead to spin-crossover (SCO) to the ⁵T₂ high-spin state but to ligand dissociation [64]. Under these conditions, the $[Fe(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ ion is thermally stable but does not undergo SCO either. This suggests a too strong ligand field splitting in both complexes. Substitution of tpy at the sterically unhindered 4,4" positions retains the low-spin ground state [111]. On the other hand, substituents at the α positions (6,6") (X = F, Cl, Br) lead to a diminished ligand field strength and high-spin ground states (X = Cl, Br) or SCO behaviour (X = F) [114,115].

Increasing the steric bulk at the bridging nitrogen atom of ddpd in [Fe(ⁿPr₂tpda)₂]²⁺ and [Fe(ⁿHex₂tpda)₂]²⁺ complexes (see Scheme 2 for R₂tpda ligands) increases the hydrolytic lability of the iron(II) complexes, yet the electronic structure remains low-spin iron(II) [43]. The parent complex [Fe(H₂tpda)₂]²⁺ is in the low-spin state as well [116]. In acetonitrile solution, deprotonation at the NH groups of [Fe(H₂tpda)₂]²⁺ readily occurs for example in the ESI mass spectrometer [43].

In contrast to the Zn²⁺/chloride/ddpd system (see above), bromide ions cannot compete with ddpd for iron(II) coordination sites and [Fe(ddpd)₂]Br₂ can be isolated and crystallized (Figure 8) in addition to the salts with weakly coordinating anions BF₄⁻ or PF₆⁻ (Table 1) [64]. The Fe…Br distance amounts to 6.6 Å, indicating an electrostatic interaction at best but no coordination. In all [Fe(ddpd)₂][X]₂ salts, the geometry of the dications is close to octahedral with *S*(OC-6) < 0.2 (Table 1).



Figure 8. Molecular structure of *mer*-[Fe(ddpd)₂]Br₂ in the solid state; hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules omitted. Atom numbering unified for clarity. Fe^{...}Br distances indicated by yellow dashed lines.

Addition of ddpd to $[Fe(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ does not displace tpy. In contrast, tpy is able to substitute ddpd in $[Fe(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$, as easily judged from the colour change from orange-brown ($[Fe(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$) to purple ($[Fe(tpy)_2]^{2+}$). The characteristic purple colour of $[Fe(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ is commonly assigned to a MLCT transition at 552 nm enabled by the low-energy π^* orbitals of tpy [111]. The π^* orbitals of ddpd are

at higher energy and consequently the MLCT absorption of [Fe(ddpd)₂]²⁺ is strongly hypsochromically shifted to 395 nm [64].

Consistent with the stronger electron donating character of ddpd, the $[Fe(ddpd)_2]^{3+/2+}$ redox process occurs at lower potential than the corresponding $[Fe(tpy)_2]^{3+/2+}$ process by 0.38 V (Table 4). According to Mößbauer spectroscopy, these redox processes are metal centred giving the corresponding low-spin iron(III) complexes with $(t_{2g})^5$ electron configuration $([Fe(tpy)_2]^{3+}: \delta = 0.07 \text{ mm s}^{-1}; [Fe(ddpd)_2]^{3+}: \delta = 0.11 \text{ mm s}^{-1}) [25,64]$. Similar, to the parent iron(II) complexes, the quadrupole splitting is larger in the tpy complex ($[Fe(tpy)_2]^{3+}: \Delta E_Q = 3.43 \text{ mm s}^{-1}; [Fe(ddpd)_2]^{3+}: \Delta E_Q = 1.97 \text{ mm s}^{-1})$ due to its reduced symmetry. The anisotropic EPR spectra of $[Fe(bpy)_3]^{3+}$ and $[Fe(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ in frozen solution are typical of low-spin ferric species (S = 1/2) with g = 2.68, 2.60, 2.01 and g = 2.49, 2.30, 1.82, respectively [25,64]. An irreversible ligand-centred oxidation is found for $[Fe(dpd)_2]^{3+}$ at 1.63 V (Table 4), while no further oxidation process has been reported for $[Fe(tpy)_2]^{3+}$. $[Fe(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ can be reversibly reduced twice to give $[Fe^{II}(tpy \cdot)(tpy)]^+$ and $[Fe^{II}(tpy \cdot)_2]^0$, respectively, similar to $[Zn(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ (Table 4) [25]. On the other hand, $[Fe(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ is only irreversibly reduced at the ddpd ligand, at a similar potential as the $[Zn(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ complex (Table 4) [64].

Replacement of a ddpd ligand by the electron accepting tridentate dcpp ligand give the deep blue-coloured heteroleptic push-pull substituted complex $[Fe(dcpp)(ddpd)]^{2+}$ (Scheme 5) [64]. The colour arises from a strong charge transfer absorption band peaking at 592 nm and tailing into the near-IR. According to time-dependent DFT calculations, the lowest energy components are of mixed MLCT (iron(II) \rightarrow dcpp) and LL'CT (ddpd \rightarrow dcpp) character. The homoleptic complex $[Fe(dcpp)_2]^{2+}$ prepared by McCusker features charge transfer bands as well but these are of pure MLCT nature [64,117]. After excitation of the charge transfer bands of $[Fe(dcpp)_2]^{2+}$ and $[Fe(dcpp)(ddpd)]^{2+}$, transient absorption spectroscopy reveals a rapid recovery of the ground state with $\tau = 280$ and 548 ps, respectively. This unusually fast relaxation in the picosecond range has been tentatively assigned to a shortened relaxation cascade ${}^{1}MLCT \rightarrow {}^{3}MLCT \rightarrow {}^{3}MC({}^{3}T_{1})$, omitting the ${}^{5}MC({}^{5}T_{2})$ high-spin state with typical lifetimes in the nanosecond range [64,117]. It has been proposed that the very large ligand field splitting in these complexes shifts the ${}^{5}T_{2}$ state close to or even above the ${}^{3}T_{1}$ excited state. However, non-radiative relaxation via the ${}^{3}T_{1}$ states is still too fast to allow for a long-lived ${}^{3}MLCT$ state, as has been established recently in polycarbene iron(II) complexes [118–122].



Scheme 5. Heteroleptic iron(II) complexes of ddpd/R2tpda and dcpp.

The analogous heteroleptic complexes $[Fe(dcpp)(R_2tpda)]^{2+}$ (R = H, ⁿPr, ⁿHex; Scheme 5) feature charge transfer properties similar to those of $[Fe(dcpp)(ddpd)]^{2+}$ [43]. Furthermore, stepwise deprotonation of $[Fe(dcpp)(H_2tpda)]^{2+}$ at the bridging nitrogen atoms of H₂tpda yields the deep green, reasonably stable conjugate bases $[Fe(dcpp)(Htpda)]^+$ and $[Fe(dcpp)(tpda)]^0$. These can be reversibly re-protonated with trifluoroacetic acid to $[Fe(dcpp)(H_2tpda)]^{2+}$ or irreversibly methylated with methyl iodide to $[Fe(dcpp)(ddpd)]^{2+}$. The charge transfer band maxima of $[Fe(dcpp)(Htpda)]^+$ and $[Fe(dcpp)(tpda)]^0$ are shifted to 613 and 682 nm with respect to that of their conjugate acid $[Fe(dcpp)(H_2tpda)]^{2+}$ (592 nm) [43]. Moreover, additional bands appear at 724 and 872 nm, respectively. TD-DFT calculations suggest that these low-energy bands arise from LL'CT transitions, namely from the amide units localized at the $[Htpda]^-/[tpda]^2$ - ligands to the dcpp ligand without significant iron participation. The combined MLCT and LL'CT absorptions cover the spectral range from 600 nm to more than 1000 nm [43]. The low-energy ¹LL'CT transitions are dipole and spin allowed. However, emission from the corresponding ³LL'CT states is not observed. Possibly, the π - donor character of the pyridyl amide ligands [Htpda]⁻ and [tpda]²⁻ also decrease the ligand field splitting and consequently favour rapid non-radiative relaxation via the low-energy ${}^{3}MC({}^{3}T_{1})$ and ${}^{5}MC({}^{5}T_{2})$ states.

All iron(II) and iron(III) complexes of the oligopyridine ligands tpy and ddpd are low-spin complexes due to the large ligand field splitting in all cases. SCO is not observed. Ligand-centred reductions are reversible for the tpy complex but irreversible for the ddpd complex. The iron(III/II) couple is reversible for both complex types. MLCT bands appear in the visible spectral region for $[Fe(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ due to the low-energy π^* orbitals of tpy but in the UV for the ddpd complex. The heteroleptic complex [Fe(dcpp)(ddpd)]^{2+} displays MLCT bands with Fe \rightarrow dcpp and LL'CT bands with ddpd \rightarrow dcpp character in the Vis-NIR region.

8. [Mn(ddpd)2]ⁿ⁺

Neither homo- nor heteroleptic complexes of manganese and ddpd have been reported so far. In contrast, colourless complexes of the type $[Mn(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ are easily formed from $MnCl_2 \times 4H_2O$, tpy and counter ion exchange, for example by perchlorate [87]. The manganese(II) complex cation features a high-spin d⁵ electron configuration (S = 5/2) with $\chi T = 4.62 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ at room temperature (Table 5) [87]. Expectedly, ligand field transitions are Laporte and spin-forbidden and only $\pi - \pi^*$ transitions appear at 284, 323 and 335 nm in CH₃CN [123]. The coordination geometry of the 4'-tolyl derivative [Mn(Tol-tpy)_2]²⁺ is compressed octahedral due to the ligand bite angle [70]. [Mn(tpy)_2]²⁺ displays a rich redox chemistry [26,87,123]. Oxidation to the high-spin d⁴ manganese(III) complex [Mn(tpy)_2]³⁺ occurs at 0.86 V (Table 4). The 4'-tolyl tpy derivative [Mn(Tol-tpy)_2]³⁺ shows a tetragonally compressed octahedral geometry due to the Jahn-Teller [59] effect and the ligand bite angle [70]. Heteroleptic complexes easily form in higher oxidation states. Binuclear mixed-valent di- μ -oxido terpyridine manganese complexes such as [(H₂O)(tpy)Mn^{III}(μ -O)₂Mn^{IV}(H₂O)(tpy)]³⁺ have been extensively studied as functional models of the oxygen-evolving centre of photosystem II [124,125].

Tpy-centred reductions are found for $[Mn(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ at -1.52, -1.86 and -2.37 V (Table 4) [26]. The manganese centre remains high-spin ($S_{Mn} = \frac{5}{2}$) throughout this series. The neutral complex $Mn^{II}(tpy\cdot-)_2$ has been structurally and magnetically characterized. Its $S = \frac{3}{2}$ ground state is attained via antiferromagnetic coupling of the five unpaired electrons of the Mn^{II} ion with the unpaired spins of the two tpy-- ligands [26].

A comparison of the structural, optical, electrochemical and magnetic data with those of [Mn(ddpd)₂]^{*n*+} complexes must await the preparation of the respective manganese ddpd complexes.

9. mer-[Cr(ddpd)2]3+, mer-CrCl3(ddpd) [d3] and mer-[Cr(ddpd)2]2+ [d4]

The heteroleptic complexes $CrCl_3(tpy)$ and *mer*- $CrCl_3(ddpd)$ are easily prepared from $CrCl_3(thf)_3$ or $CrCl_3 \times 6H_2O$ and the respective pincer ligand (Figure 9a,b) [71,126]. Recently, Hauser and Piguet realized heteroleptic $[Cr(tpy)(L')]^{3+}$ complexes starting from $CrCl_3(tpy)$ via $Cr(tpy)(CF_3SO_3)_3$ and further tridentate polypyridine ligands L' [126]. The homoleptic chromium(III) complexes $[Cr(tpy)_2]^{3+}$ and $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ are best prepared from more reactive chromium(II) salts such as $Cr(CF_3SO_3)_2$ or $CrCl_2$ and the respective tridentate ligands, followed by (aerial) oxidation (Figure 9c,d) [50,71]. Surprisingly, $[Cr(tpy)_2]^{3+}$ is quite labile in alkaline aqueous solution, presumably yielding to tpy displacement and $[Cr(tpy)(OH)_x]_n^{(3-x)n}$ species [71]. On the contrary, $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ is substitutionally inert under these conditions—even under irradiation [50].



Figure 9. Molecular structures of (**a**) CrCl₃(tpy)×DMSO [127], (**b**) *mer*-CrCl₃(ddpd) [126], (**c**) [Cr(tpy)₂][PF₆]₃×2.5CH₃CN [126], (**d**) *mer*-[Cr(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₃×3CH₃CN [50] and (**e**) [Cr(tpy)₂][PF₆]₂×2CH₃CN [27] and (**f**) *mer*-[Cr(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂×2CH₃CN in the solid state; hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules omitted. Atom numbering unified for clarity. In the dications two different tridentate ligands are observed labelled as N1/N2/N3 and N1'/N2'/N3'.

