



Correction

## Correction: Vachaparambil, K.J. Comparison of Surface Tension Models for the Volume of Fluid Method. *Processes* 2019, 7, 542

Kurian J. Vachaparambil \* and Kristian Etienne Einarsrud \*

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), 7491 Trondheim, Norway

\* Correspondence: kurian.j.vachaparambil@ntnu.no (K.J.V.); kristian.e.einarsrud@ntnu.no (K.E.E.)

Received: 21 January 2020; Accepted: 22 January 2020; Published: 25 January 2020



Corrections:

In Equations (2) and (3),  $\tau_{\mu}$  and  $\tau_{\rho}$  should be defined as  $\mu_{avg}\Delta x/\sigma$  and  $\sqrt{\rho_{avg}(\Delta x)^3/\sigma}$ , respectively.

In Equation (9),  $\overrightarrow{n_f}$  is the unit normal vector to the interface and  $\overrightarrow{S_f}$  is the face surface area.

In Table 8 and Table 10, the kinematic viscosity of gas or phase 2 should be equal to  $1.48 \times 10^{-5}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s, as provided in the simulation case files available in the Supplementary Material.

The results reported in [1] are not affected by these typographical errors.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

 Vachaparambil, K.J.; Einarsrud, K.E. Comparison of Surface Tension Models for the Volume of Fluid Method. *Processes* 2019, 7, 542. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).