



Current Strategies for Studying the Natural and Synthetic Bioactive Compounds in Food by Chromatographic Separation Techniques

Wioletta Parys *, Małgorzata Dołowy and Alina Pyka-Pająk *

Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences in Sosnowiec, Medical University of Silesia in Katowice, Jagiellońska 4, 41-200 Sosnowiec, Poland; mdolowy@sum.edu.pl

* Correspondence: wparys@sum.edu.pl (W.P.); apyka@sum.edu.pl (A.P.-P.);

Tel.: +48-32-364-15-34 (W.P.); +48-32-364-15-30 (A.P.-P.)

Abstract: The present study summarizes the new strategies including advanced equipment and validation parameters of liquid and gas chromatography methods i.e., thin-layer chromatography (TLC), column liquid chromatography (CLC), and gas chromatography (GC) suitable for the identification and quantitative determination of different natural and synthetic bioactive compounds present in food and food products, which play an important role in human health, within the period of 2019–2021 (January). Full characteristic of some of these procedures with their validation parameters is discussed in this work. The present review confirms the vital role of HPLC methodology in combination with different detection modes i.e., HPLC-UV, HPLC-DAD, HPLC-MS, and HPLC-MS/MS for the determination of natural and synthetic bioactive molecules for different purposes i.e., to characterize the chemical composition of food as well as in the multi-residue analysis of pesticides, NSAIDs, antibiotics, steroids, and others in food and food products.

Keywords: bioactive compounds; food; separation techniques; liquid chromatography; gas chromatography

1. Introduction

Bioactive compounds are natural or synthetic (partially or totally) compounds that show biological activity i.e., have the ability to interact with living tissues and indicate an effect on human body including the promotion of good health, thus they are important as new ingredients of the current functional food (e.g., antioxidants) [1]. Food samples are very complex mixtures consisting not only of naturally occurring bioactive compounds with beneficial role on human health like for example vitamins, minerals, antioxidants but other substances coming from agrochemical treatments i.e., pesticides as well as promotors animals growth or veterinary drugs. Therefore monitoring the level of different veterinary drugs or organic pesticides coming from agrochemical treatments in food and food products could ensure the safety of potential consumers. Natural and synthetic bioactive compounds occur in foods in small quantities and represent a wide group of chemical compounds. Because of the complexity of food matrices, the separation and next accurate determination of their bioactive constituents with different chemical structure requires an universal analytical methodology like liquid and gas chromatography or combination of both chromatographic techniques.

For this fact, this article reviews new strategies including advanced equipment and validation parameters of liquid and gas chromatography methods dedicated for the identification and quantitative analysis of natural and synthetic bioactive compounds occurring in food and food products within the period of 2019–2021 (January). Special attention is given to optimization including the validation process of chromatographic analysis

Citation: Parys, W.; Dołowy, M.; Pyka-Pająk, A. Current Strategies for Studying of Natural and Synthetic Bioactive Compounds in Food by Chromatographic Separation Techniques. *Processes* **2021**, *9*, 1100. https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9071100

Academic Editor: Francesca Blasi

Received: 23 February 2021 Accepted: 22 June 2021 Published: 24 June 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). performed by using thin-layer chromatography (TLC), high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), and gas chromatography (GC) coupled with different detection modes ((TLC-UV/Vis, TLC-densitometry, HPTLC-MS, HPLC-UV/Vis, HPLC-DAD(PDA), HPLC-MS, HPLC-MS/MS, HPLC-TQ-ESI-MS/MS, GC-MS, GC-MS/MS, GC-CPI-MS/MS)) as well as the combined chromatographic techniques e.g., HPLC/GC that may be valuable for the separation, screening, quantitative determination or evaluation of certain physicochemical and pharmacological properties of many including the newly developed natural and synthetic bioactive compounds in food and food products.

2. Thin Layer Chromatography

Liquid chromatography, including thin-layer chromatography, along with other chromatographic techniques, is one of the most popular methods used in the current analysis of bioorganic and bioinorganic compounds in different including food samples [2–15].

TLC Analysis of Selected Bioactive Compounds in Food Samples

The recently published papers indicate that thin-layer chromatography was successfully used for the quantification of selected antibiotics, alkaloids, aromatic amines, and gallic acid in food [2–5]. Both i.e., contact and immersion TLC-bioautography with the use of silica gel F254 plates, 7.5% of KH2PO4, and Escherichia coli ATCC 8739 as a test bacterium were employed for the sensitive determination of streptomycin in the presence of kanamycin sulfate in frozen shrimp, thus to control the antibiotic abuse in frozen food [2]. The work of Foudah et al. [3] shows a rapid and sensitive HPTLC method with densitometry for the quantification of trigonelline content as important bioactive constituent of Arabic coffees at the level of ng/spot [3]. Another study [4] indicates the use of HPTLC-DPPH (high-performance thin-layer chromatography coupled with the use of 2,2-diphenyl-1picrylhydrazyl) method for rapid and simple screening of antioxidant constituents i.e., gallic acid in honey, in natural food products. Similarly, the study of Piszcz and coworkers [6] demonstrated the ability of TLC method to separate two different forms of DPPH (i.e., DPPH and DPPH-H) and also for the measurement of total antioxidant potential in the meat samples. Another study describes a novel and fully validated HPTLC-MS method for the rapid identification and determination of toxic aryl azo amines in food matrices. The achieved level of detection and quantification of these compounds was in ppm [5].

Another authors; Turkmen and Kurada [7] confirmed the utility of HPTLC on silica gel 60F₂₅₄ plates with densitometric measurements to asses next toxic compound, namely patulin as contamination of fruit-based baby foods in Turkey.

In the vast majority of analyzes, fatty acids are investigated using the GC technique as fatty acid methyl esters. However, Dąbrowska et al. [8] developed a TLC method in combination with densitometry for the determination of omega-3 fatty acids: linolenic (ALA), docosahexaenoic (DHA), and eicosapentaenoic acids (EPA) in 15 dietary supplements and 5 cooking products.

Some studies indicate the important role of TLC and HPTLC methods as comprehensive techniques for the detection and identification of pesticides and the toxicity caused by these compounds [9–15]. Several new chromogenic reagents have been reported in the literature such as diphenylamine reagent for detection of organochloro insecticide endosulfan [9], stannous chloride and hydrochloric acid (reducing reagent) followed by a sodium nitrite in hydrochloric acid (coupling reagent) and β -napthol in sodium hydroxide for the detection of herbicide oxyfluorten [10], chloranil reagent with nitric acid for detection of organophosporus insecticide monocrotophos [11], 4-amminoantipyrene reagent with potassium ferricyanide for detection and identification of 2,4-dichlorophenol, an intermediate of 2,4-D (2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid) herbicide [12], cupric acetate reagent for detection of organophosphate insecticide profenofos [13], and cobalt thiocyanate reagent for detection of organophosporus herbicide glyphosate [14]. Hussain et al. [15] developed an HPTLC method for the determination of residues of various pesticides in brinjal samples from a market of Pakistan. The authors showed that HPTLC can be an alternative method to HPLC for the detection of pesticide residues.

The scientific literature cited and discussed above indicates that TLC/HPTLC can be successfully used to detect and quantify a wide variety of synthetic and natural classes of bioactive compounds occurring in food and food products. There are many reports in the scientific literature combining TLC with a densitometry. However, there is an increase of works linking TLC with MS. Therefore, it seems that in the next few years there should be more scientific papers using TLC/MS.

3. Column Liquid Chromatography

Extensive review of literature published in the two last years indicates that high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with different detection systems such as ultraviolet detector (HPLC-UV), photodiode array detector (HPLC-PDA), or coupled to mass spectrometry or tandem mass spectrometry called as HPLC-MS and HPLC-MS/MS respectively is a powerful analytical tool with many applications including food analysis [16–66].

Column Liqiud Chromatography in Analysis of Selected Bioactive Compounds in Food Samples

Due the widespread use of agricultural chemicals in food production, people are exposed to low levels of pesticide residues through their diets. Because the organic pesticides usually exist in very small amounts in food samples and have different chemical structure containing, for example, triazine, imidazolinone, phenyluracyl, or macrocyclic lactone structure, thus there is a need to develop efficient and sensitive CLC systems for the simultaneous determination of compounds that are dangerous to human health, present in food and food products which belong to one of the presented groups as well as to various groups (i.e., multiclass pesticides) [31,32]. Table 1 shows the utility of selected CLC procedures with validation parameters that have been applied in analysis of food samples [16–48]. The current literature review indicates that validated high performance liquid chromatography is a powerful analytical technique used to determine many single or multi-class pesticides present in different food matrices. Most developed methods were validated according to the European SANTE guidelines (SANTE/11945/2015, SANTE/11813/2017, SANTE/12682/2019) in terms of linearity, LOD, LOQ, accuracy, recovery, and precision, as shown in Table 1. As it can be observed, liquid chromatography is particularly appropriate for the analysis of polar, non-volatile, and/or thermally labile pesticides. Because of its high selectivity and sensitivity, HPLC and UHPLC in combination with MS/MS have mostly been used in this field especially to determine the insecticides and herbicides belonging to organophosphorus compounds, imidazolinone and pyridine carboxylic acid derivatives, and in study of samples containing multiclass pesticides [16– 24,27,30–34]. However, in a few cases, i.e., triazine and phenylurea herbicides, the HPLC coupled with spectrophotometric detection HPLC-UV or DAD has also been applied [25,26,28]. Various kinds of stationary phases (columns) have been used in the HPLC determination of pesticides, mainly C18 [16–18,20,25–31], and also chiral [19,33], BEH HILIC [21], Hypercarb [22], Obelisc N HILIC [24,34], Acquity UPLC HSS T3 [23,32]. In general, water or water with formic acid or acetic acid or ammonium formate, acetonitrile, and methanol have been applied as mobile phases with gradient or isocratic elution, respectively.

