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#### Abstract

Extraction of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ with picrate ion $\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]$and $0,0.58,15,48$, or $97 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$ $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ by benzo-18-crown-6 ether (B18C6; L as its symbol) into benzene (Bz) was studied. Three kinds of extraction constants, $K_{\mathrm{ex}}, K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}$, and $K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}}$ (or $K_{\mathrm{ex} 2 \pm}$ ), were determined at 298 K: these constants were defined as $\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} / P,\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} / P$, and $\left[\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} /\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right][\mathrm{L}]_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ (or $\left.\left[\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\left(\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\right)^{2} / P\right)$, respectively. The symbol $P$ shows $\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right][\mathrm{L}]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]^{2}$ and the subscript " $\mathrm{Bz}^{\prime}$ denotes the Bz phase, Bz saturated with water. Simultaneously, conditional distribution constants, $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}\left(=\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} /\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]\right)$, of $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}$with distribution equilibrium-potential differences (dep) were determined. Then, based on the above four constants and others, the component equilibrium constants of $K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}\left(=\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} /\left[\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\right), K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}\left(=\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} /\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\right)$, and $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{PbL}}\left(=\left[\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} /\left[\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}\right]\right)$ were obtained. Using these constants, the $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ extraction with B18C6 under the co-presence of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ in the water phase was characterized. In such a characterization, $I$ and $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ dependences on the constants were mainly discussed, where their symbols denote the ionic strength of the water phase and that of the Bz one, respectively.


Keywords: extraction constants; conditional distribution constants; distribution equilibrium potential; ion-pair formation constants; ionic strength; lead picrate; cadmium nitrate; benzo-18-crown-6 ether; benzene

## 1. Introduction

In extraction systems with crown compounds (L), some extraction constants, such as $K_{\text {ex }}$ and $K_{\text {ex }}$, have been employed for evaluating their extraction-abilities and -selectivities [1-6]. Here, the constants $K_{\mathrm{ex}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}$ have been generally defined as $\left[\mathrm{MLA}_{z}\right]_{\text {org }} / P$ and $\left[\mathrm{MLA}_{z-1}{ }^{+}\right]_{\text {org }}\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]_{\text {org }} / P$, respectively, with $P=\left[\mathrm{M}^{z+}\right][\mathrm{L}]_{\operatorname{org}}\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]^{\mathrm{Z}}$ at $z=1$ and $2[1,7-9]$. The symbols $\mathrm{M}^{z+}, \mathrm{A}^{-}$, and the subscript "org" denote a metal ion with the formal charge of $z+$, a univalent pairing anion, and an organic phase, respectively. For evaluating the ability and selectivity of L for its extraction, many studies have been present [1-9], but those for clarifying ionic strength (I) dependences of the equilibrium constants seemed to be few [10,11]. Recently, one of the authors reported the $I$ and $I_{\mathrm{DCE}}$ (with $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ as an $I$ conditioner) dependences of the $K_{\mathrm{ex}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}$ values in the silver picrate (AgPic) extraction with benzo-18-crown-6 ether (B18C6) into 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE), where $I_{\mathrm{DCE}}$ refers to the $I$ value for the DCE phase [12]. At the same time, conditional distribution constants $\left(K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{A}}=\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]_{\text {org }} /\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]\right)$of the picrate ion $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}$ $\left(=\mathrm{A}^{-}\right)$into the DCE ( $=\mathrm{org}$ ) phases have been determined [12] and thereby distribution equilibrium potential-differences (dep; $\Delta \varphi_{\mathrm{eq}}$ as a symbol in an equation) have been evaluated $[7,8,12]$.

In the present paper, to expand such characterization [12] for the AgPic extraction system to that for an $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{Pic}_{2}$ extraction one, we determined at 298 K the $K_{\mathrm{ex}}, K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}$, and $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}$ values for $\mathrm{PbPic}_{2}$
extraction with B18C6 into benzene (Bz) under a co-presence of $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ in a water phase. Then, $I$ and $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ dependences of these equilibrium constants were mainly examined [12]. Similar examinations were performed for other overall or component equilibrium-constants, such as $K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}}, K_{\mathrm{ex}, \mathrm{ip}}$, and $K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}$ (see Equations (6)-(8) for their definitions), derived from the above equilibrium constants. This study is expected to be useful for comparisons between the $K_{\mathrm{ex}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}$ values, because magnitudes of their comparable values depend on $I[10,11]$ or $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ in general. Consequently, such data relevant to $I$ and $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ can make more precise comparisons between the values possible.

In addition, it had been pointed out that the presence of alkali metal and transition metal ions by high concentrations may cause significant interferences in the removal of Pb in acidic waste streams [13]. Similarly, the $\mathrm{M}^{z+}$ separation with solvent extraction [13] and membrane transport experiments has been studied $[14,15]$. However, these quantitative considerations based on any equilibrium constants have not been reported. This situation reveals the importance of these fundamental studies [10-12] and this work as well, which can make a prediction for their separation more precise.

As well as the previous paper [12], the dep values which were fundamentally based on the ion transfer of $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}$at the water/ Bz interfaces were evaluated from the determined $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}$ values [16]. Moreover, the relationship between $\log K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}$ and dep was quantitatively discussed [7,16].

The both $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{II})$ ions are well-known as toxic metals to living things in nature [17], but were employed here as simply model metal ones. Additionally, Bz was selected because a lot of data for the extraction of these $M$ (II) ions with B18C6 or 18-crown-6 ether (18C6) is available [1,3,6,8,18-20].

A competitive extraction between $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ with B 18 C 6 into Bz had been assumed with the addition of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ in the water phase in the beginning of this study, compared with the log ( $K_{\text {ex, } \mathrm{Pb}} / K_{\text {ex,Cd }}$ ) value of 9.73 for the $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ extraction with 18C6 [9]. However, against our plan, such an extraction behavior was not observed here.

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1. Determination of Composition of Extracted Species with $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ at Some $[\mathrm{Cd}]_{t} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{t}$ Values

Determination of an $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{II}): \mathrm{L}$ composition is based on the following $K_{\mathrm{ex}}$ or $K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}$ definition [1,8,19,21]: $K_{\mathrm{ex}}=\left[\mathrm{MLA}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{org}} / P$ and $K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}=\left[\mathrm{MLA}^{+}\right]_{\text {org }}\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]_{\text {org }} / P$ with $P=\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}\right][\mathrm{L}]_{\text {org }}\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]^{2}$ at $z=2$. Taking common logarithms of both sides of these definitions and then rearranging them, we can easily obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log \left(D_{0} /\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]^{2}\right)=\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}+\log [\mathrm{L}]_{\mathrm{org}}  \tag{1}\\
\text { and } \log \left(D_{+} /\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]\right)=\log K_{\mathrm{ex}+}+\log [\mathrm{L}]_{\mathrm{org}} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $D_{0}=\left[\mathrm{MLA}_{2}{ }^{0}\right]_{\mathrm{org}} /\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}\right], D_{+}=\left[\mathrm{MLA}^{+}\right]_{\text {org }} /\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}\right]$ (see the Section 2.9), and $K_{\text {ex }+}\left(=K_{\text {ex } \pm} / K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{A}}\right)=$ $\left[\mathrm{MLA}^{+}\right]_{\text {org }} /\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}\right][\mathrm{L}]_{\text {org }}\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right][1,8,19]$. From applying the approximate that $D_{0}$ and $D_{+}$nearly equal $D$ for Equations (1) and (2), respectively, the following equations were derived:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log \left(D /\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]^{2}\right) \approx \log K_{\mathrm{ex}}+\log [\mathrm{L}]_{\mathrm{org}}  \tag{1a}\\
\text { and } \log \left(D /\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]\right) \approx \log K_{\mathrm{ex}+}+\log [\mathrm{L}]_{\mathrm{org}} \tag{2a}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $D$ is an experimental distribution ratio and defined as $[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})]_{\text {(species analyzed by AAS measurement) }} /$ $\left([\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})]_{\mathrm{t}}-[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})]_{\text {(species analyzed by AAS measurement })}\right)_{\text {org. }}$. In addition, $[\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})]_{\mathrm{t}}$ refers to a total concentration of $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ employed. Therefore, in terms of a plot of $\log \left(D /\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]^{2}\right)$ versus $\log [\mathrm{L}]_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ come from Equation (1a) or that of $\log \left(D /\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]\right)$from Equation (2a), we can determine the Pb (II): L compositions in the extraction systems from their slopes [9]. Figure 1 shows such plots based on Equation (1a).