The room temperature magnetic susceptibility of $[Cr(tpy)_2]^{3+}$ and $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ amounts to $\chi T = 1.921$ and 1.838 cm³ K mol⁻¹, respectively (Table 5), confirming the expected $(t_{2g})^3$ electron configuration (⁴A₂ ground state) for both complexes [27,50]. The magnetic moment of $[Cr(ddpd)_2][BF_4]_3$ is temperature-independent down to 40 K. At 2 K, χT has dropped to 1.788 cm³ K mol⁻¹, suggesting weak zero-field splitting or weak intermolecular antiferromagetic interactions.

The first spin-allowed ligand field transition ${}^{4}A_{2} \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{2}$ of $[Cr(tpy)_{2}]^{3+}$ appears at 533 nm [126], that of $[Cr(ddpd)_{2}]^{3+}$ at 435 nm [50]. These data imply a much larger ligand field splitting Δ_{0} in the latter complex by more than 4200 cm⁻¹.

The non-innocent tpy ligand accounts for the ligand-based reduction chemistry of $[Cr^{III}(tpy)_2]^{3+}$ giving $[Cr^{III}(tpy\cdot-)(tpy)]^{2+}$, $[Cr^{III}(tpy\cdot-)_2]^+$ and $[Cr^{III}(tpy^{2-})(tpy\cdot-)]^0$, respectively, as demonstrated by Wieghardt and co-workers [27]. On the other hand, $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ is reversibly reduced to the reactive d⁴ chromium(II) complex $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ at -1.11 V and irreversibly at the ddpd ligand at -1.94 V (Table 4) [50]. The ddpd/ddpd·+ oxidation is observed at +1.71 V, at much higher potential than the oxidation of the ddpd ligand in the dicationic $[Zn(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ complex (+1.17 V, Table 4) due to the +3 charge of $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ [50]. Both the substitutional lability and the electronic structure of the reduced species $[Cr(tpy)_2]^{3-n}$ (n = 1-3) are consequences of the π -accepting character and redox non-innocence of terpyridine. In contrast, ddpd is much more electron donating, thus preventing nucleophilic attack of hydroxide ions at the chromium(III) centre in $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$.

Single crystals of the green labile, oxygen and moisture sensitive chromium(II) complex $[Cr(ddpd)_2][BF_4]_2 \times 2CH_3CN$ could be obtained from $[Cr(NCCH_3)_4][BF_4]_2$ [128] and ddpd (Table 1). The magnetic susceptibility data (in solution) confirm the high-spin state at room temperature (Table 5). According to DFT calculations on the $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ dication, the high-spin d⁴-chromium(II) complex is Jahn-Teller distorted [59,129] with Cr–N2 = 2.052, Cr–N1 = Cr–N3 = 2.110 and Cr–N2' = 2.117, Cr–N1' = 2.311 and Cr–N3' = 2.312 Å. A similar, yet less pronounced elongated octahedron is observed with Cr–N2 = 2.064(9), Cr–N1 = Cr–N3 = 2.089(6) and Cr–N2' = 2.070(11), Cr–N1' = Cr–N3' = 2.117(7) Å in the crystalline state at 263 K (Table 1). At 263 K, this Jahn-Teller distortion is probably dynamic, as the difference between the short and long equatorial bonds (Δd_{s1} = 0.028 Å) is less than that in [Cr(NCCH₃)₆][BPh₄]₂ at 110 K (Cr–N = 2.0655, 2.0918 and 2.4231 Å) [130]. The electronic and geometric situation is similar to that of the d⁹ Jahn-Teller complex [Cu(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂×2CH₃CN [60] at 263 K (Table 1; vide supra).

In contrast, the tpy analogue $[Cr^{III}(tpy)][PF_6]_2 \times 2CH_3CN$ features two different tpy ligands, assigned to tpy- and tpy but no pronounced tetragonal elongation at 100 K (tpy: Cr–N2 = 1.976 Å; Cr–N1/3 = 2.056 Å; tpy-: Cr–N2 = 1.916 Å; Cr–N1/3 = 2.042 Å; $\Delta d_{s1} = 0.014$ Å; Table 2) [27]. Again, the different electronic description of $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$ and $[Cr(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ is rooted in the different electron accepting nature of the oligopyridine ligands.

The neutral complex $[Cr(tpy)_2]^0$ is conveniently prepared from $Cr(CO)_6$ and tpy [27]. No heteroleptic intermediate complex $Cr(CO)_{3}(tpy)$ has been reported, probably due to the required meridional configuration of Cr(CO)₃(tpy) with *trans* positioned CO ligands, in contrast to the stable fac-Cr(CO)₃(py)₃ complex already prepared by Hieber [131,132]. Furthermore, tpy might already acquire π electron density from the low-valent chromium centre in the intermediate complex Cr(CO)₃(tpy), which further labilizes the Cr–CO bond. In contrast, neutral [Cr(ddpd)₂]⁰ could not be obtained from Cr(CO)6 and ddpd even under forcing conditions (refluxing mesitylene, b.p. 165 °C). This substitution reaction arrests at the heteroleptic tricarbonyl complex *fac*-Cr(CO)₃(ddpd). The \tilde{v}_{CO} IR data of this chromium(0) complex (1891 (s), 1775 (s), 1740 (s) cm⁻¹) suggest a facial ligand arrangement, which is enabled by the flexible ddpd ligand. The \tilde{v}_{co} data are comparable to those of fac-Cr(CO)₃[P(2-py)₃] with three perfectly identical monodentate pyridine ligands (1901 (s), 1777 (br) cm⁻¹) [133] and to fac-Cr(CO)₃[HN(2-py)₂](4-pic) with strongly dissimilar pyridine donor ligands (1893 (s), 1780 (s), 1720 (s) cm⁻¹) [134] (cf. Scheme 4 for *cis-fac* isomers of homoleptic complexes). The similar intensities of the three vco bands also support the facial isomer instead of a mer-isomer, which should show a less intense A1 absorption band. In accordance with this assignment, DFT calculations find the *fac*-isomer more stable than the *mer*-isomer by 54 kJ mol⁻¹ (Supplementary Materials). Furthermore, the electron donating character of ddpd stabilize the trans Cr-CO bonds in fac-Cr(CO)₃(ddpd) and the three CO ligands resist further substitution by ddpd [135].

2,2'-Bipyridine (bpy), 1,10-phenanthroline (phen), tpy and ddpd are strong field ligands and consequently, the spin-flip states ${}^{2}E/{}^{2}T_{1}$ are lower in energy than the ${}^{4}T_{2}$ ligand field state. Indeed, ${}^{2}E$ phosphorescence is observed for the corresponding homoleptic chromium(III) complexes at 727, 730, 770 and 775 nm, respectively (Figure 10) [50,136]. However, the photoluminescence quantum yields of the three former complexes are below 0.15% hampering useful optical applications. The very low quantum yield of [Cr(tpy)₂]³⁺ (<0.00089%) has been accounted for by the strong deviation from octahedral symmetry (S(OC-6) > 2.5, Table 2) and consequently a comparably small ligand field splitting places the detrimental ${}^{4}T_{2}$ ligand field state close in energy to the luminescent ${}^{2}E$ state allowing for thermal back-intersystem crossing and hence non-radiative deactivation. Substituent effects at the 4'-position of tpy slightly improve the brightness of the luminescence of [Cr(R-tpy)₂]³⁺ (R = p-Me-C₆H₄, p-MeO-C₆H₄) by increasing both the absorbance in the visible spectral region and the quantum yield by a factor of 4.3 relative to unsubstituted [Cr(tpy)₂]³⁺ [137]. On the other hand, the [Cr(ddpd)₂]³⁺ complex

with a geometry close to octahedral (*S*(OC-6) < 0.5, Table 1) enables record luminescence quantum yields of φ = 12% and 11% in CH₃CN and H₂O, respectively [50,53]. These values have been further boosted by the combined action of ligand (Scheme 2) and solvent deuteration to φ = 30% and 22% in D₂O and CD₃CN, respectively [42,53]. These measures reduce the multiphonon relaxation via CH and OH oscillators and consequently increase the luminescence quantum yield [42,53]. Concomitant with the very high quantum yields, the lifetime of the doublet excited state increases to up to 2.3 ms [42]. Similarly, deuteration of the NH groups of the analogous [Cr(H₂tpda)₂]³⁺ complex increases the luminescence quantum yield from 8.8% to 12.0% in CH₃CN due to the removal of the NH oscillators [138]. The high quantum yields and excited state lifetimes of [Cr(ddpd)₂]³⁺ complexes led to their description as "molecular rubies" [42,50,53].



Figure 10. Luminescence spectra of $[Cr(bpy)_3][PF_6]_3$ (black) $[Cr(tpy)_2][PF_6]_3$ (blue) and $[Cr(ddpd)_2][BF_4]_3$ (red) in CH₃CN. The bands are labelled with the respective term symbols. Please note the very different scaling factors.

The reactivity of the excited states of $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ and $[Cr(tpy)_2]^{3+}/[Cr(bpy)_3]^{3+}$ differ as well [139]. As the metal-centred $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{3+/2+}$ redox process occurs at a much more cathodic potential than the tpy-based redox process of $[Cr(tpy)_2]^{3+/2+}$ (Table 4), its oxidative power in the excited state with 0.49 V is much lower than that of $[Cr(tpy)_2]^{3+/2+}$ with 1.08 V [50]. Indeed, $[Cr(4'-Tol-tpy)_2]^{3+}$ photooxidizes the DNA base guanine and hence can cleave DNA [140], while $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ does not damage DNA via guanine photooxidation [50]. The organic photoredox chemistry, using strongly oxidizing $[Cr(phen)_3]^{3+}$ sensitizers, has recently been advanced by Shores and Ferreira [141–143]. On the other hand, the very long lifetime and the non-oxidizing character of the ²E state of $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ enables the efficient and selective formation of singlet oxygen via energy transfer to $^{3}O_2$ [139]. The thus generated $^{1}O_2$ has been successfully utilized for visible-light-induced oxidative C–H bond functionalization of tertiary amines [139]. The luminescence quenching of the stable and highly luminescent [Cr(ddpd)₂]³⁺ complex by triplet oxygen can be further elaborated into optical oxygen sensors [53].

Furthermore, optical sensing of temperature has been realized with dissolved molecular $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ complexes and with $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ enclosed in polystyrene nanoparticles or micelles [144]. Exploiting the thermal equilibration of the long-lived emissive ²E and ²T₁ states allows using the dual emitter $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ as self-referencing dye (Figure 10) [144]. Moreover, the pressure-dependent energy shift of the ²E emission band has been utilized for optical pressure detection both in the solid state and in solution. The pressure sensitivity of $[Cr(ddpd)_2][BF_4]_3$ (²E state: -14.1 cm⁻¹ kbar⁻¹) is much higher than that of the standard pressure calibrant Al₂O₃:Cr (ruby, -0.7 cm⁻¹ kbar⁻¹), enabling highly sensitive future applications [145,146]. Interestingly, the pressure shift of the well resolved ²T₁ emission band of $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ differs with -7.7 cm⁻¹ kbar⁻¹ significantly from the ²E shift.

This phenomenon has been ascribed to the distinct effects of pressure-induced angular distortions on the ²E and ²T₁ spin-flip states of chromium(III) ions in $[Cr(ddpd)_2]^{3+}$ [145].

Clearly, the different coordinating ability and electron accepting nature of tpy and ddpd translate into very complementary ground state (substitution, redox) and excited state reactivity (luminescence, electron transfer, energy transfer) of their homoleptic chromium(III) complexes [Cr(ddpd)₂]³⁺ and [Cr(tpy)₂]³⁺.

10. cis-fac-[V(ddpd)₂]³⁺ and mer-VCl₃(ddpd) [d²]

The solution chemistry of VCl₃ and pyridine is complicated due to complex equilibria and accompanying redox chemistry [147]. Literature reports concerning vanadium(III) complexes with terpyridine are very scarce. The brown heteroleptic complex VCl₃(tpy) has been prepared from VCl₃ and tpy [80,148,149]. Similarly, yellow *mer*-VCl₃(ddpd) is obtained from VCl₃(thf)₃ [150] and ddpd displaying an LMCT absorption band at 455 nm (Figure S3). A related VCl₃ complex VCl₃(L) with L = 2,6-bis(3,5-diphenylpyrazol-1-ylmethyl)pyridine as tridentate nitrogen donor ligand forming 6-membered chelate rings had been reported as pre-catalyst for ethylene polymerization [151]. Yet, structural data of VCl₃(tpy) and VCl₃(L) are lacking. A few solid-state structures of VCl₃(bimpy) (bimpy = 2,6-bis(imino)pyridine) ligands forming five-membered chelate rings) have been reported and these complexes have been employed in radical polymerization catalysis [152]. VCl₃(ddpd) crystallised in the monoclinic space group *P*2₁/n as a monomeric complex with meridional ddpd coordination (Figure 11a), isostructural to *mer*-CrCl₃(ddpd) (χ T = 0.879 cm⁻¹ K mol⁻¹ at 290 K) and *mer*-VCl₃(ddpd) (χ T = 0.879 cm⁻¹ K mol⁻¹ at 290 K) and *mer*-VCl₃(ddpd) (χ T = 0.879 cm⁻¹ K mol⁻¹ at 290 K) and *mer*-VCl₃(ddpd) [126].



Figure 11. Molecular structures of (**a**) *mer*-VCl₃(ddpd) and (**b**) *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂][PF₆]₃ in the solid state; hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules omitted. Atom numbering unified for clarity.