Matrix/Compound	Chromatographic Conditions	Other Parameters	Refs.
	Variety Classes of Pe	sticides	
	Insecticides		
	Containing macrocyclic lact	one structure	
	LC-TQ-ESI-MS/MS		
Porcine muscle, egg, milk, eel, flatfish, shrimp Spinosyn A (SPA), Spinosyn D (SPD), Temephos (TP), Piperonyl butoxide (PB)	Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode Phenomenex Kinetex EVO C18 (150 × 2.1 mm, 2.6 µm) Eluent A: 0.1% formic acid in 10 mM ammonium formate in distilled water; Eluent B: methanol A:B (10:90, <i>v</i> / <i>v</i>) Flow rate: 0.2 mL/min	Linearity (µg/kg): 3.5 ÷ 35 (for SPA), 1.5 ÷ 15 (for SPD) 5 ÷ 50 (for TP, PB) LOD (µg/kg): 0.5 ÷ 0.8 (for SPA), 0.1 (for SPD) 1.1 ÷ 1.6 (for TP), 0.3 ÷ 0.7 (for PB) Recovery: 70 ÷ 105%	[16]
	Organothiophosphate de	rivatives	
Tomato, cabbage, barley, Xijiang river water, tap wa- ter Quinalphos(QP), Triazophos (TZ), Parathion (PTN), Fenthion (FT), Chlorpyrifos-methyl (CHM)	HPLC-UV $\lambda = 254 \text{ nm}$ Agilent TC-C18 (150 × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) Pure methanol Flow rate: 0.5 mL/min	Linearity: 0.02 ÷ 2.00 μg/mL LOD (μg/L): 3.0 (for QP), 5.0 (for TZ, PTN) 6.0 (for FT), 10.0 (for CHM) Recovery: 80 ÷ 98%	[17]
	Herbicides		
	Phenoxyacetic acid der	ivatives	
Corn, wheat, rice Phenoxy acid herbicides (6)	HPLC-TQ-ESI-MS/MS Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode RP C18 (150 × 2.1 mm, 3.5 μm) Eluent A: water; Eluent B: acetonitrile Gradient elution Flow rate: 0.3 mL/min	Linearity: 0.200 ÷ 40.0 µg/kg LOD: 0.0500 ÷ 0.300 µg/kg Accuracy: 95.6 ÷ 107% Intraday precision: 0.895 ÷ 5.40% Interday precision: 1.13 ÷ 6.61% Recovery: 73.8 ÷ 115%	[18]
	Imidazolinone deriva	itives	
Soybean, peanut, wheat, maize, rice S-imazethapyr (SIT) R-imazethapyr (RIT) S-imazamox (SIZ) R-imazamox (RIZ) S-imazapic (SIP) R-imazapic (RIP)	Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode Chiralcel OJ-3R (150 × 4.6 mm, 3 µm) Eluent A: 0.1% formic acid aqueous solution; Eluent B: acetonitrile Gradient elution Flow rate: 0.4 mL/min	LOD (µg/kg): 0.35 ÷ 0.48 (for SIP), 0.36 ÷ 0.72 (for RIP) 0.40 ÷ 0.88 (for SIT), 0.34 ÷ 0.75 (for RIT) 1.0 ÷ 1.5 (for SIZ), 0.98 ÷ 1.4 (for RIZ) Recovery: 64.2÷106.4%	[19]
	Pyridine carboxylic acid a	lerivatives	
Milk	LC-TQ-ESI-MS/MS	Linearity: 1 ÷ 50 μg/L LOD: 0.124 μg/L	[20]

aminopyralid, picloram,	Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)	Recovery: 75.3 ÷ 89.8%	
fluroxypyr, clopyralid	mode		
	Waters Xselect HSS T3 (C18)		
	$(2.1 \times 150 \text{ mm}, 5 \mu\text{m})$		
	Eluent A: ultrapure water;		
	Eluent B: methanol		
	Gradient elution		
	Flow rate: 300 µL/min		
	Quaternary ammonium sali	t derivatives	
	UHPLC-TQ-ESI-MS/MS		
	Selected reaction monitoring (SRM)	Linearity ($\mu g/kg$):	
	mode	80 ÷ 1000 (for CHQ), 40 ÷ 1000 (for MQ)	
Barley, wheat	Acquity UPLC [™] BEH HILIC	20 ÷ 1000 (for PQ, DQ)	
Paraquat (PQ),	$(100 \times 2.1 \text{ mm}, 1.7 \mu\text{m})$	LOD (µg/kg):	[21]
Diquat (DQ), Chlormequat	Eluent A: aqueous solution of ammo-	24 (for CHQ), 12 (for MQ),	
CHQ), Mepiquat (MQ)	nium formate 60 mmol/L at pH 3.7;	6 (for PQ, DQ)	
	Eluent B: acetonitrile	Recovery: 93 ÷ 106%	
	A:B (40:60, v/v)	,	
	Flow rate: 0.250 mL/min		
	Organophosphorus compour	ids, chlorates	
Fruits, vegetables,	UHPLC-Q Orbitrap-ESI-MS/MS	Linearity: $0.001 \div 0.1 \text{ mg/L}$	
infant foods	Thermo Scientific Hypercarb	LOQ (mg/kg):	
Glyphosate (GLY),	$(3 \times 100 \text{ mm}, 5 \mu\text{m})$	0.0004 (for PCH)	
Aminomethyl phosphonic	Eluent A: 0.4% formic acid in metha-	0.001 (for FAL)	[22]
acid (AMPA),	nol;	0.002 (for CHL)	[22]
Phosphonic acid (PHA),	Eluent B: 0.4% formic acid in purified	0.003 (for GLY, AMPA)	
Fosetyl-AI (FAL),	water	0.004 (for PHA)	
Chlorate (CHL),	A:B (95:5, v/v)	Recovery: 72 ÷ 116%	
Perchlorate (PCH)	Flow rate: 0.3 mL/min		
	UHPLC-ESI-QTRAP-MS		
	Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)		
	mode	Linearity: $10.0 \div 500 \text{ ng/mL}$	
Corn	Acquity UPLC HSS 13	LOD: 0.0015 mg/kg	[00]
Glyphosate, Glufosinate	$(2 \times 100 \text{ mm}, 1.8 \mu\text{m})$	Recovery: 90.3 ÷ 95.4%	[23]
	Eluent A: 0.05% ammonia water; Elu-	Intraday precision: 1.24 ÷ 3.35%	
	ent B: acetonitrile	Interday precision: 3.56 ÷ 6.06%	
	A:B (90:10, v/v)		
	Flow rate: 0.2 mL/min		
X 7 (11) 11	LC-ESI-QI KAP-MS		
Vegetable milk,	Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)		
beer, wine	mode		
Highly polar pesticides (14)	Obelisc N HILIC	Linearity: $0.2 \div 50 \text{ ng/mL}$	10.43
including:	$(150 \times 2.1 \text{ mm}, 5 \mu\text{m})$	ILOD (instrumental LOD): 0.2 ng/mL	[24]
glyphosate, glutosinate,	Eluent A: water with 1% formic acid;	Recovery: 70 ÷ 120%	
ethephon, fosetyl	Eluent B: acetonitrile		
and metabolites	Gradient elution		
	Flow rate: 0.5 mL/min		
	Iriazine compounds/chlorinated a	aniliae derivatives	
White gourd, tomato, sov-	HPLC-DAD	Linearity: $0.3 \div 100.0 \text{ ng/g}$ for white	[05]
bean milk	$\Lambda = 222 \text{ nm}$	gourd and tomato	[25]
	Centurysil (718	0	

Metribuzin, Simetryn, Pro-

pazine, Prometryne

Beans

Atrazine (AZ),

Oxadiazon (OZ),

Metazachlor (MZ),

Propanil (P)

Orange, apple, grape,

mango, banana, pear, peach

olites

(200 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm)	Linearity: 0.5 ÷ 100 ng/mL for soybean	
Eluent A: acetonitrile;	milk	
Eluent B: water	LOD: 0.10 ÷ 0.20 ng/g for white gourd	
A:B (55:45, v/v)	and tomato	
Flow rate: 1.0 mL/min	LOD: 0.15 ÷ 0.30 ng/mL for soybean milk	
HPLC-DAD	Lingerity 0.1 : 10	
λ = 230 nm	Linearity: $0.1 \div 10 \mu\text{g/mL}$	
Aqilent Eclipse XDB-C18	$LOD(\mu g/kg)$:	
(150×4.6 mm, 3.5 μm)	10.3 (for AZ)	[0/]
Eluent A: water;	2.4 (for OZ)	[26]
Eluent B: acetonitrile	2.9 (for MZ)	
Gradient elution	3.8 (for P)	
Flow rate: 0.50 mL/min	Recovery: 90.7 ÷ 116.5%	
Acidic herbici	des	

	Aciaic nerviciae	28
	UHPLC-TQ-ESI-MS/MS	
	Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM))
	mode	For all compounds:
Cummban ananaa	Acquity UPLC BEH C-18	Linearity: 10 ÷ 150 µg/kg
A si dia harbisi dag (27)	(100 × 2.1 mm, 1.7 μm)	LOQ: 10 µg/kg
Direct of a sum of a set (27)	Eluent A: 1% acetic acid and 5%	Recovery: 86 ÷ 120%
Phytonormones (8)	methanol in water;	Intraday precision: 1 ÷ 20%
	Eluent B: 1% acetic acid in methanol	Interday precision: 4 ÷ 20%
	Gradient elution	
	Flow rate: 0.35 mL/min	
	Phenylurea deriva	tives
	HPLC-DAD	
	λ = 254 nm	Linearity: 0.30 ÷ 150.0 ng/mL for soybean

	$\pi 254$ mm	Encantry, 0.50 · 150.0 ng/me for soybean	
Soybean milk, tomato	Centurysil C18	milk	
Metoxuron, Monuron,	(250 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm)	Linearity: 0.20 ÷ 150.0 ng/g for tomato	[20]
Chlortoluron, Monolinu-	Eluent A: water;	LOD: 0.10 ÷ 0.20 ng/mL for soybean milk	[20]
ron, Buturon	Eluent B: acetonitrile	LOD: 0.06÷0.15 ng/g for tomato	
	A:B (52:48, v/v)	Recovery: 86.0 ÷ 115.2%	
	Flow rate: 1.0 mL/min		
	Phenyluracil der	rivatives	
	UHPLC-TQ-ESI-MS/MS		
Δ	Multiple reaction monitoring (MR	M)	

mode

mic acid;

Eluent B: acetonitrile

Linearity: $5 \div 1000 \ \mu g/kg$ Waters CORTECS C18 LOQ: 10 µg/kg (150 × 2.1 mm, 2.7 μm) Tiafenacil and its six metab- Eluent A: water containing 0.1% for-

Intraday precision (RSD): 1.0 ÷ 13.0% [29] Interday precision (RSD): 1.1 ÷ 14.6% Recovery: 73 ÷ 105%

Gradient elution Flow rate: 0.4 mL/min **Multiclass pesticides** UHPLC ESLOTRAP MS

	UHPLC-ESI-QIKAP-MS		
Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)	Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)	Linearity: $5\div50 \ \mu$ g/L and $5\div60 \ \mu$ g/L	
	mode	LOQ: 5 µg/kg	[30]
Multiclass pesticides (155)	Fusion-RP 80A	Recovery: 77.1 ÷ 111.5%	
	(50 × 2 mm, 4 μm)		

[27]