Experimentally-obtained slopes were 0.98 for the $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6$ extraction system with $0 \mathrm{mmol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})\left(\right.$ or $\left.[\mathrm{Cd}]_{\mathrm{t}} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{\mathrm{t}}=0\right), 1.0$ for that with 0.58 of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ (or 1.06), 0.97 for that with 14 of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ (or 26.6), 0.98 for that with 48 of Cd (II) (or 88.4 ), and 1.0 for that with 97 of Cd (II) (or 178). From these results, we can see easily that the compositions of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II}): \mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6$ are $1: 1$ for all the systems. In the present study, there was
no need of employing Equation (2a). The compositions of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II}): \operatorname{Pic}(-\mathrm{I})$ were speculated to be 1:2 from similarity to the systems [3] reported before for M (II) extraction with 18C6 into Bz and from a charge balance in the Bz phases $[1,8,19,21]$ : approximately $\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} \approx\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ from more-precisely $2\left[\mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}+$ $2\left[\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}+\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}+\left[\mathrm{PbPic}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} \approx\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}+\left[\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}$, because it was expected that $\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} \gg$ $2\left[\mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}+2\left[\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}+\left[\mathrm{PbPic}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} \gg\left[\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}[9,21]$.


Figure 1. Plots for composition determination based on Equation (1a) under the conditions of $[\mathrm{Cd}]_{\mathrm{t}} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{\mathrm{t}}=0$ (open circle), 1.06 (square), 26.6 (diamond), 88.5 (full circle), and 178 (triangle).

### 2.2. Determination of $K_{e x}, K_{e x \pm}$, and $K_{D, P i c}$

According to previous papers [1,8,9,22], the extraction-constant parameter ( $K_{\mathrm{ex}}{ }^{\text {mix }}$ ) has been proposed:

$$
\begin{align*}
\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}{ }^{\mathrm{mix}}= & \log \left\{\left(\left[\mathrm{MLA}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{org}}+\left[\mathrm{MLA}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{org}}+\left[\mathrm{ML}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{org}}+\ldots\right) / P\right\} \\
& \approx \log \left\{K_{\mathrm{ex}}+\left(K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{~A}} /\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}\right][\mathrm{L}]_{\mathrm{org}}\left[\mathrm{~A}^{-}\right]\right)\right\} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

with $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{A}} \approx\left[\mathrm{MLA}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{org}} /\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]$. Using this equation, we can immediately obtain the $K_{\mathrm{ex}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{A}}$ values from a plot of $\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}{ }^{\text {mix }}$ versus $-\log \left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}\right][\mathrm{L}]_{\text {org }}\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]\right)$. In addition, Equation (3) can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log K_{\mathrm{ex} \mathrm{mix}^{\max }} \approx \log \left(K_{\mathrm{ex}}+\sqrt{K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm} / P}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the $K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}$ value (with the $K_{\mathrm{ex}}$ one; see Table 1) can be obtained from a plot of $\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}{ }^{\text {mix }}$ versus $-\log P^{1 / 2}$. Figures 2 and 3 show examples of such plots.


Figure 2. Plot of $\log K_{e x}{ }^{m i x}$ versus $-\log \left(\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right][\mathrm{L}]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]\right)$with $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6$ at $[\mathrm{Cd}]_{\mathrm{t}} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{\mathrm{t}}=88.5$. The line is based on Equation (3).


Figure 3. Plot of $\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}{ }^{\text {mix }}$ versus $-\log P^{1 / 2}$ with B 18 C 6 at $[\mathrm{Cd}]_{\mathrm{t}} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{\mathrm{t}}=88.5$. The line is based on Equation (4).

From these plots, the $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}, K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}$, and $K_{\mathrm{ex}}$ values were determined at 298 K . Table 1 lists these extraction constants, $K_{\mathrm{ex}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}$, and the conditional distribution constants, $K_{\mathrm{D}, \text { Pic }}$, with averaged ionic strength-values (I) for the water phase in the five $[\mathrm{Cd}]_{\mathrm{t}} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{\mathrm{t}}$ conditions. The $K_{\mathrm{ex}}$ values determined with Equation (4) were equal or close to those with Equation (3). This fact raises the credibility of the values themselves and also shows the effects of Equations (3) and (4) on evaluation. The $K_{\text {ex }}$ and $K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}$ values at $[\mathrm{Cd}]_{\mathrm{t}} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{\mathrm{t}}=0$ were smaller than those $\left(10^{11.712} \mathrm{~mol}^{-3} \mathrm{dm}^{9}\right.$ and $\left.10^{4.1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{6}[9]\right)$ reported before at $I=0.0059 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ for the $\mathrm{PbPic}_{2}$ extraction with 18 C 6 into Bz .

Table 1. Basic data for the $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ extraction by B18C6 from the water phase with co-presence of $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ into Bz at 298 K .

| $[\mathrm{Cd}]_{\mathrm{t}} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{1}$ | $I^{2} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ | $\log _{[]^{3}} K_{\mathrm{ex}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \log K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}{ }^{3} \\ & \left(\log y_{\mathrm{Pic}}{ }^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}} \\ & \left(\Delta \varphi_{\mathrm{eq}}{ }_{5}^{5} / \mathrm{V}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.0074 | $\begin{gathered} 9.715 \pm 0.006 \\ (9.70 \pm 0.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6_{6} \pm 0.2_{5} \\ (-0.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.2_{1} \pm 0.1_{4} \\ & \left(-0.3_{0}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1.06 | 0.0060 | $\begin{gathered} 9.68 \pm 0.02 \\ (9.61 \pm 0.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.9_{0} \pm 0.2_{3} \\ (-0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.4_{4} \pm 0.1_{3} \\ \left(-0.3_{4}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 26.6 | 0.048 | $\begin{gathered} 9.58 \pm 0.02 \\ (9.51 \pm 0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.9_{7} \pm 0.2_{5} \\ (-0.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.3_{7} \pm 0.1_{6} \\ \left(-0.3_{5}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 88.5 | 0.15 | $\begin{gathered} 9.39 \pm 0.03 \\ (9.24 \pm 0.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.9_{5} \pm 0.1_{9} \\ (-0.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.6_{0} \pm 0.1_{5} \\ \left(-0.3_{3}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 178 | 0.29 | $\begin{gathered} 9.31 \pm 0.02 \\ (9.21 \pm 0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.6_{8} \pm 0.1_{6} \\ (-0.12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.65 \pm 0.09 \\ (-0.33) \end{gathered}$ |

${ }^{1}\left[\mathrm{~Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{t}}=5.48 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3} .{ }^{2}$ Averaged ionic strength for the water phase. ${ }^{3}$ Values determined from Equation (4). ${ }^{4}$ Logarithmic activity coefficient of $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}$in water, calculated from the $I$ value. ${ }^{5}$ Dep values calculated from Equation (5).