In the presence of three equivalents Ag[CF₃SO₃] and tpy, VCl₃(tpy) presumably forms [V(tpy)₂]³⁺ initially. However, this vanadium(III) complex readily disproportionates to [V(tpy)₂]²⁺ and a [V^{IV}O]²⁺ species, with the oxygen atom likely stemming from traces of water [80]. [V(tpy)₂]I₂ had been prepared from VSO₄, tpy and ion exchange with KI. Its magnetic moment fits to a d³ electron configuration (Table 5) [90]. The dicationic complex [V(tpy)₂]²⁺ exhibits absorption bands at λ = 767 and 402 nm [153]. The intensity of the low-energy band suggests charge transfer character. Two electronic descriptions of the ground state are conceivable, namely [V^{II}(tpy)₂]²⁺ or [V^{III}(tpy)-⁻)(tpy)]²⁺ with MLCT or LMCT bands, respectively. However, the electronic structure of [V(tpy)₂]²⁺ has not yet been determined experimentally.

Oxidation of green $[V(tpy)_2]^{2+}$ occurs at -0.09 V (vs. ferrocene) [80] and is reported as quasireversible, again pointing to disproportionation of the initially formed $[V(tpy)_2]^{3+}$ complex (Table 4). Hence, the vanadium(III) complex $[V(tpy)_2]^{3+}$ has not yet been isolated.

In the presence of Tl[PF₆] as chloride acceptor, *mer*-VCl₃(ddpd) and ddpd yields [V(ddpd)₂]³⁺ without any signs for disproportionation in V^{II} and [V^{IV}O]²⁺. In principle, this synthetic procedure could also allow for heteroleptic vanadium(III) complexes with ddpd. The *cis-fac* stereoisomer of [V(ddpd)₂]³⁺ has been crystallographically characterized (Figure 11b), providing first structural data of a homoleptic polypyridine vanadium(III) complex. Obviously, the electron-rich ddpd ligand stabilizes electron-poor d²-V^{III} complexes in contrast to the π -accepting tpy ligand.

Ligand substitution of V(η^6 -C₆H₆)₂, V(CO)₄(dppe) or K[V(CO)₆] with tpy (dppe = 1,2bis(diphenylphosphano)ethane) [154], reduction of [V(tpy)₂]I₂ with Mg in DMF or LiAlH₄ in dioxane [90] or sodium amalgam reduction of VCl₃ in the presence of tpy yields the black, neutral complex [V(tpy)₂]⁰ [155]. According to single crystal X-ray diffraction and spectroscopic data, [V(tpy)₂]⁰ features a [V^{IV}(tpy²⁻)₂]⁰ electronic structure with an unpaired electron localized at the vanadium ion (*S* = ½) [90,154,155]. These data again illustrate the complementary nature of tpy and ddpd, with tpy stabilizing reduced, electron-rich vanadium species by internal redox reactions and ddpd stabilizing electron-poor vanadium(III) complexes.

11. Experimental Section

X-ray diffraction of ddpd, $[H-ddpd][CF_3SO_3]$, $[Co(ddpd)_2][BF_4]_3\times 3CH_3CN$, $[Fe(ddpd)_2]Br_2\times 2CH_3CN$, $VCl_3(ddpd)$, *mer*- $[Cr(ddpd)_2][BF_4]_2\times 2CH_3CN$ and *cis-fac*- $[V(ddpd)_2][PF_6]_3\times 3CH_3CN$:

Intensity data were collected with a STOE IPDS-2T diffractometer with an Oxford cooling system using Mo-K α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). The diffraction frames were integrated using the Bruker SMART software package [156] and most were corrected for absorption with MULABS [157] of the PLATON software package [158]. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by the full-matrix method based on F^2 using the SHELXL software package [159,160]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, while the positions of all hydrogen atoms were generated with appropriate geometric constraints and allowed to ride on their respective parent atoms with fixed isotropic thermal parameters. Only, the non-hydrogen atoms of co-crystallized acetonitrile in *mer*-[Fe(ddpd)₂]Br₂×2CH₃CN have been refined isotropically.

Crystallographic data for the structure reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no CCDC-1832949 (ddpd), 1832948 ([H-ddpd][CF₃SO₃]), 1832951 (*mer*-[Co(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₃×3CH₃CN), 1832950 (*mer*-[Fe(ddpd)₂]Br₂×2CH₃CN), 1844780 (*mer*-[Cr(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂×2CH₃CN), 1840435 (VCl₃(ddpd)) and 1840434 (*cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂][PF₆]₃×3CH₃CN). Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge upon application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, U.K. [fax (0.44) 1223-336-033; e-mail deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk].

Crystallographic Data of ddpd: C₁₇H₁₇₇N₅ (291.35); orthorhombic; *P*nma; *a* = 11.772(2) Å, *b* = 18.989(4) Å, *c* = 6.7282(14) Å, *V* = 1504.0(5) Å³; *Z* = 4; density, calcd. = 1.287 g cm⁻³, μ = 0.081 mm⁻¹; *F*(000) = 616; crystal size 0.910 × 0.590 × 0.090 mm; θ = 3.212 to 28.272 deg.; $-15 \le h \le 13$, $-20 \le k \le 25$, $-7 \le l \le 8$; rfln collected = 5790; rfln unique = 1904 [*R*(int) = 0.0572]; completeness to θ = 25.242 deg. = 99.6%; semi empirical absorption correction from equivalents; max. and min. transmission 1.09703 and 0.92830; data 1904; restraints 0, parameters 104; goodness-of-fit on *F*² = 1.030; final indices [*I* > $2\sigma(I)$] *R*₁ = 0.0504, w*R*₂ = 0.1255; *R* indices (all data) *R*₁ = 0.0907, w*R*₂ = 0.1443; largest diff. peak and hole 0.224 and -0.274 e Å⁻³.

Crystallographic Data of [H-ddpd][CF₃SO₃]: C₁₈H₁₈F₃N₅O₃S (441.43); monoclinic; *P*2₁/n; *a* = 8.4486(17) Å, *b* = 14.467(3) Å, *c* = 15.549(3) Å, β = 97.84(3)°, *V* = 1882.7(7) Å³; *Z* = 4; density, calcd. = 1.557 g cm⁻³, μ = 0.234 mm⁻¹; *F*(000) = 912; crystal size 0.540 × 0.520 × 0.400 mm; θ = 2.606 to 28.226 deg.; -11 ≤ *h* ≤ 11, -19 ≤ *k* ≤ 16, -20 ≤ *l* ≤ 15; rfln collected = 12534; rfln unique = 4591 [*R*(int) = 0.0268]; completeness to θ = 25.242 deg. = 99.4%; no absorption correction; data 4591; restraints 0, parameters

277; goodness-of-fit on F^2 = 1.040; final indices [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] R_1 = 0.0349, w R_2 = 0.0919; R indices (all data) R_1 = 0.0401, w R_2 = 0.0958; largest diff. peak and hole 0.437 and -0.340 e Å⁻³.

Crystallographic Data of *mer*-[Co(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₃×3CH₃CN: C₄₀H₄₃B₃CoF₁₂N₁₃ (1025.23); monoclinic; *P*n; *a* = 11.4217(10) Å, *b* = 16.5963(15) Å, *c* = 12.9749(11) Å, β = 112.100(2)°, *V* = 2278.8(3) Å³; *Z* = 2; density, calcd. = 1.494 g cm⁻³, μ = 0.473 mm⁻¹; *F*(000) = 1048; crystal size 0.730 × 0.430 × 0.220 mm; θ = 2.030 to 27.987 deg.; -15 ≤ *h* ≤ 15, -21 ≤ *k* ≤ 21, -17 ≤ *l* ≤ 17; rfln collected = 28339; rfln unique = 10797 [*R*(int) = 0.0526]; completeness to θ = 25.242 deg. = 100.0%; semi empirical absorption correction from equivalents; max. and min. transmission 1.179 and 0.888; data 10797; restraints 42, parameters 675; goodness-of-fit on *F*² = 0.958; final indices [*I* > 2 σ (*I*)] *R*₁ = 0.0473, w*R*₂ = 0.1047; *R* indices (all data) *R*₁ = 0.0584, w*R*₂ = 0.1094; largest diff. peak and hole 0.347 and -0.398 e Å⁻³.

Crystallographic Data of *mer*-[Fe(ddpd)₂]Br₂×2CH₃CN: C₃₈H₄₀Br₂FeN₁₂ (880.49); orthorhombic; *F*ddd; *a* = 13.629(3) Å, *b* = 20.677(4) Å, *c* = 26.759(5) Å, *V* = 7541(3) Å³; *Z* = 8; density, calcd. = 1.551 g cm⁻³, μ = 2.566 mm⁻¹; *F*(000) = 3584; crystal size 0.200 × 0.157 × 0.070 mm; θ = 3.355 to 28.037 deg.; –15 $\leq h \leq 17$, –27 $\leq k \leq 27$, –35 $\leq l \leq 35$; rfln collected = 12312; rfln unique = 2273 [*R*(int) = 0.0514]; completeness to θ = 25.242 deg. = 99.8%; semi empirical absorption correction from equivalents; max. and min. transmission 1.050 and 0.958; data 2273; restraints 3, parameters 122; goodness-of-fit on *F*² = 1.059; final indices [*I* > 2 σ (*I*)] *R*₁ = 0.0547, w*R*₂ = 0.1641; *R* indices (all data) *R*₁ = 0.0804, w*R*₂ = 0.1828; largest diff. peak and hole 1.726 and –0.823 e Å⁻³.

Crystallographic Data of *mer*-[Cr(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂ × 2CH₃CN: C₃₈H₄₀B₂F₈CrN₁₂ (890.44); *T* = 263 K; orthorhombic; *F*dd2; *a* = 21.474(4) Å, *b* = 26.428(5) Å, *c* = 14.176(3) Å, *V* = 8045(3) Å³; *Z* = 8; density, calcd. = 1.470 g cm⁻³, μ = 0.368 mm⁻¹; *F*(000) = 3664; crystal size 0.480 × 0.380 × 0.300 mm; θ = 2.883 to 28.236 deg.; $-26 \le h \le 28$, $-30 \le k \le 35$, $-18 \le l \le 18$; rfln collected = 10695; rfln unique = 4596 [*R*(int) = 0.0591]; completeness to θ = 25.242 deg. = 99.8%; semi empirical absorption correction from equivalents; max. and min. transmission 1.172 and 0.893; data 4596; restraints 122, parameters 357; goodness-of-fit on *F*² = 1.032; final indices [*I* > 2 σ (*I*)] *R*₁ = 0.0455, w*R*₂ = 0.1123; *R* indices (all data) *R*₁ = 0.0708, w*R*₂ = 0.1394; abs. structure parameter = 0.39(7), largest diff. peak and hole 0.381 and -0.248 e Å⁻³.

Crystallographic Data of VCl₃(ddpd): C₁₇H₁₇Cl₃VN₅ (448.64); monoclinic; *P*₂₁/n; *a* = 9.2520(19) Å, *b* = 14.874(3) Å, *c* = 13.647(3) Å, β = 95.99(3)°, *V* = 1867.8(7) Å³; *Z* = 4; density, calcd. = 1.595 g cm⁻³, μ = 0.972 mm⁻¹; *F*(000) = 912; crystal size 0.600 × 0.270 × 0.080 mm; θ = 2.031 to 28.228 deg.; -12 ≤ *h* ≤ 12, -17 ≤ *k* ≤ 19, -18 ≤ *l* ≤ 18; rfln collected = 12339; rfln unique = 4595 [*R*(int) = 0.0848]; completeness to θ = 25.242 deg. = 99.7%; semi empirical absorption correction from equivalents; max. and min. transmission 1.282 and 0.864; data 4595; restraints 0, parameters 237; goodness-of-fit on *F*² = 1.045; final indices [*I* > 2 σ (*I*)] *R*₁ = 0.0541, w*R*₂ = 0.1029; *R* indices (all data) *R*₁ = 0.1047, w*R*₂ = 0.1235; largest diff. peak and hole 0.489 and -0.522 e Å⁻³.

Crystallographic Data of *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂][PF₆]₃×3CH₃CN: C₄₀H₄₃P₃VF₁₈N₁₃ (1191.72); monoclinic; *l*2/c; *a* = 22.513(5) Å, *b* = 12.233(3) Å, *c* = 37.764(16) Å, β = 102.01(3)°, *V* = 10173(6) Å³; *Z* = 8; density, calcd. = 1.556 g cm⁻³, μ = 0.401 mm⁻¹; *F*(000) = 4832; crystal size 0.320 × 0.150 × 0.040 mm; θ = 1.904 to 28.483 deg.; -30 ≤ *h* ≤ 30, -16 ≤ *k* ≤ 14, -44 ≤ *l* ≤ 50; rfln collected = 32167; rfln unique = 12717 [*R*(int) = 0.2772]; completeness to θ = 25.242 deg. = 99.6%; semi empirical absorption correction from equivalents; max. and min. transmission 1.147 and 0.861; data 12717; restraints 84, parameters 780; goodness-of-fit on *F*² = 1.039; final indices [*I* > 2 σ (*I*)] *R*₁ = 0.1357, w*R*₂ = 0.2837; *R* indices (all data) *R*₁ = 0.3163, w*R*₂ = 0.3895; largest diff. peak and hole 1.151 and -0.540 e Å⁻³. Unfortunately, only a few needle-shaped crystals were suitable for single-crystal X-ray analysis. The investigated needle-shaped crystal was the one of highest quality. Up to now, no crystals with better diffracting power could be obtained and hence no better reflection data. In spite of the weak diffraction quality, the *cis-fac* coordination mode of the ddpd ligand in this complex salt is unambiguous.

NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker Avance DRX 400 spectrometer at 400.31 MHz (¹H). All resonances are reported in ppm versus the solvent signal as an internal standard (CD₂Cl₂: ¹H, δ = 5.32 ppm; s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, m = multiplet) [161]. IR spectra were recorded with a BioRad Excalibur FTS 3100 spectrometer as KBr disks or with a Bruker Alpha FT-IR spectrometer with an ATR unit containing a diamond crystal. UV/Vis/near-IR spectra were recorded with a Varian

Cary 5000 spectrometer by using 1.0 cm cells (Hellma, Suprasil). ESI⁺ mass spectra were recorded with a Micromass Q-TOF-Ultima spectrometer. FD mass spectra were recorded on a Thermo Fisher DFS mass spectrometer with a LIFDI upgrade. DC magnetic studies were performed with a Quantum Design MPMS-XL-7 SQUID magnetometer on powdered microcrystalline samples embedded in eicosane to avoid orientation of the crystallites under applied field (1 Tesla). Experimental susceptibility data were corrected for the underlying diamagnetism using Pascal's constants. The temperature dependent magnetic contribution of the holder and of the embedding matrix eicosane were experimentally determined and subtracted from the measured susceptibility data. Electrochemical experiments were carried out on a BioLogic SP-50 voltammetric analyser using a platinum working electrode, a platinum wire as a counter electrode and a 0.01 M Ag/AgNO₃ CH₃CN electrode as reference electrode. The measurements were carried out at a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹ for cyclic voltammetry experiments using 0.1 M [ⁿBu₄N] [PF₆] as supporting electrolyte and 0.002 M of the sample in acetonitrile. Potentials are given relative to the ferrocene/ferrocenium couple. Elemental analyses were performed by the microanalytical laboratory of the chemical institutes of the University of Mainz.

DFT calculations were carried out using the ORCA program package (version 4.0.1) [162]. All calculations were performed using the B3LYP functional [163–165] and employ the RIJCOSX approximation [166,167]. Relativistic effects were calculated at the zeroth order regular approximation (ZORA) level [168]. The ZORA keyword automatically invokes relativistically adjusted basis sets. To account for solvent effects, a conductor-like screening model (CPCM) modelling acetonitrile was used in all calculations [169]. Geometry optimizations were performed using Ahlrichs' split-valence double- ξ basis set def2-SVP, which comprises polarization functions for all non-hydrogen atoms [170,171]. Atom-pairwise dispersion correction was performed with the Becke-Johnson damping scheme (D3BJ) [172,173].

Synthesis of *fac*-**Cr(CO)**₃(**ddpd**): Under an inert atmosphere, chromiumhexacarbonyl (233 mg, 1.06 mmol) and ddpd (684 mg, 2.34 mmol) were heated under reflux in mesitylene (45 mL) for 7 h. After cooling to room temperature, the red precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with diethylether (3 × 30 mL). The red solid was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. After washing with petroleum ether, the red solid was dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 410 mg (0.96 mmol, 90%). Elemental Anal. Calc. for C₂₀H₁₇CrN₅O₃ (427.37) × 0.2 CH₂Cl₂ C, 54.60; H, 3.95; N, 15.76. Found: C, 53.78; H, 3.95; N, 16.59. IR (KBr): \tilde{v} = 1891 (vs, CO), 1775 (vs, CO), 1748 (vs, CO), 1589 (s), 1580 (s), 1488 (s), 1444 (s), 1430 (s), 1373 (m), 1338 (m), 1304 (w), 1276 (w), 1237 (w), 1166 (m), 1141 (m), 1131 (m), 1118 (w), 1100 (w), 1088 (w), 1065 (w), 1055 (w), 1005 (w), 841 (w, br), 799 (m), 773 (s), 748 (m), 652 (m) cm⁻¹. MS (ESI⁺): *m/z* (%) = 343.1 (100) [M – 3CO]⁺, 371.1 (2) [M – 2CO]⁺, 399.1 (6) [M – CO]⁺, 427.1 (85) [M]⁺. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 400.31 MHz): δ [ppm] = 9.13 (d, ³J_{HH} = 5.6 Hz, 2H, H7), 7.72 (t, ³J_{HH} = 8.2 Hz, 1H, H¹), 7.71 (m, 2H, H⁵), 7.10 (ddd, ³J_{HH} = 7.0 Hz, ³J_{HH} = 5.9 Hz, ⁴J_{HH} = 0.9 Hz, 2H, H⁶), 7.02 (d, ³J_{HH} = 8.2 Hz, 2H, H⁴), 6.66 (d, ³J_{HH} = 8.2 Hz, 2H, H²), 3.62 (s, 6H, H³). UV/Vis/NIR (CH₂Cl₂): λ (ε/M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) = 445 (7220), 328 (sh, 14100), 298 (17500), 259 (sh, 24700) nm.

Synthesis of crystals of *mer*-[Cr(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂×2CH₃CN: Under an inert atmosphere, [Cr(NCCH₃)₄][BF₄]₂ [128] (13.5 mg, 0.035 mmol) was dissolved in acetonitrile (5 mL) giving a pale blue solution. Upon dropwise addition of ddpd (20 mg, 0.069 mmol) dissolved in acetonitrile (1 mL) the solution turned green. Diffusion of diethyl ether into the solution yielded dark green crystals suitable for single crystals X-ray diffraction and magnetic susceptibility studies. Magnetic susceptibility (Evans NMR method [174,175]): χ T (CH₃CN) = 2.67 cm³ K mol⁻¹ (294 K).

Synthesis of *mer*-VCl₃(ddpd): Under an inert atmosphere, VCl₃(thf)₃ [150] (50 mg, 0.13 mmol) was dissolved in acetonitrile (2 mL) giving a green solution. Upon addition of ddpd (47 mg, 0.16 mmol), dissolved in dry acetonitrile (2 mL), the solution turned red and an orange solid started to precipitate. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 2 h to give a yellow solution over an orange solid. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the orange product was washed under argon with dry petrol ether (40/60) (3 × 5 mL) onto a frit to remove excess ligand. After drying under reduced pressure overnight, a fine-grained orange solid was obtained. Yield: 55 mg (0.12 mmol, 92%). MS (LIFDI): m/z (%) = 291.2 (58) [ddpd]-⁺, 393.2 (100), 412.2 (34) [M – Cl]⁺. UV/Vis/NIR (CH₂Cl₂): λ

 $(\epsilon/M^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}) = 455 (980), 385 (sh, 2240), 322 (14470), 245 (17080) nm. IR (ATR): <math>\tilde{\upsilon} = 2960 (w), 1589 (m), 1566 (w), 1488 (vw), 1472 (w), 1432 (s), 1411 (m), 1389 (w), 1354 (w), 1284 (vw), 1259 (m),1195 (w), 1171 (w), 1160 (w), 1129 (m), 1094 (s, br), 1082 (s, br), 1014 (s), 964 (m), 888 (sh), 874 (w, br), 795 (s, br), 773 (vs), 752 (m), 746 (m), 727 (m), 707 (w), 663 (w), 630 (vw), 593 (m), 562 (w). Elem. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₇H₁₇Cl₃N₅V (488.66)×0.9 CH₃CN: C, 46.50, H, 4.09, N, 17.02. Found: C, 45.93, H, 3.75, N, 17.59. <math>\chi$ T = 0.879 cm⁻¹ K mol⁻¹ (290 K).

12. Conclusions and Future Perspectives

Transition metal complexes of ddpd $[M(ddpd)_2]^{n+}$ with d² (vanadium(III)) to d¹⁰ (zinc(II)) electron configurations are readily available. In all cases, the complex charge corresponds to the metal oxidation state. This is not generally valid for corresponding $[M(tpy)_2]^{n+}$ complexes due to the redox non-innocence of terpyridine allowing $[M^n(tpy \cdot -)(tpy)]^{(n-1)+}$ electronic structures, for example, $[Cr^{II}(tpy \cdot -)(tpy)]^{2+}$ versus $[Cr^{II}(ddpd)_2]^{2+}$. The electron-rich nature of ddpd stabilizes electron-deficient complexes, whereas the π electron accepting tpy favours electron-rich metal ions.

The six-membered chelate rings of ddpd form larger ligand bite angles and geometries closer to an ideal octahedron than the more strained tpy ligand. The increased flexibility of the six-membered rings allow both pincer type (meridional) and tripodal (facial) coordination of ddpd, for example merand cis-fac-[Co(ddpd)2]²⁺ complexes. The meridional isomers [M(ddpd)2]ⁿ⁺ are typically preferred and most often encountered. The bite angles of ddpd close to 90° enable a better metal orbital-pyridine orbital overlap. This results in generally larger ligand field splittings than in the corresponding $[M(tpy)_2]^{n+}$ complexes (except for high-spin $[Co(L)_2]^{2+}$ complexes). The ligand field splitting affects both the ground state multiplicity (low-spin/high-spin) and the excited state level ordering, especially in d³ complexes. Specifically, the very strong ligand field strength of ddpd enables strongly luminescent chromium(III) complexes due to the high energy of the detrimental ligand field states. Electron configurations with degenerate electronic states, especially $(e_g)^1$ and $(e_g)^3$ configurations, for example high-spin d⁴-[Cr(ddpd)₂]²⁺, low-spin d⁷-[Co(tpy)₂]²⁺ and d⁹-[Cu(L)₂]²⁺ are prone to significant Jahn-Teller distortions. The barrier between the Jahn-Teller isomers of [Cu(ddpd)2]²⁺ is larger than that of [Cu(tpy)₂]²⁺. Spin-crossover phenomena have been reported for [Co(tpy)₂][X]₂ and [Fe(R2tpy)2][X]2 salts with substituents R at the 6,6" positions of tpy. Analogous [Co(ddpd)2][X]2 salts have not yet been discovered with low-spin spin states but might be available with different counter ions or within different matrices. Iron(II) complexes with unsubstituted ddpd ligands are all lowspin. However, lowering the ligand field strength by 6,6" substitution might be a promising strategy, similar to the tpy complexes.

Modification of ddpd to tune the ligand field strength (higher or lower), to allow for conjugation with functional groups such as light antenna or catalysts, for incorporation into supramolecular arrays or for immobilization on surfaces or in nanoparticles are important future perspectives of transition metal ddpd complexes. The deliberate incorporation of ddpd in heteroleptic chelate complexes will further open plentiful tuning possibilities with respect to optical, magnetic and catalytic properties. These future developments will possibly enable applications of $[M(ddpd)(L)_m]^{n+}$ in magnetic storage devices, optical sensing, catalysis, electrocatalysis, photocatalysis and supramolecular chemistry.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/2304-6740/6/3/86/s1. Figure S1: Cyclic voltammogram of [Ni(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂ in CH₃CN in the presence of HOAc and CO₂, Figure S2: Temperature-dependence of χ_MT of [Cr(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₃×CH₃CN with fit, Figure S3: UV/Vis spectrum of *mer*-VCl₃(ddpd) in CH₂Cl₂, Figure S4: Temperature-dependence of χ_MT of VCl₃(ddpd) with fit. The CIF and the checkCIF output files of ddpd, [H-ddpd][CF₃SO₃], *mer*-[Co(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₃×3CH₃CN, *mer*-[Fe(ddpd)₂]Br₂×2CH₃CN, *mer*-Cr(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂×2CH₃CN, VCl₃(ddpd) and *cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂][PF₆]₃×3CH₃CN.