	Eluent A: 0.1% formic acid aqueous		
	solution:		
	Eluent B: 0.1% formic acid in metha-		
	nol		
	Gradient elution		
	Flow rate: 0.25 mL/min		
	LC-TO-ESI-MS/MS		
	Selected reaction monitoring (SRM)		
	mode		
	Pursuit XRs Ultra C18		
Pecan nuts	(100 × 2.0 mm, 1.7 μm)	Linearity: $2.5 \div 125 \ \mu g/L$	50.43
Multiclass pesticides (47)	Eluent A: aqueous 5 mmol/L ammo-	LOD: $2 \div 3 \mu g/kg$	[31]
1 ()	nium formate ;	Recovery: 70 ÷ 120%	
	Eluent B: methanol		
	Gradient elution		
	Flow rate: 0.150 mL/min		
	UPLC-TO-ESI-MS/MS		
	Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)		
	mode	Linearity: not given	
Sugarcane spirits (Brazilian	Acquity UPLC HSS T3	LOD: 5 µg/L	
cachacas)	$(150 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}, 1.8 \text{ µm})$	Accuracy: $80 \div 123\%$	[32]
Multiclass pesticides (10)	Eluent A: water;	Intradav precision (RSD): $0.31 \div 44.17\%$	L- 1
I I I I I I I I I I	Eluent B: acetonitrile	Interday precision (RSD): $0.23 \div 22.78\%$	
	Gradient elution		
	Flow rate: 8µL/min		
	Other pesticide	S	
	LC-TO-ESI-MS/MS		
	Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)		
	mode		
	Chiralpak IG	Linearity: $1 \div 200 \text{ ng/g}$	
	$(250 \times 4.6 \text{ mm}, 5 \mu \text{m})$ with a Chiral-	ILOQ (instrumental LOQ): 0.33 ÷ 1.50	
Cucumber, tomato, cab-	pack IG guard column	ng/g	
bage, grape, mulberry, ap-	$(10 \times 4 \text{ mm}, 5 \mu\text{m})$	MLOO (method LOO): 0.15 ÷ 1.00 ng/g	[33]
ple, pear	Eluent A: acetonitrile;	Recovery: 84.0 ÷ 112.3%	
Chiral pesticides (22)	Eluent B: ultrapure water containing	Intraday precision (RSD): 2.3 ÷ 10.9%	
	5mmol/L ammonium acetate and	Interday precision (RSD): 3.0 ÷ 11.2 %	
	0.1% formic acid		
	A:B (65:35, v/v)		
	Flow rate: 0.6 mL/min		
	LC-ESI-QTRAP-MS		
	Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)		
	mode		
Grapes, lettuce, orange, oat	, HILIC-column, Obelisc N	Linearity: 0.1 ÷ 100 ng/mL	
soya bean	$(2.1 \times 150 \text{ mm}, 5 \mu \text{m})$	LOQ: 0.02 ÷ 0.5 mg/kg	[34]
Highly polar pesticides (14)	Eluent A: water with 1% formic acid;	Recovery: 70 ÷ 120%	
	Eluent B: acetonitrile		
	Gradient elution		
	Flow rate: 500 µL/min		
	Non-steroidal anti-inflammat	ory compounds	
(derivatives of phen	ylpropionic acid, phenylacetic acid ar	d acetylsalicylic acid) and chlorampheni	col
Bovine milk,	LC-ESI-QTRAP-MS	For all compounds:	[35]

ovine milk	Scheduled multiple reaction monitor-	LOQ (µg/kg):	
NSAIDs: Carprofen	ing (sMRM) mode	0.05 (for D)	
(CPF),Tolfenamic acid	Kinetex XB-C18	0.15 (for CHP)	
(TFA), 5-hydroxy flunixin	(100 × 2.1 mm, 2.6 μm)	2.5 (for PBZ)	
(HFX), Diclofenac (D),	Eluent A: water containing 0.1% for-	5 (for I)	
4-methylaminoantipyrin	mic acid;	7.5 (for MX)	
(MAAP),	Eluent B: methanol	20 (for HFX)	
Meloxicam (MX), Ibuprofer	Gradient elution	25 (for TFA, MAAP)	
(I), Phenylbutazone (PBZ);	Flow rate: 0.4 mL/min	250 (for CPF)	
antibiotic: Chloramphenico	l	Accuracy: 87 ÷ 108%	
(CHP)		Interday precision (CV): 3 ÷ 16%	
	HPLC-TO-ESI-MS/MS	Linearity (µg/kg):	
	Luna C18	$0.03 \div 200$ (for D, KP)	
Bovine milk	$(250 \times 2.0 \text{ mm}, 5 \text{ µm})$	$0.03 \div 300$ (for FB)	
Diclofenac (D), Flurbi-	Eluent A: methanol:	$0.1 \div 250$ (for MA)	
profen (FB),	Eluent B: 0.05% aqueous solution of	$LOD(\mu\sigma/k\sigma)$	[36]
Ketoprofen (KP),	formic acid	0.01 (for D KP FB)	
Mefenamic acid (MA)	$A \cdot B (3 \cdot 1 - \tau_2/\tau_1)$	0.03 (for MA)	
	Flow rate: 0.4 mJ/min	$\frac{1000}{1000} = 100$	
		Recovery: 70 · 107 /0	
	Hypersil Cold C18	Linearity: 0.1 ÷ 50 ng/mL	
Meat of swine, chicken and	$(150 \times 2.1 \text{ mm}, 5 \text{ um})$	LOD: 0.1 ÷ 0.5 ng/g	
bovine	Fluent $A: 0.1\%$ formin and with 0.5	Intraday precision (RSD): 2.2 ÷ 5.6%	[37]
Multiclass NSAIDs (47)	Eluent A: 0.1 % formic actu with 0.5	Interday precision (RSD): 5.3 ÷ 12.6%	
	Elecat Procester itrile	Recovery: 72.4 ÷ 97.1%	
Bovine milk	LC-IQ-ESI-MS/MS	Linearity: $1 \div 40 \ \mu g/kg$	
Veterinary drugs: Acetani-	Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)	$LOD(\mu g/g)$:	
lide (AAN),	mode	0.3 (for AP)	
Anthranilic acid (ANA),	(150 x 2 1 mm 2 5 mm)	0.4 (IOF CHD, ME)	
Antipyrine (AP), Cypro-	$(150 \times 2.1 \text{ mm}, 3.5 \mu\text{m})$	0.5 (for DH)	[38]
heptadine (CHD), Di-	Eluent A: 0.1% formic acid in water;	0.6 (for PA)	
phenhydramine (DH),	Eluent B: 0.1% formic acid in acetoni-	2.1 (for AAN, ANA)	
DL-methylephedrine (ME),	trile	Recovery: 71.2 ÷ 103.8%	
Phenacetin (PA)	Gradient elution	Intraday precision (RSD): $0.7 \div 6.4\%$	
	Flow rate: 0.2 mL/min	Interday precision (RSD): 0.1 ÷ 8.6%	
	UHPLC-TQ-ESI-MS/MS		
Fish tissues	Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)		
Ibuprofen.	mode		
Indoprofen, Pranoprofen,	Chiralpak ID	Linearity: $2 \div 400 \text{ ng/g}$	
Flurbiprofen, Ketoprofen,	$(250 \times 4.6 \text{ mm}, 5 \mu\text{m})$ with guard col-	LOD: 1 ÷ 8 ng/g	
Carprofen.	umn (10 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm)	Recovery: 82.6 ÷ 106.7%	[39]
Naproxen.	Eluent A: 40% acetonitrile	Intraday precision (RSD) $\leq 8.2\%$	
Loxoprofen	Eluent B: water containing	Interday precision (RSD) $\leq 8.2\%$	
Etodolac	20 mM HCOONH ₄		
Liouolue	Gradient elution		
	Flow rate: 0.6 mL/min		
Meat, egg	UPLC-TQ-ESI-MS/MS	Linearity (µg/kg):	
Ibuprofen (I),	Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)	5 ÷ 1500 (for D),10 ÷ 1500 (for N)	
Naproxen (N),	mode	20 ÷ 1500 (for CPF, KP, SA)	[40]
Diclofenac (D),	Acquity UPLC BEH C18	30 ÷ 1500 (for TFA), 40÷1500 (for I)	
Carprofen (CPF),	(50 × 2.1 mm, 1.7 μm)	LOD (µg/kg):	

Ketoprofen (KP),	Eluent A: methanol;	9.1 ÷ 12.2 (for I), 2.1 ÷ 2.4 (for N)	
Tolfenamic acid (TFA), Sali-	Eluent B: water with 0.1% formic acid	1.2 ÷ 1.4 (for D), 5.7÷6.0 (for CPF, KP)	
cylic acid (SA)	Gradient elution	7.5 ÷ 10.7 (for TFA), 4.5 ÷ 5.6 (for SA)	
	Flow rate: 0.25 mL/min	Intraday precision (RSD): 4.06 ÷ 16.01%	
		Interday precision (RSD): 2.74 ÷ 14.25%	
		Recovery: 85.18 ÷ 109.8%	
	Antibiotics (fluoroquin	nolones)	
	HPLC-FLD	For FRY.	
	$\lambda = 278 \text{ nm and}$	Linearity 40 : 4000 ug/kg	
Chickon most	466 nm	Linearity: $40 \div 4000 \ \mu g/kg$	
Roof most	Luna C18 (250 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm)	$100.15 \mu\text{g/kg}, 100.40 \mu\text{g/kg}$	
Ecrovecin (EPV)	Eluent A: Methanol	Ear OF:	[41]
$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$	Eluent B: 0.05 mol/L phosphate buffer	FOLOF. Linearity $20 \div 2000 \text{ us}/\text{lss}$	
Olloxaciii (OF)	(pH = 6.4)	Linearity: 30 ÷ 3000 µg/kg	
	Gradient elution	LOD: $10 \mu\text{g/kg}$, LOQ: $30 \mu\text{g/kg}$	
	Flow rate 0.7 mL/min at 30 °C	Recovery: 100 ÷ 107 %	
	Steroid compoun	ds	
Meat samples of different	HPLC DAD $\lambda = 220$ nm		
categories (chicken, beef,	$\Gamma \Pi LC-DAD, \lambda = 220 \Pi \Pi$	LOD (µg/g):	
sheep, camels)	$(4.0 \times 150 \text{ mm}, 2.5 \text{ mm})$	0.126 (for E1, E2)	
Some estrogens: estrone	$(4.6 \times 150 \text{ mm}, 5.5 \text{ µm})$	0.094 (for E3, E4)	[40]
(E1), 17β-estradiol (E2), es-	Eluent A: acetonitrile	LOQ (µg/g):	[42]
triol (E3), natural estrogens	Eluent D: water $A_{1}P_{2}$ (E0.E0, π/π)	0.350 (for E1, E2)	
and 17- α ethinylestradiol	A:D: $(50:50, v/v)$	0.188 (for E3, E4)	
(E4) an exoestrogen	Flow rate: 1 mL/min		
	HPLC-MS/MS		
	Agilent Zorbax Eclipse Plus C18 (2.1 \times		
Samples of chicken egg	100 mm, 1.8 μm)	$I \bigcirc 0 02 m \alpha/m I$	
white	Eluent A: 0.1% formic acid in water	LOQ: 0.02 IIg/IIIL	[43]
Corticosterone	Eluent B: acetonitrile-0.1% formic acid	Recovery: 48.1 /6	
	Gradient elution		
	Flow rate: 0.4 mL/min		
	UHPLC-MS		
	Acchrom Unitary C18		
Samples of Antarctic krill	(2.1 × 150 mm, 5 μm)		
(Euphausia superba Dana)	Eluent A: water containing 0.1% for-	$LOD: 2 \div 30 \text{ Hg/kg},$	[44]
17 Endogenous and exoge-	mic acid	$LOQ: 10 \div 100 \text{ Hg/kg}$	[44]
nous steroid hormones	Eluent B: methanol	Recovery: 73.4 ÷ 110.6 %	
	Gradient elution		
	Flow rate: 0.2 mL/min		
	Antioxidants (polyphenols and re	elated compounds)	
Samples of various food			
consumed in Malaysia,	HPLC-DAD, $\lambda = 280 \text{ nm}$		
such as chewing gum, noo-	Agilent	Linearity: $1 \pm 300 \text{ mg/L}$	
dle, snacks, nut, chocolate,	ZORBAX Eclipse XDB 5 µm C18	$I OD \cdot 0.02 \pm 0.67 \text{ mg/I}$	
fruit juices, coffee, oat, bis-	(150 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 μm)	$I \bigcirc 0.02 \pm 0.07 \text{ mg/L},$	[45]
cuit	Eluent A: ultrapure water	$LOQ: 0.00 \pm 2.00 \text{ Hig/L}$	[40]
Synthetic phenolic antioxi-	Eluent B: acetonitrile	$\frac{110030011}{1000} = 0.04\%$	
dants (SPAs): propyl gal-	Gradient elution	Recovery: 00.4 ÷ 119.0%	
late, tert- butylhydroqui-	Flow rate: 2.0 mL/min		
none, butylated			