### 2.3. Dep Determination from $K_{D, P i c}$

From the $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \text { Pic }}$ values listed in Table 1, using the following equation and a standardized distribution constant $\left(K_{D, P i c}{ }^{S}\right)$, we can easily obtain the dep (or $\Delta \varphi_{\text {eq }}$ ) values for the five $[\mathrm{Cd}]_{t} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{t}$ conditions at 298 K :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \varphi_{\mathrm{eq}}=-0.05916\left(\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}-\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}^{\mathrm{S}}\right)=\Delta \varphi_{\mathrm{Pic}} 0^{0^{\prime}}-0.05916 \log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the $K_{D, P i c}{ }^{S}$ value is defined as the $K_{D, \text { Pic }}$ one at $\Delta \varphi_{\text {eq }}=0 \mathrm{~V}$, equals antilog ( $\left.\Delta \varphi_{\text {Pic }} 0^{0^{\prime}} / 0.05916\right)$ ( $=\exp \left(\Delta \varphi_{\text {Pic }}{ }^{0^{\prime}} / 0.02569\right.$ [23]), and, as its common logarithmic value, -8.208 or -7.4473 is available from references [24,25]. In addition, the minus sign of $-0.05916(=-2.303 R T / F)$ and the symbol $\Delta \varphi_{\text {Pic }}{ }^{0^{\prime}}$ denote the formal charge of $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}$and the standard formal potential for the $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}$transfer across the water/Bz interface, respectively. We mainly employed the former value for the evaluation described below. Table 1 lists the dep/V values evaluated from $\log K_{\text {D,Pic }}{ }^{\mathrm{S}}=-8.208$ [24].

### 2.4. Determination of $K_{P b / P b L}, K_{e x, i p}, K_{1, B z}, K_{2, B z}$ and $K_{D, P b L}$

These constants can be evaluated from the following relations [1,18,26-28].

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}}=\log \left(\left[\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} /\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right][\mathrm{L}]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\right) \approx \log \left(D /[\mathrm{L}]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\right),  \tag{6}\\
\log K_{\mathrm{ex}, \mathrm{ip}}=\log \left(\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} /\left[\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]^{2}\right)=\log \left(K_{\mathrm{ex}} K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{~L}} / K_{\mathrm{PbL}}\right),  \tag{7}\\
\log K_{1 . \mathrm{Bz}}=\log \left(\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} /\left[\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\right) \approx \log \left\{K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm} / K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}}\left(K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}\right)^{2}\right\}  \tag{8}\\
=\log \left(K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm} / K_{\mathrm{ex} 2 \pm}\right), \tag{8a}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}=\log \left(\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} /\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\right)=\log \left(K_{\mathrm{ex}} / K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { and } \log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{PbL}}=\log \left(\left[\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} /\left[\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}\right]\right) \approx \log \left(K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}} K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{~L}} / K_{\mathrm{PbL}}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Only for the $K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}}$ values, they were obtained as the averages of $D /[\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6]_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ at every $[\mathrm{Cd}]_{\mathrm{t}} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{\mathrm{t}}$ value [27]. For the above evaluation at $298 \mathrm{~K}, 0.943$ [2] and 3.19 [29] were used as the logarithmic values of $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6}\left(=[\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6]_{\mathrm{Bz}} /[\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6]\right)$ and $K_{\mathrm{PbB18C} 6}\left(=\left[\mathrm{PbB18C6}{ }^{2+}\right] /\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right][\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6]\right)$, respectively. These five logarithmic $K$-values are summarized in Table 2, together with the ionic strength-values $\left(I_{\mathrm{Bz}}\right)$ for the Bz phase.

Table 2. Some equilibrium constants obtained from the $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ extraction experiments by $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6$ from the water phase with co-presence of $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ into Bz at 298 K .

| $[\mathrm{Cd}]_{t} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{t}$ | $\begin{gathered} \log K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}} \\ \left(\log y_{\mathrm{Pb}}{ }_{1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\log K_{\text {ex,ip }}$ | $\underset{\left(I_{\mathrm{Bz}}^{2} / 10^{-6}\right)}{\log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}}$ | $\log K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}$ | $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{PbL}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\begin{gathered} 3.42 \pm 0.05 \\ (-0.16) \end{gathered}$ | 7.47 | $\begin{gathered} 5.7 \pm 0.3 \\ \left(0.4_{4}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $7.1 \pm 0.2$ | 1.18 |
| 1.06 | $\begin{gathered} 3.42 \pm 0.08 \\ (-0.14) \end{gathered}$ | 7.143 | $\begin{gathered} 5.4 \pm 0.3 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ | $5.8 \pm 0.2$ | 1.17 |
| 26.6 | $\begin{gathered} 3.2_{3} \pm 0.1_{2} \\ (-0.34) \end{gathered}$ | 7.34 | $\begin{gathered} 5.5 \pm 0.4 \\ (2.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $5.6 \pm 0.2$ | 0.98 |
| 88.5 | $\begin{gathered} 3.1_{3} \pm 0.2_{1} \\ (-0.50) \end{gathered}$ | 7.15 | $6.0 \pm 0.4$ | $5.4 \pm 0.2$ | 0.88 |
| 178 | $\begin{gathered} 2.9_{5} \pm 0.2_{8} \\ (-0.62) \end{gathered}$ | 7.06 | $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \pm 0.3 \\ (7.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $5.6 \pm 0.2$ | 0.71 |

${ }^{1}$ Logarithmic activity coefficient of $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ in water, calculated from the averaged $I$ value. ${ }^{2}$ Averaged ionic strength for the Bz phase.

### 2.5. Correlation between $\log K_{e x \pm}$ and Dep

We can obtain the following relation from the thermodynamic cycle of the $\mathrm{PbLPic}^{+}$extraction with $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}=2 \log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}+\log K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}}+\log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}} \\
& =2 \log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}} \mathrm{~S}^{-2(F / 2.303 R T) \Delta \varphi_{\mathrm{eq}}+\log K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}} \cdot K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the $\log K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}} \cdot K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}$ term was in the range of 8.7 to 9.1 (see the data in Table 2) and log $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}{ }^{\mathrm{S}}\left(=-8.208\right.$ [24] or -7.4473 [25]) equals $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \text { Pic }}$ at $\Delta \varphi_{\mathrm{eq}}=0 \mathrm{~V}$. Hence, we obtained to be -7.7 to
-7.3 for the former $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}} \mathrm{S}$ value or the -6.2 to -5.8 for the latter one as the term of $2 \log K_{\mathrm{D}, \text { Pic }} \mathrm{S}+$ $\log K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}} \cdot K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}$ (see Tables 1 and 2). In addition, $2 F / 2.303 R T$ becomes $33.80 \mathrm{~V}^{-1}$ at $T=298.15 \mathrm{~K}$. Rearranging Equation (11), we can immediately derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm} \approx(-7.7 \text { to }-7.3)-33.80 \Delta \varphi_{\text {Equation }} \tag{11a}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the regression analysis of an experimental plot in Figure 4, the following line was obtained: $\log K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}=(-5.3 \pm 1.4)-(27.3 \pm 4.2) \Delta \varphi_{\mathrm{eq}}$ at $|R|=0.967$, where the symbol $R$ denotes a correlation coefficient. This regression line is close to Equation (11a) which was estimated from the experimental $K$ values. This fact indicates the presence of dep, as similar to the results reported previously $[7,8,12,16,21,22]$.


Figure 4. Plot of $\log K_{\text {ex }}$ versus dep for the Pb (II) extraction with $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and B 18 C 6 into Bz. The line corresponds to Equation (11a).