Author Contributions: C.F. solved and refined the X-ray data, M.D. (*cis-fac*-[V(ddpd)₂][PF₆]₃×3CH₃CN, VCl₃(ddpd)), T.R. (ddpd), S.O. (*fac*-Cr(CO)₃(ddpd), *mer*-[Cr(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₂×2CH₃CN, *mer*-[Co(ddpd)₂][BF₄]₃×3CH₃CN), G.D. and T.R. ([H-ddpd][CF₃SO₃]) prepared or crystallized the new compounds, respectively. L.C. and E.R. measured and analysed the magnetic data, K.H. designed the project and wrote the manuscript. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments: We gratefully thank all collaboration partners who have contributed to this interdisciplinary research area. Their names can be found in the respective references. We thank Katharina Mack for crystallizing the complex *mer*-[Fe(ddpd)₂]Br₂×2CH₃CN. We thank Regine Jung-Pothmann and Dieter Schollmeyer for the collection of the diffraction data. Parts of this research were conducted using the supercomputer Mogon and advisory services offered by the Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz (www.hpc.uni-mainz.de), which is a member of the AHRP and the Gauss Alliance e.V. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (GSC 266, Materials Science in Mainz and HE 2778/10–1), the internal university research funds of the Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz (Germany) and the Center for INnovative and Emerging MAterials (CINEMA).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Lawrence, M.A.W.; Green, K.-A.; Nelson, P.N.; Lorraine; S.C. Review: Pincer ligands Tunable, versatile and applicable. *Polyhedron* **2018**, *143*, 11–27, doi:10.1016/j.poly.2017.08.017.
- 2. Peris, E.; Crabtree, R.H. Key factors in pincer ligand design. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2018, 47, 1959–1968, doi:10.1039/C7CS00693D.
- 3. Constable, E.C. The Coordination Chemistry of 2,2':6',2"-Terpyridine and Higher Oligopyridines. *Adv. Inorg. Chem.* **1986**, *30*, 69–121, doi:10.1016/S0898-8838(08)60240-8.
- 4. Constable, E.C. 2,2':6',2"-terpyridines: From chemical obscurity to common supramolecular motifs. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2007**, *36*, 246–253, doi:10.1039/B601166G.
- 5. Hofmeier, H.; Schubert, U.S. Recent developments in the supramolecular chemistry of terpyridine–metal complexes. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2004**, *33*, 373–399, doi:10.1039/B400653B.
- Sauvage, J.P.; Collin, J.P.; Chambron, J.C.; Guillerez, S.; Coudret, C.; Balzani, V.; Barigelletti, F.; De Cola, L.; Flamigni, L. Ruthenium(II) and Osmium(II) Bis(terpyridine) Complexes in Covalently-Linked Multicomponent Systems: Synthesis, Electrochemical Behavior, Absorption Spectra, and Photochemical and Photophysical Properties. *Chem. Rev.* 1994, 94, 993–1019, doi:10.1021/cr00028a006.
- 7. Flamigni, L.; Collin, J.P.; Sauvage, J.P. Iridium Terpyridine Complexes as Functional Assembling Units in Arrays for the Conversion of Light Energy. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 857–871, doi:10.1021/ar700282n.
- 8. Cook, T.R.; Stang, P.J. Recent Developments in the Preparation and Chemistry of Metallacycles and Metallacages via Coordination. *Chem. Rev.* 2015, *115*, 7001–7045, doi:10.1021/cr5005666.
- 9. Yin, G.-Q.; Wang, H.; Wang, X.-Q.; Song, B.; Chen, L.-J.; Wang, L.; Hao, X.-Q.; Yang, H.-B.; Li, X. Selfassembly of emissive supramolecular rosettes with increasing complexity using multitopic terpyridine ligands. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 567, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-02959-w.
- Hasenknopf, B.; Lehn, J.-M.; Baum, G.; Fenske, D. Self-assembly of a heteroduplex helicate from two different ligand strands and Cu(II) cations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1996, 93, 1397–1400, doi:10.1073/pnas.93.4.1397.
- Bassani, D.M.; Lehn, J.-M.; Fromm, K.; Fenske, D. Toposelective and Chiroselective Self-Assembly of [2 × 2] Grid-Type Inorganic Arrays Containing Different Octahedral Metallic Centers. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1998, *37*, 2364–2367, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1521-3773(19980918)37:17<2364::AID-ANIE2364>3.0.CO;2-7.
- 12. Cárdenas, D.J.; Livoreil, A.; Sauvage, J.-P. Redox Control of the Ring-Gliding Motion in a Cu-Complexed Catenane: A Process Involving Three Distinct Geometries. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 11980–11981, doi:10.1021/ja962774e.
- 13. Baumann, F.; Livoreil, A.; Kaim, W.; Sauvage, J.-P. Changeover in a multimodal copper(II) catenate as monitored by EPR spectroscopy. *Chem. Commun.* **1997**, 35–36, doi:10.1039/A606282B.
- 14. Livoreil, A.; Sauvage, J.-P.; Armaroli, N.; Balzani, V.; Flamigni, L.; Ventura, B. Electrochemically and Photochemically Driven Ring Motions in a Disymmetrical Copper [2]-Catenate. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 12114–12124, doi:10.1021/ja9720826.
- 15. Hayami, S.; Komatsu, Y.; Shimizu, T.; Kamihata, H.; Lee, Y.H. Spin-crossover in cobalt(II) compounds containing terpyridine and its derivatives. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2011**, 255, 1981–1990, doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2011.05.016.
- Krivokapic, I.; Zerara, M.; Dakua, M.L.; Vargas, A.; Enachescu, C.; Ambrus, C.; Tregenna-Piggott, P.; Amstutz, N.; Krausz, E.; Hauser, A. Spin-crossover in cobalt(II) imine complexes. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2007, 251, 364–378, doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2006.05.006.

- 17. Gütlich, P.; Garcia, Y.; Goodwin, H.A. Spin crossover phenomena in Fe(II) complexes. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2000**, 29, 419–427, doi:10.1039/B003504L.
- Constable, E.C.; Baum, G.; Bill, E.; Dyson, R.; van Eldik, R.; Fenske, D.; Kaderli, S.; Morris, D.; Neubrand, A.; Neuburger, M.; et al. Control of Iron(II) Spin States in 2,2':6',2"-Terpyridine Complexes through Ligand Substitution. *Chem. Eur.* 1999, *5*, 498–508, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1521-3765(19990201)5:2<498::AID-CHEM498>3.0.CO;2-V.
- 19. Budnikova, Y.H.; Vicic, D.A.; Klein, A. Exploring Mechanisms in Ni Terpyridine Catalyzed C–C Cross-Coupling Reactions A Review. *Inorganics* **2018**, *6*, 18, doi:10.3390/inorganics6010018.
- 20. Elgrishi, N.; Chambers, M.B.; Artero, V.; Fontecave, M. Terpyridine complexes of first row transition metals and electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to CO. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *16*, 13635–13644, doi:10.1039/C4CP00451E.
- 21. Winter, A.; Newkome, G.R.; Schubert, U.S. Catalytic Applications of Terpyridines and their Transition Metal Complexes. *ChemCatChem* **2011**, *3*, 1384–1406, doi:10.1002/cctc.201100118.
- 22. Winter, A.; Gottschaldt, M.; Newkome, G.R.; Schubert, U.S. Terpyridines and their complexes with first row transition metal ions: Cytotoxicity, nuclease activity and self-assembly of biomacromolecules. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* **2012**, *12*, 158–175, doi:10.2174/156802612799078919.
- 23. Wang, M.; England, J.; Weyhermüller, T.; Wieghardt, K. Electronic Structures of "Low-Valent" Neutral Complexes [NiL₂]⁰ (*S* = 0; L = bpy, phen, tpy)—An Experimental and DFT Computational Study. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, 1511–1523, doi:10.1002/ejic.201403144.
- England, J.; Bill, E.; Weyhermüller, T.; Neese, F.; Atanasov, M.; Wieghardt, K. Molecular and Electronic Structures of Homoleptic Six-Coordinate Cobalt(I) Complexes of 2,2':6',2"-Terpyridine, 2,2'-Bipyridine, and 1,10-Phenanthroline. An Experimental and Computational Study. *Inorg. Chem.* 2015, 54, 12002–12018, doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.5b02415.
- 25. England, J.; Scarborough, C.C.; Weyhermüller, T.; Sproules, S.; Wieghardt, K. Electronic Structures of the Electron Transfer Series [M(bpy)₃]^{*n*}, [M(tpy)₂]^{*n*}, and [Fe('bpy)₃]^{*n*} (M = Fe, Ru; *n* = 3+, 2+, 1+, 0, 1–): A Mössbauer Spectroscopic and DFT Study. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, 4605–4621, doi:10.1002/ejic.201200232.
- Wang, M.; England, J.; Weyhermüller, T.; Wieghardt, K. Molecular and Electronic Structures of the Members of the Electron Transfer Series [Mn(bpy)₃]ⁿ (n = 2+, 1+, 0, 1-) and [Mn(tpy)₂]^m (m = 4+, 3+, 2+, 1+, 0). An Experimental and Density Functional Theory Study. *Inorg. Chem.* 2014, *53*, 2276–2287, doi:10.1021/ic4029854.
- 27. Scarborough, C.C.; Lancaster, K.M.; DeBeer, S.; Weyhermüller, T.; Sproules, S.; Wieghardt, K. Experimental Fingerprints for Redox-Active Terpyridine in [Cr(tpy)₂](PF₆)_n (*n* = 3–0), and the Remarkable Electronic Structure of [Cr(tpy)₂]^{1–}. *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 3718–3732, doi:10.1021/ic2027219.
- Breivogel, A.; Kreitner, C.; Heinze, K. Redox and Photochemistry of Bis(terpyridine)ruthenium(II) Amino Acids and Their Amide Conjugates–from Understanding to Applications. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2014, 5468– 5490, doi:10.1002/ejic.201402466.
- Parada, G.A.; Fredin, L.A.; Santoni, M.-P.; Jäger, M.; Lomoth, R.; Hammarström, L.; Johansson, O.; Persson, P.; Ott, S. Tuning the Electronics of Bis(tridentate)ruthenium(II) Complexes with Long-Lived Excited States: Modifications to the Ligand Skeleton beyond Classical Electron Donor or Electron Withdrawing Group Decorations. *Inorg. Chem.* 2013, *52*, 5128–5137, doi:10.1021/ic400009m.
- 30. Laramée-Milette, B.; Hanan, G.S. Ruthenium bistridentate complexes with non-symmetrical hexahydropyrimidopyrimidine ligands: A structural and theoretical investigation of their optical and electrochemical properties. *Dalton Trans.* **2016**, *45*, 12507–12517, doi:10.1039/C6DT02408D.
- 31. Breivogel, A.; Wooh, S.; Dietrich, J.; Kim, T.Y.; Kang, Y.S.; Char, K.; Heinze, K. Anchor-Functionalized Push-Pull-Substituted Bis(tridentate) Ruthenium(II) Polypyridine Chromophores: Photostability and Evaluation as Photosensitizers. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, 2720–2734, doi:10.1002/ejic.201402091.
- 32. Breivogel, A.; Park, M.; Lee, D.; Klassen, S.; Kühnle, A.; Lee, C.; Char, K.; Heinze, K. Push-Pull Design of Bis(tridentate) Ruthenium(II) Polypyridine Chromophores as Deep Red Light Emitters in Light-Emitting Electrochemical Cells. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, 288–295, doi:10.1002/ejic.201301226.
- 33. Breivogel, A.; Meister, M.; Förster, C.; Laquai, F.; Heinze, K. Excited State Tuning of Bis(tridentate) Ruthenium(II) Polypyridine Chromophores by Push–Pull Effects and Bite Angle Optimization: A Comprehensive Experimental and Theoretical Study. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, 19, 13745–13760, doi:10.1002/chem.201302231.