hydroxyanisole, and bu-			
tylated hydroxytoluene			
Milk samples from dairy cows Quercetin	UHPLC-MS/MS ZORBAX SB-C18 (50 × 2.1 mm × 1.8 µm) Eluent A: methanol Eluent B: 0.5% formic acid Gradient elution Elow rate: 0.5 mL/min	LOQ: 1.0 µg/kg Intraday precision: <10% Interday precision: <15% Repeatability: 3 ÷ 7.2% Reproducibility: 6.1 ÷ 12% Recovery: 98%	[46]
Samples of green coffee produced company from Skopje, Macedonia Chlorogenic acid	$RP-HPLC-DAD$ $\lambda = 325 \text{ nm}$ $Poroshell 120 \text{ EC-C18}$ $(50 \times 3 \text{ mm, } 2.7 \mu\text{m})$ $Eluent A: acetonitrile$ $Eluent B: water with 1\% \text{ phosphoric}$ $acid$ $A:B (10:90, v/v)$ $Flow rate: 1 \text{ mL/min}$	Linearity: 12.33 ÷ 143.50 µg/mL LOD: 0.29 pg LOQ: 0.96 pg Intraday precision (RSD peak area): 0.19% (RSD height): 1.32% Recovery: 97.87 ÷ 106.67%	[47]
Samples of commercially available red wines from Serbia 16 selected phenolic com- pounds: gallic acid (GA), p- hydroxybenzoic acid (HBA), catechin (CAT), sy- ringic acid (SGA), trans-cin- namic acid (SGA), trans-cin- namic acid (TCA), hes- peretin (HP), naringenin (NG), vanillic acid (VA), benzoic acid (BZA), couma- ric acid (CMA), resveratrol (RV), chlorogenic acid (CGA), caffeic acid (CFA), rutin (RN), quercetin (Q), kaempferol (KF)	HPLC-DAD $\lambda = 280 \text{ nm} (GA, HBA, CAT, SGA, TCA, HP, NG)$ $\lambda = 225 \text{ nm} (VA, BZA, CMA, RV)$ $\lambda = 360 \text{ nm} (KF)$ Poroshell 120 EC-C18 (4.6×100 mm, 2.7 µm) Eluent A: distilled water with 0.1% glacial acetic acid Eluent B: acetonitrile with 0.1% gla- cial acetic acid Gradient elution Flow rate: 1.0 mL/min	Linearity (mg/L): 2.5 ÷ 25 (for CAT, VA) 1.0 ÷ 25 (for other compounds) LOD (mg/L): 0.03 (for RV) ÷ 0.62 (for CAT) LOQ (mg/L): 0.11 (for RV, TCA) ÷ 2.08 (for CAT) Recovery: 96.5 ÷ 100.9%	[48]

It is commonly known that the HPLC-UV (DAD) technique has a lower sensitivity compared to the LC-MS/MS. However, owing to the new SPE (solid phase extraction) systems consisting novel polymers as adsorbents e.g., porous organic polymer Car-DMB, Py-DMB HCP (heterocyclic hypercrosslinked polymer), HPLC analysis further allows the quantification of some pesticides in food samples at concentrations of ng/g [25,28]. As is shown in Table 1, many HPLC-MS/MS techniques with triple quadrupole (TQ), electrospray ionization (ESI) in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode or selected reaction monitoring (SRM) mode have been mainly used for the determination of different kind of pesticides [16,18–21,27,31,33]. In addition, the HPLC-MS/MS methods with electrospray ionization (ESI) and quadrupole trap (QTRAP) in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode have been also employed in the analysis of various pesticides [23,24,30,34]. Whereas UHPLC-Q Orbitrap-ESI-MS/MS has been applied for the determination of highly polar pesticides and contaminants (glyphosate, aminomethyl phosphonic acid (AMPA), phosphonic acid, fosetyl-Al, chlorate, and perchlorate) in processed fruits, vegetables, and infant foods [22].

Studies [19,33] indicate that chiral LC-TQ-ESI-MS/MS and UPLC-TQ-ESI-MS/MS in MRM mode have been successfully applied to the simultaneous enantioselective determination of chiral pesticides in different vegetables and fruits. Martínez et al. [27] determined 27 acidic herbicides and 8 phytohormones in fruits and vegetables using UHPLC-TQ-ESI-MS/MS technique in the MRM mode.

Several papers created during the last two years [35–41] demonstrate the importance of different CLC procedures to determine selected veterinary drugs in animal food and food products belonging to various groups including non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents (NSAIDS), some antibiotics, and others according to EU Commission Decision 2002/657/EC requirements [35] to guarantee food safety.

Whereas, LC-MS/MS methods with triple quadrupole (TQ), electrospray ionization (ESI) in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode have been used for the determination of multiclass NSAIDs in meat of swine, chicken, eggs, and bovine [37,38,40]. Developed chiral UHPLC-TQ-ESI-MS/MS in MRM mode have been successfully applied to the simultaneous determination of four profens enantiomers including naproxen, carprofen, indoprofen, and flurbiprofen in fish tissues [39]. The obtained LODs and LOQs for each enantiomer ranged from 1 to 8 ng/g and 2 to 10 ng/g, respectively [39].

Kurjogi et al. [49] applied an HPLC-UV for the detection of antibiotics in milk samples originating from the dairy herds located in India. Similarly, Dinh et al. [50] elaborated QuEChERS-LC-MS/MS clean up method with UHPLC-MS/MS for the analysis of sulfonamides and potentiators, macrolides, lincosamides, quinolones and fluoroquinolones, nitrofurans, nitroimidazoles, chloramphenicol, triphenyl-methane dyes, teracyclines, and metabolites in cultured and wild seafood sold (in red-meat fish, white-meat fish, and shrimp).

Studies confirm the vital role of HPLC with diode array detection method and mass spectrometry for the analysis of some steroids in current residual food analysis of meat products and eggs coming from farmed animals, thus to control steroids in meat [42,43]. A reliable and sensitive UHPLC-MS method was also constructed by Han and Liu to detect 17 endogenous and exogenous steroid hormones including estrogens, androgens, glucocorticosteroids, and mineralocorticosteroids in Antarctic krill (*Euphausia superba Dana*) [44].

Another study shows the utility of HPLC with MS/MS based on the operation of a triple quadrupole (LC-ESI-MS/MS) for quality control of the species of meat or products by determining the presence of thermostable dipeptides (e.g., anserine, carnosine and balenin) [51].

Some studies demonstrate the important role of HPLC with UV, DAD, or FL detector as well as UHPLC-MS/MS in the study of patulin (mycotoxin) and related compounds in fruits e.g., mangoes, apples, grapes, oranges, and fruit products (juices and drinks) for children [52–58]. In this case C18 column and different usually binary mobile phases consisting, for example, of eluent A: 10 mM ammonium acetate in water and eluent B: 10 mM ammonium acetate in methanol [52] or acetonitrile-water [54] with gradient elution have been successfully applied. These methods allowed determining patulin at different levels given in µg/mL or µg/kg [52–58].

Several authors have also described the analytical methodologies based on HPLC to characterize the food composition i.e., to detect especially a new bioactive compounds with nutritional value and a proper biological activity, for example, antioxidant properties that are present in vegetables and fruits consumed in various countries. Developed methods are necessary to control the quality/authenticity of food and have been carried out by researchers during the last two years.

Numerous studies indicate that HPLC is the method of choice due to its precision and sensitivity for the determination and quantification of natural as well as synthetic antioxidants in various food/food products [45–47,59–64]. The main group of antioxidants investigated were phenolic compounds, especially phenolic acids, catechins, and flavonoids. Therefore the identification and assessment of antioxidant activity of different edible plant samples containing these bioactive compounds and their derivatives using high-performance liquid chromatography have been extensively investigated in the two last years. For example Yue et al. [45] developed and validated an HPLC-DAD method for the identification of selected synthetic phenolic antioxidants (SPAs) in chewing gum, noodle, snacks, nut, chocolate, fruit juices, coffee, oat, and biscuits. An interesting study performed by Cheung et al. [59] shows the utility of this technique for the determination of phenolic acids (16) and flavonoids (14) profiles in honey samples, thus for quality control of honey.

Gbylik-Sikorska et al. [46] developed for the first time an UHPLC-MS/MS method for the estimation of the pharmacokinetic parameters of quercetin in milk samples of dairy cows.

A few papers indicate the HPLC studies of different phenolic compounds in green coffee and the fruits of the three European plum cultivators [47,60].

Pepe et al. [61] undertook the study of the composition of polyphenols (26) and anthocyanins (12) found in *Citrus sinensis* and *Vitis vinifera*. RP-UHPLC-PDA combined with LCMS-IT-TOF (ion trap-time of flight mass spectrometer) was used in analysis of polyphenols and anthocyanins. HPLC with UV-Vis detection was also used for the determination of anthocyanin in skins and seeds of five Greek red grape varieties [62].

Similar study by means of HPLC-MS/MS method was performed to estimate the contents of some antioxidant components in grapevine seeds *Vitis vinifera* L cultivated in Italy [63]. The results of chromatographic analysis confirmed the presence of nine major flavonoids (apigenin, astragalin, hyperoside, isorhamnetin, kaempferol, myricetin, quercetin, quercitrin, and rutin) and two procyanidins (procyanidin A₂ and procyanidin B) in the studied extracts.

Carotenoids and polyphenols were evaluated and quantified by HPLC-DAD and UHPLC-Q-Orbitrap HRMS, respectively, in two-pigmented *Lactuca sativa L. var.* [64]. Separation and quantification of carotenoids were performed by HPLC-DAD on C18 column. Polyphenols analysis was performed by UHPLC-Q-Orbitrap HRMS on biphenyl column. LODs and LOQs of analyzed compounds were in the range of 0.03–0.05 and 0.10–0.16 ng/g, respectively.