### 2.6. I Dependences of $\log K_{\text {ex }}$ and $\log K_{e x, i p}$

The thermodynamic extraction constant of $K_{\mathrm{ex}}$ is $K_{\mathrm{ex}}{ }^{0}=\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} / a_{\mathrm{Pb}}[\mathrm{L}]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\left(a_{\mathrm{Pic}}\right)^{2}$, where $a_{\mathrm{Pb}}$ and $a_{\text {Pic }}$ refer to activities of $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}$in the water phase, respectively, and it was assumed that $\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ is equal to the activity in the Bz phase, because $\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right)_{2}$ is charge-less. The same is true of $[\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6]_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ too. Taking the common logarithms of both sides of the $K_{\mathrm{ex}}{ }^{0}$ definition, we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}^{0}=\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}-\log \left\{y_{\mathrm{Pb}}\left(y_{\mathrm{Pic}}\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $y_{\mathrm{Pb}}=a_{\mathrm{Pb}} /\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]$ and $y_{\mathrm{Pic}}=a_{\mathrm{Pic}} /\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]$. Introducing the extended Debye-Hückel (DH) equation $[30,31]$ in Equation (12) and arranging it, the following equation was obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}=\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}{ }^{0}-6 A \sqrt{I} /\left(1+B a_{ \pm} \sqrt{I}\right) \tag{12a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the DH equation was based on the mean activity coefficient and the symbol $a_{ \pm}$denote the ion-size parameter [30] in $\AA$ unit. Although the extended DH equation holds in the $I$ range of $\leq 0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ [30] as you know, we approximately employed it for the condition of $I=0.29$ (see Table 1). Figure 5 shows curve-fittings of the plots for Equation (12a).


Figure 5. Plot of $\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}$ versus $I^{1 / 2}$ for the $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ extraction with $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and B18C6 into Bz. The line is based on Equation (12a).

Its regression line was $\log K_{\mathrm{ex}}=(9.91 \pm 0.03)-6 \times(0.5114) \sqrt{I} /\left(1+\left(3.4_{0} \pm 0.4_{6}\right) \sqrt{I}\right)$ at $R=0.980$, where the coefficient $A$ was fixed to $0.5114 \mathrm{~mol}^{-1 / 2} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{3 / 2}$ [30] and the $a_{ \pm}$value in water was evaluated to be $10 \AA\left(=3.4_{0} / 0.3291\right)$ at 298 K .

Similarly, the $\log K_{\mathrm{ex}, \mathrm{ip}}$ values were analyzed. Their constants were expressed as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log K_{\mathrm{ex}, \mathrm{ip}}=\log K_{\mathrm{ex}, \mathrm{ip}}-6 A \sqrt{I} /\left(1+B a_{ \pm} \sqrt{I}\right)  \tag{13}\\
\text { with } K_{\mathrm{ex}, \mathrm{i}}{ }^{0}=\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} / a_{\mathrm{PbL}}\left(a_{\mathrm{Pic}}\right)^{2}=K_{\mathrm{ex}, \mathrm{ip}} / y_{\mathrm{PbL}}\left(y_{\mathrm{Pic}}\right)^{2} \tag{13a}
\end{gather*}
$$

The regression analysis of the plots yielded $\log K_{\text {ex,ip }}=(7.66 \pm 0.03)-6 \times(0.5114) \sqrt{I} /(1+$ $\left.\left(3.4_{1} \pm 0.5_{1}\right) \sqrt{I}\right)$ at $R=0.975$ and then the $a_{ \pm}$value was evaluated to be $10 \AA$. The accordance between $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}-\mathrm{Pic}^{-}$distance and $\mathrm{PbB18C6}{ }^{2+}-\mathrm{Pic}^{-}$one suggests that the former interaction between the $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}$ions in water saturated with Bz is equivalent with the latter one between $\mathrm{PbB18C} 6{ }^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}$.

It is interesting that the evaluated $a_{ \pm}$values are close to the sum (=11.5 $\AA$ ) of the ion-size parameters [32] between $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(4.5 \AA)$ and $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}(7 \AA)$ for water. This $K_{\text {ex,ip }}{ }^{0}$ value was well in accord with that $\left(=10^{7.66} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{3}\right)$ calculated from the thermodynamic cycle of $K_{\text {ex,ip }}{ }^{0} \approx K_{\mathrm{ex}}{ }^{0} K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}} / K_{\mathrm{PbL}}$ ( $\left.=10^{9.91} \times 10^{0.943} / 10^{3.19}\right)$.

Considering that the $K_{\text {ex }}$ values are most precise ones of the some extraction constants determined here (see their errors in Table 1), the fair dependences of $\log K_{\text {ex }}$ on I indicate a simple role of $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ only as the ionic strength conditioner in the present extraction systems. In other words, the authors were not be able to clearly find out positive or negative effects of $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ on the present Pb (II) extraction with B18C6 into Bz.
2.7. $I_{B z}$ Dependences of $\log K_{1, B z}$ and $\log K_{2, B z}$

Using $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ and the DH limiting law [30], both $\log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{0}$ and $\log K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{0}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}} \approx \log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}-\log y_{\mathrm{PbL}, \mathrm{Bz}}=\log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}+4 A_{\mathrm{Bz}} \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $y_{\mathrm{PbLPic}, \mathrm{Bz}} \approx y_{\mathrm{Pic}, \mathrm{Bz}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{0}=\log K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}-\log \left(y_{\mathrm{PbLPic}, \mathrm{Bz}} \cdot y_{\mathrm{Pic}, \mathrm{Bz}}\right)=\log K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}+2 A_{\mathrm{Bz}} \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rearranging Equations (14) and (15), we can obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}} \approx \log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}-4 A_{\mathrm{Bz}} \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}}  \tag{14a}\\
\text { and } \log K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}=\log K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}-2 A_{\mathrm{Bz}} \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}} \tag{15a}
\end{gather*}
$$

Based on Equations (14a) and (15a), we prepared Figure 6 from the data in Table 2.


Figure 6. Plots of $\log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}$ (circle) and $\log K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}$ (square) versus $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{1 / 2}$ for the $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ extraction with $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and B18C6 into Bz. The regression lines were based on Equations (14a) and (15a). The full circle was omitted from the regression analysis of $\log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}$.

At the same time, these plots were analyzed by using the both equations. Their regression lines were $\log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}=\left(5.9_{8} \pm 0.4_{9}\right)-4 \times(63 \pm 89) \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}}$ at $R=0.449$ except for the point of $[\mathrm{Cd}]_{\mathrm{t}} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{\mathrm{t}}=178$ and $\log K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}=\left(6.8_{1} \pm 0.6_{8}\right)-2 \times(282 \pm 195) \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}}$ at $R=0.641$. These lines intersected with each other at $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{1 / 2}=2.7 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}^{1 / 2} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3 / 2}$, yielding $\log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}=\log K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}=5.3_{1}$. This fact indicates that, in the lower $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ range less than $7.1 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, the $K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}$ values are larger than the $K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}$ ones. The latter values may be estimated to actually be the smaller values because of the approximation [33] for the $K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}$ determination (see Equation (8)). Unlike the case of the CdPic ${ }_{2}-\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6$ extraction system [33], unfortunately, we do not have the procedure which corrects such deviations for the present extraction systems, because of a lack of adequate data used for the correction.