- Friebe, C.; Görls, H.; Jäger, M.; Schubert, U.S. Linear Metallopolymers from Ruthenium(II)-2,6-di(quinolin-8-yl)pyridine Complexes by Electropolymerization–Formation of Redox-Stable and Emissive Films. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2013, 4191–4202, doi:10.1002/ejic.201300359.
- 35. Breivogel, A.; Förster, C.; Heinze, K. A Heteroleptic Bis(tridentate)ruthenium(II) Polypyridine Complex with Improved Photophysical Properties and Integrated Functionalizability. *Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *49*, 7052–7056, doi:10.1021/ic1007236.
- 36. Schramm, F.; Meded, V.; Fliegl, H.; Fink, K.; Fuhr, O.; Qu, Z.; Klopper, W.; Finn, S.; Keyes, T.E.; Ruben, M. Expanding the Coordination Cage: A Ruthenium(II)–Polypyridine Complex Exhibiting High Quantum Yields under Ambient Conditions. *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 5677–5684, doi:10.1021/ic802040v.
- 37. Abrahamsson, M.; Jäger, M.; Österman, T.; Eriksson, L.; Persson, P.; Becker, H.-C.; Johansson, O.; Hammarström, L. A 3.0 μs Room Temperature Excited State Lifetime of a Bistridentate Ru^{II}–Polypyridine Complex for Rod-like Molecular Arrays. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 12616–12617, doi:10.1021/ja064262y.
- Abrahamsson, M.; Wolpher, H.; Johansson, O.; Larsson, J.; Kritikos, M.; Eriksson, L.; Norrby, P.-O.; Bergquist, J.; Sun, L.; Åkermark, B.; et al. A New Strategy for the Improvement of Photophysical Properties in Ruthenium(II) Polypyridyl Complexes. Synthesis and Photophysical and Electrochemical Characterization of Six Mononuclear Ruthenium(II) Bisterpyridine-Type Complexes. *Inorg. Chem.* 2005, 44, 3215–3225, doi:10.1021/ic048247a.
- 39. Kröhnke, F. The Specific Synthesis of Pyridines and Oligopyridines. *Synthesis* **1976**, 1–24, doi:10.1055/s-1976-23941.
- 40. Heller, M.; Schubert, U.S. Syntheses of Functionalized 2,2';,2"-Terpyridines. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2003, 947–961, doi:10.1002/ejoc.200390150.
- 41. Ho, K.-Y.; Yu, W.-Y.; Cheung, K.-K.; Che, C.-M. Blue luminescent zinc(II) complexes with polypyridylamine ligands: Crystal structures and luminescence properties. *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1999**, 1581–1586, doi:10.1039/A900504H.
- 42. Wang, C.; Otto, S.; Dorn, M.; Kreidt, E.; Lebon, J.; Sršan, L.; Di Martino-Fumo, P.; Gerhards, M.; Resch-Genger, U.; Seitz, M.; et al. Deuterated Molecular Ruby with Record Luminescence Quantum Yield. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 1112–1116, doi:10.1002/anie.201711350.
- 43. Mengel, A.K.C.; Bissinger, C.; Dorn, M.; Back, O.; Förster, C.; Heinze, K. Boosting Vis/NIR Charge-Transfer Absorptions of Iron(II) Complexes by N-Alkylation and N-Deprotonation in the Ligand Backbone. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 7920–7931, doi:10.1002/chem.201700959].
- 44. Bessel, C.A.; See, R.F.; Jameson, D.L.; Churchill, M.R.; Takeuchi, K.J. Structural considerations of terdentate ligands: Crystal structures of 2,2':6',2"-terpyridine and 2,6-bis(pyrazol-1-yl)pyridine. *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1992**, 3223–3228, doi:10.1039/DT9920003223.
- 45. Pearson, R.G.; Williams, F.V. Rates of Ionization of Pseudo Acids.¹ V. Steric Effects in the Base-catalyzed Ionization of Nitroethane. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1953**, *75*, 3073–3075, doi:10.1021/ja01109a008.
- 46. Kaljurand, I.; Kütt, A.; Sooväli, L.; Rodima, T.; Mäemets, V.; Leito, I.; Koppel, I.A. Extension of the Self-Consistent Spectrophotometric Basicity Scale in Acetonitrile to a Full Span of 28 pK_a Units: Unification of Different Basicity Scales. *J. Org. Chem.* 2005, *70*, 1019–1028, doi:10.1021/jo048252w.
- 47. Staab, H.A.; Saupe, T. "Proton Sponges" and the Geometry of Hydrogen Bonds: Aromatic Nitrogen Bases with Exceptional Basicities. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1988**, *27*, 865–879, doi:10.1002/anie.198808653.
- 48. Hergold-Brundić, Z.; Matković-Calogović, D. 2,2':6',2"-Terpyridinium A.; Popović, Trifluoromethanesulfonate, [terpyH](CF₃SO₃). 1996, 3154-3157, Acta Cryst. C52, doi:10.1107/S0108270196009584.
- 49. Otto, S.; Moll, J.; Förster, C.; Geißler, D.; Wang, C.; Resch-Genger, U.; Heinze, K. Three-in-One Crystal: The Coordination Diversity of Zinc Polypyridine Complexes. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, 5033–5040, doi:10.1002/ejic.201700948.
- Otto, S.; Grabolle, M.; Förster, C.; Kreitner, C.; Resch-Genger, U.; Heinze, K. [Cr(ddpd)₂]³⁺: A Molecular, Water-Soluble, Highly NIR-Emissive Ruby Analogue. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, 54, 11572–11576, doi:10.1002/anie.201504894.
- 51. Yoshikawa, N.; Yamabe, S.; Kanehisa, N.; Takashima, H.; Tsukahara, K. A metal free blue emission by the protonated 2,2':6',2"-terpyridine hexafluorophosphate. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *22*, 410–417, doi:10.1002/poc.1475.
- 52. Hamacher, C.; Hurkes, N.; Kaiser, A.; Klein, A.; Schüren, A. Electrochemistry and Spectroscopy of Organometallic Terpyridine Nickel Complexes. *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 9947–9951, doi:10.1021/ic900753r.

- 53. Otto, S.; Dorn, M.; Förster, C.; Bauer, M.; Seitz, M.; Heinze, K. Understanding and exploiting long-lived near-infrared emission of a molecular ruby. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2018**, 359, 102–111, doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2018.01.004.
- 54. Alemany, P.; Casanova, D.; Alvarez, S.; Dryzun, C.; Avnir, D. Continuous Symmetry Measures: A New Tool in Quantum Chemistry. In *Reviews in Computational Chemistry*; Parrill, A.L., Lipkowitz, K.B., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2017; Volume 30, pp. 289–352, doi:10.1002/9781119356059.ch7.
- 55. Alvarez, S. Distortion Pathways of Transition Metal Coordination Polyhedra Induced by Chelating Topology. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 13447–13483, doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00537.
- 56. Alvarez, S.; Alemany, P.; Casanova, D.; Cirera, J.; Llunell, M.; Avnir, D. Shape maps and polyhedral interconversion paths in transition metal chemistry. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2005, 249, 1693–1708, doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2005.03.031.
- 57. Alvarez, S.; Avnir, D.; Llunell, M.; Pinsky, M. Continuous symmetry maps and shape classification. The case of six-coordinated metal compounds. *New J. Chem.* **2002**, *26*, 996–1009, doi:10.1039/B200641N.
- 58. Zabrodsky, H.; Peleg, S.; Avnir, D. Continuous Symmetry Measures. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 7843–7851, doi:10.1021/ja00046a033.
- 59. Jahn, H.A.; Teller, E. Stability of polyatomic molecules in degenerate electronic states—I—Orbital degeneracy. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **1937**, *161*, 220–235, doi:10.1098/rspa.1937.0142.
- Mack, K.; Wünsche von Leupoldt, A.; Förster, C.; Ezhevskaya, M.; Hinderberger, D.; Klinkhammer, K.W.; Heinze, K. Effect of chelate ring expansion on Jahn-Teller distortion and Jahn-Teller dynamics in copper(II) complexes. *Inorg. Chem.* 2012, *51*, 7851–7858, doi:10.1021/ic300929g.
- 61. Dorn, M.; Mack, K.; Carrella, L.M.; Rentschler, E.; Förster, C.; Heinze, K. Structure and electronic properties of an expanded terpyridine complex of nickel(II) [Ni(ddpd)₂](BF₄)₂. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2018**, 644, 706–712, doi:10.1002/zaac.201800101.
- 62. Förster, C.; Mack, K.; Carrella, L.M.; Ksenofontov, V.; Rentschler, E.; Heinze, K. Coordination of expanded terpyridine ligands to cobalt. *Polyhedron* **2013**, *52*, 576–581, doi:10.1016/j.poly.2012.08.008.
- 63. Förster, C.; Gorelik, T.E.; Kolb, U.; Ksenofontov, V.; Heinze, K. Crystalline Non-Equilibrium Phase of a Cobalt(II) Complex with Tridentate Ligands. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, 920–924, doi:10.1002/ejic.201403200.
- Mengel, A.K.C.; Förster, C.; Breivogel, A.; Mack, K.; Ochsmann, J.R.; Laquai, F.; Ksenofontov, V.; Heinze, K. A Heteroleptic Push–Pull Substituted Iron(II) Bis(tridentate) Complex with Low-Energy Charge-Transfer States. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2015, 21, 704–714, doi:10.1002/chem.201404955.
- Skelton, B.W.; Harrowfield, J.M. CCDC 1585922, Experimental Crystal Structure Determination (2017), doi:10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc1q78s0. Available online: https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures/search?id =doi:10.5517/ccdc.csd.cc1q78s0&sid=DataCite (Accessed on 26 July 2018).
- Docherty, R.; Tuna, F.; Kilner, C.A.; McInnes, E.J.L.; Halcrow, M.A. Suppression of the Jahn–Teller distortion in a six-coordinate copper(II) complex by doping it into a host lattice. *Chem. Commun.* 2012, 48, 4055–4057, doi:10.1039/C2CC30873H.
- 67. Anderer, C.; Näther, C.; Bensch, W. Bis(2,2':6',2"-terpyridine-κ³N,N',N")nickel(II) bis(perchlorate) hemihydrate. *IUCrData* **2016**, *1*, x161009, doi:10.1107/S2414314616010099.
- 68. Constable, E.C.; Harris, K.; Housecroft, C.E.; Neuburger, M.; Zampese, J.A. Turning {M(tpy)₂}ⁿ⁺ embraces and CH^{...}π interactions on and off in homoleptic cobalt(II) and cobalt(III) bis(2,2':6',2"-terpyridine) complexes. *CrystEngComm* **2010**, *12*, 2949–2961, doi:10.1039/C002834G.
- Oshio, H.; Spiering, H.; Ksenofontov, V.; Renz, F.; Gütlich, P. Electronic relaxation phenomena following ⁵⁷Co(EC)⁵⁷Fe nuclear decay in [Mn(II)(terpy)₂](ClO₄)_{2⁻¹/2}H₂O and in the spin crossover complexes [Co(II)(terpy)₂]X₂·*n*H₂O (X = Cl and ClO₄): A Mössbauer emission spectroscopic study. *Inorg. Chem.* 2001, 40, 1143–1150, doi:10.1021/ic000714a.
- 70. Romain, S.; Duboc, C.; Neese, F.; Rivière, E.; Hanton, L.R.; Blackman, A.G.; Philouze, C.; Leprêtre, J.-C.; Deronzier, A.; Collomb, M. An Unusual Stable Mononuclear Mn^{III} Bis-terpyridine Complex Exhibiting Jahn–Teller Compression: Electrochemical Synthesis, Physical Characterisation and Theoretical Study. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 980–988, doi:10.1002/chem.200801442.
- Constable, E.C.; Housecroft, C.E.; Neuburger, M.; Schönle, J.; Zampese, J.A. The surprising lability of bis(2,2':6',2"-terpyridine)chromium(III) complexes. *Dalton Trans.* 2014, 43, 7227–7235, doi:10.1039/C4DT00200H.

- Scudder, M.L.; Goodwin, H.A.; Dance, I.G. Crystal supramolecular motifs: Two-dimensional grids of terpy embraces in [ML2]² complexes (L = terpy or aromatic N₃-tridentate ligand). *New J. Chem.* **1999**, *23*, 695–705, doi:10.1039/A900564A.
- 73. Zhang, J.; Yan, X. Homoleptic Zinc(II) Complexes Based on 4'-(2-Thienyl)-terpyridine: Preparation, Structures, and Properties. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2017, 643, 398–402, doi:10.1002/zaac.201600349.
- Bozic-Weber, B.; Constable, E.C.; Hostettler, N.; Housecroft, C.E.; Schmitt, R.; Schönhofer, E. The d¹⁰ route to dye-sensitized solar cells: Step-wise assembly of zinc(II) photosensitizers on TiO₂ surfaces. *Chem. Commun.* 2012, 48, 5727–5729, doi:10.1039/c2cc31729j.
- 75. Jameson, D.L.; Guise, L.E. An improved, two-step synthesis of 2,2':6',2"-terpyridine. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, 32, 1999–2002, doi:10.1016/S0040-4039(00)78891-5.
- 76. Elsbernd, H.; Beattie, J.K. The NMR spectra of terpyridine and the bis-terpyridine complexes of cobalt(III) and iron(II). *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **1972**, *34*, 771–774, doi:10.1016/0022-1902(72)80463-9.
- 77. Machan, C.W.; Adelhardt, M.; Sarjeant, A.A.; Stern, C.L.; Sutter, J.; Meyer, K.; Mirkin, C.A. One-Pot Synthesis of an Fe(II) Bis-Terpyridine Complex with Allosterically Regulated Electronic Properties. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, 134, 16921–16924, doi:10.1021/ja3045019.
- 78. Prasad, R.; Scaife, D.B. Electro-oxidation and electro-reduction of some iron(II), cobalt(II) and nickel(II) polypyridyl complexes in acetonitrile. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **1977**, *84*, 373–386, doi:10.1016/S0022-0728(77)80388-4.
- 79. Rao, J.M.; Hughes, M.C.; Macero, D.J. Voltammetry of terpyridine and terosine complexes of cobalt(II) and iron(II). *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1976**, *16*, 231–236, doi:10.1016/S0020-1693(00)91719-5.
- 80. Dobson, J.C.; Taube, H. Coordination chemistry and redox properties of polypyridyl complexes of vanadium(II). *Inorg. Chem.* **1989**, *28*, 1310–1315, doi:10.1021/ic00306a021.
- Albano, G.; Balzani, V.; Constable, E.C.; Maestri, M.; Smith, D.R. Photoinduced processes in 4'-(9-anthryl)-2,2':6',2"-terpyridine, its protonated forms and Zn(II), Ru(II) and Os(II) complexes. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1998, 277, 225–231, doi:10.1016/S0020-1693(97)06159-8.
- 82. Morgan, G.; Burstall, F.H. Researches on residual affinity and co-ordination. Part XXXVII. Complex metallic salts containing 2:6-di-2'-pyridylpyridine (2:2':2"-tripyridyl). J. Chem. Soc. 1937, 1649–1655, doi:10.1039/JR9370001649.
- 83. Kahn, O. Molecular Magnetism; Wiley-VCH: New York, USA, 1993; pp. 9-10.
- 84. Saravani, H.; Rezvani, A.R.; Hadadzadeh, H.; Safari, N. An Investigation of Z-in Distortion in Mononuclear Cu(II) Complex with Terpyridine Ligands, [Cu(terpy)2](PF6)2. *Iran. J. Chem. Chem. Eng.* **2007**, *26*, 103–110.
- 85. Folgado, J.-V.; Henke, W.; Allmann, R.; Stratemeier, H.; Beltrán-Porter, D.; Roja, T.; Reinen, D. Fluxionality in hexacoordinated copper(II) complexes with 2,2':6',2"-terpyridine (terpy) and related ligands: Structural and spectroscopic investigations. *Inorg. Chem.* **1990**, *29*, 2035–2042, doi:10.1021/ic00336a002.
- 86. Hogg, R.; Wilkins, R.G. Exchange studies of certain chelate compounds of the transitional metals. Part VIII. 2,2',2"-terpyridine complexes. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1962**, 341–350, doi:10.1039/JR9620000341.
- 87. Rao, J.M.; Hughes, M.C.; Macero, D.J. Redox behavior of aromatic tridentate imine ligand complexes of manganese and chromium. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1976**, *18*, 127–131, doi:10.1016/S0020-1693(00)95591-9.
- 88. Scarborough, C.C.; Sproules, S.; Weyhermüller, T.; DeBeer, S.; Wieghardt, K. Electronic and Molecular Structures of the Members of the Electron Transfer Series [Cr('bpy)₃]ⁿ (n = 3+, 2+, 1+, 0): An X-ray Absorption Spectroscopic and Density Functional Theoretical Study. *Inorg. Chem.* 2011, *50*, 12446–12462, doi:10.1021/ic201123x.
- Casellato, U.; Graziani, R.; Bonomo, R.P.; Di Bilio, A.J. X-ray crystal structures and electron spin resonance spectroscopic characterization of mixed-ligand chromium(III) complexes with L-aspartate or pyridine-2,6dicarboxylate and 1,10-phenanthroline or 2,2':6',2"-terpyridyl. *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* 1991, 23–31, doi:10.1039/DT9910000023.
- Herzog, S.; Aul, H. Über elektronenreiche Komplexe des Vanadins mit 2,2',2"-Tripyridyl. Zeitschr. Chem. 1966, 6, 343–344, doi:10.1002/zfch.19660060913.
- 91. Henke, W.; Reinen, D. Spektroskopische Untersuchungen zum Jahn-Teller-Effekt des Cu²⁺-Ions in Terpyridin-Komplexen Cu(terpy)₂X₂nH₂O [X = NO₃⁻, ClO₄⁻, Br⁻]. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1977**, 436, 187–200, doi:10.1002/zaac.19774360123.
- 92. Waldmann, O.; Hassmann, J.; Müller, P.; Volkmer, D.; Schubert, U.S.; Lehn, J.-M. Magnetism of self-assembled mono- and tetranuclear supramolecular Ni²⁺ complexes. *Phys. Rev. B* 1998, *58*, 3277–3285, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.58.3277.