Another author Cirilli et al. [65] investigated iberin (an isothiocynate with chemoprevention of different tumors) in natural products and in different food supplements. Analysis was performed by UHPLC-PDA-ESI/MS. Three degradation products of iberin were identified, namely: thiourea, methyl thiocarbamate, and ethyl thiocarbamate. Similar study refers to 6-methoxymellein as the main ingredient responsible for the bitterness of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) [66].

Summarizing, it can be stated that the studies described above confirm that validated high-performance liquid chromatography methods coupled with DAD, UV-Vis, MS/MS, and HPLC-TQ-ESI-MS/MS are the powerful tools in analysis i.e., separation, identification, and quantification of different natural and synthetic bioactive compounds occurring in food and food products for different purposes, i.e., authenticity and safety of food and food products.

It was stated that examined by column liquid chromatography bioactive compounds in food samples belonged to different chemical classes e.g., steroids, phenolic compounds, variety antibiotics (fluoroquinolones, tetracyclines, β -lactams), organophosphorus, phenyluracyl or triazines pesticides, and others. Therefore, both the factors, chemical diversity and the complexity of investigated mixtures, i.e., the kind of studied matrix were the biggest challenges in the case of HPLC technique and were accurately described in this review paper. A broad variety of packing material of column including a new one such as molecularly imprinted magnetic polymers as well as modern extraction systems like solid-phase extraction and salting-out extraction combined with switchable-hydrophilicity solvent liquid-liquid microextraction to sample preparation allow separation and quantification of new bioactive compounds like synthetic antioxidants or trace levels of different chemical groups of pesticides simultaneously (i.e., multiclass pesticides) in food. The use of chiral stationary phases improves the separation and determination of the selected stereoisomers (S- and R- form) of some imidazolinonen herbicides in food samples (e.g., soybean, peanut, wheat, maize, rice) and some NSAIDs belonging to profens i.e., ibuprofen, indoprofren, pranoprofen, flurbiprofen, ketoprofen, caprofen, naproxen and loxoprofen in fish tissues simultaneously at the level of ng/g.

Properly validated for optimal conditions HPLC method by means of DAD (PDA) and UV-Vis detector with gradient elution program makes this technique enough sensitive for the quantitative determination of different bioactive compounds including the selected pesticides and drugs in food samples in μ g/mL or ng/g, respectively.

4. Gas Chromatography

GC in Analysis of Selected Bioactive Compounds in Food Samples

Recent literature review shows that gas chromatography coupled to single or tandem mass spectrometric approaches (GC-MS, GC-MS/MS) served as an efficient tool for the determination of various organic compounds in food samples (Table 2). GC was used to quantify: 200 multiclass pesticides in fruits [67]; 14 lipophilic pesticides in raw propolis [68]; 5 organophosphorus pesticides (OPPs) in fruit juice and water [69], endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) i.e., alkylphenols; 4 phenylphenols, bisphenol A; 7 parabens; 11 OPPs and triclosan in different cereal-based foodstuffs [70]; 4 isomers of hexachlorocyclohexane; 6 pyrethroid pesticides i.e., bifenthrin, fenpropathrin, cyhalothrin, cyfluthrin, cypermethrin, deltamethrin in milk [71]; 133 multiclass pesticides in pericarpium citri reticulatae (chenpi) [72]; 5 NSAIDs i.e., ibuprofen, paracetamol, diclofenac, naproxen, ketoprofen; 3 natural estrogens i.e., estrone, 17β -estradiol, estriol in Mussels *Mytilus edulis trossulus* [73], glyoxal and methylglyoxal in different alcoholic beverage and fermented foods [74], essential fatty acids in cereals and green vegetables [75], and fatty acids in grilled pork [76].

Crude fat, total saturated acids, and total *trans* fatty acids in home meal replacements, and restaurant foods were analyzed using GC-FID (gas chromatography–flame ionization detector). Total crude fat contents were 0.61 ÷ 6.75 g/100 g, and 0.22 ÷ 5.69 g/100 g for home meal replacements and restaurant foods, respectively. Total saturated fatty acids contents were 0.08 ÷ 1.42 g/100 g, and 0.07 ÷ 1.44 g/100 g for home meal replacements and restaurant foods, respectively. Total *trans* fatty acids contents were 0.0 ÷ 0.11 g/100 g, and 0.0÷0.07 g/100 g for home meal replacements and restaurant foods, respectively. Total *trans* fatty acids contents were 0.0 ÷ 0.11 g/100 g, and 0.0÷0.07 g/100 g for home meal replacements and restaurant foods, respectively [77]. Fatty acids in the form of methyl esters were also determined using the GC-FID technique in four bee products. The authors of the study compared the total fatty acid concentration (saturated, unsaturated, omega-3, omega-6, the ratio of saturated and unsaturated, omega-3/omega-6 fatty acids and trans fatty acids) [78]. Fruehwirth et al. [79] investigated the lipid oxidation in stored margarine using GC-FID method. Volatile components and fatty acids present in margarines were tested. Acetone and hexanal increased in all types of margarine during storage.

Study [80] shows the applicability of GC-MS analysis for identification of chemical components with different activity including antioxidant properties of varieties, not well described in literature, of edible plants and fruits cultivated in different countries. GC-MS was successfully applied for the separation and identification of chemical components with antioxidant activity such as different phenolic acids from citrus fruits cultivated in India i.e., grapefruits. The major components found were: limonene, methyl-cyclohexane, hexane-3-one, 3-hexanol, 2-hexanol, myrcene, sabinene, nonanal, neral, geranyl acetate, ostole. These compounds might contribute to the antioxidant activity of the juice and oil [80].

Table 2. GC in analysis of food samples.			
Matrix/Compound	Chromatographic Conditions	Other Parameters	Refs.
	Pesticides (organophosphorus and	multiclass pesticides)	
Banana, watermelon, pear, strawberry Multiclass pesticides (200)	GC-HRMS-Q-Orbitrap Agilent VF-5 MS (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 µm) Carrier gas: helium Flow rate: 1.0 mL/min	Linearity: 1 ÷ 100 µg/kg LOQ: 5 µg/kg Recovery: 70 ÷ 120% Intraday and Interday precision (RSD): <20%	[67]
Raw propolis Lipophilic pesticides (14)	GC-EI-MS/MS Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode Agilent HP-5 MS (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 μm) Carrier gas: helium Flow rate: 1.0 mL/min	Linearity: 0.001 ÷ 0.200 μg/mL LOQ: 0.002 ÷ 0.020 μg/g Recovery: 61 ÷ 106.8%	[68]
Apple juice, grape juice, water Organophosphorus pesti- cides (OPPs): Phorate (PHT), Dimetho- ate (DMT), Diazinone (DZ), Disulfoton (DSF), Chlorpyrifos (CPF)	GC-EI-MS selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode Agilent HP-5 MS (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 µm) Carrier gas: helium Flow rate: 1.0 mL/min	Linearity: 2.0 ÷ 500.0 µg/L LOD (µg/L): 0.9 (for PHT), 0.4 (for DMT), 0.6 (for DZ), 0.3 (for DSF), 1.0 (for CPF) Recovery: 83 ÷ 105%	[69]
Wheat flour, rice, spa- ghetti, cheese tortellini, macaroni, noodles, ses- ame regañas, wheat tortil- las, corn flakes, crunchy fruit muesli, cookies, white bread, multiseed EDCs (Endocrine Disrupt- ing Chemicals) (24): alkylphenols and phe- nylphenols (4), bisphenol A,parabens (7), pesticides (11), triclosan (personal care product)	GC-EI-MS selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode DB-5MS (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 μm) Carrier gas: helium Flow rate: 1.0 mL/min	For all compounds: Linearity: 1.3 ÷ 2500 ng/kg LOD: 0.4 ÷ 23 ng/kg Intraday precision (RSD): 3.8 ÷ 6.2% Interday precision (RSD): 5.2 ÷ 7.2% Recovery: 82 ÷ 105% For pesticides: Linearity: 21 ÷ 2500 ng/kg LOD: 6.2 ÷ 23 ng/kg Intraday precision (RSD): 5.0 ÷ 6.2% Interday precision (RSD): 5.0 ÷ 6.2% Recovery: 83 ÷ 105%	[70]
Milk Isomers of hexachlorocy- clohexane (α-HCH, β-	GC-ECD ZB-5 (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 μm)	For all compounds: Linearity: 0.00143 ÷ 3.57 mg/L LOD: 0.07 ÷ 2 μg/kg	[71]

НСН, γ-НСН, δ-НСН)	Carrier gas: nitrogen	LOQ: 0.2 ÷ 5 µg/kg	
and pyrethroid pesticides	Flow rate: 0.72 mL/min	Recovery: 70.1 ÷ 106.3%	
(bifenthrin,			
fenpropathrin, cyhalo-			
thrin, cyfluthrin, cyper-			
methrin, deltamethrin)			
	GC-EI-MS/MS		
	Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)		
Pericarpium citri reticula-	mode	Linearity: 1 ÷ 200 ng/mL	
tae (chenpi)	DB-5MS IU	LOQ: 0.005 ÷ 0.01 mg/kg	[72]
Multiclass pesticides (133)	(30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 μm)	Recovery: 70 ÷ 112.2%	
	Carrier gas: helium		
	Flow rate: 1.5 mL/min		
No	n-steroidal anti-inflammatory compou	inds (profens) and Steroids	
		For all compounds:	
		LOD: 1 ÷ 7 ng/g	
		Intermediate precision (RSD): 0.24 ÷	
		9.82%	
NSAID (5): ibuprofen, pa-	GC-MS	Repeatability (RSD): 0.94 ÷ 7.82%	
	Selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode	Recovery: 80 ÷ 118%	
racetamol, diciofenac,	Zebron ZB-5MSi	For NSAID:	[73]
naproxen, ketoproten	(30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 μm)	LOD: 1 ÷ 2 ng/g	
Natural estrogens (3):	Carrier gas: helium	Intermediate precision (RSD): $0.69 \div 7.85$	
estrone, 17 ³ -estradiol, es-	5	%	
triol		Repeatability (RSD): 0.94 ÷ 4.92%	
		Recovery: 80 ÷ 115%	
	Fatty acids		
		Repeatability (RSD):	
		$0.23 \div 1.61\%$ for the cereal samples	
		$0.39 \div 1.89\%$ for vegetable samples	
Cereals and green vegeta- bles Essential fatty acids		Repeatability for linoleic acid (RSD): 1.48	
	ID-GC/MS	and 0.95% for rice and wheat flours	
	HP-88 capillary column		[77]
	$(60 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ mm}, 0.2 \mu\text{m})$	3614 mg/kg for rice flour	[75]
	Carrier gas: helium	8402 mg/kg for wheat flour	
	Flow rate: 1.0 mL/min	6353 mg/kg for spinach powder	
		1353 mg/kg for Kimchi cabbage powder;	
		Content of α -linolenic acid:	
		19786 mg/kg for spinach powder	
		9533 mg/kg for Kimchi cabbage powder	
	GC-MS	LOQ: 0.1% of the total fatty acids	
Grilled pork Fatty acids		Content of:	
		Palmitic acid: $17.3 \div 55.4\%$	
		Stearic acid: $8.8 \div 20.9\%$	
	CP-51188	Oleic acid: $24.4 \div 48.8\%$	[76]
	(100 m × 0.25 mm, 0.2 μm) Carrier gas: helium	Linoleic acid: $0.5 \div 3.6\%$	
		Stearidonic acid: $<0.1 \div 4.2\%$	
		Docosanexaenoic acid: $0.5 \div 1.4\%$	
		Gamma linolenic acid: <1%	
		di-homo-γ- linolenic acid: <1%	

	eicosapentaenoic acid: <1%		
	Other compounds		
Alcoholic beverage (wine, bear, makgeoli, soju, and fruit liquor) Fermented foods (soy- bean paste, red pepper paste, soy sauce) Glyoxal (GX), Methylglyoxal (MGX)	GC-MS HP-InnoWax capillary column (60 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 μm) Carrier gas: helium Flow rate: 1.0 mL/min	For GLX: Working range 5 ÷ 4000 μg/kg Accuracy: 93.3 ÷ 104.5% Intraday precision: 4.3 ÷ 7.6% Interday precision: 3.0 ÷ 6.4% LOD: 1.1 μg/kg For MGX: Working range 5 ÷ 4000 μg/kg Accuracy: 92.9 ÷ 104.2% Intraday precision: 4.8 ÷ 7.9% Interday precision: 3.6 ÷ 7.5% LOD: 0.7 μg/kg	[74]