In addition, we tried curve-fittings to the two plots using the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}} \approx \log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}-4 A_{\mathrm{Bz}} \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}}+b_{1} I_{\mathrm{Bz}}  \tag{14b}\\
\text { and } \log K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}=\log K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}-2 A_{\mathrm{Bz}} \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}}+b_{2} I_{\mathrm{Bz}} . \tag{15b}
\end{gather*}
$$

with the approximation of $1 \gg \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}}$ (see Table 2). Here, the symbols $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ denote empirical curve-fitting parameters $[30,31]$ which were simply predicted in this study from the plot shapes (see Figure 6). The regression analyses of the plots at 298 K gave $\log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}=\left(6.1_{6} \pm 0.8_{0}\right)-4 \times$ $(179 \pm 247) \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}}+(2.3 \pm 2.7) \times 10^{5} I_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ at $R=0.569$ and $\log K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}=\left(8.8_{0} \pm 0.9_{8}\right)-2 \times(1625 \pm 603) \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}}$ $+(7.6 \pm 3.3) \times 10^{5} I_{B z}$ at 0.914 (see Figure 7). Modifying these equations like the Davies one [30,31], their 2nd and 3rd terms became $-4 \times(179 \pm 247)\left(\sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}}-(3.2 \pm 5.9) \times 10^{2} I_{\mathrm{Bz}}\right)$ and $-2 \times(1625 \pm 603)\left(\sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}}-\right.$ $\left.\left(2.3 \pm 1_{.3}\right) \times 10^{2} I_{\mathrm{Bz}}\right)$, respectively. These $b_{1} / 4 A_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ and $b_{2} / 2 A_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ values of about 320 and $230 \mathrm{~mol}^{-1 / 2} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{3 / 2}$ for the Bz phases are much larger than 0.3 [31] for the aqueous solution at 298 K . Equation (14b) intersects

Equation (15b) around $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}^{1 / 2}=3.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}^{1 / 2} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3 / 2}$, yielding $\log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}=\log K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}=6.3_{0}$, and then their two lines equal with each other within the experimental errors (see the plots in Figure 7).


Figure 7. Plots of $\log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}$ (circle) and $\log K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}$ (square) versus $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{1 / 2}$ for the $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ extraction with $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and B18C6 into Bz. The regression lines were based on Equations (14b) and (15b).

In comparison of Equations (14a) and (15a) with Equations (14b) and (15b), the point of intersection changed from $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{1 / 2} / \mathrm{mol}^{1 / 2} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3 / 2}=0.002_{7}$ to $0.003_{3}$, while their corresponding $\log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}\left(=\log K_{2 . \mathrm{Bz}}\right)$ value changed from 5.3 to 6.3 .

At least in the lower $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ range less than $1.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, the $K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}$ values are larger than the $K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}$ ones. From the results of the calculation based on Equations (14a,b) and (15a,b), the relation of $K_{1 . \mathrm{Bz}}<K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}$ holds in the range less than $\left(0.7_{1}-1.1\right) \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ (see above). According to the paper [8], such a fact suggests a structural change around Pb (II) in the reaction of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6) \mathrm{Pic}^{+}{ }_{\mathrm{Bz}}+$ $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}{ }_{\mathrm{Bz}} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6) \mathrm{Pic}_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}$, such as $\mathrm{Cd}(18 \mathrm{C} 6) \mathrm{Pic}_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}$ of the $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ extraction systems [33]. Trends similar to $K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}<K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}$ are observed in the reactions of $\mathrm{Cd} 18 \mathrm{C}^{2+}$ with $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$in the Bz phases for fixed $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ values [1,8]. The higher $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ range may lead to the formation of ion-pair complexes with other coordination structures around $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$, although their structures are not clear.

Table 3 shows results for the both estimated values from Equations (14a) and (15a) and those from Equations (14b) and (15b). In comparison with differences, I dif. I , in $K_{1, B z}$ and $K_{2, B z}$ between the experimental and estimated values, the I dif. I values estimated from Equations (14b) and (15b) were essentially smaller than those done from Equations (14a) and (15a). Especially, the former equations seem to be superior to the latter ones in the $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ range, namely the present experimental $[\mathrm{Cd}]_{\mathrm{t}} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{\mathrm{t}}$ range, of $4 \times 10^{-7}$ to $8 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ in the cases of the prediction of $K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}$. Unfortunately, chemical and physical meanings of $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ are not clear still now.

Table 3. Comparison between Equations (14a) and (15a) and Equations (14b) and (15b) in the re-production of the experimental $K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}$ and $K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}$ values ${ }^{1}$ at 298 K .

| $[\mathrm{Cd}]_{t} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{t}$ | $\log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}$ |  |  |  | $\log K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Equation (14a) | \| Dif. ${ }^{2}$ | Equation (14b) | \| Dif. ${ }^{2}$ | Equation (15a) | 1 Dif. ${ }^{2}$ | Equation (15b) | \| Dif. ${ }^{2}$ |
| 0 | 5.81 | 0.2 | 6.16 | 0.2 | 6.44 | 0.6 | 6.98 | 0.1 |
| 1.06 | 5.58 | 0.2 | 5.61 | 0.2 | 5.91 | 0.1 | 5.54 | 0.2 |
| 26.6 | 5.56 | 0.1 | 5.61 | 0.1 | 5.87 | 0.3 | 5.50 | 0.1 |
| 88.5 | 5.66 | 0.4 | 5.62 | 0.4 | 6.08 | 0.6 | 5.87 | 0.4 |
| 178 | 5.28 | 0.8 | 5.96 | 0.1 | 5.24 | 0.4 | 5.62 | 0.0 |

[^0]
## 2.8. $I_{B z}$ Dependences of $\log K_{e x \pm}{ }^{0^{\prime}}, \log K_{D, P i c}{ }^{0^{\prime}}$, and $\log K_{P b / P b L}{ }^{0}$

The thermodynamic equilibrium constant $K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}{ }^{0}$ is equal to $\left(y_{ \pm, \mathrm{Bz}}\right)^{2} K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}{ }^{0^{\prime}}$, with $y_{ \pm, \mathrm{Bz}}=$ $\left(y_{\mathrm{PbLPic}, \mathrm{Bz}} \cdot y_{\mathrm{Pic}, \mathrm{Bz}}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}{ }^{0^{\prime}}=\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} /\left(a_{\mathrm{Pb}}[\mathrm{L}]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\left(a_{\mathrm{Pic}}\right)^{2}\right)$. Taking the common logarithms of the both sides in this equation and rearranging it with the DH limiting law, we can easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm 0^{\prime}}=\log \left(K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm} / y_{\mathrm{Pb}}\left(y_{\mathrm{Pic}}\right)^{2}\right)=\log K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm 0}+2 A_{\mathrm{Bz}} \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 8 shows the plot of $\log K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}{ }^{0^{\prime}}$ versus $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{1 / 2}$ based on Equation (16). The regression analysis of this plot gave the equation of $\log K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}{ }^{0^{\prime}}=\left(3.1_{1} \pm 0.6_{9}\right)+2 \times(315 \pm 196) \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}}$ at $R=0.680$. From this $K_{\text {ex, } \pm}{ }^{0}$ value and the $K_{\text {ex }}{ }^{0}$ one, we calculated $\log K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{0}$ to be $6.8_{0} \pm 0.6_{9}$, being in good agreement with that (=6.8) evaluated from Equation (15a).


Figure 8. Plot of $\log K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}{ }^{0}{ }^{\prime}$ versus $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{1 / 2}$ based on Equation (16) for the Pb (II) extraction with $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and B18C6 into Bz. The symbol $K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}{ }^{0^{\prime}}$ was defined as $K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm} / y_{\mathrm{Pb}}\left(y_{\text {Pic }}\right)^{2}$.