- Kuehnel, M.F.; Orchard, K.L.; Dalle, K.E.; Reisner, E. Selective Photocatalytic CO₂ Reduction in Water through Anchoring of a Molecular Ni Catalyst on CdS Nanocrystals. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, 139, 7217–7223, doi:10.1021/jacs.7b00369.
- 95. Judge, J.S.; Baker, W.A., Jr. On the spin equilibrium in bis(2,2',2"-terpyridine) cobalt(II) salts. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1967**, *1*, 68–72, doi:10.1016/S0020-1693(00)93141-4.
- 96. Kremer, S.; Henke, W.; Reinen, D. High-spin-low-spin equilibria of cobalt(2+) in the terpyridine complexes Co(terpy)₂X₂*n*H₂O. *Inorg. Chem.* **1982**, *21*, 3013–3022, doi:10.1021/ic00138a019.
- 97. Komatsu, Y.; Kato, K.; Yamamoto, Y.; Kamihata, H.; Lee, Y.H.; Fuyuhiro, A.; Kawata, S.; Hayami, S. Spin-Crossover Behaviors Based on Intermolecular Interactions for Cobalt(II) Complexes with Long Alkyl Chains. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, 2769–2775, doi:10.1002/ejic.201101040.
- Hayami, S.; Shigeyoshi, Y.; Akita, M.; Inoue, K.; Kato, K.; Osaka, K.; Takata, M.; Kawajiri, R.; Mitani, T.; Maeda, Y. Reverse Spin Transition Triggered by a Structural Phase Transition. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2005, 44, 4899–4903, doi:10.1002/anie.200500316.
- 99. Kilner, C.A.; Halcrow, M.A. An unusual discontinuity in the thermal spin transition in [Co(terpy)₂][BF₄]₂. *Dalton Trans.* **2010**, *39*, 9008–9012, doi:10.1039/C0DT00295J.
- 100. Chow, H.S.; Constable, E.C.; Housecroft, C.E.; Kulicke, K.J.; Tao, Y. When electron exchange is chemical exchange–assignment of ¹H NMR spectra of paramagnetic cobalt(II)-2,2':6',2"-terpyridine complexes. *Dalton Trans.* **2005**, 236-237, doi:10.1039/B415419C.
- 101. Beattie, J.K.; Binstead, R.A.; Kelso, M.T.; Del Favero, P.; Dewey, T.G.; Turner, D.H. Dynamics of cobalt(II) spin-equilibrium complexes. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1995**, 235, 245-251, doi:10.1016/0020-1693(95)90065-E.
- 102. Mengel, A.K.C.; Cho, W.; Breivogel, A.; Char, K.; Kang, Y.S.; Heinze, K. A Bis(tridentate)cobalt Polypyridine Complex as Mediator in Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2015, 3299–3306, doi:10.1002/ejic.201500252.
- 103. Kreitner, C.; Mengel, A.K.C.; Lee, T.K.; Cho, W.; Char, K.; Kang, Y.S.; Heinze, K. Strongly Coupled Cyclometalated Ruthenium Triarylamine Chromophores as Sensitizers for DSSCs. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, 22, 8915–8928, doi:10.1002/chem.201601001.
- 104. Wentworth, R.A.D.; Piper, T.S. A Crystal Field Model for the Spectral Relationships in Monoacidopentaammine and Diacidotetraammine Complexes of Cobalt(III). *Inorg. Chem.* **1965**, *4*, 709–714, doi:10.1021/ic50027a024.
- 105. Sharma, R.P.; Singh, A.; Brandão, P.; Felix, V.; Venugopalan, P. Second sphere coordination in binding of fluoroanions: Synthesis, spectroscopic characterization and single crystal X-ray structure determination of [Co(phen)₃](BF₄)₃·H₂O and [Co(phen)₃](PF₆)₃·CH₃COCH₃. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2009**, *920*, 119–127, doi:10.1016/j.molstruc.2008.10.036.
- 106. Sutin, N.; Brunschwig, B.S.; Creutz, C.; Winkler, J.R. Nuclear reorganization barriers to electron transfer. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1988**, *60*, 1817–1830, doi:10.1351/pac198860121817.
- 107. Cummins, D.; Gray, H.B. Electron-transfer protein reactivities. Kinetic studies of the oxidation of horse heart cytochrome c, Chromatium vinosum high potential iron-sulfur protein, Pseudomonas aeruginosa azurin, bean plastocyanin, and Rhus vernicifera stellacyanin by pentaammminepyridineruthenium(III). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 5158–5167, doi:10.1021/ja00457a042.
- 108. Chou, M.; Creutz, C.; Sutin, N. Rate constants and activation parameters for outer-sphere electron-transfer reactions and comparisons with the predictions of Marcus theory. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1977**, *99*, 5615–5623, doi:10.1021/ja00459a014.
- 109. Ondersma, J.W.; Hamann, T.W. Recombination and redox couples in dye-sensitized solar cells. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2103**, 257, 1533–1543, doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2012.09.010.
- Yum, J.-H.; Baranoff, E.; Kessler, F.; Moehl, T.; Ahmad, S.; Bessho, T.; Marchioro, A.; Ghadiri, E.; Moser, J.-E.; Yi, C.; et al. A cobalt complex redox shuttle for dye-sensitized solar cells with high open-circuit potentials. *Nat. Commun.* 2012, *3*, 631, doi:10.1038/ncomms1655.
- 111. Harzmann, G.D.; Neuburger, M.; Mayor, M. 4,4"-Disubstituted Terpyridines and Their Homoleptic Fe^{II} Complexes. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, 3334–3347 doi:10.1002/ejic.201300231].

- 112. Pazderski, L.; Pawlak, T.; Sitkowski, J.; Kozerski, L.; Szlyk, E. ¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁵N NMR coordination shifts in Fe(II), Ru(II) and Os(II) cationic complexes with 2,2':6',2"-terpyridine. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **2011**, 49, 237–241, doi:10.1002/mrc.2739.
- Mack, K. Neue Übergangsmetall-Komplexe des N,N'-dimethyl-N,N'-dipyridin-2-ylpyridin-2,6-diamins-Strukturelle, magnetische und optische Eigenschaften. Diploma Thesis, Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz, Germany, 2012.
- 114. Shepard, S.G.; Fatur, S.M.; Rappé, A.K.; Damrauer, N.H. Highly Strained Iron(II) Polypyridines: Exploiting the Quintet Manifold To Extend the Lifetime of MLCT Excited States. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 2949–2952, doi:10.1021/jacs.5b13524.
- Fatur, S.M.; Shepard, S.G.; Higgins, R.F.; Shores, M.P.; Damrauer, N.H. A Synthetically Tunable System To Control MLCT Excited-State Lifetimes and Spin States in Iron(II) Polypyridines. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 4493–4505, doi:10.1021/jacs.7b00700.
- 116. Yang, M.; Lin, T.-W.; Chou, C.-C.; Lee, H.-C.; Chang, H.-C.; Lee, G.-H.; Leung, M.; Peng, S.-P. New oligoα-pyridylamino ligands and their metal complexes. *Chem. Commun.* **1997**, 2279–2280, doi:10.1039/A706439J.
- 117. Jamula, L.L.; Brown, A.M.; Guo, D.; McCusker, J.K. Synthesis and Characterization of a High-Symmetry Ferrous Polypyridyl Complex: Approaching the ⁵T₂/³T₁ Crossing Point for Fe^{II}. *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 15–17, doi:10.1021/ic402407k.
- 118. Liu, Y.; Harlang, T.; Canton, S.E.; Chábera, P.; Suárez-Alcántara, K.; Fleckhaus, A.; Vithanage, D.A.; Göransson, E.; Corani, A.; Lomoth, R.; et al. Towards longer-lived metal-to-ligand charge transfer states of iron(II) complexes: An N-heterocyclic carbene approach. *Chem. Commun.* 2013, 49. 6412–6414, doi:10.1039/C3CC43833C.
- 119. Liu, Y.; Kjær, K.S.; Fredin, L.A.; Chábera, P.; Harlang, T.; Canton, S.E.; Lidin, S.; Zhang, J.; Lomoth, R.; Bergquist, K.-E.; et al. A Heteroleptic Ferrous Complex with Mesoionic Bis(1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidene) Ligands: Taming the MLCT Excited State of Iron(II). *Chem. Eur. J.* 2015, *21*, 3628–3639, doi:10.1002/chem.201405184.
- Liu, L.; Duchanois, T.; Etienne, T.; Monari, A.; Beley, M.; Assfeld, X.; Haacke, S.; Gros, P.C. A new record excited state ³MLCT lifetime for metalorganic iron(II) complexes. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2016, *18*, 12550– 12556, doi:10.1039/C6CP01418F.
- 121. Zimmer, P.; Burkhardt, L.; Friedrich, A.; Steube, J.; Neuba, A.; Schepper, R.; Müller, P.; Flörke, U.; Huber, M.; Lochbrunner, S.; et al. The Connection between NHC Ligand Count and Photophysical Properties in Fe(II) Photosensitizers: An Experimental Study. *Inorg. Chem.* 2018, 57, 360–373, doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.7b02624.
- 122. Chábera, P.; Kjaer, K.S.; Prakash, O.; Honarfar, A.; Liu, Y.; Fredin, L.A.; Harlang, T.C.B.; Lidin, S.; Uhlig, J.; Sundström, V.; et al. Fe^{II} Hexa N-Heterocyclic Carbene Complex with a 528 ps Metal-to-Ligand Charge-Transfer Excited-State Lifetime. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2018**, *9*, 459–463.
- 123. Romain, S.; Baffert, C.; Duboc, C.; Leprêtre, J.-C.; Deronzier, A.; Collomb, M.-N. Mononuclear Mn^{III} and Mn^{IV} Bis-terpyridine Complexes: Electrochemical Formation and Spectroscopic Characterizations. *Inorg. Chem.* 2009, 48, 3125–3131, doi:10.1021/ic8024342.
- 124. Limburg, J.; Vrettos, J.S.; Liable-Sands, L.M.; Rheingold, A.L.; Crabtree, R.H.; Brudvig, G.W. A Functional Model for O-O Bond Formation by the O₂-Evolving Complex in Photosystem II. *Science* 1999, 283, 1524– 1527, doi:10.1126/science.283.5407.1524.
- 125. Young, K.J.; Brennan, B.J.; Tagore, R.; Brudvig, G.W. Photosynthetic Water Oxidation: Insights from Manganese Model Chemistry. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 567–574, doi:10.1021/ar5004175.
- 126. Zare, D.; Doistau, B.; Nozary, H.; Besnard, C.; Guénée, L.; Suffren, Y.; Pelé, A.-L.; Hauser, A.; Piguet, C. Cr^{III} as an alternative to Ru^{II} in metallo-supramolecular chemistry. *Dalton Trans.* **2017**, *46*, 8992–9009, doi:10.1039/C7DT01747B.
- 127. Cloete, N.; Visser, H.G.; Roodt, A. *mer*-Trichloro-(2,2',2"-terpyridine)chromium(III) dimethyl sulfoxide solvate. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. E Struct. Rep. Online* **2007**, *63*, m45–m47, doi:10.1107/S1600536806050045.
- 128. Henriques, R.T.; Herdtweck, E.; Kühn, F.E.; Lopes, A.D.; Mink, J.; Romão, C.C. Synthesis, characterization, and reactions of tetrakis(nitrile)chromium(II) tetrafluoroborate complexes. *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1998**, 1293–1297, doi:10.1039/A708988K.
- 129. Åkesson, R.; Pettersson, L.G.M.; Sandström, M.; Wahlgren, U. Theoretical calculations of the Jahn-Teller effect in the hexahydrated Copper(II), chromium(II), and manganese(III) ions, hexaaquacopper(2+), hexaaquachromium(2+) and hexaaquamanganese(3+), and comparisons with the hexahydrated copper(I), chromium(III), and manganese(II) clusters. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1992**, *96*, 150–156, doi:10.1021/j100180a031.