The reviewed papers confirm that gas chromatography has recently been used to study food and edible plants (the contents of pesticides, endocrine disrupting chemicals, NSAIDs, natural estrogens, glyoxal, methylglyoxal, fatty acids, compounds with antioxidant properties, such as e.g., flavonoids, phenolic compounds). The most commonly used gas chromatography was combined with a mass spectrometer or a dual mass spectrometer with electrospray ionization (GC-EI-MS, GC-EI-MS/MS). The presented papers show the utility of this technique for both, i.e., residue analysis of multiclass pesticides and NSAIDs simultaneously in food and food products as well as for the determination of new antibacterial and antitumor agents in edible plants.

5. Combined Techniques

In many cases, not one but two or more analytical techniques are required for determining the active substances present in food matrices. Nowadays, these combined techniques are powerful analytical tools with many applications. Several papers reported their utility in food analysis [81–86].

Carotenoids, phenolic compounds, and fatty acids were determined in tomato seed oil derived from cold break and hot break processing lines [81]. HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS on C18 column and two mobile phases in the gradient elution were used in the investigation of phenolic compounds. HPLC-DAD on C18 column and two mobile phases were used for the quantitative and qualitative analysis of carotenoids. Fatty acid profile was determined by GC-MS. Higher levels of carotenoids (lutein, lycopene, β -carotene) and phenolic compounds ((caffeic acid-glucoside isomer (CG), caffeic acid (CA), syringic acid (SyA), dicaffeoylquinic acid (di-CQA), and tri-Caffeoylquinic acid (tri-CQA)) were found in the cold pressed oil. The following fatty acids were the most abundant in the oil: linoleic acid, oleic acid, and palmitic acid [81].

Migas et al. [82] determined lutein and lutein mixed with zeaxanthin in eight dietary supplements. BMD-TLC (bivariant multiple development thin layer chromatography) was used for the analysis of lutein, β -carotene in samples. HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS was used for the isolation and identification of mixture of lutein and zeaxanthin. The proposed method was linear in the range 90÷500 ng/point. Limits of detection and quantification were 50 ng/point and 90 ng/point, respectively. Method was precise, accurate, and robust.

TLC was used for monitoring the formation of γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) in traditional Indonesian foods fermented with thirty strains of lactic acid. For this purpose, silica gel 60F₂₅₄ plates and *n*-butanol-acetic acid-distilled water (5:2:2) mobile phase were used. On the other hand, for the quantitative determination of GABA, UPLC was used with the C18 column [83]. Aflatoxins are produced by fungi, including those on spoiled food. TLC on silica gel 60 plates using acetonitrile-methanol-trifluoroacetic acid (9:1:0.2, v/v/v) mobile phase and with the visualization using vanillin, p-anisaldehyde solutions, or iodine vapor was a simple, robust, and non-quantitative method for the detection of aflatoxins. HPLC-DAD (λ = 200 ÷ 410 nm) with C18 column and two eluents in gradient elution were used for the quantitative determination of aflatoxins. TOF/Q-TOF MS/MS was used for the detection of aflatoxin metabolites, and the sixteen possible metabolites were identified [84].

A novel and highly sensitive metastable state nanoparticle-enhanced Raman spectroscopy combined with thin layer chromatography (TLC-MSNERS) has been successfully used for the determination of pesticides such as thiabendazole, phosmet, and triazophos on fruit skin. An amphiphilic polymer polyurethane-Ag nanoparticle (AgNPs) has been employed as the MSNERS substrate [85]. Another work developed and validated a modified QuEChERS method to determine multiclass pesticides (207) in honey samples using both LC-MS/MS (154 compounds) and GC-MS/MS (53 compounds) [86].

In summary, the necessity to analyze samples with a complicated composition requires the use of combined techniques. Sometimes the matrix is so complex (it contains chemical compounds belonging to different chemical classes) that there is a need to use at least two analytical techniques to determine the composition of the analyzed sample. The reliability requirements of the analytical results often preclude the possibility of identifying the analytes solely on the basis of the retention time. Only the combination of the ability to separate complex mixtures using chromatographic methods with structural information (HPTLC/MS, LC/MS, GC/MS) enables reliable identification of food constituents.

Owing to the use of combined techniques, it is possible to significantly speed up and reduce the cost of analyzing due to less requirements for the stage of sample preparation for analysis.

The advantages of the combined techniques in food analysis are: the ability to identify unknown food constituents, information about their molecular weight and/or structure, easy detection of the overlap between peaks, and faster end results. In contrast, the disadvantages of the combined techniques are high investment costs.

6. Conclusions

The reviewed papers confirm that of all chromatographic techniques, liquid chromatography (LC) is the most universal technique that enables successful analysis of complex matrices including food products. The current high-performance liquid chromatography systems are crucial to assess the quality of food. HPLC method in combination with various detection modes i.e., HPLC-UV, HPLC-DAD(PDA) and HPLC-MS or HPLC-MS/MS, respectively is selective, sensitive, accurate, and robust for the simultaneous determination of natural and synthetic bioactive molecules belonging to different chemical classes in complex food samples as residue of food production such as multiclass pesticides, NSAIDs or steroids, as well as a new food constituents (e.g., antioxidants) in edible plants cultivated in different countries. The use of modern spectroscopic techniques such as MS as detection system allows the identification and accurate study of the structure of all components occurring in food matrices.

While thin-layer chromatography coupled to densitometry and mass spectrometry could be the most suitable technique for preliminary screening and determination the antioxidant properties (TLC-DPPH) of food components.

Gas chromatographic methods (GC-EI-MS, GC-EI-MS/MS) are also essential for the screening of different bioactive compounds including the pesticides and fatty acids in edible plants and in food products. Pesticides profiling in food samples done by HPLC and GC in combination with prior sample separation by means of modern microextraction systems can be valuable in rapid quality control of food and ensures food use safety. **Author Contributions:** W.P., M.D., A.P.-P. have collected the data, designed, and written the manuscript; A.P.-P., W.P., M.D., have revised the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Not applicable.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- 1. Guaadaoui, A.; Benaicha, S.; Elmajdoub, N.; Bellaoui, M.; Hamal, A. What is a bioactive compound? A combined definition for a preliminary consensus. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2014**, *3*, 174–179, doi:10.11648/j.ijnfs.20140303.16.
- 2. Anuuryanti, F.; Isnaeni, I.; Darmawati, A.; Rosyidah, I.; Dwiana, N. Method validation of contact and immersion TLC bioautography for determination of streptomycin sulfate in shrimp. *Turk J. Pharm. Sci.* **2020**, *17*, 254–258.
- Foudah, A.I.; Alam, P.; Abdel-Kader, M.S.; Shakeel, F.; Alqasoumi, S.I.; Salkini, A.M.; Yusufoglu, H.S. High-performance thinlayer chromatographic determination of trigonelline content in various extracts and different varieties of some commercial coffees available in the Saudi Arabian market. J. Planar Chromatogr. Mod. TLC 2020, 33, 43–50.
- 4. Khairul, I.M.; Sostaric, T.; Lim, L.Y.; Hammer, K.; Locher, C. Development and validation of an HPTLC–DPPH assay and its application to the analysis of honey. *J. Planar Chromatogr. Mod. TLC* **2020**, *33*, 301–311.
- 5. Madhukar, N.S.; Vinayak, S.M. A novel digitally optimized rapid quantification of carcinogenic aryl azo amines from various food matrices by HPTLC-MS. J. Liq. Chromatogr. Rel. Technol. 2020, 43, 445–454.
- Piszcz, P.; Tomaszewska, M.; Głód, B.K. Estimation of the total antioxidant potential in the meat samples using thin-layer chromatography. *Open Chem.* 2020, 18, 50–57, doi:10.1515/chem-2020-0007.
- 7. Turkmen, Z.; Kurada, O. Rapid HPTLC determination of patulin in fruit-based baby food in Turkey. J. Planar Chromatogr. Mod. *TLC* 2020, *33*, 209–217.
- 8. Dąbrowska, M.; Sokalska, K.; Gumułka, P.; Binert-Kusztal, Ż.; Starek, M. Quantification of omega-3-fatty acids in dietary supplements and cooking products available on the polish market by thin-layer chromatography-densitometry. *J. Planar Chromatogr. Mod. TLC* **2019**, *32*, 13–24.
- Pawar, U.D.; Pawar, C.D.; Kulkarni, U.K.; Pardeshi, R.K.; Farooqui, M.; Shinde, D.B. Use of diphenylamine reagent for highperformance thin-layer chromatographic detection of organochloro insecticide endosulfan in biological samples. *J. Planar Chromatogr. Mod. TLC* 2019, 32, 65–68.
- Patil, A.S.; Patil, K.P.; Patil, A.B.; Kulkarni, P.M.; Chandegaonkar, V.R.; More, B.P.; Mane, D.V. A new chromogenic spray reagent for the detection and identification of oxyfluorten herbicide in biological material by high-performance thin-layer chromatography. J. Planar Chromatogr. Mod. TLC 2019, 32, 69–71.
- Pawar, U.D.; Pawar, C.D.; Kulkarni, U.K.; Pardeshi, R.K.; Farooqui, M.; Shinde, D.B. New chromogenic reagent for highperformance thin-layer chromatographic detection of organophosphorus insecticide monocrotophos in biological materials. *J. Planar Chromatogr. Mod. TLC* 2019, 32, 61–64.
- Patil, K.P.; Patil, A.S.; Patil, A.B.; Kulkarni, P.M.; Chandegaonkar, V.R.; More, B.P. A new chromogenic spray reagent for the detection and identification of 2,4-dichlorophenol, an intermediate of 2,4-D herbicide in biological material by highperformance thin-layer chromatography. *J. Planar Chromatogr. Mod. TLC* 2019, 32, 431–434.
- 13. Pawar, U.D.; Pawar, C.D.; Kulkarni, U.K.; Pardeshi, R.K. Development method of high-performance thin-layer chromatographic detection of synthetic organophosphate insecticide profenofos in visceral samples. *J. Planar Chromatogr. Mod. TLC* **2020**, *33*, 203–206.
- Pawar, U.D.; Pawar, C.D.; Mavie, R.R.; Pardeshi, R.K. Development of a new chromogenic reagent for the detection of organophosphorus herbicide glyphosate in biological samples. J. Planar Chromatogr. Mod. TLC 2019, 32, 435–437.
- Hussain, M.; Aftab, K.; Iqbal, M.; Ali , S.; Rizwan, M.; Alkahtani, S.; Abdel-Daim, M.M. Determination of pesticide residue in brinjal sample using HPTLC and developing a cost-effective method alternative to HPLC. *J. Chem.* 2020, 8180320, doi:10.1155/2020/8180320.
- Zheng, W.; Choi, J.M.; Abd El-Aty, A.M.; Yoo, K.H.; Park, D.H.; Kim, S.K.; Kang, Y.S.; Hacımüftüoğlu, A.; Wang, J.; Shim, J.H.; et al. Simultaneous determination of spinosad, temephos, and piperonyl butoxide in animal-derived food using LC-MS/MS. *Biomed. Chromatogr.* 2019, 33, e4493.
- 17. Huang, X.C.; Ma, J.K.; Feng, R.X.; Wei, S.L. Simultaneous determination of five organophosphorus pesticide residues in different food samples by solid-phase microextraction fibers coupled with high-performance liquid chromatography. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2019**, *99*, 6998–7007.
- 18. Guo, T.; Wang, X.; Wang, H.; Hu, Y.; Zhang, S.; Zhao, R. Determination of phenoxy acid herbicides in cereals using highperformance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Food Prot.* **2019**, *82*, 1160–1165.