Similarly, the plot of $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}{ }^{0^{\prime}}$ versus $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{1 / 2}$ was performed in Figure 9, where $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}{ }^{0^{\prime}}$ is defined as $\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} / a_{\text {Pic }}$. This plot is based on the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}^{0^{\prime}}}=\log \left(K_{D, P i c} / y_{\mathrm{Pic}}\right)=\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}^{0}}+A_{\mathrm{Bz}} \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The regression analysis yielded $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}{ }^{0^{\prime}}=\left(-3.0_{0} \pm 0.3_{6}\right)+(258 \pm 210) \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}}$ at $R=0.578$.
In addition, the analysis was tried by using an equation similar to Equations (14b) and (15b) with $1 \gg \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}}$ Its regression line was

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}^{0^{\prime}}}=\left(-4.2_{5} \pm 0.1_{2}\right)+(1949 \pm 154) \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}}+\left(-4.7_{6} \pm 0.4_{2}\right) \times 10^{5} I_{\mathrm{Bz}} \tag{17a}
\end{equation*}
$$

at $R=0.995$. Here, the latter two terms are rearranged into $(1949 \pm 154)\left(\sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}}-(245 \pm 29) I_{\mathrm{Bz}}\right)$. This value, $245 \mathrm{~mol}^{-1 / 2} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{3 / 2}$, is comparable to the $b_{1} / 4 A_{\mathrm{Bz}}(=\sim 320)$ and $b_{2} / 2 A_{\mathrm{Bz}}(=\sim 230)$ values estimated above.
 related with the $\log K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}}{ }^{0}\left(=\log \left(a_{\mathrm{PbL}, \mathrm{Bz}} / a_{\mathrm{Pb}}[\mathrm{L}]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\right)\right)$ value by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}^{0^{\prime}}}=\log \left(K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}} / y_{\mathrm{Pb}}\right)=\log K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}^{0}}+4 A_{\mathrm{Bz}} \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\log K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}}{ }^{0^{\prime}}$ values were plotted against the $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{1 / 2}$ ones. The regression line based on Equation (18) was $\log K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}}{ }^{0^{\prime}}=(3.546 \pm 0.001)+4 \times\left(2.1_{6} \pm 0.1_{6}\right) \sqrt{I_{\mathrm{Bz}}}$ at $R=0.997$, except for the two points of $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}=4.4 \times 10^{-7}$ and $1.7 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ (see Table 2). These two $\log K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}}{ }^{0^{\prime}}$ values excluded from the regression analysis are included in the regression line within experimental errors. However, the $A_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ value is much smaller than the others. In addition, the analysis was tried by using an equation similar to Equations (14b) and (15b). However, its regression line showed the result of $A_{\mathrm{Bz}}<0$.


Figure 9. Plot of $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}{ }^{0^{\prime}}$ versus $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{1 / 2}$ based on Equation (17) for the Pb (II) extraction with $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and B18C6 into Bz. The symbol $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}{ }^{0^{\prime}}$ was defined as $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}} / y_{\text {Pic }}$. The error bars in the figure are those of the $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \text { Pic }}$ values.

By a combination with $\log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}{ }^{0}$, the $\log K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}}{ }^{0}$ can be changed into $\log K_{\mathrm{ex} 2 \pm}{ }^{0}$ (=log $\left.\left(a_{\mathrm{PbL}, \mathrm{Bz}}\left(a_{\mathrm{Pic}, \mathrm{Bz}}\right)^{2} / a_{\mathrm{Pb}}[\mathrm{L}]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\left(a_{\mathrm{Pic}, \mathrm{Bz}}\right)^{2}\right)\right)=\log K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}}{ }^{0}+2 \log K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}{ }^{0}$. Thus, the $\log K_{\mathrm{ex} 2 \pm}{ }^{0}$ value was estimated to be $-2.4_{5} \pm 0.3_{7}$ from Equation (17) (the linear type) or $-4.9_{6} \pm 0.1_{3}$ from Equation (17a) (the $f(p)=a+$ $b p+c p^{2}$ type). Using $\log K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}{ }^{0}=3.1_{1}$ obtained from Equation (16) and $\log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{0}=5.9_{8}$ from Equation (14a) (the linear type), the $\log K_{\mathrm{ex} 2 \pm}{ }^{0}$ value was calculated to be $-2.8_{7} \pm 0.85$. On the other hand, the $\log K_{\mathrm{ex} 2 \pm}{ }^{0}$ value became $-3.1 \pm 1.1$ in the calculation with $\log K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{0}=6.1_{6}$ from Equation (14b) (the $f(p)=a+b p+$ $c p^{2}$ type). Except for -4.9 from Equation (17a), the values calculated from the three equations agreed with each other within their calculation errors. According to the thermodynamic cycle, the relation of $K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}=$ $K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}} K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}\left(K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}\right)^{2}$ holds. From this relation, we obtained $\log K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}{ }^{0}=3.5_{4} \pm 0.7_{2}\left(=\log K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}}{ }^{0}+\log \right.$ $K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{0}+2 \log K_{\mathrm{D}, \text { Pic }}{ }^{0}$ ). This value is in agreement with that (=3.1) calculated from Equation (16) within the calculation error of $\pm 0.7$. In addition, the same calculation was performed with the values obtained from the polynomial Equations (14b) and (17a). Its value was $1.2_{0} \pm 0.8_{3}$, being much smaller than 3.1. These results suggest that the linear-type equation is the more reliable than the polynomial-type one, from the thermodynamic points of view.

From the four experimental $A_{\mathrm{Bz}} / \mathrm{mol}^{-1 / 2} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{3 / 2}$ values based on Equations (14a), (15a), (16), and (17), except for the value obtained from Equation (18), their average value was estimated to be 230. Consequently, this $A_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ value for Bz saturated with water was about 2-times larger than that ( $=103.3 \mathrm{~mol}^{-1 / 2} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{3 / 2}$ ) calculated for pure Bz with $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}=2.275$ [2] at 298.15 K . To agree with this conclusion, however, a reasonable reason will be required for the omission of the result of Equation (18).

### 2.9. A Try for Estimation of Detailed Separation Factor

According to the previous papers [8,33], the following relations hold for given $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}$and L .

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log \left(K_{\mathrm{ex}, \mathrm{~Pb}} / K_{\mathrm{ex}, \mathrm{Cd}}\right)=\log \left(D_{0, \mathrm{~Pb}} / D_{0, \mathrm{Cd}}\right),  \tag{19}\\
\log \left(K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm, \mathrm{Pb}} / K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm, \mathrm{Cd}}\right)=\log \left(D_{+, \mathrm{Pb}} / D_{+, \mathrm{Cd}}\right),  \tag{20}\\
\text { and } \log \left(K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}} / K_{\mathrm{Cd} / \mathrm{CdL}}\right)=\log \left(D_{2+, \mathrm{Pb}} / D_{2+, \mathrm{Cd}}\right) \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{0, \mathrm{~Pb}}=\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} /\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]=K_{\mathrm{ex}}[\mathrm{~L}]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right]^{2},  \tag{22}\\
D_{+, \mathrm{Pb}}=\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} /\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]=K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}[\mathrm{L}]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\left[\mathrm{Pic}^{-}\right] / K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}},  \tag{23}\\
\text { and } D_{2+, \mathrm{Pb}}=\left[\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} /\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]=K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}}[\mathrm{~L}]_{\mathrm{Bz}} . \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, $D_{0, \mathrm{~Pb}}, D_{+, \mathrm{Pb}}$, and $D_{2+, \mathrm{Pb}}$ show the values of $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Pb}$ at $z=0,1$, and 2 , respectively. The same is true of the definitions for the $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})(=\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{II}))$ extraction system with B18C6.

