

CONTINUOUS DEPENDENCE FOR THE DAMPED NONLINEAR HYPERBOLIC EQUATION

Metin Yaman, Şevket Gür
Department of Mathematics, Sakarya University
Esentepe, Sakarya, Turkey
myaman@sakarya.edu.tr, sgur@sakarya.edu.tr

Abstract- This paper gives the continuous dependence of solutions for the damped nonlinear hyperbolic equation.

Key Words- Damped nonlinear hyperbolic equation, Continuous Dependence

1.INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we are concerned with the following initial boundary value problem for the damped nonlinear hyperbolic equation:

$$u_{tt} + \alpha \Delta^2 u + \beta \Delta^2 u_t + \Delta g(\Delta u) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, t > 0 \quad (1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega \quad (2)$$

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0 \quad (3)$$

where α and β are positive constants, Ω is bounded domain in R^n with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Δ and Δ^2 denotes Laplacian and biharmonic operators respectively, $g(s)$ is the given nonlinear function.

This problem describes the motion of the neo-Hookean elastomer rod; for more physical interpretation of problems (1)-(3) we refer to [1].

There are some studies about this problem. For example, Uniform stabilization of the energy of a nonlinear damped hyperbolic equation is studied in [2]. Blow up results to the IBVP problem (1)-(3) is given by [3]. The authors of [1] studied a general class of abstract evolution equations

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} + A_1 u + A_2 u_t + N^* g(Nu) &= f(t) \\ u(0) &= \varphi_0 \\ u_t(0) &= \varphi_1 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where A_1, A_2, N and f satisfy certain assumptions(see[1]).

Global in time existence, uniqueness, regularity and continuous dependence on the initial data φ_0 and φ_1 of a generalized solution of problem (4) are proven in [1].

The spatial decay estimates for a class of nonlinear damped hyperbolic equations investigated in [4]. Also they compared the solutions of two-dimensional wave equations with different damped coefficients.

The aim of the present paper is to prove the continuous dependence of solutions to the problem (1)-(3) on coefficients α and β .

Throughout this paper we use the notation $\|\cdot\|_p$ for the norm in $L^p(\Omega)$. We denote $\|\cdot\|$ the norm in $\|\cdot\|_2$. $H^2(\Omega)$, $H^1(\Omega)$, $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $H_0^2(\Omega)$ are the usual Sobolev spaces.

The following existence theorem is proved [1].

Theorem 1. Let (u_0, u_1) belong to $H_0^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$. Assume that there exist positive constants c_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ such that

$$\frac{-1}{2}(k_1 + k_2 - \varepsilon)|x|^2 - c_1 \leq G(x) \leq c_2|x|^2 + c_3$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$, where we set $G(x) = \int_0^x g(t)dt$. There are positive constants d_i for $i = 1, 2$ such that

$$|g(x)| \leq d_1|x| + d_2$$

$$g'(x) \geq -a, \text{ for } a > 0$$

Then (1)-(3) admits a unique solution $u \in C(R^+; H_0^2(\Omega)) \cap C^1(R^+; L^2(\Omega))$.

Firstly, let us obtain some a priori estimates which we will use next sections. We multiply (1) by u_t in $L^2(\Omega)$ we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) + \beta \|\Delta u_t\|^2 = 0 \tag{A}$$

where

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\Delta u\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} G(\Delta u) dx.$$

We integrate (A) from 0 to t we have,

$$E(0) - E(t) = \beta \int_0^t \|\Delta u_s\|^2 ds \tag{B}$$

Thus,

$$\|\Delta u\|^2 \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} E(t) \leq D_1 \quad (C)$$

and

$$\int_0^t \|\Delta u_s\|^2 ds \leq D_2 \quad (D)$$

where $D_1 = \frac{2}{\alpha} E(0)$ and $D_2 = \frac{E(0)}{\beta}$.

2. CONTINUOUS DEPENDENCE ON THE COEFFICIENT α

In this section we prove that the solution of the problem (1)-(3) depends continuously on the coefficient α .

Now assume that u and v are the solutions of the problems respectively

$$u_{tt} + \alpha_1 \Delta^2 u + \beta \Delta^2 u_t + \Delta g(\Delta u) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, t > 0 \quad (5)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega \quad (6)$$

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0 \quad (7)$$

$$v_{tt} + \alpha_2 \Delta^2 v + \beta \Delta^2 v_t + \Delta g(\Delta v) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, t > 0 \quad (8)$$

$$v(x, 0) = u_0(x), v_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega \quad (9)$$

$$v(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0 \quad (10)$$

Let us define the difference variables w and α by $w = u - v$ and $\alpha = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ then w satisfy following the initial boundary value problem

$$w_{tt} + \alpha_1 \Delta^2 w + \alpha \Delta^2 v + \beta \Delta^2 w_t + \Delta g(\Delta u) - \Delta g(\Delta v) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, t > 0 \quad (11)$$

$$w(x, 0) = 0, w_t(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega \quad (12)$$

$$w(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0 \quad (13)$$

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Assume that

$$|g(s) - g(t)| \leq K |s - t| \quad (14)$$

for some K . Let w be the solution of the problem (11)-(13). Then w satisfies the estimate

$$\|w_t\|^2 + \alpha_1 \|\Delta w\|^2 \leq D_3 (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^2 e^{M_1 t} t$$

where D_3 and M_1 are constants.