- Li, R.; Hu, M.; Liu, K.; Zhang, H.; Li, X.; Tan, H. Trace enantioselective determination of imidazolinone herbicides in various food matrices using a modified QuEChERS method and ultra-performance liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. *Food Anal. Methods* 2019, *12*, 2647–2664.
- Tan, S.; Yu, H.; He, Y.; Wang, M.; Liu, G.; Hong, S.; Yan, F.; Wang, Y.; Wang, M.; Li, T.; et al. A dummy molecularly imprinted solid-phase extraction coupled with liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry for selective determination of four pyridine carboxylic acid herbicides in milk. *J. Chromatogr. B* 2019, *1108*, 65–72.
- Francesquett, J.Z.; Rizzetti, T.M.; Cadaval, T.R.S., Jr.; Prestes, O.D.; Adaime, M.B.; Zanella, R. Simultaneous determination of the quaternary ammonium pesticides paraquat, diquat, chlormequat, and mepiquat in barley and wheat using a modified quick polar pesticides method, diluted standard addition calibration and hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A 2019, 1592, 101–111.
- 22. Savini, S.; Bandini, M.; Sannino, A. An improved, rapid, and sensitive ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-highresolution orbitrap mass spectrometry analysis for the determination of highly polar pesticides and contaminants in processed fruits and vegetables. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2019**, *67*, 2716–2722.
- Zhang, Y.; Dang, Y.; Lin, X.; An, K.; Li, J.; Zhang, M. Determination of glyphosate and glufosinate in corn using multi-walled carbon nanotubes followed by ultra high performance liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* 2020, 1619, 460939.
- 24. Lopez, S.H.; Dias, J.; Mol, H.; de Kok, A. Selective multiresidue determination of highy polar anionic pesticides in plant-based milk, wine and beer using hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography combined with tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2020**, *1625*, *46*1226.
- Li, G.; Meng, X.; Wang, J.; Wang, Q.; Zhou, J.; Wang, C.; Wu, Q.; Wang, Z. A low-cost and high-efficiency carbazole-based porous organic polymer as a novel sorbent for solid-phase extraction of triazine herbicides in vegetables. *Food Chem.* 2020, 309, 125618.
- Zhang, L.; Liu, J.; Wang, C.; Yu, R. Silica gel immobilized ionic liquid dispersion extraction and separation of triazine and acetanilide herbicides in beans. *Food Anal. Methods* 2020, 13, 1791–1798.
- Martínez, Á.G.; Arrebola Liébanas, F.J.; Valverde, R.S.; Hernández Torres, M.E.; Casinello, J.R.; Garrido Frenich, A. Multifamily determination of phytohormones and acidic herbicides in fruits and vegetables by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry under accredited conditions. *Foods* 2020, *9*, 906.
- Wang, Q.; Wang, C.; Wang, J.; Liu, W.; Hao, L.; Zhou, J.; Wang, Z.; Wu, Q. Sensitive determination of phenylurea herbicides in soybean milk and tomato samples by a novel hypercrosslinked polymer based solid-phase extraction coupled with high performance liquid chromatography. *Food Chem.* 2020, *317*, 126410.
- 29. Hu, M.; Tan, H.; Li, Y.; Qiu, J.; Liu, L.; Zeng, D. Simultaneous determination of tiafenacil and its six metabolites in fruits using ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. *Food Chem.* **2020**, *327*, 127015.
- 30. Melo, M.G.; Carqueijo, A.; Freitas, A.; Barbosa, J.; Silva, A.S. Modified QuEChERS extraction and HPLC-MS/MS for simultaneous determination of 155 pesticide residues in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Foods* **2020**, *9*, 18.
- Barci, P.E.P.; Alves, L.S.; Avellar, A.A.S.; Cendon, L.R.; dos Santos, P.J.; Stringhini, F.M.; Prestes, O.D.; Zanella, R. Modified QuEChERS method for multiresidue determination of pesticides in pecan nuts by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. *Food Anal. Methods* 2020, *13*, 793–801.
- Pereira dos Santos, N.G.; Maciel, E.V.S.; Mejía-Carmona, K.; Lanças, F.M. Multidimensional capillary liquid chromatographytandem mass spectrometry for the determination of multiclass pesticides in "sugarcane spirits" (cachaças). *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 2020, 412, 7789–7797.
- 33. Zhao, P.; Wang, Z.; Gao, X.; Guo, X.; Zhao, L. Simultaneous enantioselective determination of 22 chiral pesticides in fruits and vegetables using chiral liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry. *Food Chem.* **2019**, *277*, 298–306.
- López, S.H.; Scholten, J.; Kiedrowska, B.; de Kok, A. Method validation and application of a selective multiresidue analysis of highly polar pesticides in food matrices using hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A 2019, 1594, 93–104.
- 35. Britzi, M.; Schwartsburd, F. Development and validation of a high-throughput method for the determination of eight nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and chloramphenicol in milk, using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectroscopy. *Int. J. Analyt. Bioanalyt. Methods* 2019, 1, 005.
- Shishov, A.; Nechaeva, D.; Bulatov, A. HPLC-MS/MS determination of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in bovine milk based on simultaneous deep eutectic solvents formation and its solidification. *Microchem. J.* 2019, 150, 104080.
- 37. Wang, Y.; Ou, Y.; Xie, S.; Chen, D.; Wang, X.; Pan, Y.; Wang, Y.; Huang, L.; Cheng, G.; Qu, W.; et al. Magnetic graphene solid-phase extraction for the determination of 47 kinds of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug residues in animal food with liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. *Food Anal. Methods* **2019**, *12*, 1346–1368.
- Kim, M.K.; Kim, N.S.; Kwon, H.J.; Ha, S.Y.; Kim, H.S.; Kim, J.W. Development of a simultaneous multi-residue analysis for screening and confirmation of 7 veterinary drugs in bovine milk by LC-MSMS. J. Prev. Vet. Med. 2019, 43, 68–73.
- Li, M.; Liang, X.; Guo, X.; Di, X.; Jiang, Z. Enantiomeric separation and enantioselective determination of some representive non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug enantiomers in fish tissues by using chiral liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry. *Microchem. J.* 2020, 153, 104511.
- Liang, S.; Jian, N.; Cao, J.; Zhang, H.; Li, J.; Xu, Q. Rapid, simple and green solid phase extraction based on polyaniline nanofibers-mat for detecting non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug residues in animal-origin food. *Food Chem.* 2020, 328, 127097.