For example, Equation (19) can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(D_{0, \mathrm{~Pb}} / D_{0, \mathrm{Cd}}\right)=\log \left(\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} /\left[\mathrm{CdLPic}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\right)+\log \left(\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right] /\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that $\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right] /\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]$ approximately equals $\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{t}} /\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{t}}$, then we can estimate the more detailed value than the separation factor. In the $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ extraction with $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ by B 18 C 6 into Bz , the $\log \left(D_{0, \mathrm{~Pb}} / D_{0, \mathrm{Cd}}\right)$ value was 7.09 in which the $\log K_{\text {ex }}$ value ( $=9.44_{8}$ ) was estimated at $I=0.095$ [33] from the regression line of Figure 5. From Equation (25) and $\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]_{t} /\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]_{t}=55.5$ estimated from a correlation between $[\mathrm{Cd}]_{\mathrm{t}} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{\mathrm{t}}$ and $I$ in Table 1 , the $\left.\log \left(\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}} /[\mathrm{CdLPic}]_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}}\right)$ value became 5.35. At least this result shows that the actual separation of Pb (II) from a test solution with an 56 excess amount of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ is possible. The same can be true of an application based on the handling for $D_{+, \mathrm{Pb}} / D_{+, \mathrm{Cd}}$ and $D_{2+, \mathrm{Pb}} / D_{2+, \mathrm{Cd}}$, if the $K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm, \mathrm{Cd}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{Cd} / \mathrm{CdL}}$ values are determined about the $\mathrm{CdPic} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ extraction with B18C6 (=L) into Bz.

### 2.10. Relative Concentrations of the Three Species Extracted into Bz

We can immediately calculate relative concentrations of $\mathrm{PbLPic}_{2}, \mathrm{PbLPic}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}$ in the Bz phases from the $D_{0, \mathrm{~Pb}}, D_{+, \mathrm{Pb}}$, and $D_{2+, \mathrm{Pb}}$ values, respectively [8,24,30]. For example, the percentage of the relative concentration of $\mathrm{PbLPic}_{2}$ can be obtained from $100 D_{0, \mathrm{~Pb}} /\left(D_{0, \mathrm{~Pb}}+D_{+, \mathrm{Pb}}+D_{2+, \mathrm{Pb}}\right)$. In addition, the concentrations of $\mathrm{PbLPic}^{+}$and $\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}$ were evaluated from similar equations. The thus-calculated values were: $46 \%$ for $\mathrm{PbLPic}_{2}, 10 \%$ for $\mathrm{PbLPic}^{+}$, and $44 \%$ for $\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}$ at $I=0.0074 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3}\left(\right.$ or $\left.[\mathrm{Cd}]_{\mathrm{t}} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{\mathrm{t}}=0\right) ; 38 \%, 25 \%$, and $37 \%$ at 0.0060 (or $1.06_{1}$ ), respectively; $35 \%$, $32 \%$, and $34 \%$ at 0.048 (or $26.6_{3}$ ), respectively; $24 \%, 53 \%$, and $23 \%$ at 0.15 (or 88.48 ), respectively; and $26 \%, 49 \%$, and $25 \%$ at 0.29 (or 177.5 ), respectively.

One can see easily that the distribution of $\mathrm{PbLPic}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}$ into Bz is dominant in the lower $I$ or $[\mathrm{Cd}]_{\mathrm{t}} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{\mathrm{t}}$ values, while that of $\mathrm{CdLPic}^{+}$is dominant in the higher $I$ ones. That is, in the $I$ range more than $0.15 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, the distribution of $\mathrm{PbLPic}^{+}$with $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}$may be dominant, compared with those of both $\mathrm{PbLPic}_{2}{ }^{0}$ and $\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}$ with $2 \mathrm{Pic}^{-}$. Now, the authors cannot clearly explain this result; namely, in the higher I range, why is the univalent cationic complex more extractable to the Bz phase than the other complexes are? Conversely, can they call this phenomenon "salting out effect"? However, these data can be useful for the discussion of membrane transport phenomena with L [34]. That is, what species mainly transfer through the membrane?

## 3. Materials and Methods

### 3.1. Materials

Purities of the reagents $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (Wako, $99.9 \%$ ) and $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Kanto, Guaranteed pure reagent (GR), $>98.0 \%$ ) were determined by an EDTA titration with $\mathrm{Na}_{2}$ EDTA• $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Dojin, Kumamoto in Japan, $>99.5 \%$ ): their purities obtained were $98.3 \%$ for $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ and $96.6-98.7 \%$ for $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$. A basic aqueous solution ( $\mathrm{pH}>10$ ) of picric acid, $\mathrm{HPic} \cdot \mathrm{mH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (Wako, GR, $>99.5 \%$ : added water $15-25 \%$ ) was analyzed at 355 or 356 nm by using a Hitachi UV-Visible spectrophotometer (type U-2001) (Hitachi High-Technologies Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and then its concentration was determined with the calibration curve ( $\varepsilon_{356}=1.45 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{3}$ [35]) for $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}$. Using a calibration curve $\left(\varepsilon_{273}=2.50 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{3}[6]\right)$ of B18C6 at 273 nm , a concentration of an aqueous solution with its ether (Tokyo Chemical Industry, Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan, $>98.0 \%$ and others) was determined spectrophotometrically. The diluent Bz (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan, or Kanto Chemical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was washed three times with pure water and then saturated with water. Other chemicals were of the GR grades. Pure water was prepared as follows: a tap water was distilled once with a stainless-steel still and then passed through the Autopure system (Yamato/Millipore, type WT 101 UV) (Tokyo, Japan).

### 3.2. Extraction Procedures

Aqueous solution containing $5.478 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \mathrm{~Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}, 1.27_{2} \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{HPic}, x \mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$, $0.019_{8} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$, and $y \mathrm{~B} 18 \mathrm{C} 6$ was prepared and mixed with the equal volume ( 10 or $12 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) of Bz saturated with water in a stoppered glass tube of about $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$. Here, $x$ was fixed at $0 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, $5.81_{0} \times 10^{-4}, 0.0145_{9}, 0.0484_{7}$, or $0.0972_{6}$ and, at a fixed $x, y$ was changed in the ranges of $6.5 \times 10^{-6}$ to $1.6 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ (see circle in Figure 1), $3.9 \times 10^{-6}$ to $1.9 \times 10^{-4}$ (square), $1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ to $4.4 \times 10^{-4}$ (diamond), $3.9 \times 10^{-6}$ to $2.6 \times 10^{-4}$ (full circle), or $3.7 \times 10^{-5}$ to $7.4 \times 10^{-4}$ (triangle), respectively. The glass tubes with some kinds of the $L$ concentrations were agitated for 2 min . by hands and then mechanically shaken for 2 h in a water bath thermostated at $25 \pm 0.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After it, the mixtures were centrifuged. The Bz phases were separated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, transferred into the other tubes, and some cubic centimeters of $0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ were added to them. These mixtures in the tubes were handled with the same manner as that described above. The $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ amounts of the acidic water phases, into which the $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ species normally-extracted into Bz was back-extracted, were determined at 283.3 nm by the atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Hitachi, type Z-6100) (Hitachi High-Technologies Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) with an air- $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ flame. At the same time, the amounts of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ in all the acidic phases were atomic-absorption-spectrophotometrically measured at 228.8 nm , but its element was not detected.