- 130. Thangavel, A.; Wieliczko, M.; Scarborough, C.; Dittrich, B.; Bacsa, J. An investigation of the electron density of a Jahn–Teller-distorted Cr^{II} cation: The crystal structure and charge density of hexakis(acetonitrileκN)chromium(II) bis(tetraphenylborate) acetonitrile disolvate. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C* 2015, *C71*, 936–943, doi:10.1107/S2053229615015739.
- 131. Hieber, W.; Mühlbauer, F. Über Metallcarbonyle. XII. Reaktionen und Derivate der Hexacarbonyle des Chroms und Molybdäns. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **1935**, 221, 337–348, doi:10.1002/zaac.19352210404.
- 132. Hieber, W.; Abeck, W.; Platzer, H.K. Über Metallcarbonyle. 69. Über Tricarbonyl-triammin-Chrom und seine Derivate. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1955, 280, 252–263, doi:10.1002/zaac.19552800503.
- 133. Kuo, C.-Y.; Fuh, Y.-S.; Shiue, J.-Y.; Yu, S.J.; Lee, G.-H.; Peng, S.-M. Syntheses and chemistry of Tris(2-pyridyl)phosphine complexes of Group VI transition metals. X-ray structural studies of the molybdenum complexes. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1999**, *588*, 260–267, doi:10.1016/S0022-328X(99)00387-3.
- 134. Howie, R.A.; McQuillan, G.P. Trisubstituted Group 6 metal carbonyl complexes with di-2-pyridylamine ligands. Crystal structures of (2,2'-bipyridyl-*N*,*N*') tricarbonyl(di-2-pyridylamine-*N*')-molybdenum(0) and -tungsten(0). *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1986**, 759–764, doi:10.1039/DT9860000759.
- 135. Otto, S. Synthese, experimentelle und theoretische Charakterisierung neuartiger Polypyridyl-Komplexe des Chrom, Mangan und Zink. Diploma Thesis, Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz, Germany, 2015.
- 136. Xiang, H.; Cheng, J.; Ma, X.; Zhou, X.; Chruma, J.J. Near-infrared phosphorescence: Materials and applications. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013, 42, 6128–6185, doi:10.1039/C3CS60029G.
- 137. Barbour, J.C.; Kim, A.J.I.; deVries, E.; Shaner, S.E.; Lovaasen, B.M. Chromium (III) Bis-Arylterpyridyl Complexes with Enhanced Visible Absorption via Incorporation of Intraligand Charge-Transfer Transitions. *Inorg. Chem.* 2017, 56, 8212–8222, doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.7b00953.
- 138. Otto, S.; Förster, C.; Wang, C.; Resch-Genger, U.; Heinze, K. A strongly luminescent chromium(III) complex acid. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2018, 24, in press, doi:10.1002/chem.201802797.
- 139. Otto, S.; Nauth, A.M.; Ermilov, E.; Scholz, N.; Friedrich, A.; Resch-Genger, U.; Lochbrunner, S.; Opatz, T.; Heinze, K. Photo-Chromium: Sensitizer for Visible-Light-Induced Oxidative C–H Bond Functionalization—Electron or Energy Transfer? *ChemPhotoChem* 2017, 1, 344–349, doi:10.1002/cptc.201700077.
- 140. Vaidyanathan, V.G.; Nair, B.U. Nucleobase Oxidation of DNA by (Terpyridyl)chromium(III) Derivatives. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, 1840–1846, doi:ejic.200300718.
- 141. Stevenson, S.M.; Shores, M.P.; Ferreira, E.M. Photooxidizing Chromium Catalysts for Promoting Radical Cation Cycloadditions. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 6506–6510, doi:10.1002/anie.201501220.
- 142. Higgins, R.F.; Fatur, S.M.; Shepard, S.G.; Stevenson, S.M.; Boston, D.J.; Ferreira, E.M.; Damrauer, N.H.; Rappé, A.K.; Shores, M.P. Uncovering the Roles of Oxygen in Cr(III) Photoredox Catalysis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, *138*, 5451–5464, doi:10.1021/jacs.6b02723.
- 143. Stevenson, S.M.; Higgins, R.F.; Shores, M.P.; Ferreira, E.M. Chromium photocatalysis: Accessing structural complements to Diels–Alder adducts with electron-deficient dienophiles. *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 654–660, doi:10.1039/c6sc03303b.
- 144. Otto, S.; Scholz, N.; Behnke, T.; Resch-Genger, U.; Heinze, K. Thermo-Chromium: A Contactless Optical Molecular Thermometer. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 12131–12135, doi:10.1002/chem.201701726.
- 145. Otto, S.; Harris, J.P.; Heinze, K.; Reber, C. Molecular Ruby under Pressure. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 11069–11073, doi:10.1002/anie.201806755.
- 146. Forman, R.A.; Piermarini, G.J.; Barnett, J.D.; Block, S. Pressure measurement made by the utilization of ruby sharp-line luminescence. *Science* **1972**, *176*, 284–285, doi:10.1126/science.176.4032.284.
- 147. Pajdowski, L.; Karwecka, Z.; Fried, K.; Adamczak, H. Complex compounds of vanadium(III) in pyridine solutions. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1974, 36, 585–589, doi:10.1016/0022-1902(74)80118-1.
- 148. Casey, A.T.; Clark, R.J.H. Preparations and properties of vanadium(III) complexes of bidentate and terdentate nitrogen-donor ligands. *Transit. Met. Chem.* **1977**, *2*, 76–80, doi:10.1007/BF01402689.
- 149. Silverman, L.D.; Dewan, J.C.; Giandomenico, C.M.; Lippard, S.J. Molecular structure and ligand-exchange reactions of trichlorotris(tert-butyl isocyanide)vanadium(III). Synthesis of the hexakis(tert-butyl isocyanide)vanadium(II) cation. *Inorg. Chem.* **1980**, *19*, 3379–3383, doi:10.1021/ic50213a033.
- 150. Manzer, L.E.; Deaton, J.; Sharp, P.; Schrock, R.R. Tetrahydrofuran Complexes of Selected Early Transition Metals. *Inorg. Synth.* **1982**, *21*, 135–140, doi:10.1002/9780470132524.ch31.

- 151. Abbo, H.S.; Titinchi, S.J.J. A New Vanadium (III) Complex of 2,6-Bis(3,5-diphenylpyrazol-1-ylmethyl)pyridine as a Catalyst for Ethylene Polymerization. *Molecules* **2013**, *18*, 4728–4738, doi:10.3390/molecules18044728.
- Perry, M.R.; Allan, L.E.N.; Decken, A.; Shaver, M.P. Organometallic mediated radical polymerization of vinyl acetate using bis(imino)pyridine vanadium trichloride complexes. *Dalton Trans.* 2013, 42, 9157–9165, doi:10.1039/C3DT32625J.
- 153. Bennett, L.E.; Taube, H. An Investigation of the Vanadium(II)-Vanadium(III) Couple with Polypyridine Ligands. *Inorg. Chem.* **1968**, *7*, 254–261, doi:10.1021/ic50060a017.
- 154. Behrens, H.; Brandl, H.; Lutz, K. Über Bis-[tripyridyl(2.2'.2")]-vanadin(0). Z. Naturforsch. 1967, 22b, 99–100.
- 155. Wang, M.; Weyhermüller, T.; England, J.; Wieghardt, K. Molecular and Electronic Structures of Six-Coordinate "Low-Valent" [M(^{Me}bpy)₃]⁰ (M = Ti, V, Cr, Mo) and [M(tpy)₂]⁰ (M = Ti, V, Cr), and Seven-Coordinate [MoF(^{Me}bpy)₃](PF₆) and [MX(tpy)₂](PF₆) (M = Mo, X = Cl and M = W, X = F). *Inorg. Chem.* 2013, 52, 12763–12776, doi:10.1021/ic402037e.
- 156. STOE & Cie. X-Red; STOE & Cie: Darmstadt, Germany, 2002.
- 157. Blessing, R.H. An empirical correction for absorption anisotropy. *Acta Crystallogr. A* 1995, *51*, 33–38, doi:10.1107/S0108767394005726.
- 158. Spek, A.L. Structure validation in chemical crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D* 2009, 65, 148–155, doi:10.1107/S090744490804362X.
- 159. Sheldrick, G.M. SHELXT–Integrated space-group and crystal structure determination. *Acta Crystallogr. A* **2015**, *71*, 3–8, doi:10.1107/S2053273314026370.
- 160. Sheldrick, G.M. SHELXL-2014/7; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 2014.
- 161. Fulmer, G.R.; Miller, A.J.M.; Sherden, N.H.; Gottlieb, H.E.; Nudelman, A.; Stoltz, B.M.; Bercaw, J.E.; Goldberg, K.I. NMR Chemical Shifts of Trace Impurities: Common Laboratory Solvents, Organics, and Gases in Deuterated Solvents Relevant to the Organometallic Chemist. *Organometallics* 2010, 29, 2176–2179, doi:10.1021/om100106e.
- 162. Neese, F. The ORCA program system. WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci. 2012, 2, 73–78, doi:10.1002/wcms.81.
- 163. Becke, A.D. Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648–5652, doi:10.1063/1.464913.
- 164. Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R.G. Development of the Colic-Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density. *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785–789, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.37.785.
- 165. Miehlich, B.; Savin, A.; Stoll, H.; Preuss, H. Results obtained with the correlation energy density functionals of becke and Lee, Yang and Parr. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1989**, *157*, 200–206, doi:10.1016/0009-2614(89)87234-3.
- 166. Neese, F.; Wennmohs, F.; Hansen, A.; Becker, U. Efficient, approximate and parallel Hartree–Fock and hybrid DFT calculations. A 'chain-of-spheres' algorithm for the Hartree–Fock exchange. *Chem. Phys.* 2009, 356, 98–109, doi:10.1016/j.chemphys.2008.10.036.
- 167. Izsák, R.; Neese, F. An overlap fitted chain of spheres exchange method. J. Chem. Phys. 2011, 135, 144105, doi:10.1063/1.3646921.
- Pantazis, D.A.; Chen, X.-Y.; Landis, C.R.; Neese, F. All-Electron Scalar Relativistic Basis Sets for Third-Row Transition Metal Atoms. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2008, 4, 908–919, doi:10.1021/ct800047t.
- 169. Sinnecker, S.; Rajendran, A.; Klamt, A.; Diedenhofen, M.; Neese, F. Calculation of Solvent Shifts on Electronic g-Tensors with the Conductor-Like Screening Model (COSMO) and Its Self-Consistent Generalization to Real Solvents (Direct COSMO-RS). J. Phys. Chem. A 2006, 110, 2235–2245, doi:10.1021/jp056016z.
- 170. Weigend, F.; Ahlrichs, R. Balanced basis sets of split valence, triple zeta valence and quadruple zeta valence quality for H to Rn: Design and assessment of accuracy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297–3305, doi:10.1039/b508541a.
- 171. Weigend, F. Accurate Coulomb-fitting basis sets for H to Rn. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2006, *8*, 1057–1065, doi:10.1039/b515623h.
- 172. Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H. A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *132*, 154104, doi:10.1063/1.3382344.
- 173. Grimme, S.; Ehrlich, S.; Goerigk, L. Effect of the damping function in dispersion corrected density functional theory. *J. Comput. Chem.* 2011, *32*, 1456–1465, doi:10.1002/jcc.21759.

- 174. Evans, D.F. The Determination of the Paramagnetic Susceptibility of Substances in Solution by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance. J. Chem. Soc. 1959, 2003–2005, doi:10.1039/JR9590002003].
- 175. Evans, D.F.; Fazakerley, G.V.; Phillips, R.F. Organometallic Compounds of Bivalent Europium, Ytterbium, and Samarium. J. Chem. Soc. A **1971**, 1931–1934, doi:10.1039/J19710001931.



© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).