- 41. Timofeeva, I.; Stepanova, K.; Shishov, A.; Nugbienyo, L.; Moskvin, L.; Bulatov, A. Fluoroquinolones extraction from meat samples based on deep eutectic solvent formation. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* **2020**, *93*, 103589.
- Alqahtani, S.S.; Humaid, D.M.B.; Alshail, S.H.; AlShammari, D.T.; Al-Showiman, H.; Alzoman, N.Z.; Maher, H.M. Development and validation of a high performance liquid chromatography/diode array detection method for estrogen determination: Application to residual analysis in meat products. *Open Chem.* 2020, *18*, 995–1010, doi:10.1515/chem-2020-0118.
- 43. Caulfield, M.P.; Padula, M.P. HPLC MS-MS analysis shows measurement of corticosterone in egg albumen is not a valid indicator of chicken welfare. *Animals* **2020**, *10*, 821, doi:10.3390/ani10050821.
- 44. Han, X.; Liu, D. Detection and analysis of 17 steroid hormones by ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (UHPLC-MS) in different sex and maturity stages of Antarctic krill (*Euphausia superba Dana*). PLoS ONE 2019, 14, e0213398, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0213398.
- 45. Yue, C.S.; Hong, W.L.; Tan, S.A. S.W.; Loh, K.E.; Liew, Y.C.; Yap, R.E.; Chong, Z.Y.; Chai, J.C. Identification and validation of synthetic phenolic antioxidants in various foods commonly consumed in Malaysia by HPLC. *Indones. J. Chem.* **2019**, *19*, 907–919.
- Gbylik-Sikorska, M.; Gajda, A.; Burmańczuk, A.; Grabowski, T.; Posyniak, A. Development of a UHPLC-MS/MS method for the determination of quercetin in milk and its application to a pharmacokinetic study. J. Vet. Res. 2019, 63,87–91.
- 47. Velkoska-Markovska, L.; Jankulovska, M.S.; Petanovska-Ilievska, B.; Hristovski, K. Development and validation of RPLC-UV method for determination of chlorogenic acid in green coffee. *Acta Chromatogr.* **2020**, *32*, 34–38.
- Atanacković Krstonošić, M.; Cvejić Hogervorst, J.; Mikulić, M.; Gojković-Bukarica, L. Development of HPLC method for determination of phenolic compounds on a core shell column by direct injection of wine samples. *Acta Chromatogr.* 2020, *32*, 134–138.
- 49. Kurjogi, M.; Issa Mohammad, Y.H.I.; Alghamdi, S.; Abdelrahman, M.; Satapute, P.; Jogaiah, S. Detection and determination of stability of the antibiotic residues in cow's milk. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0223475, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0223475.
- 50. Dinh, Q.T.; Munoz, G.; Duy, S.V.; Do, D.T.; Bayen, S.; Sauvé, S. Analysis of sulfonamides, fluoroquinolones, tetracyclines, triphenylmethane dyes and other veterinary drug residues in cultured and wild seafood sold in Montreal, Canada. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* **2020**, 94, 103630.
- 51. Uenoyama, R.; Miyazaki, M.; Miyazaki, T.; Shigeno, Y.; Tokairin, Y.; Konno, H.; Yamashita, T. LC-ESI-MS/MS quantification of carnosine, anserine, and balenine in meat samples. *J. Chromatogr. B* **2019**, *1132*, 121826.
- Przybylska, A.; Bazylak, G.; Kosicki, R.; Altyn, I.; Twaruzek, M.; Grajewski, J.; Soltys-Lelek, A. Advantageous extraction, cleanup, and UHPLC-MS/MS detection of patulin mycotoxin in dietary supplements and herbal blends containing hawberry from *Crataegus* spp. J. Anal. Methods Chem. 2019, doi:10.1155/2019/2159097.
- Zhao, M.; Shao, H.; Ma, J.; Li, H.; He, Y.; Wang, M.; Jin, M.; Wang, J.; Abd El-Aty, A.M.; Hacımüftüoğlu, A.; Yan, F.; Wang, Y.; She, Y. Preparation of core-shell magnetic molecularly imprinted polymers for extraction of patulin from juice samples. *J. Chromatogr. A* 2020, 1615, 460751.
- 54. Dural, E. Monitorization of patulin and hydroxymethylfurfural in fruit juices and commercial fruity baby foods by an HPLC-DAD method. *Rev. Roum. Chim.* **2020**, *65*, 191–200.
- Hassan, N.H.; Othman, H.I.A.A.; Abdul Malek, N.R.; Zulkurnain, M.; Saad, B.; Wong, Y.F. Simultaneous quantitative assessment of ochratoxin A, patulin, 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural, and bisphenol A in fruit drinks using HPLC with Diode Array-Fluorimetric Detection. *Foods* 2020, *9*, 1633.
- 56. Hussain, S.; Asi, M.R.; Iqbal, M.; Akhtar, M.; Imran, M.; Ariño, A. Surveillance of patulin in apple, grapes, juices and valueadded products for sale in Pakistan. *Foods* **2020**, *9*, 1744.
- 57. Hussain, S.; Asi, M.R.; Iqbal, M.; Khalid, N.; Wajih-ul-Hassan, S.; Ariño, A. Patulin mycotoxin in mango and orange fruits, juices, pulps, and jams marketed in Pakistan. *Toxins* **2020**, *12*, 52.
- Lien, K.W.; Ling, M.P.; Pan, M.H. Probabilistic risk assessment of patulin in imported apple juice and apple-Containing beverages in Taiwan. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2020, 100, 4776.
- 59. Cheung, Y.; Meenu, M.; Yu, X.; Xu, B. Phenolic acids and flavonoids profiles of commercial honey from different floral sources and geographic sources. *Int. J. Food Prop.* **2019**, *22*, 290–308, doi:10.1080/10942912.2019.1579835.
- Radović, M.; Dragan Milatović, D.; Tešić Ž.; Tosti, T.; Gašić, U.; Dojčinović, B.; Dabić Zagorac, D. Influence of rootstocks on the chemical composition of the fruits of plum cultivars. J. Food Compos. Anal. 2020, 92, 103480, doi:10.1016/j.jfca.2020.103480.
- Pepe, G.; Salviati, E.; Rapa, S.F.; Ostacolo, C.; Cascioferro, S.; Manfra, M.; Autore, G.; Marzocco, S.; Campiglia, P. *Citrus sinensis* and *Vitis vinifera* protect cardiomyocytes from doxorubicin-induced oxidative stress: Evaluation of onconutraceutical potential of vegetable smoothies. *Antioxidants* 2020, *9*, 378.
- 62. Kyraleou, M.; Kallithraka, S.; Gkanidi, E.; Koundouras, S.; Mannion, D.T.; Kilcawley, K.N. Discrimination of five Greek red grape varieties according to the anthocyanin and proanthocyanidin profiles of their skins and seeds. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* **2020**, *92*, 103547.
- 63. Sochorova, L.; Klejdus, B.; Baro, M.; Jurikova, T.; Mlcek, J.; Sochor, J.; Ercisli, S.; Kupe, M. Assessment of antioxidants by HPLC-MS in grapevine seeds. *Acta Sci. Pol. Hortorum Cultus.* **2019**, *18*, 17–28.
- 64. El-Nakhel, C.; Pannico, A.; Graziani, G.; Kyriacou, M.C.; Giordano, M.; Ritieni, A.; De Pascale, S.; Rouphael, Y. Variation in macronutrient content, phytochemical constitution and *In Vitro* antioxidant capacity of green and red butterhead lettuce dictated by different developmental stages of harvest maturity. *Antioxidants* 2020, 9, 300.
- 65. Cirilli, R.; Gallo, F.R.; Multari, G.; Palazzino, G.; Mustazza, C.; Panusa, A. Study of solvent effect on the stability of isothiocyanate iberin, a breakdown product of glucoiberin. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* **2020**, *92*, 103515.

- 66. Liu, R.; Choi, H.S.; Kim, S.L.; Kim, J.H.; Yun, B.S.; Lee, D.S. 6-Methoxymellein isolated from carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) targets breast cancer stem cells by regulating NF-κB signaling. *Molecules* **2020**, *25*, 4374.
- 67. Vargas-Pérez, M.; Domínguez, I.; Egea González, F.J. Application of full scan gas chromatography high resolution mass spectrometry data quantify targeted-pesticide residues and to screen for additional substances of concern in fresh-food commodities. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2020**, *1622*, 461118.
- Wang, X.; Wang, Z.; Di, S.; Xue, X.; Jin, Y.; Qi, P.; Wang, X.; Han, L.; Xiao, Y.; Min, S. Determination of 14 lipophilic pesticide residues in raw propolis by selective sample preparation and gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Food Anal. Methods* 2020, 13, 1726–1735.
- Moinfar, S.; Jamil, L.A.; Sami, H.Z. Determination of organophosphorus pesticides in juice and water by modified continuous sample drop flow microextraction combined with gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Food Anal. Methods* 2020, 13, 1050– 1059.
- Azzouz, A.; Colón, L.P.; Hejji, L.; Ballesteros, E. Determination of alkylphenols, phenylphenols, bisphenol A, parabens, organophosphorus pesticides and triclosan in different cereal-based foodstuffs by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 2020, 412, 2621–2631.
- Zhao, Y.; Hou, X.; Qin, D.; Liu, D. Dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction method for the simultaneous determination of four isomers of hexachlorocyclohexane and six pyrethroid pesticides in milk by gas chromatography electron capture detector. *Food Anal. Methods* 2020, *13*, 370–381.
- Li, S.; Yu, P.; Zhou, C.; Tong, L.; Li, D.; Yu, Z.; Zhao, Y. Analysis of pesticide residues in commercially available chenpi using a modified QuEChERS method and GC-MS/MS determination. *J. Pharm. Anal.* 2020, 10, 60–69.
- Wolecki, D.; Caban, M.; Pazdro, K.; Mulkiewicz, E.; Stepnowski, P.; Kumirska, J. Simultaneous determination of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and natural estrogens in the mussels. *Mytilus Edulis Trossulus. Talanta* 2019, 200, 316–323.
- Lim, H.H.; Shin, H.S. In-solution derivatization and detection of glyoxal and methylglyoxal in alcoholic beverages and fermented foods by headspace solid-phase microextraction and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* 2020, 92, 103584.
- Lee, S.; Lim, D.K.; Baek, S.Y.; Seo, D.; Park, J.S.; Kwak, B.M.; Won, J.; Lee, J.; Kim, B. Quantitative analyses of essential fatty acids in cereals and green vegetables by isotope dilution-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. J. Anal. Sci. Technol. 2020, 11, 37, doi:10.1186/s40543-020-00237-3.
- Iko Afe, O.H.; Anihouvi, D.G.; Assogba, M.F.; Anihouvi, E.L.; Kpoclou, Y.E.; Douny, C.; Mahillon, J.; Anihouvi, V.B.; Scippo, ML.; Hounhouigan, D.J. Consumption and nutritional quality of grilled pork purchased from open road-side restaurants of Benin. J. Food Compos. Anal. 2020, 92, 103549.
- 77. Choi, E.; Kim, B.H. A comparison of the fat, sugar, and sodium contents in ready-to-heat type home meal replacements and restaurant foods in Korea. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* **2020**, *92*, 103524.
- 78. Jarukas, L.; Kuraite, G.; Baranauskaite, J.; Marksa, M.; Bezruk, I.; Ivanauskas, L. Optimization and validation of the GC/FID method for the quantification of fatty acids in bee products. *Appl. Sci.* **2021**, *11*, 83.
- 79. Fruehwirth, S.; Egger, S.; Flecker, T.; Ressler, M.; Firat, N.; Pignitter, M. Acetone as indicator of lipid oxidation in stored margarine. *Antioxidants* **2021**, *10*, 59.
- 80. Shahnawaz, A.; Rattanpal, H.S.; Gul, K.; Rouf Ahmad Dar, R.A.; Sharma, A. Chemical composition, antioxidant activity and GC-MS analysis of juice and peel oil of grapefruit varieties cultivated in India. *J. Int. Agric.* **2019**, *18*, 1634–1642.
- Szabo, K.; Dulf, F.V.; Teleky, B.-E.; Eleni, P.; Boukouvalas, C.; Krokida, M.; Kapsalis, N.; Rusu, A.V.; Socol, C.T.; Vodnar, D.C. Evaluation of the bioactive compounds found in tomato seed oil and tomato peels influenced by industrial heat treatments. *Foods* 2021, 10, 110.
- 82. Migas, P.; Stempka, N.; Krauze-Baranowska, M. The use of thin-layer chromatography in the assessment of the quality of luteincontaining dietary supplements. J. Planar Chromatogr. Mod. TLC 2020, 33, 11–18.
- Yogeswara, I.B.A.; Kittibunchakul, S.; Rahayu, E.S.; Domig, K.J.; Haltrich, D.; Nguyen, T.H. Microbial production and enzymatic biosynthesis of γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) using *Lactobacillus plantarum* FNCC 260 isolated from indonesian fermented foods. *Processes* 2021, 9, 22.
- Mitema, A.; Feto, N.A.; Rafudeen, M.S. Development and validation of TOF/Q-TOF MS/MS, HPLC method and *in vitro* biostrategy for aflatoxin mitigation. *Food Addit. Contam. Part A* 2020, doi:10.1080/19440049.2020.1815861.
- Kang, Y.; Wu, T.; Chen, W.; Li, L.; Du, Y. A novel metastable state nanoparticle-enhanced Raman spectroscopy coupled with thin layer chromatography for determination of multiple pesticides. *Food Chem.* 2019, 270, 494–501.
- Gaweł, M.; Kiljanek, T.; Niewiadomska, A.; Semeniuk, S.; Goliszek, M.; Burek, O.; Posyniak, A. Determination of neonicotinoids and 199 other pesticide residues in honey by liquid and gas chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry. *Food Chem.* 2019, 282, 36–47.