### 3.3. Extraction Model Employed for the Analysis of the System

Since significant amounts of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ in the Bz phases were not detected with the AAS measurements, the following extraction model was employed for the analysis of the present system: (1) $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}+\mathrm{L}$ $\rightleftharpoons \mathrm{PbL}^{2+}[29]\left(K_{\mathrm{CdL}}=0.89 \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{3}\right.$ [18] was omitted; (2) $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}+\mathrm{Pic}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{PbPic}^{+}$[21]; (3) $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}+$ $\mathrm{Pic}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CdPic}^{+}$[1]; and (4) $\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{Pic}^{-} \rightleftharpoons$ HPic [33] in the water phase; (5) $\mathrm{Pic}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Pic}^{-} \mathrm{Bz}$; (6) HPic $\rightleftharpoons \operatorname{HPic}_{\mathrm{Bz}}[36] ;(7) \mathrm{L} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ [2]; and (8) $\mathrm{PbL}^{2+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{PbL}^{2+}{ }_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ between the water and Bz phases; and (9) $\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}{ }_{\mathrm{Bz}}+\mathrm{Pic}^{-}{ }_{\mathrm{Bz}} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{PbLPic}^{+}{ }_{\mathrm{Bz}}$; and (10) $\mathrm{PbLPic}^{+}{ }_{\mathrm{Bz}}+\mathrm{Pic}^{-}{ }_{\mathrm{Bz}} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{PbLPic}_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}$ in the Bz phase. Except for the Processes (5), (8)-(10), the equilibrium constants of the above processes at 298 K were available from References [1,2,18,21,29,36,37]. Analytic method of the extraction system based on this model was essentially the same as that reported before [1,9] (see Section 2.2).

## 4. Conclusions

The thermodynamic values for $K_{\mathrm{ex}}, K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}, K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}}, K_{\mathrm{ex}, \mathrm{i}}$, and $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}$ were determined at 298 K . The same is also true of the $K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{0}$ and $K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{0}$ values at $I_{\mathrm{Bz}} \rightarrow 0$ for the simple Bz phases. It was
demonstrated that the thermodynamic relations, $K_{\mathrm{ex}}{ }^{0} \approx K_{\mathrm{ex}, \mathrm{i}}{ }^{0} K_{\mathrm{PbL}} / K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{L}}, K_{2, \mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{0}=K_{\mathrm{ex}}{ }^{0} / K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}{ }^{0}, K_{\mathrm{ex} 2 \pm}{ }^{0}$ $=K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}}{ }^{0}\left(K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}{ }^{0}\right)^{2}, K_{\mathrm{ex} 2 \pm}{ }^{0}=K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}{ }^{0} / K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{0}$, and $K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}{ }^{0}=K_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}}{ }^{0} K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{0}\left(K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Pic}}{ }^{0}\right)^{2}$, hold in the system. It seems that the linear equation is superior to the polynomial-type one for the $I_{\mathrm{Bz}}$ dependences of the above equilibrium constants, although the $R$ values with the former were less than those with the latter. Consequently, these results make comparisons between the $K_{\mathrm{ex}}, K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}$, or $K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}$ values reported in different $I$ or $I_{\text {org }}$ conditions possible. However, there may be a fact that this study must be applied to the more practical extraction and separation systems. Moreover, it was clarified experimentally that $\log K_{\mathrm{ex} \pm}$ is proportional to dep.

At least, the separation of $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ by B18C6 into the Bz phase from the mixtures at $[\mathrm{Cd}]_{\mathrm{t}} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{\mathrm{t}} \approx 60$ was confirmed experimentally and theoretically. This condition exceeds $\left[\mathrm{PbLPic}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{Bz}^{2}} /[\mathrm{CdLPic}]_{\mathrm{Bz}}=$ $2.2 \times 10^{5}$ at B18C6 ( $=\mathrm{L}$ ) and satisfies a measure $\left(=10^{4}\right)$ of the separation factor. The $K_{\mathrm{ex}, \mathrm{Pb}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ex}, \mathrm{Cd}}$ ratio at the fixed $I$ condition can promise more precise evaluation of Pb (II) selectivity of L against Cd (II), compared with the ratio calculated at different $I$ conditions. While, the co-presence of $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ less than 180 of $[\mathrm{Cd}]_{\mathrm{t}} /[\mathrm{Pb}]_{\mathrm{t}}$ has no clear effect to the experimental $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ extraction with B 18 C 6 into Bz . This Cd(II) salt in the present system acted only as the ionic strength conditioner in the water phases.
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## Abbreviations

| $K_{\text {ex }}$ | Extraction constant for MLA ${ }_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $K_{\text {ex } \pm}$ | Extraction constant for MLA ${ }^{+}$with $\mathrm{A}^{-}$ |
| I | Ionic strength for the water phase |
| $K_{\text {D,A }}$ | Conditional distribution constant of $\mathrm{A}^{-}$into the org phase |
| $K_{\text {M/ML }}$ | Incorporative constant of $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ with L into the org phase |
| $K_{\text {ex, }{ }_{\text {ip }}}$ | Ion-pair extraction constant for $\mathrm{MLA}_{2}$ |
| $K_{1, \text { org }}$ | Ion-pair formation constant for $\mathrm{ML}^{2+}$ with $\mathrm{A}^{-}$in the org phase |
| $D_{0}, D_{+}, D$ | Distribution ratio for $\mathrm{MLA}_{2}$, that for MLA ${ }^{+}$, that for mixture |
| $K_{\text {ex }+}$ | Extraction constant for MLA ${ }^{+}$ |
| $K_{\text {ex }}{ }^{\text {mix }}$ | Extraction-constant parameter |
| Dep, $\Delta \phi_{\text {eq }}$ | Distribution equilibrium potential between the bulk water and org phases |
| $K_{\text {D,Pic }}{ }^{\text {S }}$ | Standard distribution constant of $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}$into the org phase |
| $\Delta \phi_{\text {Pic }}{ }^{0}{ }^{\prime}$ | Standard formal potential for the $\mathrm{Pic}^{-}$transfer across the water/org interface |
| $K_{2, \text { org }}$ | Ion-pair formation constant for MLA ${ }^{+}$with $\mathrm{A}^{-}$in the org phase |
| $K_{\text {D,PbL }}$ | Conditional distribution constant of $\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}$ into the org phase |
| $K_{\text {ex2 }}$ 土 | Extraction constant for $\mathrm{ML}^{2+}$ with $2 \mathrm{~A}^{-}$ |
| $K_{\text {D,L }}$ | Distribution constant of Linto the org phase |
| $K_{\text {PbL }}$ | Complex formation constant of $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ with L in water |
| $K_{\text {ex }}{ }^{0}$ | Thermodynamic extraction constant of $K_{\text {ex }}$ |
| $\mathrm{a}_{ \pm}$ | Ion-size parameter, a mean value |
| $K_{\text {ex,ip }}{ }^{0}$ | Thermodynamic ion-pair extraction constant of $K_{\text {ex,ip }}$ |
| $K_{1, \mathrm{Bz}}{ }^{0}$ | Thermodynamic ion-pair formation constant for $\mathrm{ML}^{2+}$ with $\mathrm{A}^{-}$in the Bz phase |
| $K_{2, B z}{ }^{0}$ | Thermodynamic ion-pair formation constant for MLA ${ }^{+}$with $\mathrm{A}^{-}$in the Bz phase |
| $K_{\text {ex } \pm}{ }^{0}$ | Thermodynamic extraction constant of $K_{\text {ex } \pm}$ |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Pb} / \mathrm{PbL}}{ }^{0}$ | Thermodynamic incorporative constant of $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ with L into the org phase |
| $K_{\text {ex2 } 2}{ }^{0}$ | Thermodynamic extraction constant of $K_{\text {ex } 2 \pm}$ |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2+, \mathrm{Pb}}$ | Distribution ratio for $\mathrm{PbL}^{2+}$ |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Table 2 for these values. ${ }^{2}$ Absolute value for the difference between the experimental $K_{1, B z}$ or $K_{2, B z}$ value and their estimated one.