Proof. Multiplying (11) by w_t in $L^2(\Omega)$ we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|w_t\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \|\Delta w\|^2 \right] + \beta \|\Delta w_t\|^2 \leq |\alpha| \|\Delta v\| \|\Delta w_t\| + \int_{\Omega} |g(\Delta u) - g(\Delta v)| \|\Delta w_t\| dx \quad (15)$$

From (15) and (14) we obtain,

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_1(t) + \beta \|\Delta w_t\|^2 \leq |\alpha| \|\Delta v\| \|\Delta w_t\| + K \|\Delta w\| \|\Delta w_t\| \quad (16)$$

where

$$E_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|w_t\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \|\Delta w\|^2.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality at the right hand side of (16) we get,

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_1(t) \leq \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2\varepsilon} \|\Delta v\|^2 + M_1 E_1(t) \quad (17)$$

where $M_1 = \max \left\{ \frac{K^2}{\alpha_1 \varepsilon}, 1 \right\}$. Applying Gronwall's inequality with (C) we obtain

$$E_1(t) \leq e^{M_1 t} \frac{D_1 t}{2\varepsilon} |\alpha|^2 \quad (18)$$

which is desired result.

3. CONTINUOUS DEPENDENCE ON THE COEFFICIENT β

In this section we prove that the solution of the problem (1)-(3) depends continuously on the coefficient β .

Now assume that u and v are the solutions of the problems respectively

$$u_{tt} + \alpha \Delta^2 u + \beta_1 \Delta^2 u_t + \Delta g(\Delta u) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, t > 0 \quad (19)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega \quad (20)$$

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0 \quad (21)$$

$$v_{tt} + \alpha \Delta^2 v + \beta_2 \Delta^2 v_t + \Delta g(\Delta v) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, t > 0 \quad (22)$$

$$v(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad v_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega \quad (23)$$

$$v(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0 \quad (24)$$

Let us define the difference variables w and β by $w = u - v$ and $\beta = \beta_1 - \beta_2$ then w satisfy following the initial boundary value problem

$$w_{tt} + \alpha \Delta^2 w + \beta_1 \Delta^2 w_t + \beta \Delta^2 v_t + \Delta g(\Delta u) - \Delta g(\Delta v) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, t > 0 \quad (25)$$

$$w(x, 0) = 0, \quad w_t(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega \quad (26)$$

$$w(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0 \quad (27)$$

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Assume that (14) is satisfied and let w be the solution of the problem (25)-(27). Then w satisfies the estimate

$$\|w_t\|^2 + \alpha \|\Delta w\|^2 \leq D_4 (\beta_1 - \beta_2)^2 e^{M_2 t}$$

where D_4 and M_2 are constants.

Proof. Multiplying (25) by w_t in $L^2(\Omega)$ we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|w_t\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\Delta w\|^2 \right] + \beta_1 \|\Delta w_t\|^2 \leq |\beta| \|\Delta v_t\| \|\Delta w_t\| + \int_{\Omega} |g(\Delta u) - g(\Delta v)| |\Delta w_t| dx \quad (28)$$

From (28) and (14) we get,

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_2(t) + \beta_1 \|\Delta w_t\|^2 \leq |\beta| \|\Delta v_t\| \|\Delta w_t\| + K \|\Delta w\| \|\Delta w_t\| \quad (29)$$

where

$$E_2(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|w_t\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\Delta w\|^2.$$

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and from (29) we obtain,

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_2(t) \leq \frac{|\beta|^2}{2\varepsilon} \|\Delta v_t\|^2 + M_2 E_2(t) \quad (30)$$

where $M_2 = \max \left\{ \frac{K^2}{\alpha\varepsilon}, 1 \right\}$. Applying Gronwall's inequality with (D) we obtain,

$$E_2(t) \leq e^{M_2 t} \frac{D_2 t}{2\varepsilon} |\beta|^2 \quad (18)$$

Hence proof is completed.

4. REFERENCES

1. H.T Banks, D.S. Gilliam, V.I. Shubov, Global solvability for damped abstract nonlinear hyperbolic systems, *Differential and Integral Equations*, 10(2), 309-332, 1997.
2. M.A. Aassila, A. Guesma, Energy decay for a damped nonlinear hyperbolic equation, *Applied Math. Letter* 12, 49-52, 1999.
3. G. Chen, Y. Wong, Z. Zhao, Blow up of solution of an initial boundary value problem for a damped nonlinear hyperbolic equation, *Applied Math. Letter* 17, 491-497, 2004.
4. F. Tahamtani, K. Mosaleheh and K. Seddighi, Spatial decay estimates for a class of nonlinear damped hyperbolic equations, *IJMMS* 27:1, 17-26, 2001.